

Oral Efficacy of an Attenuated Rabies Virus Vaccine in Skunks and Raccoons

Authors: Hanlon, Cathleen A., Niezgoda, Michael, Morrill, Patricia, and Rupprecht, Charles E.

Source: Journal of Wildlife Diseases, 38(2): 420-427

Published By: Wildlife Disease Association

URL: https://doi.org/10.7589/0090-3558-38.2.420

BioOne Complete (complete.BioOne.org) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at <u>www.bioone.org/terms-of-use</u>.

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non - commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

SHORT COMMUNICATIONS

Journal of Wildlife Diseases, 38(2), 2002, pp. 420–427 © Wildlife Disease Association 2002

Oral Efficacy of an Attenuated Rabies Virus Vaccine in Skunks and Raccoons

Cathleen A. Hanlon,^{1,2} **Michael Niezgoda**,¹ **Patricia Morrill**,¹ **and Charles E. Rupprecht**^{1,1} Division of Viral and Rickettsial Diseases, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 1600 Clifton Road, NE, Mailstop G33, Atlanta, Georgia 30333 USA; ² Corresponding author (email: cfh8@cdc.gov).

ABSTRACT: Raccoons and skunks are major rabies reservoirs in North America. Oral vaccination is one method to consider for disease control in these carnivores. Under field conditions in the USA, only one oral rabies vaccine has been used. It is efficacious in wildlife such as raccoons (Procyon lotor), coyotes (Canis latrans), and foxes (Vulpes vulpes) but not in skunks (Mephitis mephitis). The objectives of this study were to evaluate an attenuated SAG-2 rabies virus vaccine for safety, immunogenicity, and efficacy by the oral route in skunks and raccoons. Two of five skunks and three of five raccoons developed virus neutralizing antibodies (VNA) by day 14 following oral administration of SAG-2 vaccine. All animals remained healthy. Upon challenge, naive controls succumbed to rabies. Among vaccinated animals, four of five skunks and all five raccoons had VNA on day 7 post-challenge and all survived. Given these results, SAG-2 is a promising candidate vaccine that may satisfy both safety and efficacy concerns for oral rabies immunization of major North American rabies reservoirs.

Key words: Mephitis mephitis, oral vaccination, *Procyon lotor*, rabies, rabies vaccine, raccoon, skunk.

Major reservoirs of rabies in the USA include raccoon (Procyon lotor) and striped skunk (Mephitis mephitis). Raccoon rabies affects all eastern seaboard states from Florida to Maine, and the epizootic has recently extended into Ontario and New Brunswick, Canada, and further inland within Alabama, West Virginia, Vermont, and Ohio (Krebs et al., 2000). In the late 1970s, the raccoon rabies epizootic was exacerbated because of animal translocation (Nettles et al., 1979). One million square km with a human population of over 90 million are now affected by raccoon rabies (Rupprecht and Smith, 1994; Rupprecht et al., 1995, 1996; Hanlon and

Rupprecht, 1998). Rabies in skunks is widespread throughout the Americas (Charlton et al., 1991). In the USA, the total geographic area affected by skunk rabies is at least 3.5 million square km or nearly 40% of the entire contiguous lower 48 states (Krebs et al., 2000). In addition, skunks are the most commonly affected secondary species in the raccoon-rabiesenzootic area. The number of rabid skunks infected with raccoon rabies virus in this latter region sometimes surpasses the number of rabid raccoons. The observation of high numbers of rabid skunks in the eastern USA has led to speculation that this species may be capable of limited perpetuation of raccoon rabies virus, although neither field nor experimental data are as yet adequate for analysis.

Although oral vaccination of red foxes (Vulpes vulpes) has been practiced for several decades in Europe and Canada, oral vaccination of raccoons and skunks would extend this method of disease control to important North American rabies reservoir species. A vaccinia-rabies glycoprotein (V-RG) recombinant virus vaccine is licensed for oral rabies vaccination of raccoons and has been employed in an array of pilot programs at strategic epizootic fronts and in a few enzootic areas (Hanlon and Rupprecht, 1998). However, the V-RG vaccine is not effective by the oral route in skunks (Charlton et al., 1992). Moreover, at least one modified live rabies virus vaccine used for oral vaccination of red foxes (Vulpes vulpes), with demonstrated potential for immunization of raccoons (Rupprecht et al., 1989), resulted in vaccine-induced rabies in skunks (Rupprecht et al., 1990).

In this study, a highly attenuated rabies virus vaccine, SAG-2, was evaluated by the oral route in skunks and raccoons. The SAG-2 rabies virus is a double mutant of the SAD-Bern fixed rabies virus strain that was derived under the neutralizing pressure of monoclonal antibodies resulting in two nucleotide changes at glycoprotein codon 333 (Flamand et al., 1993), a key site implicated in virulence (Dietzschold et al., 1983). In contrast to traditional modifiedlive rabies virus vaccines, the SAG-2 virus does not cause rabies when inoculated intramuscularly and intracerebrally in adult laboratory mice. The objectives of this study were to describe the clinical effects of the oral SAG-2 vaccine, measure the induction of rabies virus-neutralizing antibodies (VNA), and determine vaccine efficacy against lethal rabies virus challenge in skunks and raccoons.

Twenty adult striped skunks and 15 adult raccoons were obtained from a commercial source (Ruby's Fur Farm, New Sharon, Iowa, USA) and were quarantined for a minimum of 30 days for observation of general health. The animals were individually caged and offered cat chow (Lab Feline Diet #5003, PMI Nutrition International, Inc., Brentwood, Missouri, USA) and water ad libitum. When handled, skunks and raccoons were sedated with a mixture of 20 mg/kg ketamine hydrochloride (Fort Dodge Laboratories, Inc., Fort Dodge, Iowa, USA) and 0.4 mg/kg xylazine hydrochloride (Mobay Corporation, Animal Health Division, Shawnee, Kansas, USA). All animal care and experimental procedures were performed in compliance with the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) Institutional Animal Care and Use Guidelines.

Levels of rabies VNA were determined by the rapid fluorescent focus inhibition test (RFFIT) (Smith et al., 1996). All raccoons and skunks were negative for detectable rabies VNA by the RFFIT at the beginning of the study. For initial titration of skunk rabies virus for rabies challenge, 10 adult skunks were sedated and inoculated in the right masseter muscle with 0.5 ml of dilutions of a salivary gland homogenate from a naturally infected skunk from California (virus identification R98-0100 AB; original titer $10^{6.3}$ mouse intracerebral lethal dose 50% [MICLD₅₀]/ml). With the formulation of three serial dilutions in 2% horse serum in phosphate buffered saline (PBS), three skunks received $10^{4.7}$ MICLD₅₀, four skunks received $10^{5.0}$ MICLD₅₀, and three skunks received $10^{6.0}$ MICLD₅₀ in a 0.5 ml volume.

The three skunks receiving $10^{4.7}$ MICLD₅₀ developed signs of rabies on days 16, 21, and 25 after inoculation and were euthanized. The four skunks receiving $10^{5.0}$ MICLD₅₀ developed signs of rabies and were euthanized on days 15, 16, 17, and 25. The three skunks receiving $10^{6.0}$ MICLD₅₀ developed signs of rabies and were euthanized on days 13, 15, and 16. Rabies was confirmed in all 10 skunks by the direct fluorescent antibody test (dFA) on fresh brain tissue samples (Velleca and Forrester, 1981). Similarly, a titration of a raccoon salivary gland pool from naturally infected animals (virus identification 6545; original titer $10^{6.2}$ MICLD₅₀/ml) in five raccoons indicated that 100% mortality could be achieved by the administration of 0.5 ml in the masseter muscle of naive raccoons at a dilution of 1:10 in 2% horse serum $(10^{4.9})$ MICLD₅₀ per raccoon).

The SAG2 vaccine (Batch number: RS2 007, VIRBAC Laboratories BP27-06511 Carros, Cedex, France) was frozen at -80 C until use. Immediately prior to administration, the preparation was thawed and diluted with minimal essential media to achieve a titer of $10^{9.0}$ tissue culture infectious doses (TCID)/ml.

Five skunks and five raccoons were sedated and given 1.0 ml of vaccine (10^{9.0} TCID/animal) per os via a syringe without a needle. The animals were observed daily for adverse effects. Five naive skunks and five naive raccoons were included as control animals. On a routine schedule (Tables 1, 2), blood samples (2–3 ml) were ob-

		Rabies virus neutralizing antibody titers ^a							
		Days after oral vaccination		Days after rabies challenge ^b					
Skunk	Group	Day 7	Day 14	Day 21	Day 0	Day 7	Outcome		
11	SAG-2	<5	9	10	60	1300	Survived		
12	SAG-2	<5	$<\!\!5$	$<\!\!5$	$<\!\!5$	125	Survived		
13	SAG-2	<5	50	50	50	440	Survived		
14	SAG-2	$<\!\!5$	$<\!\!5$	$<\!\!5$	<5	19	Survived		
15	SAG-2	<5	$<\!\!5$	$<\!\!5$	$<\!\!5$	$<\!\!5$	Survived		
16	Control	$<\!\!5$	$<\!\!5$	$<\!\!5$	<5	$<\!\!5$	Rabid		
17	Control	<5	$<\!\!5$	$<\!\!5$	$<\!\!5$	$<\!\!5$	Rabid		
18	Control	$<\!\!5$	$<\!\!5$	$<\!\!5$	<5	$<\!\!5$	Diedc		
19	Control	$<\!\!5$	$<\!\!5$	$<\!\!5$	<5	$<\!\!5$	Rabid		
20	Control	$<\!\!5$	$<\!\!5$	$<\!\!5$	$<\!\!5$	$<\!\!5$	Rabid		

TABLE 1. Response of skunks to oral SAG-2 vaccination and rabies virus challenge.

 $^{\rm a}$ Titers were determined using the rapid fluorescent focus inhibition test and reported in reciprocal values of the dilutions calculated for 50% reduction in fluorescent foci.

^b Skunks received 0.5 ml of a salivary gland homogenate from a naturally-infected skunk from California (virus identification R98-0100 AB) diluted 1:10 with 2% horse serum in PBS and inoculated in the right masseter muscle ($10^{5.0}$ MICDLD₅₀/ skunk) 30 days following vaccination.

 $^{\rm c}$ Skunk 18 died following sedation for a routine cage change on day 83 after rabies virus challenge. It was negative for rabies virus infection by the direct fluorescent antibody test.

tained from the jugular veins of all control and vaccinated skunks and raccoons while sedated. nia for skunks or the raccoon salivary gland pool $(10^{4.9}\ MICLD_{50}/0.5\ ml)$ for raccoons.

At 30 days after oral vaccination, the five vaccinated and five control animals of each species were sedated and inoculated with 0.5 ml of virus in the right masseter muscle with either the skunk rabies virus isolate ($10^{5.0}$ MICLD₅₀/0.5 ml) from Califor-

No adverse effects due to vaccination were observed among the vaccinated skunks and raccoons during the 2,775 total animal-days of observation (five raccoons and five skunks observed for 30 days after vaccination and prior to challenge and five skunks for 172 days post-challenge and

TABLE 2. Response of raccoons to oral SAG-2 vaccination and rabies virus challenge.

		Rabies virus neutralizing antibody titers ^a						
		Days after vaccination	Days after rabies challenge ^b					
Raccoon	Group	Day 14	Day 0	Day 7	Outcome			
223	SAG-2	25	210	>1400	Survived			
225	SAG-2	250	145	145	Survived			
202	SAG-2	13	7	250	Survived			
204	SAG-2	$<\!\!5$	<5	65	Survived			
201	SAG-2	$<\!\!5$	<5	40	Survived			
217	Control	<5	$<\!\!5$	5	Rabid			
207	Control	$<\!\!5$	<5	<5	Rabid			
215	Control	$<\!\!5$	<5	<5	Rabid			
219	Control	$<\!\!5$	$<\!\!5$	<5	Rabid			
221	Control	<5	$<\!\!5$	<5	Rabid			

 a Titers were determined using the rapid fluorescent focus inhibition test and reported in reciprocal values of the dilutions calculated for 50% reduction in fluorescent foci.

^b Raccoons received 0.5 ml of a salivary gland homogenate pool from naturally-infected raccoons (virus identification 6545) diluted 1:10 with 2% horse serum in PBS and inoculated in the right masseter muscle ($10^{4.9}$ MICLD₅₀/raccoon) 30 days following vaccination.

five raccoons for 323 days post-challenge) for both skunks and raccoons. Two of five vaccinated skunks developed rabies VNA by day 14 post-vaccination (Table 1). In raccoons, three of five vaccinated animals had rabies VNA by day 14 post-vaccination (Table 2).

Following rabies virus challenge, four of five vaccinated raccoons developed an apparent anamnestic response (i.e., greater than four fold rise in antibody titer) by 7 days post-challenge (Table 2) and all survived. Within 18 days of challenge, all five control raccoons succumbed to rabies. All vaccinated raccoons survived lethal rabies virus challenge and remained clinically normal for 323 days post-challenge (304 days past the last death due to rabies). Upon euthanasia, all survivors were confirmed to be negative for rabies virus infection by the dFA test.

Similarly, following rabies virus challenge, four of five control skunks succumbed to rabies on days 16 (two skunks), 25, and 78 post-challenge. The remaining animal died following sedation for a routine cage change on day 83 of the study; it was negative for rabies virus antigen. Four of five vaccinated skunks had detectable rabies VNA on day 7 post-challenge, suggestive of an anamnestic response (Table 1), and all vaccinated skunks survived lethal rabies virus inoculation. Vaccinated skunks were held for 172 days post-challenge or 94 days past the last death due to rabies among control skunks. Upon euthanasia, all survivors were confirmed to be negative for rabies virus infection by the dFA test.

Following the initial demonstration of the potential for oral immunization of foxes with modified live rabies vaccines (Baer et al., 1971), control of wildlife rabies through oral vaccination targeting red foxes has been successfully practiced in Europe since 1978 (Steck et al., 1982; Schneider et al., 1988) and more recently in Canada (Johnston et al., 1988). Initially, these trials used various modified live rabies virus vaccines. Subsequently, a V-RG recom-

binant vaccine was used in some European countries because of its oral efficacy, lack of genetic capacity to cause rabies (i.e., only codes for the rabies virus glycoprotein), and increased thermostability in comparison to modified live rabies virus vaccines (Kieny et al., 1984; Brochier et al., 1996). Concurrent with the initial field efforts targeting red foxes, raccoons were found to be refractory to oral vaccination using modified live virus vaccines (Rupprecht et al., 1989), but the V-RG vaccine induced protection against rabies (Rupprecht et al., 1986, 1992). Following the first North American field release (Hanlon et al., 1998), the V-RG vaccine was employed in a number of pilot programs for efficacy assessment at strategic raccoon rabies epizootic fronts and in enzootic areas (Hanlon and Rupprecht, 1998). Additional field trials using the V-RG vaccine have been under way in the USA for covotes (Canis latrans) and foxes (Fearneyhough et al., 1998; Hanlon and Rupprecht, 1998) but not skunks. In an initial study with the V-RG vaccine in skunks where approximately 10⁹ plaque forming units were offered in baits, six of seven skunks developed rabies VNA and five survived lethal rabies virus challenge (Tolson et al., 1987). In another study, a modified live SAD B19 virus in skunks demonstrated the potential for residual pathogenicity by causing rabies in some of the vaccinated animals, particularly when it was intentionally administered intranasally (Rupprecht et al., 1990). Moreover, the potential pathogenicity of SAD Bern administered orally to chacma baboons (Papio ursinus) was previously reported (Bingham et al., 1992). Similarly, vaccine-induced rabies cases have been documented in a number of species including a cat, stone marten (Martes foina), calf, and fox cub due to oral vaccination campaigns using modified live rabies virus vaccines targeting red foxes in Europe and Canada (Wandeler, 1991).

The evolution of vaccine development from modified live vaccine viruses to safer

highly attenuated mutants has been possible due to technical advances involving the incubation of virus with neutralizing monoclonal antibodies to select for epitopic escape mutants. Two highly attenuated mutants have been derived from SAD Bern. The SAG-1 virus has a one-nucleotide substitution in the codon for amino acid position 333 of the rabies glycoprotein that renders it apathogenic for adult mice by the intracerebral route (Coulon et al., 1983; Seif et al., 1985; Flamand et al., 1993). The SAG-1 vaccine has been used extensively in the field in Europe for oral vaccination of foxes (Aubert et al., 1994). Further genetic stabilization of the epitopic escape mutant was accomplished with the production of a double mutation at amino acid 333 that resulted in replacement of arginine with glutamate through coding by GAA at position 333. This differs from codons for arginine by two nucleotides, thus further reducing the risk of potential epitopic reversion to the original parental (i.e., pathogenic) form (Flamand et al., 1993). To date, the SAG-2 vaccine has been evaluated for efficacy in various species, such as foxes, jackals (Canis adustus and C. mesomelas), dogs, and mongoose (Cynictis penicillata) and evaluated for safety in a number of non-target animals including rodents and primates (Flamand et al., 1993; Schumacher et al., 1993; Fekadu et al., 1996; Follman et al., 1996; Masson et al., 1996; Bingham et al., 1997, 1999; Rupprecht et al., 1998; Hammami et al., 1999).

As previously observed in dogs receiving SAG-2 by the oral route (Fekadu et al., 1996; Rupprecht et al., 1998), animals may be protected from rabies without having demonstrated antibodies prior to challenge. For example, two of 20 dogs receiving SAG-2 liquid deposited on the tongue and one of 20 dogs consuming a vaccine-laden bait did not demonstrate seroconversion prior to challenge. Yet these three dogs seroconverted by day 7 after challenge and survived, thus demonstrating an anamnestic response reflective of priming from vaccination (Fekadu et al., 1996). In the second study (Rupprecht et al., 1998), among five of 13 dogs that did not seroconvert after receiving SAG-2 orally, only four succumbed to rabies, and the remaining dog demonstrated an anamnestic response by day 7 after challenge and survived. In addition, among five of 13 dogs that did not seroconvert following consumption of a bait containing lyophilized SAG-2 vaccine, two demonstrated anamnestic responses by day 7 after challenge and survived. In the current study, three of five skunks and two of five raccoons did not have detectable antibodies after vaccination and before the rabies virus challenge and yet survived. Overall, the sensitivity of a positive antibody response at any time following vaccine administration to adequately predict survivors in these studies was 92% (37/40) (Fekadu et al., 1996), 84% (16/19) (Rupprecht et al., 1998), and 50% (5/10) (this study), recognizing that the days of blood sampling, dose of vaccine, method of delivery, and different species, as well as time of administration and variant of challenge viruses, may confound a direct comparison. Not unexpectedly, the sensitivity of detection of antibodies on the day of challenge to predict survivorship was lower (63%; 12/19) in the second study (Rupprecht et al., 1998) because four previously seropositive dogs had no detectable antibody by the time the animals were challenged 6 wk post-vaccination. Nonetheless, it is clear that a detectable antibody response at some time post-vaccination was 100% predictive of survivorship in these studies. Conversely, a lack of detectable antibodies among vaccinates was from 0 to 30% (0%, 0/3; 30%, 3/10; and 0%, 0/ 5, respectively) specific for susceptibility to challenge in these studies.

The kinetics and composition of an adequate immune response to vaccination, and in particular by the oral route, remain an enigma. Although measurement of antibodies is the most reliable test currently available, it is not possible to identify all animals capable of surviving challenge based upon VNA status alone. Potential measures of an adequate immune response may include evidence for priming of T helper cells, non-neutralizing antibodies to internal rabies virus proteins (Fu et al., 1994; Lafon et al., 1994), and the induction of cytokines, among others. It is unclear if the lack of detectable neutralizing antibodies and yet protection from rabies virus challenge following oral vaccination with SAG-2 is a phenomenon unique to this avirulent mutant or if this is a more generalized phenomenon perhaps characteristic of highly attenuated modified live rabies virus vaccines. Attenuated mutants may enhance apoptosis, as observed with recombinant rabies viruses (Pulmanausahakul et al., 2001). This may in turn result in a different presentation and composition of viral antigens than just glycoprotein expressed on the cell surface of intact neurons as may predominate with attenuated fixed and street rabies virus infections. As with the V-RG virus (Rupprecht et al., 1988; Thomas et al., 1990), the SAG-2 virus is cleared rapidly from the oral cavity after consumption (Fekadu et al., 1996) and the tonsils appear to be the primary site of uptake and replication of SAG-2 (Orciari et al., 2001). It is possible that in comparison to modified live rabies viruses, the attenuated mutant rabies viruses are arrested by the immune system before high levels of rabies VNA are induced, yet the memory, or some other as yet undetermined, component of the immune system has been adequately primed, thus yielding a safe, potent vaccine that may not be easily assessed by conventional immunologic measures.

Although there are many questions about the basic mechanisms underlying oral vaccination against rabies (Baer et al., 1975), our preliminary observations reveal a potential vaccine candidate that may satisfy both safety and efficacy concerns for oral rabies vaccination of free-ranging wildlife, including skunks. Effective dose or minimum potency may be a critical factor in the comparison and assessment of this potential candidate to previous and ongoing studies with either conventional, fixed, or recombinant viruses. Future studies should include determination of the minimum potency when offered in baits to skunks, as well as its efficacy against other skunk rabies virus variants of public health importance.

Use of trade names and commercial sources is for identification only and does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

The authors thank staff of the Viral and Rickettsial Zoonoses Branch, Rabies Section, and the Animal Resources Branch at the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention for their outstanding technical expertise and contributions, as well as the California State Department of Health Services, Rabies Laboratory, for the salivary glands of naturally infected skunks.

LITERATURE CITED

- AUBERT, M. F. A., E. MASSON, M. ARTOIS, AND J. BARRAT. 1994. Oral rabies vaccination field trials in Europe, with recent emphasis on France. *In* Current topics in microbiology and immunology, C. E. Rupprecht, B. Dietzschold and H. Koprowski (eds.). 187: 219–243.
- BAER, G. M., M. K. ABELSETH, AND J. G. DEBBIE. 1971. Oral vaccination of foxes against rabies. American Journal of Epidemiology 93: 487–490.
- , J. R. BRODERSON, AND P. A. YAGER. 1975. Determination of the site of oral rabies vaccination. American Journal of Epidemiology 101: 160–164.
- BINGHAM, J., C. M. FOGGIN, H. GERBER, F. W. HILL, A. KAPPELER, A. A. KING, B. D. PERRY, AND A. I. WANDELER. 1992. Pathogenicity of SAD rabies vaccine given orally in chacma baboons (*Papio ursinus*). The Veterinary Record 131: 55–56.
- , C. L. SCHUMACHER, M. F. A. AUBERT, F. W. G. HILL, AND A. AUBERT. 1997. Innocuity studies of SAG-2 oral rabies vaccine in various Zimbabwean wild non-target species. Vaccine 15: 937–943.
- , —, F. W. G. HILL, AND A. AUBERT. 1999. Efficacy of SAG-2 oral rabies vaccine in two species of jackal (*Canis adustus* and *Canis mesomelas*). Vaccine 17: 551–558.
- BROCHIER, B., M. F. A. AUBERT, P. P. PASTORET, E. MASSON, J. SCHON, M. LOMBARD, G. CHAPPUIS, B. LANGUET, AND P. DESMETTRE. 1996. Field use of a vaccinia-rabies recombinant vaccine for

the control of sylvatic rabies in Europe and North America. Revue Scientifique et Technique 15: 947–970.

- CHARLTON, K. M., W. A. WEBSTER, AND G. A. CAS-EY. 1991. Skunk rabies. *In* The natural history of rabies, G. M. Baer (ed.). CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida, pp. 307–324.
- , M. ARTOIS, L. PREVEC, J. B. CAMPBELL, G. A. CASEY, A. I. WANDELER, AND J. ARMSTRONG. 1992. Oral rabies vaccination of skunks and foxes with a recombinant human adenovirus vaccine. Archives of Virology 123: 169–179.
- COULON, P., P. E. ROLLIN, AND A. FLAMMAND. 1983. Molecular basis of rabies virus virulence. II. Identification of a site on the CVS glycoprotein associated with virulence. Journal of General Virology 64: 693–696.
- DIETZSCHOLD, B., W. H. WUNNER, T. J. WIKTOR, A. D. LOPES, M. LAFON, C. L. SMITH, AND H. KO-PROWSKI. 1983. Characterization of an antigenic determinant of the glycoprotein that correlates with pathogenicity of rabies virus. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA 80: 70– 74.
- FEARNEYHOUGH, M. G., P. J. WILSON, K. A. CLARK, D. R. SMITH, D. H. JOHNSTON, B. N. HICKS, AND G. M. MOORE. 1998. Results of an oral rabies vaccination program for coyotes. Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association 212: 498–502.
- FEKADU, M., S. L. NESBY, J. H. SHADDOCK, C. L. SCHUMACHER, S. B. LINHART, AND D. W. SAN-DERLIN. 1996. Immunogenicity, efficacy and safety of an oral rabies vaccine (SAG-2) in dogs. Vaccine 14: 465–468.
- FLAMAND, A., P. COULON, F. LAFAY, AND C. TUF-FEREAU. 1993. Avirulent mutants of rabies virus and their use as live vaccine. Trends in Microbiology 1: 317–320.
- FOLLMAN, E. H., D. G. RITTER, AND G. M. BAER. 1996. Evaluation of the safety of two attenuated oral rabies vaccines, SAG1 and SAG2, in six arctic mammals. Vaccine 14: 270–273.
- FU, Z. F., W. H. WUNNER, AND B. DIETZSCHOLD. 1994. Immunoprotection by rabies virus nucleoprotein. *In* Current topics in microbiology and immunology, C. E. Rupprecht, B. Dietzschold and H. Koprowski (eds.). 187: 161–172.
- HAMMAMI, S., C. L. SCHUMACHER, F. CLIQUET, J. BARRAT, A. TLATLI, R. B. OSMAN, T. AOUINA, A. AUBERT, AND M. AUBERT. 1999. Safety evaluation of the SAG2 rabies virus mutant in Tunisian dogs and several non-target species. The Veterinary Research 30: 353–362.
- HANLON, C. A., AND C. E. RUPPRECHT. 1998. The reemergence of rabies. *In* Emerging infections, I. W. M. Scheld, D. Armstrong and J. M. Hughes (eds.). ASM Press, Washington, D.C., pp. 59–80.
 , M. NIEZGODA, A. N. HAMIR, C. SCHUMACHER, H. KOPROWSKI, AND C. E. RUPPRECHT.

1998. First North American field release of a vaccinia-rabies glycoprotein recombinant virus. Journal of Wildlife Diseases 34: 228–239.

- JOHNSTON, D. H., D. R. VOIGHT, C. D. MACINNES, P. BACHMANN, K. F. LAWSON, AND C. E. RUP-PRECHT. 1988. An aerial baiting system for the distribution of attenuated or recombinant rabies vaccines for foxes, raccoons and skunks. Reviews of Infectious Diseases 10 (supplement): 660–664.
- KIENY, M.-P., R. LATHE, R. DRILLIEN, D. SPEHNER, S. SKORY, D. SCHMITT, T. J. WIKTOR, H. KO-PROWSKI, AND J.-P. LECOCQ. 1984. Expression of rabies virus glycoprotein from a recombinant vaccinia virus. Nature 312: 163–166.
- KREBS, J. W., C. E. RUPPRECHT, AND J. E. CHILDS. 2000. Rabies surveillance in the United States during 1999. Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association 217: 1799–1811.
- LAFON, M. 1994. Immunobiology of Lyssaviruses: The basis for immunoprotection. In Current topics in microbiology and immunology, C. E. Rupprecht, B. Dietzschold and H. Koprowski (eds.). 187: 145–160.
- NETTLES, V. F., J. H. SHADDOCK, R. K. SIKES, AND C. R. REYES. 1979. Rabies in translocated raccoons. American Journal of Public Health 69: 601–602.
- MASSON, E., F. CLIQUET, M. F. A. AUBERT, J. BAR-RAT, A. AUBERT, M. ARTOIS, AND C. SCHUMACH-ER. 1996. Safety study of the SAG-2 rabies virus mutant in several non-target species with a view to its future use for the immunization of foxes in France. Vaccine 14: 1506–1510.
- ORCIARI, L. A., M. NIEZGODA, C. A. HANLON, J. H. SHADDOCK, D. W. SANDERLIN, P. A. YAGER, AND C. E. RUPPRECHT. 2001. Rapid clearance of SAG-2 rabies virus from dogs after oral vaccination. Vaccine 19: 4511–4518.
- PULMANAUSAHAKUL, R., M. FABER, K. MORIMOTO, SPITSIN, E. WEIHE, D. C. HOOPER, M. J. SCHNELL, AND B. DIETZSCHOLD. 2001. Overexpression of cytochrome c by a recombinant rabies virus attenuates pathogenicity and enhances antiviral activity. Journal of Virology 75: 10800– 10807.
- RUPPRECHT, C. E., AND J. S. SMITH. 1994. Raccoon rabies: The re-emergence of an epizootic in a densely populated area. Seminars in Virology 5: 155–164.
 - , T. J. WIKTOR, D. H. JOHNSTON, A. N. HAM-IR, B. DIETZSCHOLD, W. H. WUNNER, L. T. GLICKMAN, AND H. KOPROWSKI. 1986. Oral immunization and protection of raccoons (*Procyon lotor*) with a vaccinia-rabies glycoprotein recombinant virus vaccine. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA 83: 7947–7950.
 - —, A. N. HAMIR, D. H. JOHNSTON, AND H. KO-PROWSKI. 1988. Efficacy of a vaccinia-rabies glycoprotein recombinant virus vaccine in raccoons

(*Procyon lotor*). Reviews of Infectious Diseases 10 (4 Suppl): S803–809.

, B. DIETZSCHOLD, J. H. COX, AND L. G. SCHNEIDER. 1989. Oral vaccination of raccoons (*Procyon lotor*) with an attenuated (SAD-B19) rabies virus vaccine. Journal of Wildlife Diseases 25: 548–554.

—, K. M. CHARLTON, M. ARTOIS, G. A. CASEY, W. A. WEBSTER, J. B. CAMPBELL, K. F. LAWSON, AND L. G. SCHNEIDER. 1990. Ineffectiveness and comparative pathogenicity of attenuated rabies virus vaccine for the striped skunk (*Mephitis mephitis*). Journal of Wildlife Diseases 26: 99–102.

—, C. A. HANLON, A. HAMIR, AND H. KO-PROWSKI. 1992. Oral wildlife rabies vaccination: Development of a recombinant virus vaccine. *In* Transactions of the North American Wildlife and Natural Resources Conference 57: 439–452.

—, J. S. SMITH, M. FEKADU, AND J. E. CHILDS. 1995. The ascension of wildlife rabies: A cause for public health concern or intervention? Emerging Infectious Diseases 1: 107–114.

_____, ____, J. KREBS, M. NIEZGODA, AND J. E. CHILDS. 1996. Current issues in rabies prevention in the United States: Health dilemmas, public coffers, private interests. Public Health Reports 111: 400–407.

—, J. S. SHADDOCK, D. W. SANDERLIN, C. A. HANLON, M. NIEZGODA, AND C. L. SCHUMACH-ER. 1998. Oral vaccination of dogs. Israel Journal of Veterinary Medicine 53: 127–131.

SCHNEIDER, L. G., J. H. COX, W. W. MULLER, AND K. P. HOHNSBEEN. 1988. Current oral rabies vaccination in Europe: An interim balance. Reviews of Infectious Diseases 10 (Suppl 4): S654–S659.

SCHUMACHER, C. L., P. COULON, F. LAFAY, J. BE-NEJEAN, M. F. A. AUBERT, J. BARRAT, A. AU-BERT, AND A. FLAMMAND. 1993. SAG-2 oral rabies vaccine. Onderstepoort Journal of Veterinary Research 60: 459–462.

SEIF, I., P. COULON, P. E. ROLLIN, AND A. FLAMAND.

1985. Rabies virulence: Effect on pathogenicity and sequence characterization of rabies virus mutations affecting antigenic site III of the glycoprotein. Journal of Virology 53: 926–934.

- STECK, F., A. WANDELER, P. BICHSEL, S. CAPT, AND L. SCHNEIDER. 1982. Oral immunization of foxes against rabies: A field study. Zentralblatt fur Veterinarmedizin. Reihe B. Journal of Veterinary Medicine. Series B 29: 372–396.
- SMITH, J. S., P. A. YAGER, AND G. M. BAER. 1996. A rapid fluorescent focus inhibition test (RFFIT) for determining rabies virus-neutralizing antibody. *In* Laboratory techniques in rabies, 4th Edition, F.-X. Meslin, M. M. Kaplan and H. Koprowski (eds.). World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland, pp. 181–92.
- THOMAS, I., B. BROCHIER, B. LANGUET, J. BLANCOU, D. PEHARPRE, M. P. KIENY, P. DESMETTRE, G. CHAPPUIS, AND P. P. PASTORET. 1990. Primary multiplication site of the vaccinia-rabies glycoprotein recombinant virus administered to foxes by the oral route. Journal of General Virology 71: 37–42.
- TOLSON, N. D., K. M. CHARLTON, R. B. STEWART, J. B. CAMPBELL, AND T. J. WIKTOR. 1987. Immune response in skunks to a vaccinia virus recombinant expressing the rabies virus glycoprotein. Canadian Journal of Veterinary Research 51: 363–366.
- VELLECA, W. M., AND F. T. FORRESTER. 1981. Detection and identification. *In* Laboratory methods for detecting rabies. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, Centers for Disease Control, Atlanta, Georgia, pp. 69–107.
- WANDELER, A. I. 1991. Oral immunization of wildlife. *In* The natural history of rabies, G. M. Baer (ed.). CRC Press, Inc., Boca Raton, Florida, pp. 485–503.

Received for publication 21 May 2001.