

Health Evaluation of Pampas Deer (*Ozotoceros bezoarticus celer*) at Campos del Tuyú Wildlife Reserve, Argentina

Authors: Uhart, Marcela M., Vila, Alejandro R., Beade, Mario S., Balcarce, Alfredo, and Karesh, William B.

Source: Journal of Wildlife Diseases, 39(4) : 887-893

Published By: Wildlife Disease Association

URL: <https://doi.org/10.7589/0090-3558-39.4.887>

BioOne Complete (complete.BioOne.org) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at www.bioone.org/terms-of-use.

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non - commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

Health Evaluation of Pampas Deer (*Ozotoceros bezoarticus celer*) at Campos del Tuyú Wildlife Reserve, Argentina

Marcela M. Uhart,^{1,2,6} Alejandro R. Vila,^{3,4} Mario S. Beade,⁴ Alfredo Balcarce,² and William B. Karesh⁵ ¹ Field Veterinary Program, Wildlife Conservation Society, 14 de julio 430, (7000) Tandil, Buenos Aires, Argentina; ² Facultad de Ciencias Veterinarias, Universidad Nacional del Centro de la Pcia. de Buenos Aires, Pinto 399, (7000) Tandil, Buenos Aires, Argentina; ³ Wildlife Conservation Society, CC 794 (8400) Bariloche, Río Negro, Argentina; ⁴ Fundación Vida Silvestre Argentina, H. Gibson 1070, (7103) General Lavalle, Buenos Aires, Argentina; ⁵ Field Veterinary Program, Wildlife Conservation Society, 2300 Southern Boulevard, Bronx, New York 10460, USA; ⁶ Corresponding author (email: muhart@satlink.com)

ABSTRACT: Samples from 14 free-ranging pampas deer (*Ozotoceros bezoarticus celer*) were collected in 1995 and 1998, at Campos del Tuyú Wildlife Reserve, Buenos Aires, Argentina. Hematology, serum chemistries, minerals and metals, and fecal parasites were analyzed. In addition, fecal ova and parasites were evaluated seasonally during 1998–2000. Serology for infectious diseases included bluetongue, brucellosis, bovine respiratory syncytial virus infection, bovine viral diarrhea/mucosal disease, infectious bovine rhinotracheitis, Johne's disease (paratuberculosis), foot and mouth disease (FMD), leptospirosis (eight serovars), epizootic hemorrhagic disease, and parainfluenza-3 (PI-3). Three (21%) pampas deer had antibodies to *Leptospira* spp. and six (43%) to PI-3 virus. Serologic results for all other infectious agents were negative. Domestic cattle ($n=27$) included in this study for comparison had antibodies to *Leptospira*, infectious bovine rhinotracheitis virus, bovine viral diarrhea virus, and PI-3 virus (74–100% of tested animals) and one animal (4%) to *Brucella* sp. All cattle had antibodies to FMD virus attributable to vaccination. This study provides the first data on the health status of the southernmost subspecies of pampas deer.

Key words: Argentina, disease, hematology, *Ozotoceros bezoarticus celer*, pampas deer, parasites, serology, survey.

The southernmost subspecies of pampas deer (*Ozotoceros bezoarticus celer*) was the most abundant herbivore of the Argentinean pampas grasslands until the 19th century (Cabrera, 1943; Jackson and Langguth, 1987). This deer is considered a rare species in South America (Holloway, 1975), and is one of the most endangered species in Argentina (García Fernández et al., 1997). Only two isolated populations of this subspecies remain in the wild (Jackson and Langguth, 1987). The dramatic decrease in pampas deer populations has

been attributed to commercial and game overhunting (Daguerre, 1970; Thornback and Jenkins, 1982), habitat alteration by agriculture and livestock (Vigglizo, 1994), and diseases introduced by cattle (Sáenz, 1967). Diseases affecting this subspecies have not been studied and reports from the past century are occasional and inaccurate (Jackson and Langguth, 1987).

Identifying factors affecting health of endangered species populations is essential for management and for development of captive breeding and reintroduction and repopulation programs (Wolff and Seal, 1993; Karesh and Cook, 1995). As part of a long term study to evaluate factors limiting pampas deer recovery, we conducted health evaluations in 1995 and 1998. The objective of this study was to establish baseline health parameters for pampas deer and evaluate their exposure to common cattle pathogens.

The study area was located in General Lavalle County (36°15'S, 56°55'W), Buenos Aires, Argentina. The Campos del Tuyú Wildlife Reserve (CDT; 3,025 ha), is separated from surrounding cattle ranches by natural barriers, which restrict cattle movements but allow pampas deer to move freely in and outside the reserve. The area is known for low livestock productivity (stocking rates of 0.5 animals per ha and 86% calving success). Disease control in CDT neighboring ranches is limited to mandatory vaccination programs for foot and mouth disease (FMD) and brucellosis (BR) (SENASA, 1997). Some ranchers occasionally immunize for clostridial diseases and randomly deworm their cattle.

TABLE 1. Serologic tests performed, methods used, and results of testing pampas deer (*Ozotoceros bezoariticus celer*) and domestic cattle for infectious diseases in Argentina.

Disease	Test procedure ^a (positive titer)	Number positive/number tested (%)	
		Pampas deer	Domestic cattle
Bluetongue ^b	AGID (NA)	0/14	0/27
Infectious bovine rhinotracheitis ^b	SN (1:8)	0/14	20/27 (74%)
Bovine viral diarrhea ^b	SN (1:8)	0/14	20/27 (74%)
Brucellosis ^b	Agg (1:50 deer; 1:100 cattle)	0/14	1/27 (4%)
Epizootic hemorrhagic disease ^b	AGID (NA)	0/7	Not tested
Foot-and-mouth disease ^b	c-ELISA (1:10)	0/14	27/27 ^c (100%)
Johnes disease ^b	ELISA (NA)	0/14	0/27
Leptospirosis ^{b,d}	MAT (1:25 deer; 1:200 cattle)	3/14 (21%)	24/27 (89%)
Parainfluenza-3 ^b	HI (1:5)	6/14 (43%)	20/27 (74%)
Bovine respiratory syncytial virus infection ^e	SN (1:4)	0/7	Not tested
Bovine leucocis ^b	AGID (NA)	Not tested	0/27

^a AGID=agar gel immunodiffusion; cELISA=competitive enzyme-linked immunodiffusion assay; ELISA=enzyme-linked immunodiffusion assay; Agg=tube agglutination test; SN=serum neutralization; MAT=microscopic agglutination test; HI=hemagglutination inhibition.

^b Tests performed at Pathobiology Laboratory of C.I.C.V., INTA (Instituto Nacional de Tecnología Agropecuaria), Castelar, CC 77, Moron, Buenos Aires, Argentina.

^c Vaccination titers.

^d *Leptospira interrogans* serovars ballum, castellanis, canicola, grippityphosa, icterohaemorrhagiae, copenhageni, pomona, pyrogenes, sejroe, wolffi, tarassovi.

^e Tests performed at Diagnostic Laboratory, Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, Oklahoma, USA.

Seven female and seven male deer were immobilized in December 1995 and April 1998 at CDT and neighboring ranches. Blood samples were collected from the jugular vein and feces were collected manually from the rectum. Additionally, 37 deer feces were collected seasonally during 1998–2000, either fresh from the ground (11%) or after the animals were observed defecating (89%). To establish background information on cattle pathogen exposure in the area, 27 blood samples were collected at four neighboring ranches from March to June 1996.

Basic hematology was conducted at the field site. Deer and cattle sera were harvested after centrifugation of blood and

frozen in liquid nitrogen. Table 1 summarizes the serologic tests performed, the methods used, and prevalence estimates for infectious disease exposure in deer and cattle. Table 2 describes parasitologic techniques used for fecal analysis and results. Serum chemistries were processed on a wet automated analyzer (Hitachi 747 Wet Chemistry Analyzer, Hitachi) at Wild-Life laboratory, Buenos Aires, Argentina. Chlorinated pesticides and polychlorinated biphenyls were analyzed at the Animal Health Diagnostic Laboratory (Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan, USA) as described by Price et al. (1986). Though limited by small sample size, a Kruskal-Wallis one-way ANOVA was used

TABLE 2. Results of fecal examinations of pampas deer for parasites, 1998–2000.

Parasites	Number positive/ number tested (%)	Test procedure
Unknown nematode ova	10/37 (27%)	Sugar flotation ^a
<i>Capillaria</i> spp. ova	2/37 (5%)	Sugar flotation
<i>Moniezia</i> spp. ova	5/37 (14%)	Sugar flotation
Coccidia	1/37 (2%)	Sugar flotation
<i>Ostertagia</i> spp.	30/33 (91%)	Third stage larvae culture ^b
<i>Cooperia</i> spp.	7/33 (21%)	Third stage larvae culture
<i>Oesophagostomum</i> spp.	13/33 (39%)	Third stage larvae culture
<i>Trichostrongylus</i> spp.	20/33 (61%)	Third stage larvae culture
<i>Haemonchus</i> spp.	1/33 (3%)	Third stage larvae culture
<i>Dyctiocaulus</i> spp.	3/37 (8%)	Concentration of first stage larvae ^c
Unknown 1st stage larvae ^d	35/37 (95%)	Concentration of first stage larvae

^a Qualitative sugar flotation methods were used to determine the presence of gastrointestinal parasite eggs (Bembrook, 1965).

^b Third stage nematode larvae were cultured by method of Henriksen and Korsholm (1983) and later identified using the keys for bovine larvae of Dr. Roman Niec (Fiel et al., 1998).

^c Concentration and identification of first stage larvae of lung parasites was done by Baermann technique (Baermann, 1917).

^d Unidentified first stage "S" shaped tail larvae with a dorsal spine.

to determine if test results differed among individuals of each sex ($P < 0.05$) (Zar, 1996).

All deer examined in this study ($n = 14$) were in good physical condition and no abnormalities of clinical significance were found. Weights ranged from 26–33 kg (mean = 29 kg, SD = 2.49, $n = 7$) for females and from 24.5–38 kg (mean = 32.6 kg, SD = 4.30, $n = 7$) for males.

Results for hematology, serum chemistries, enzymes, and minerals are shown in Table 3. Total solids and percent of monocytes were higher in males than in females ($P < 0.05$) while alkaline phosphatase (ALK) was higher in females ($P < 0.05$). For seven deer sampled in 1995, values for chromium and boron were below detectable limits (< 1 ppm and < 0.1 ppm, respectively). For the same individuals, chlorinated pesticides and polychlorinated bi-

phenyls were also under the test threshold (0.001–0.007 ppm and 0.05 ppm respectively).

Baseline hematology values have not been previously described for this subspecies. White blood cell and differential counts were similar to those reported for *O. bezoarticus leucogaster* captured in Brazil (Duarte et al., 1993). However, these authors report lymphocyte predominating in the differential as in cattle (Duncan et al., 1997). Those data are in contrast to our findings and to data from other Neotropical deer (Santos, 1999). Even so, a high variability in leukocyte counts for deer has been described by several authors (Duarte et al., 1993; Kay, 1994). Many of these changes are attributed to age and sex as well as nutrition, health, and behavioral status of sampled animals (Kitchen, 1986; Kay, 1994). Our data show higher mean

TABLE 3. Hematology, serum chemistries, enzymes, minerals and metal values for pampas deer at Campos del Tuyú Wildlife Reserve, Argentina.

Test (units)	Mean	Median	STD	Min	Max	n
Hematocrit (%)	43.2	42.0	5.5	36.5	55.0	13
Total solids (g/dl)	5.9	5.8	0.5	5.2	6.8	13
White blood cells (WBC) (cells/ml ³ × 10 ³)	6.20	5.35	2.50	2.80	11.20	12
Neutrophils (% of WBC)	48.6	44.0	16.4	29.0	78.0	13
Lymphocytes (% of WBC)	34.5	35.0	16.8	8.0	68.0	13
Monocytes (% of WBC)	4.1	4.0	2.1	0.0	8.0	13
Eosinophils (% of WBC)	12.2	3.0	15.9	0.0	48.0	13
Basophils (% of WBC)	0.5	0.0	0.9	0.0	2.0	13
Glucose (mg/dl)	169	153	63	72	279	13
Creatinine (mg/dl)	1.4	1.3	0.4	1.1	2.2	8
Blood urea nitrogen (mg/dl)	34	39	13	6	48	9
Total protein (g/dl)	5.3	5.5	0.9	3.3	6.8	13
Albumin (g/dl)	2.4	2.4	0.3	2.0	2.8	13
Globulins (g/dl)	3.0	3.1	0.9	1.2	4.4	13
Alb./Glob.	0.9	0.8	0.3	0.5	1.8	13
Alkaline phosphatase (IU/l)	116	108	39	75	183	8
Alanine aminotransferase (IU/l)	15	15	3	12	18	8
Lactate dehydrogenase (IU/l)	274	261	51	195	354	8
Creatine kinase (IU/l)	126	54	149	30	429	7
Calcium (mg/dl)	9.3	9.0	0.9	7.8	10.7	13
Phosphorus (mg/dl)	4.8	4.8	1.8	1.8	7.5	13
Sodium (mEq/l)	144	148	12	121	156	13
Potassium (mEq/l)	4.8	4.8	0.5	4.1	5.8	13
Chloride (mEq/l)	99	108	17	75	113	13
Copper (mcg/ml)	0.8	0.8	0.2	0.5	1.1	6
Iron (mcg/ml)	1.8	1.8	0.6	0.9	2.4	6
Magnesium (mg/dl)	2.4	2.4	0.5	1.7	3.3	13
Zinc (mg/l)	0.4	0.4	0.0	0.3	0.4	6
Selenium (ng/ml)	16	14	8	8	26	6

packed cell volume, but within normal ranges, than those described for captive marsh deer (*Blastocerus dichotomus*) from Brazil (Santos, 1999) and for white-tailed deer (*Odocoileus virginianus*) (Kitchen, 1986; International Species Inventory System, undated).

To our knowledge, data reported here are the first published serum chemistry, mineral, and metal values for free-ranging pampas deer. Most of these values were similar or lower than those reported for captive marsh deer and white-tailed deer (Kitchen, 1986; Santos, 1999; International Species Inventory System, undated). However, glucose tended to be higher in our study. Increase in glucose within 10–60 min after chemical immobilization has

been reported for deer restrained with xylazine and etorphine (Mautz et al., 1980). Therefore, use of carfentanil citrate (Wildnil, Wildlife Pharmaceuticals, Fort Collins, Colorado, USA) and xylazine (Cervizine, Wildlife Pharmaceuticals) to immobilize pampas deer in our study could be responsible for the increased values (Uhart, unpubl. data). Likewise, significant variations in all serum components have been attributed to biological, genetic, and environmental factors, as well as capture methods used and excitement of the animal (Kitchen, 1986). Values presented in this paper are based on a small sample size and should be interpreted considering the influence of these factors.

Pampas deer had antibodies against two

of 11 infectious agents, whereas cattle were positive for six of nine pathogens. Serology results are detailed in Table 1. Deer and cattle were seropositive to *Leptospira*; evidence of two serovars found in deer, pomona and wolffi, were also found in cattle. Of those pampas deer with positive antibody titers to *Leptospira interrogans*, one had a 1:100 titer to serovar wolffi and the others had titers of 1:100 and 1:400 to serovar pomona. Leptospiral antibody titers in cattle included serovars castellonis (37%), tarassovi (26%), wolffi (22%), and pomona (4%) and ranged from 1:200 to 1:1,600. Antibody titers above 1:400 were only found for serovars wolffi and tarassovi.

Leptospirosis occurs regularly in domestic ungulates and has been reported from a wide range of wild mammals. Antibody titers in pampas deer in our study were similar to those reported for other wild ungulates (Goyal et al., 1992; New et al., 1993). Mathias et al. (1999) reported 24% of pampas deer sampled in Brazil seropositive for serovars hardjo, wolffi, and mini.

Antibodies to parainfluenza 3 (PI-3) virus were found in six of 14 deer (43%) and in 20 of 27 cattle (74%) tested. Infections with PI-3 virus in wild ungulates are usually subclinical, though they can cause interstitial pneumonia (Van Campen and Early, 2001). High prevalences (80–100%) to PI-3 have been reported for other deer species, as reviewed by Van Campen and Early (2001). Nevertheless, the significance of PI-3 for this deer population is unknown. Serologic tests used for deer samples were those of standard application for domestic cattle (OIE, 1996) but were not validated for this species. Therefore, results should be interpreted with caution (Hietala and Gardner, 1999). Additionally, our small sample size limits our interpretation of disease prevalence within this population.

Little information has been published on infectious diseases in pampas deer. Mathias et al. (1999) and Duarte et al. (1993) reported negative serological findings for

FMD, brucellosis, and bluetongue in *O. bezoarticus leucogaster* from Brazil. Morbidity and mortality due to FMD-like disease was reported for *O. bezoarticus celer* from Samborombón (Bianchini and Luna Pérez, 1972; Jackson, unpubl. data) living in proximity to axis deer (*Axis axis*), fallow deer (*Dama dama*), and cattle.

Six parasite genera were found to infect pampas deer, with slight prevalence changes occurring seasonally. Ova of gastrointestinal parasites were present in the feces of four of 14 immobilized deer, including unidentified nematode ova in four deer and *Moniezia* spp. in one animal. Parasite ova were found in 18/37 (49%) samples collected seasonally. Detailed findings are summarized in Table 2.

Overall, the endoparasites found in our study are widely distributed and have been described for other deer species and domestic ruminants worldwide (Duarte et al., 2001; Hoberg et al., 2001). Amongst gastrointestinal parasites found in our study, only *Ostertagia* spp. and *Haemonchus* spp. are recognized as potential primary pathogens for deer (Hoberg et al., 2001). Lungworms identified in our deer are considered pathogenic for deer (Munro, 1994b), but have not been mentioned for South American species (Duarte et al., 2001). Almost all seasonal samples collected for this study were positive for unidentified first stage larvae with a dorsal spine. Because of the unknown significance of this finding, further investigations are warranted.

Fasciola hepatica has been reported in pampas deer from Uruguay (Hernández Russo et al., 1996). Its presence can not be excluded in our study because sedimentation methods were not used. Duarte et al. (1993, 2001) have described many of the parasites found in our study in pampas deer from Brazil.

This study provides the first data on the health status of the southernmost free-ranging subspecies of pampas deer. Long-term conservation of this species cannot be

achieved without integrating health aspects into future management actions.

We thank the Ministerio de Asuntos Agrarios de la Provincia de Buenos Aires for the authorization to capture pampas deer and the Dirección Nacional de Flora y Fauna Silvestres. We also thank M. Ruiz of INTA Pathobiology Institute (CICV, INTA Castelar), USDA Foreign Animal Disease Diagnostic Laboratory (Plum Island), W. E. Braselton of the Michigan State Animal Diagnostic Laboratory, and M. C. Ferreyra Armas (Wild-Life Lab) for laboratory diagnostics. Families Fiorito and Mendez and M. Fernández Gorgola Leloire allowed us to work on their ranches. The Conseil International de la Chasse et de la Conservation du Gibier (Argentine Chapter) funded the parasitological studies. F. Milano and C. Fiel from UNICEN helped with sample storage, parasitological analysis and manuscript review. Finally, we are very grateful to Gustavo Aprile and Hernán Pastore for their invaluable assistance during field work. This manuscript greatly benefited from the comments of two unknown reviewers and the editors.

LITERATURE CITED

- BAERMANN, G. 1917. Eine einfache methode zur auffindung von Ankilostomum (Nematoden)-larven in erdproben. *Nederland Tijdschrift Voor Geneeskunde (Amsterdam)* 57: 131–137.
- BEMBROOK, E. A., AND M. V. SLOSS. 1965. *Parasitología Clínica Veterinaria*. Cia Editorial Continental S.A., México, pp. 72–73.
- BIANCHINI, J. J., AND J. C. LUNA PEREZ. 1972. El comportamiento de *Ozotoceros bezoarticus celer* Cabrera, en cautiverio. *Acta Zoológica Lilloana* 29: 5–16.
- CABRERA, A. 1943. Sobre la sistemática del venado y su variación individual y geográfica. *rev. Museo de La Plata, sección Zoología* 3: 5–41.
- DAGUERRE, J. B. 1970. El venado o ciervo de las Pampas. *Diana* 363: 20–24.
- DUARTE, J. M. B., I. G. ARANTES, J. M. GARCIA, AND A. A. DO NASCIMENTO. 1993. Captura e avaliação de uma população de *Ozotoceros bezoarticus leucogaster* no Brasil. In *Population and habitat viability assessment for the pampas deer (Ozotoceros bezoarticus)*, Briefing Book, IUCN/SSC/CBSG, La Paloma, Uruguay, pp. 1–17.
- , M. L. MERINO, S. GONZALEZ, A. L. VELOSO NUNES, J. M. GARCIA, M. P. JUÁN SZABÓ, J. R. PANDOLFI, I. G. ARANTES, A. A. DO NASCIMENTO, R. Z. MACHADO, J. P. ARAÚJO JR., J. L. CATAODIAS, K. WERTHER, J. E. GARCÍA, R. J. DA SILVA GIRIO, AND E. R. MATUSHIMA. 2001. Order Artiodactyla, Family Cervidae (Deer), Biology and Medicine. In *Biology, medicine and surgery of South American wild animals*, M. E. Fowler and S. Z. Cubas (eds.). Iowa State University Press, Ames, Iowa, pp. 402–422.
- DUNCAN, J. R., K. W. PRASSE, AND E. A. MAHAFFEY. 1997. *Veterinary laboratory medicine: Clinical pathology*, 3rd Edition, Iowa State University Press, Ames, Iowa, pp. 37–62.
- FIEL, C. A., P. STEFFAN, AND D. A. FERREYRA. 1998. Manual para el Diagnóstico de Nematodos en Bovinos. Editado por la División de Sanidad Animal de Bayer Argentina S.A., pp. 17–22.
- GARCÍA FERNÁNDEZ, J. J., R. A. OJEDA, R. M. FRAGA, G. B. DÍAZ, AND R. J. BAIGÚN (Editors). 1997. Libro rojo de mamíferos y aves amenazados de la Argentina, Buenos Aires, Argentina, 221 pp.
- GOYAL, S. M., L. D. MECH, AND M. E. NELSON. 1992. Prevalence of antibody titers to *Leptospira* spp. in Minnesota white-tailed deer. *Journal of Wildlife Diseases* 28: 445–448.
- HENRIKSEN, S. V. A. A., AND H. KORSHOLM. 1983. A method for culture and recovery of gastrointestinal strongyle larvae. *Nordisk Veterinaer Medicin* 35: 429–430. NEED INITIALS OF AUTHORS.
- HERNÁNDEZ RUSSO, Z., L. LAVARELLO, A. GUGLIEMONE, J. M. VENZAL, AND P. PESCHERA. 1996. Aspectos Sanitarios en venado de campo (*Ozotoceros bezoarticus*): Estudio Parasitológico. In *Abstracts of II Taller Nacional de Conservación del venado de campo*. S. Gonzalez (ed.). ACAC, Salto, Uruguay, 37 pp.
- HIETALA, S. K., AND I. A. GARDNER. 1999. Validity of using diagnostic tests that are approved for use in domestic animals for nondomestic species. In *Zoo and wild animal medicine. Current Therapy*, 4. M. E. Fowler and R. E. Miller (eds.). W.B. Saunders Company, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, pp. 55–58.
- HOBERG, E. P., A. A. KOCAN, AND L. G. RICKARD. 2001. Gastrointestinal strongyles in wild ruminants. In *Parasitic diseases of wild mammals*, 2nd Edition, W. M. Samuel, M. J. Pybus and A. A. Kocan (eds.). Iowa State University Press, Ames, Iowa, pp. 193–227.
- HOLLOWAY, C. 1975. Threatened deer of the world: Research and conservation projects under the IUCN Programme. *Deer* 3: 428–433.
- INTERNATIONAL SPECIES INVENTORY SYSTEM. Undated. MEDARKS ISIS Physiologic Data, ISIS, Apple Valley, Minnesota, <http://www.isis.org>.
- JACKSON, J. 1977. Recommendations for the future developments and studies on the enclosure and herd of pampas deer, *Ozotoceros bezoarticus celer*.

- er, at La Corona. Unpublished report, WWF/IUCN, 7 pp.
- , AND A. LANGGUTH. 1987. Ecology and status of pampas deer (*Ozotoceros bezoarticus*) in the Argentinean pampas and Uruguay. *In* Biology and management of the Cervidae, C. M. Wemmer (ed.). Smithsonian Institution Press, Washington, D.C., pp. 402–409.
- KARESH, W. B., AND R. A. COOK. 1995. Applications of veterinary medicine to in situ conservation efforts. *Oryx* 29: 244–252.
- KAY, R. N. B. 1994. Blood composition. *In* Management and diseases of deer, 2nd Edition, T. L. Alexander and D. Buxton (eds.). The Veterinary Deer Society, London, UK, pp. 213–214.
- KITCHEN, H. 1986. Hematological values and blood chemistries of a variety of artiodactylids. *In* Zoo and wildlife medicine, 2nd Edition, M. E. Fowler (ed.). W. B. Saunders Company, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, pp. 1002–1017.
- LANKESTER, M. W. 2001. Extrapulmonary lungworms of cervids. *In* Parasitic diseases of wild mammals, 2nd Edition, W. M. Samuel, M. J. Pybus and A. A. Kocan (eds.). Iowa State University Press, Ames, Iowa, pp. 228–278.
- MATHIAS, L. A., R. J. S. GIRIO, AND J. M. B. DUARTE. 1999. Serosurvey for antibodies against *Brucella abortus* and *Leptospira interrogans* in pampas deer from Brazil. *Journal of Wildlife Diseases* 35: 112–114.
- MAUTZ, W. W., U. S. SEAL, AND C. B. BOARDMAN. 1980. Blood serum analyses of chemically and physically restrained white-tailed deer. *Journal of Wildlife Management* 44: 343–351.
- MUNRO, R. 1994. Protostrongylid lungworms. *In* Management and diseases of deer, 2nd Edition, T. L. Alexander and D. Buxton (eds.). The Veterinary Deer Society, London, UK, pp. 113–114.
- NEW, J. C., JR., W. G. WATHEN, AND S. DLUTKOWSKI. 1993. Prevalence of *Leptospira* antibodies in white-tailed deer, Cades Cove, Great Smoky Mountains National Park, Tennessee, USA. *Journal of Wildlife Diseases* 29: 561–567.
- O.I.E. 1996. Supplement to manual of standards for diagnostic tests and vaccines, 3rd Edition, Office International des Epizooties, Paris, France, 121 pp.
- PRICE, H. A., R. L. WELCH, R. H. SCHEEL, AND L. A. WARREN. 1986. Modified multiresidue method for chlordane, toxaphene and polychlorinated biphenyls in fish. *Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology* 37: 1–9.
- SÁENZ, J. P. 1967. Pampas, montes, cuchillas y esteros. Centro Editor de América Latina, Buenos Aires, Argentina, 195 pp.
- SANTOS, L. C. D. 1999. Laboratório ambiental. Universidade estadual do oeste do Paraná. Edunioeste. Cascavel, Paraná, Brazil, 253 pp.
- SENASA. 1997. Anuario 1997, Servicio Nacional de Sanidad y Calidad Agroalimentaria. Secretaria de Agricultura, Ganaderia, Pesca y Alimentacion. República Argentina, pp. 2–22.
- THORNBACK, J., AND M. JENKINS. 1982. The IUCN mammal red data book Part II. International Union for the Conservation of Nature, Gland, Switzerland, 516 pp.
- VAN CAMPEN, H., AND G. EARLY. 2001. Orthomyxovirus and paramyxovirus infections. *In* Infectious diseases of wild mammals, 3rd Edition, E. S. Williams and I. K. Barker (eds.). Iowa State University Press, Ames, Iowa, pp. 271–279.
- VIGLIZZO, E. 1994. Condición y tendencia de los recursos naturales y la sustentabilidad en Argentina. *In* IICA-PROCISUR, J. P. Puignau and C. C. A. Buschinelli (eds.). Montevideo, Uruguay, pp. 89–91.
- WOLFF, P. L., AND U. S. SEAL. 1993. Implications of infectious disease for captive propagation and re-introduction of threatened species. *Journal of Zoo and Wildlife Medicine* 24: 229–230.
- ZAR, J. H. 1996. Biostatistical analysis, 3rd Edition, Prentice Hall, New Jersey, 662 pp.

Received for publication 9 May 2002.