

Monitoring Trends in Insecticide Resistance of Field Populations of Sogatella furcifera (Hemiptera: Delphacidae) in Guizhou Province, China, 2012–2015

Authors: Jin, Jian-Xue, Jin, Dao-Chao, Li, Wen-Hong, Cheng, Ying, Li, Feng-Liang, et al.

Source: Journal of Economic Entomology, 110(2): 641-650

Published By: Entomological Society of America

URL: https://doi.org/10.1093/jee/tox027

The BioOne Digital Library (https://bioone.org/) provides worldwide distribution for more than 580 journals and eBooks from BioOne's community of over 150 nonprofit societies, research institutions, and university presses in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences. The BioOne Digital Library encompasses the flagship aggregation BioOne Complete (https://bioone.org/subscribe), the BioOne Complete Archive (https://bioone.org/archive), and the BioOne eBooks program offerings ESA eBook Collection (https://bioone.org/esa-ebooks) and CSIRO Publishing BioSelect Collection (https://bioone.org/esa-ebooks)

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Digital Library, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at www.bioone.org/terms-of-use.

Usage of BioOne Digital Library content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non-commmercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne is an innovative nonprofit that sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.



Insecticide Resistance and Resistance Management

Research article



Monitoring Trends in Insecticide Resistance of Field Populations of *Sogatella furcifera* (Hemiptera: Delphacidae) in Guizhou Province, China, 2012–2015

Jian-Xue Jin,^{1,2} Dao-Chao Jin,^{1,3} Wen-Hong Li,² Ying Cheng,² Feng-Liang Li,² and Zhao-Chun Ye²

¹The Provincial Key Laboratory for Agricultural Pest Management of Mountainous Regions, Institute of Entomology, Guizhou University, Guiyang, Guizhou, 550025, P.R. China (jinjianxue163@163.com; daochaojin@126.com), ²Institute of Plant Protection, Guizhou Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Guiyang, Guizhou, 550006, P.R. China (646429940@qq.com; 2721890533@qq.com; leefengliang@126.com; 396060371@qq.com), and ³Corresponding author, e-mail: daochaojin@126.com

Subject Editor: Raul Narciso Guedes

Received 20 September 2016; Editorial decision 27 December 2016

Abstract

Sogatella furcifera (Horváth) is a migratory insect that is one of the most important pest species on rice in many Asian countries. Control of *S. furcifera* (Hemiptera: Delphacidae) primarily depends on the use of chemical insecticides, and with this extensive reliance on pesticides, determining the degree of resistance of *S. furcifera* populations to the chemicals used for its control is essential. In this study, the resistance level to six conventional insecticides in five populations of *S. furcifera* from Guizhou Province was monitored yearly using the rice-stem dipping method in 2012–2015 to precisely understand current resistance levels and to estimate trends in the development of insecticide resistance in *S. furcifera* in Guizhou. Overall, *S. furcifera* from five regions in Guizhou showed a trend toward decreased susceptibility to isoprocarb (resistance ratio [RR] 0.82–3.59), susceptibility to low resistance against thiamethoxam (RR 0.27–9.69), susceptibility to moderate resistance to imidacloprid (RR 0.71–26.06), and decreased susceptibility to moderate resistance to chlorpyrifos (RR 4.63–19.58). The resistance to pymetrozine (RR 10.48–84.65) was moderate to high, and that to buprofezin (RR 6.36–412.43) was low to very high. In conclusion, the use of buprofezin and pymetrozine to control *S. furcifera* should be reduced in Guizhou Province, whereas prudent use at a reasonable frequency of chlorpyrifos and imidacloprid can continue. Isoprocarb and thiamethoxam are the best choices for effective management of *S. furcifera*. Rotations using alternative insecticides with different modes of action are recommended for regions in which resistance is at a moderate level.

Key words: Sogatella furcifera, neonicotinoid, pyridine, insect growth regulator, resistance monitoring

Sogatella furcifera (Horváth) (Hemiptera: Delphacidae) is one of the most serious migratory pests on rice crops throughout South and Southeast Asia (Atwal et al. 1967, Kisimoto 1971, Kisimoto 1976, Khan and Saxena 1985, Wu et al. 1997, Sogawa et al. 2009, Heong 2009, Lakshmi et al. 2010, Suri and Singh 2011, Matsumura et al. 2013). In addition to causing direct damage, *S. furcifera* is a vector of several rice pathogens, particularly the Southern rice black-streaked dwarf virus, which causes large yield losses (Shen et al. 2003, Wang et al. 2010, Pu et al. 2012, Zhou et al. 2013, Li et al. 2013, Tu et al. 2013, Lei et al. 2014).

Resistance of *S. furcifera* to the pesticides used for its control has gradually increased since the 1980s (Fukuda and Nagata 1969, Nagata and Masuda 1980, Endo et al. 1988, Endo and Tsurumachi 2001). Insecticide resistance may be one of the primary contributors to population surges, such as occurred with *Nilaparvata lugens* (Stål) (Wang et al. 2008). Before the 1990s, organophosphates, carbamates,

and pyrethroids, including dichlorvos, isoprocarb, carbaryl, deltamethrin, and cypermethrin, were used to control S. furcifera and N. lugens. From the 1970s to the 1990s, these species of rice planthopper developed a remarkable degree of resistance to the commonly used insecticides across China, Thailand, southern Vietnam, and Malaysia (Nagata and Masuda 1980, Krishnaiah and Kalode 1988, Mao and Liang 1992, Endo and Tsurumachi 2001, Nagata et al. 2002). Neonicotinoid insecticides were developed after the 1990s (Jeschke and Nauen 2008) and were used intensively against rice planthoppers, including S. furcifera, in many rice-growing regions (Matsumura et al. 2013). However, since 2003, these neonicotinoids have been less effective. Imidacloprid, registered for use on rice in 1991, played a key role in the management of rice planthoppers in Japan, China, and Vietnam (Liang et al. 2007, Cheng 2009). However, the high resistance to imidacloprid in N. lugens eventually led to control failure in China in 2005 (Wang et al. 2008, 2009a; Li et al. 2009;

© The Authors 2017. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of Entomological Society of America.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact

Matsumura and Sanada-Morimura 2010; Matsumura et al. 2013). Buprofezin, chlorpyrifos, and thiamethoxam were recommended as replacements for imidacloprid (Bo et al. 2008). At one point, pymetrozine was the leading insecticide used for rice planthopper control (Kristinsson 1994). Currently, in China, the primary insecticides used to control *S. furcifera* include chlorpyrifos, buprofezin, pymetrozine, imidacloprid, and thiamethoxam (Zhang et al. 2014a). A number of reports suggest that *S. furcifera* has developed resistance to chlorpyrifos and buprofezin (Atwal et al. 1967, Kisimoto 1976, Nagata et al. 2002, Matsumura et al. 2013), and although the resistance of *S. furcifera* to imidacloprid and thiamethoxam remains low (Su et al. 2013, Zhang et al. 2014a), a high risk of resistance to these insecticides in the target pests remains.

Guizhou is in the center of the karst region of southwestern China, and the geographical location, environment, and climate make this province an epicenter of S. furcifera populations. The triangular area bounded by Guizhou, Guangxi, and Yunnan Provinces is the first stop in the migration of this pest into China. In recent years, the occurrence of S. furcifera in these karst regions has increased significantly (Shen et al. 2011). In 2010, S. furcifera attacked an accumulative area of 66,700 hectares in the Qian'nan region (southern Guizhou), with the Southern rice black-streaked dwarf virus found across 4,500 hectares (Zheng et al. 2011). In general, S. furcifera immigration begins in May and reaches a peak in June in Guizhou. The source of the earliest immigrants is primarily the Red River Delta of Vietnam, south-central Guangxi to vicinal Guangdong and, to a lesser extent, Hainan Island, the Leizhou Peninsula, the southwest coast of Guangdong, Laos, and central Thailand (Xue et al. 2013). This diversity of immigrant sources leads to a complex of insecticide resistance levels. Monitoring and understanding the insecticide resistance status of this pest are essential for successful resistance management in Guizhou and throughout China. However, in Guizhou, the resistance of this pest to insecticides has not been determined. Therefore, the aim of this study was to monitor the resistance dynamics of this pest to commonly used insecticides from 2012 to 2015 to provide a basis for developing strategies to manage resistance. The insecticides examined in the

study included organophosphate, carbamate, neonicotinoid, pyridine, and insect growth regulator.

Materials and Methods

Insects

Field populations of *S. furcifera* were sampled to determine resistance levels from five regions in Guizhou Province, China, during 2012–2015 (Table 1). The locations were selected according to the zoogeographical divisions of Guizhou (Li and Wang 1992). The five regions represented typical karst environments with different geomorphologies, landscapes, and vegetation in Guizhou.

Adults or nymphs were collected from paddy fields and reared for one generation on 10-d-old rice seedlings cultured in plastic boxes (34 by 23.5 by 20 cm) under laboratory conditions at room temperature. Third-instar nymphs were used for bioassays. In each of the five zoogeographical regions, adults or nymphs were collected in same paddy field for four consecutive years (2012, 2013, 2014, and 2015).

Insecticides

The following six insecticides, of technical grade, were tested: 1) the organophosphate insecticide chlorpyrifos (97.3%; Red Sun Biological Chemical Co., Ltd., Nanjing, China), 2) the carbamate insecticide isoprocarb (95%; Changlong Chemical Industrial Group Co., Ltd., Changzhou, China), 3-4) the neonicotinoid insecticides imidacloprid (97.5%; Kesheng Group Co., Ltd., Jiangsu, China) and thiamethoxam (98.3%; Syngenta Investment Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China), 5) the pyridine insecticide pymetrozine (96%; Anpon Electrochemical Co., Ltd., Jiangsu, China), and 6) the insect growth regulator insecticide buprofezin (97%; Anpon Electrochemical Co., Ltd., Jiangsu, China).

Insect Collection

To collect planthoppers, we used a colander and plastic bottles with their bottoms removed and replaced with a sponge. Cut stems of rice plants were wrapped with absorbent cotton and inserted into the bottle through the neck. In the field, the nymphs and adults were

Table 1. Locations, collection data, and insect stages of S. furcifera collected from 2012 to 2015 in Guizhou Province

Location	Collection date	Coordinates	Host plant	Insect stage, no.	Generation immediately before topical tests	Generation of insect at time of collection
Qianxi County, Bijie city	June, 2012	27.03° N 106.04° E	Rice	Nymph, 6,000	1	G2 or G3 in the paddy field
	July, 2013	27.03° N 106.04° E	Rice	Nymph, 7,000	1	G3 or G4 in the paddy field
	July, 2014	27.03° N 106.04° E	Rice	Nymph, 5,000	1	G3 or G4 in the paddy field
	July, 2015	27.03° N 106.04° E	Rice	Nymph, 8,000	1	G3 or G4 in the paddy field
Pingtang County, Qian'nan	June, 2012	25.83° N 107.55° E	Rice	Nymph, 4,000	1	G2 or G3 in the paddy field
autonomous prefecture	June, 2013	25.83° N 107.55° E	Rice	Nymph, 5,000	1	G2 or G3 in the paddy field
	June, 2014	25.83° N 107.55° E	Rice	Nymph, 8,000	1	G2 or G3 in the paddy field
	July, 2015	25.83° N 107.55° E	Rice	Nymph, 6,000	1	G3 or G4 in the paddy field
Bozhou District, Zunyi city	June, 2012	27.70° N 106.9° E	Rice	Nymph, 5,000	1	G2 or G3 in the paddy field
	June, 2013	27.70° N 106.9° E	Rice	Nymph, 7,000	1	G2 or G3 in the paddy field
	July, 2014	27.70° N 106.9° E	Rice	Nymph, 8,000	1	G3 or G4 in the paddy field
	July, 2015	27.70° N 106.9° E	Rice	Nymph, 5,000;	1	G3 or G4 in the paddy field
				Adult, 200		
Pingba County, Anshun city	June, 2012	26.42° N 106.26° E	Rice	Nymph, 4,500	1	G2 or G3 in the paddy field
	June, 2013	26.42° N 106.26° E	Rice	Nymph, 8,000	1	G2 or G3 in the paddy field
	June, 2014	26.42° N 106.26° E	Rice	Nymph, 7,000	1	G2 or G3 in the paddy field
	June, 2015	26.42° N 106.26° E	Rice	Nymph, 5,000	1	G2 or G3 in the paddy field
Huaxi District, Guiyang city	June, 2012	26.40° N 106.66° E	Rice	Nymph, 5,000	1	G2 or G3 in the paddy field
	June, 2013	26.40° N 106.66° E	Rice	Nymph, 4,000	1	G2 or G3 in the paddy field
	June, 2014	26.40° N 106.66° E	Rice	Nymph, 4,000	1	G2 or G3 in the paddy field
	June, 2015	26.40° N 106.66° E	Rice	Nymph, 6,000	1	G2 or G3 in the paddy field

dislodged onto the water surface of the rice field, and then the colander was used to screen planthoppers free of the water.

Bioassay

Each insecticide was dissolved in acetone, except for pymetrozine, which was dissolved in methanol, plus 10% Triton-100 (m/V; SolarbioScience & Technology Co., Ltd., Beijing, China) as an emulsifier. The solutions of the six insecticides were then serially diluted into —five to nine different concentrations by adding distilled water.

The rice-stem dipping method (Zhuang and Shen 2000, Wang et al. 2008, Su et al. 2013, Zhang et al. 2014a) was used to test the concentration responses of S. furcifera to different insecticides. Rice plants at the tillering stage through the booting stage were collected and washed thoroughly. Sections (10 cm) of the basal stems had their roots cut and were air dried to remove excess water. Groups of rice stems were dipped into the prepared insecticide solutions for 30 s. Three replicates were used for each concentration, and distilled water was used as the control. After the rice stems were dipped in an insecticide, they were air dried at room temperature for at least 30 min. The roots of the rice stems were wrapped with absorbent cotton. Plastic bottles, 500 ml, with the bottoms cut off and replaced with a sponge were used as the test arena. The treated rice stems were inserted into the plastic bottles from the neck, and 20 thirdinstar nymphs of S. furcifera were introduced through the bottom of the bottles, with the sponge replaced to prevent nymph escape. Three replicates were prepared (for a total of 300-540 nymphs for each bioassay). The insects in bottles with pesticide-treated stems were maintained at 25 ± 1 °C and a photoperiod of 16:8 (L:D) h. Mortality was recorded at 48 h for chlorpyrifos and isoprocarb, and at 96 h for imidacloprid, thiamethoxam, pymetrozine, and buprofezin. Nymphs were considered dead when they failed to move when gently prodded with a fine bristle.

Data Analyses

Mortality data were corrected for control mortality using Abbott's formula. LC_{50} values (mg liter $^{-1}$) and 95% fiducial limits (FLs) were calculated using DPS (Data Processing System, ver. 8.05; Hangzhou RuiFeng Information Technology Co., Ltd., Hangzhou, China; Tang and Zhang 2013). The resistance ratio (RR) was calculated by dividing the LC_{50} value of a field population by the corresponding LC_{50} value of a susceptible baseline (referred on Su et al. 2013, Zhang et al. 2014a). Insecticide resistance levels were described using the RR (Lai et al. 2011, Su et al. 2013, Zhang et al. 2014a) as follows: susceptibility (RR < 3), decreased susceptibility (RR = 3–5), low resistance (RR = 5–10), moderate resistance (RR = 10–40), high resistance (RR = 40–160), and very high resistance (RR > 160).

Results

Resistance Monitoring of S. furcifera to Chlorpyrifos

The resistance ratio for chlorpyrifos ranged from 4.63- to 19.58-fold higher than that of the susceptible baseline for the five populations from Guizhou sampled in four years from 2012 to 2015. All tests with chlorpyrifos revealed decreased susceptibility to a moderate resistance level in the five populations during the four years, and all RRs were significantly different from the susceptibility baseline because the 95% FLs of the RRs did not include the value 1.0. Chlorpyrifos resistance in Qianxi County (western Guizhou) and Bozhou District (Zunyi City, central Guizhou) reached 17.58 and 19.58 in 2012, respectively; decreased dramatically in 2013; and then increased in 2015. In Pingtang County (southern Guizhou) and

Huaxi District (Guiyang, central Guizhou), the resistance ratio of chlorpyrifos did not change, and no RR peak values were observed from 2012 to 2015 (RRs 12.38–16.61 and 11.48–12.82, respectively). In Pingba County (central Guizhou), the resistance ratio ranged from 4.63 to 13.54, with a peak in 2013 (13.54; Tables 2–5).

Resistance Monitoring of S. furcifera to Isoprocarb

The maximum resistance ratio to isoprocarb was approximately fourfold higher (RR 0.82–3.59) than that of the reference population. *S. furcifera* exhibited a tendency toward decreased susceptibility to isoprocarb but without an apparent change in the different years. The LC₅₀ values of all five populations in 2015 showed no significant differences, with overlapping 95% FLs (Table 5). The isoprocarb resistance of *S. furcifera* from Qianxi (15.37 mg liter⁻¹, RR = 0.95), Pingtang (13.19 mg liter⁻¹, RR = 0.82), and Bozhou (14.83 mg liter⁻¹, RR = 0.92) in 2014 and from Huaxi (15.58 mg liter⁻¹, RR = 0.97) in 2015 was lower than the susceptibility of the baseline population (16.13 mg liter⁻¹). The RRs of the Qianxi population in 2013, those of Pingba and Huaxi in 2014, and those of all five populations in 2015 were not significantly different from those of the baseline (95% FLs of RRs included the value 1.0; Tables 2–5).

Resistance Monitoring of S. furcifera to Pymetrozine

Pymetrozine resistance of *S. furcifera* collected from the five regions of Guizhou ranged from 6.86- to 84.65-fold higher than that of the reference population. All populations during the four years, except that in Pingba (6.86) in 2014, exhibited moderate to high resistance levels to pymetrozine (10.48–84.65), and all RRs were significantly different compared with the susceptibility baseline; the 95% FLs of RRs did not include the value 1.0. The LC₅₀ values among all five populations in 2012 were not significantly different. In Pingtang, Pingba, and Huaxi, the RRs of *S. furcifera* against pymetrozine decreased from 2012 to 2014 but then increased dramatically in 2015, with RRs of 51.02, 78.37, and 84.65, respectively. The RR of the Qianxi population was at a maximum in 2014 and greatly decreased in 2015 (from 84.20 to 20.81, respectively). The RR in Bozhou District reached a maximum of 57.32 in 2012 and decreased thereafter (Tables 2–5).

Resistance Monitoring of S. furcifera to Buprofezin

All five field populations of *S. furcifera* in Guizhou showed very high resistance to buprofezin in 2012 (RRs from 183.18 to 1,043.18) and then slightly declined to low to very high levels of resistance (RRs from 6.36 to 412.43). All RRs, with the exception of Qianxi (6.36) in 2013, were significantly different compared with the susceptibility baseline; the 95% FLs of RRs did not include the value 1.0 (Tables 2–5).

Resistance Monitoring of *S. furcifera* to Imidacloprid

Resistance of *S. furcifera* in Guizhou to imidacloprid was at susceptible to moderate levels, with RRs significantly different compared with the susceptibility baseline; the 95% FLs of RRs did not include the value 1.0, except for the Bozhou population in 2014 (0.71). Resistance ratios of *S. furcifera* exposed to imidacloprid showed a range of increase from 0.71- to 26.06-fold compared with the reference population, except for the extreme value (63.21) of the RR in the Huaxi population in 2012. The LC₅₀ value for Bozhou District (0.08 mg liter⁻¹) in 2014 was lower than the susceptibility baseline (0.11 mg liter⁻¹; Tables 2–5).

 Table 2.
 Toxicity of six insecticides among five field populations of S. furcifera collected from different zoogeographic areas of Guizhou Province in 2012

Population (2012)		Organophosphate	hate		Carbamate			Pyridine	
		Chlorpyrifos	so		Isoprocarb			Pymetrozine	
	$LC_{50} (\text{mg I}^{-1})^a /$ (95% FL) ^b	$Slope^c \pm SE^d RR^f/(95)$	RRf/(95% FL) ^g	$LC_{50} (\text{mg I}^{-1})^a / (95\% \text{FL})^b$	$Slope^c \pm SE^d$	$Slope^c \pm SE^d RR^f/(95\% FL)^g$	$LC_{50} (\text{mg I}^{-1})^a / (95\% \text{FL})^b$	$Slope^{c} \pm SE^{d} RR^{f}/$ (95%)	RR ^f / (95% FL) ^g
Lab-NN" Qianxi County, Bijie city Pingtang County, Qian'nan	0.24 (0.17–0.31) 4.15b (3.59–4.99) 3.92b (3.42–4.65)	3.4 ± 0.4 3.5 ± 0.4	17.58 (14.96–20.79) 16.61 (14.25–19.38)	16.13 (11.46–26.26) 25.81b (22.22–29.66) 32.88b (28.16–38.64)	3.0 ± 0.3 2.6 ± 0.2	1.60 (1.38–1.84) 2.04 (1.75–2.40)	0.48 (0.34–0.63) 22.15a (17.62–28.67) 21.58a (16.06–29.43)	2.2 ± 0.2 1.4 ± 0.2	46.34 (36.71–59.73) 45.15 (33.46–61.31)
autonomous pretecture Bozhou District, Zunyi city Pingba County, Anshun city Huaxi District, Guiyang city	4.62b (4.07–5.31) 2.35a (2.00–2.81) 2.71a (2.24–3.37)	3.5 ± 0.3 2.3 ± 0.2 2.0 ± 0.2	19.58 (16.96–22.13) 9.96 (8.33–11.71) 11.48 (9.33–14.04)	37.37c (32.33–43.87) 31.72ab (26.21–38.60) 22.71a (19.22–27.74)	2.9 ± 0.3 1.9 ± 0.2 2.5 ± 0.3	2.32 (2.00–2.72) 1.97 (1.62–2.39) 1.41 (1.19–1.72)	27.40a (21.37–36.89) 24.45a (19.10–32.50) 23.45a (19.96–28.39)	2.0 ± 0.2 2.0 ± 0.2 2.6 ± 0.3	57.32 (44.52–76.85) 51.15 (39.79–67.71) 49.06 (41.58–59.15)
Population (2012)	In	Insect growth regulator	gulator			Neonicotinoids	otinoids		
		Buprofezin	u	I	Imidacloprid		I	Thiamethoxam	
	$LC_{50} (mg I^{-1})^a / (95\% FL)^b$	$Slope^c \pm SE^d$	RRf/(95% FL) ⁸	$LC_{50} (mg l^{-1})^a / (95\% FL)^b$	$Slope^c \pm SE^d$	RRf/(95% FL) ^g	$LC_{50} \text{ (mg I}^{-1})^{a/}$ (95% FL) ^b	$Slope^c \pm SE^d$	RR ^f / (95% FL) ^g
Lab-NN° Qianxi County, Bijie city Pingtang County, Qian'nan	0.04 (0.03-0.06) 35.59b (18.59-89.67) 1.6 ± 0.2 21.36b (13.70-33.57) 2.1 ± 0.2	$1.6 \pm 0.2 \\ 2.1 \pm 0.2$	808.86 (464.75–2241.75) 485.45 (342.50–839.25)	0.11 (0.06–0.17) 0.71a (0.56–0.92) 0.64a (0.32–1.12)	0.6 ± 0.1 0.8 ± 0.1	6.51 (5.09–8.36) 5.87 (2.91–10.18)	0.10 (0.04–0.17) 0.51ab (0.18–1.67) 1.68b (1.39–1.99)	2.2 ± 0.2 2.0 ± 0.2	5.31 (1.88–17.40) 17.50 (14.47–20.73)
autonomous protecting. Bozhou District, Zunyi city 27.63b (22.37–36.14) 2.3 \pm 0.2 Pingba County, Anshun city 45.9c (36.87–60.02) 2.4 \pm 0.2 Huaxi District, Guiyang city 8.06a (6.64–9.58) 2.3 \pm 0.2	27.63b (22.37–36.14) 45.9c (36.87–60.02) 8.06a (6.64–9.58)	2.3 ± 0.2 2.4 ± 0.2 2.3 ± 0.2	627.95 (559.25–903.50) 1043.18 (921.75–1500.50) 183.18 (166.00–239.50)	0.42a (0.32–0.55) 1.68b (1.43–1.95) 6.89c (5.92–7.95)	$2.0 \pm 0.2 1.7 \pm 0.2 2.1 \pm 0.2$	3.85 (2.91–5.00) 15.41 (13.00–17.73) 63.21 (53.82–72.27)	0.4a (0.34–0.47) 1.23b (1.05–1.48) 0.65b (0.53–0.78)	1.7 ± 0.2 2.7 ± 0.3 2.9 ± 0.3	4.17 (3.54-4.90) 12.81 (10.94-15.42) 6.77 (5.52-8.13)

^a LC₅₀ (mg l⁻¹): lethal concentration value expressed in mg liter⁻¹. Different letters indicate a significant difference among LC₅₀ values of the five populations based on the overlap of 95% FLs.

^{95%} FL: 95% fiducial limits of LC₅₀ are in parentheses.

 $^{^{\}circ}$ Slope: the slope of the regression of LC-P line (Y=).

^d SE—Standard error of slope.

The resistance ratio (RR) was calculated by dividing the LC50 value of a field population by the corresponding LC50 value of the susceptible baseline (Lab-NN). ^e The data were referred to susceptible baselines in Su et al. (2013) and Zhang et al. (2014a).

^{8 95%} FL: 95% fiducial limits of resistance ratio are in parentheses. Resistance ratio is significant (fiducial limits do not include the value 1.0).

Table 3. Toxicity of six insecticides among five field populations of S. furcifera collected from different zoogeographic areas of Guizhou Province in 2013

Population (2013)		Organophosphate	nate		Carbamate			Pyridine	
		Chlorpyrifos	s		Isoprocarb			Pymetrozine	
	$LC_{50} (mg l^{-1})^a / (95 \% FL)^b$	$Slope^c \pm SE^d$	$Slope^c \pm SE^d RR^f/(95\% FL)^g$	$LC_{50} (mg l^{-1})^a / (95\% FL)^b$	$Slope^c \pm SE^d$	$Slope^c \pm SE^d RR/(95\% FL)^g$	$LC_{50} (mg I^{-1})^{a/p}$ (95% FL) ^b	$Slope^c \pm SE^d$	$Slope^c \pm SE^d RR^f/(95\% FL)^g$
Lab-NN ^e Qianxi County, Bijie city Pingtang County, Qian'nan autonomons prefecture	0.24 (0.17–0.31) 1.28a (0.99–1.56) 3.89 b (2.83–6.30)	3.6 ± 0.5 1.8 ± 0.3	5.42 (4.13–6.50) 16.48 (11.79–26.25)	16.13 (11.46–26.26) 17.11a (14.23–20.98) 57.96b (42.77–91.58)	3.5 ± 0.5 1.9 ± 0.3	1.06 (0.88–1.30) 3.59 (2.65–5.68)	0.48 (0.34–0.63) 5.01a (2.57–8.14) 23.60b (14.97–45.79)	1.2 ± 0.2 1.4 ± 0.2	10.48 (5.35–16.96) 49.37 (31.19–95.40)
Bozhou District, Zunyi city Pingba County, Anshun city Huaxi District, Guiyang city	1.15a (0.78–1.50) 3.19b (2.65–3.93) 3.02b (2.61–3.62)	2.1 ± 0.3 2.1 ± 0.3 3.1 ± 0.3	4.86 (3.25–6.25) 13.54 (11.04–16.38) 12.82 (10.88–15.08)	21.47a (17.61–27.66) 19.07a (16.76–22.08) 25.23a (21.21–31.34)	3.2 ± 0.4 3.5 ± 0.3 2.6 ± 0.3	1.33 (1.09–1.71) 1.18 (1.04–1.37) 1.56 (1.31–1.94)	18.83b (12.96–31.03) 5.36a (3.91–7.40) 19.29b (15.92–23.32)	1.8 ± 0.3 1.3 ± 0.1 2.0 ± 0.2	39.39 (27.00–64.65) 11.22 (8.15–15.42) 40.37 (33.17–48.58)
Population (2013)	suI	Insect growth regulator	,ulator			Neonicotinoids	otinoids		
		Buprofezin			Imidacloprid		L	Thiamethoxam	
	$LC_{50} (mg l^{-1})^a / (95\% FL)^b$	$Slope^c \pm SE^d$	RR ^f /(95% FL) ^g	$LC_{50} \text{ (mg I}^{-1})^a/$ (95% FL) ^b	$Slope^c \pm SE^d$	RR ^f /(95% FL) ^g	$LC_{50} (\text{mg I}^{-1})^a /$ (95% FL) ^b	$Slope^c \pm SE^d$	RRf/(95% FL) ^g
Lab-NN ^e Qianxi County, Bijie city Pingtang County, Qian'nan	0.044 (0.03–0.06) 0.28a (0.04–0.71) 1.31b (0.73–2.49)	0.9 ± 0.2 0.9 ± 0.1	6.36 (0.91–16.14) 29.77 (16.59–56.59)	0.11 (0.06–0.17) 0.65b (0.43–0.89) 2.77c (2.03–4.27)	2.2 ± 0.3 1.7 ± 0.2	5.96 (3.91–8.09) 25.41 (18.45–38.81)	0.10 (0.04–0.17) 0.33b (0.21–0.46) 0.93c (0.7–1.29)	1.9 ± 0.3 1.67 ± 0.2	3.44 (2.19–4.79) 9.69 (7.29–13.44)
autonomous presecute Bozhou District, Zunyi city Pingba County, Anshun city Huaxi District, Guiyang city	0.49a (0.22–0.89) 4.37c (2.93–6.56) 0.44a (0.30–0.60)	0.9 ± 0.1 0.9 ± 0.1 1.4 ± 0.1	11.14 (5.00–20.23) 99.28 (66.59–149.09) 9.90 (6.82–13.64)	2.84c (1.82–5.65) 0.20a (0.14–0.27) 1.08b (0.77–1.60)	1.7 ± 0.3 1.6 ± 0.2 1.2 ± 0.1	26.06 (16.55–51.36) 1.86 (1.27–2.45) 9.90 (7.00–14.55)	0.38b (0.26–0.54) 0.15a (0.09–0.20) 0.13a (0.09–0.17)	2.1 ± 0.3 1.6 ± 0.2 1.5 ± 0.2	3.96 (2.71–5.63) 1.52 (0.94–2.08) 1.31 (0.94–1.77)

[&]quot; LCs₀ (mg l⁻¹): lethal concentration value expressed in mg liter⁻¹. Different letters indicate a significant difference among LC_{s0} values among the five populations based on the overlap of 95% FLs.

[%] FL: 95% fiducial limits of LC₅₀ are in parentheses.

 $[\]dot{}$ Slope: the slope of the regression of LC-P line (Y=).

 $[^]d$ SE —Standard error of slope. c The data were referred to susceptible baselines in Su et al. (2013) and Zhang et al. (2014a).

The resistance ratio (RR) was calculated by dividing the LC50 value of a field population by the corresponding LC50 value of the susceptible baseline (Lab-NN).

^{895%} FL: 95% fiducial limits of resistance ratio are in parentheses. Resistance ratio is significant (fiducial limits do not include the value 1.0).

Table 4. Toxicity of six insecticides among five field populations of S. furcifera collected from different zoogeographic areas of Guizhou Province in 2014

Population (2014)		Organophosphate	ıate		Carbamate			Pyridine	
		Chlorpyrifos	S		Isoprocarb			Pymetrozine	
	$LC_{50} (\text{mg I}^{-1})^a /$ (95% FL) ^b	$Slope^c \pm SE^d RR^f/(9)$	RR ^f /(95% FL) ^g	$LC_{50} \text{ (mg l}^{-1})^a/$ (95% FL) ^b	$Slope^{c} \pm SE^{d} RR^{f}/$ (95%)	RR ^f / (95% FL) ^g	$LC_{50} (mg I^{-1})^{a/p}$ (95% FL) ^b	$\mathrm{Slope}^c \pm \mathrm{SE}^d$	$Slope^c \pm SE^d RR^f/(95\% FL)^g$
Lab-NN° Qianxi County, Bijie city Pingtang County, Qian'nan Autonomous medecitue	0.24 (0.17–0.31) 2.85b (2.26–3.80) 2.92b (2.52–3.51)	2.4 ± 0.4 2.9 ± 0.2	12.06 (9.42–15.83) 12.38 (10.50–14.63)	16.13 (11.46–26.26) 15.37a (12.81–18.49) 13.19a (11.15–15.46)	3.6 ± 0.5 1.9 ± 0.3	0.95 (0.79–1.15) 0.82 (0.69–0.96)	0.48 (0.34–0.63) 40.25b (28.28–65.94) 5.67a (4.21–7.74)	2.1 ± 0.3 1.9 ± 0.2	84.20 (58.92–137.38) 11.87 (8.77–16.13)
Bozhou District, Zunyi city Pingba County, Anshun city Huaxi District, Guiyang city	1.21a (0.96–1.56) 1.09a (0.92–1.27) 2.77b (2.06–4.08)	2.4 ± 0.3 2.5 ± 0.2 2.2 ± 0.4	5.12 (4.00–6.50) 4.63 (3.83–5.29) 11.74 (8.58–17.00)	14.83ab (11.98–20.24) 31.89c (24.66–45.63) 20.62bc (16.44–25.73)	2.7 ± 0.5 1.6 ± 0.2 2.8 ± 0.5	0.92 (0.74–1.25) 1.98 (1.53–2.83) 1.28 (1.02–1.60)	6.61a (3.19–16.02) 3.28a (2.45–4.48) 4.93a (3.39–7.15)	0.8 ± 0.2 1.4 ± 0.1 1.8 ± 0.3	13.83 (6.65–33.38) 6.86 (5.10–9.33) 10.32 (7.06–14.90)
Population (2014)	Ins	Insect growth regulator	ulator			Neonica	Neonicotinoids		
		Buprofezin		4	Imidacloprid			Thiamethoxam	
	$LC_{50} (mg I^{-1})^{a/p}$ (95% FL) ^b	$Slope^c \pm SE^d$	RR ^f /(95% FL) ^g	$LC_{50} (\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{l}^{-1})^a /$ (95% FL) ^b	$Slope^c \pm SE^d$	RRf/(95% FL) ^g	$LC_{50} \text{ (mg I}^{-1})^a/$ (95% FL) ^b	$\mathrm{Slope}^c \pm \mathrm{SE}^d$	RR ^f /(95% FL) ^g
Lab-NN" Qianxi County, Bijie city Pingtang County, Qian'nan antonomons nrefective	0.04 (0.03–0.06) 7.12ab (3.58–17.32) 18.15b (12.90–26.05)	0.7 ± 0.1 1.0 ± 0.1	161.81 (81.36–393.64) 412.43 (293.18–592.05)	0.11 (0.06–0.17) 1.15c (0.71–2.17) 1.31c (1.10–1.59)	1.2 ± 0.2 2.2 ± 0.2	10.57 (6.45–19.73) 12.03 (10.00–14.45)	0.10 (0.04–0.17) 0.25b (0.17–0.38) 0.51c (0.40–0.62)	1.6 ± 0.2 1.9 ± 0.2	2.61 (1.77–3.96) 5.27 (4.17–6.46)
Bozhou District, Zunyi city Pingba County, Anshun city Huaxi District, Guiyang city	4.14a (2.61–6.68) 7.13a (5.15–10.38) 6.78a (4.00–12.90)	$1.2 \pm 0.2 \\ 1.2 \pm 0.1 \\ 1.0 \pm 0.2$	94.08 (59.32–151.82) 162.13 (117.05–235.91) 154.00 (90.91–293.18)	0.08a (0.03–0.14) 0.44b (0.31–0.62) 0.66bc (0.41–1.11)	1.1 ± 0.2 1.1 ± 0.1 1.2 ± 0.2	0.71 (0.27–1.27) 4.02 (2.82–5.64) 6.08 (3.73–10.09)	0.06a (0.04–0.09) 0.46bc (0.33–0.65) 0.28b (0.20–0.39)	1.6 ± 0.2 1.2 ± 0.1 2.1 ± 0.3	0.64 (0.42–0.94) 4.84 (3.44–6.77) 2.87 (2.08–4.06)

^a LC₅₀ (mg l⁻¹): lethal concentration value expressed in mg liter⁻¹. Different letters indicate a significant difference among LC₅₀ values among the five populations based on the overlap of 95% FLs.

^b 95% FL: 95% fiducial limits of LC₅₀ are in parentheses.

^c Slope: the slope of the regression of LC-P line (Y=).

CF_Ctandard error of clone

e The data were referred to susceptible baselines in Su et al. (2013) and Zhang et al. (2014a).

The resistance ratio (RR) was calculated by dividing the LCs, value of a field population by the corresponding LCs, value of the susceptible baseline (Lab-NN).

^{8 95%} FL: 95% fiducial limits of resistance ratio are in parentheses. Resistance ratio is significant (fiducial limits do not include the value 1.0).

Table 5. Toxicity of six insecticides among five field populations of S. furcifera collected from different zoogeographic areas of Guizhou Province in 2015

Population (2015)		Organophosphate	hate		Carbamate			Pyridine	
		Chlorpyrifos	St		Isoprocarb			Pymetrozine	
	$LC_{50} (mg I^{-1})^a / (95\% FL)^b$	$Slope^c \pm SE^d$	$Slope^c \pm SE^d RR^f/(95\% FL)^g$	$LC_{50} (mg I^{-1})^{a/b}$ (95% FL) ^b	$Slope^c \pm SE^d$	$Slope^c \pm SE^d RR^f/(95\% FL)^g$	$LC_{50} (\text{mg I}^{-1})^a /$ (95% FL) ^b	$Slope^c \pm SE^d$	$Slope^c \pm SE^d RR^f/(95\% FL)^g$
Lab-NN ^e Qianxi County, Bijie city Pingtang County, Qian'nan	0.24 (0.17–0.31) 2.17ab (1.72–2.71) 3.76b (3.04–4.97)	2.8 ± 0.4 2.0 ± 0.2	9.19 (7.17–11.29) 15.93 (12.67–20.71)	16.13 (11.46–26.26) 19.72a (15.70–25.88) 18.57a (14.45–24.78)	2.4 ± 0.3 2.1 ± 0.3	1.22 (0.97–1.60) 1.15 (0.90–1.54)	0.48 (0.34–0.63) 9.95a (6.71–14.73) 24.39ab (12.28–92.94)	1.6 ± 0.2 1.0 ± 0.2	20.81 (13.98–30.69) 51.02 (25.58–193.65)
autonomous prefecture Bozhou District, Zunyi city Pingba County, Anshun city Huaxi District, Guiyang city	2.50b (2.02–3.20) 1.52a (1.17–2.0) 3.01b (2.32–4.2)	2.7 ± 0.4 1.9 ± 0.2 2.1 ± 0.3	10.58 (8.42–13.33) 6.45 (4.88–8.33) 12.77 (9.67–17.50)	16.32a (12.73–21.22) 16.95a (14.05–20.81) 15.58a (12.72–19.17)	2.2 ± 0.3 3.4 ± 0.4 3.0 ± 0.4	1.01 (0.79–1.32) 1.05 (0.87–1.29) 0.97 (0.79–1.19)	10.82a (7.34–16.12) 37.46b (24.93–55.76) 40.46b (27.83–58.93)	1.6 ± 0.2 1.5 ± 0.2 1.7 ± 0.2	22.63 (15.29–33.58) 78.37 (51.94–116.17) 84.65 (57.98–122.77))
Population (2015)	Ins	Insect growth regulator	zulator			Neon	Neonicotinoids		
		Buprofezin	-	1	Imidacloprid		T	Thiamethoxam	1
	$LC_{50} \text{ (mg I}^{-1})^{a/}$ (95% FL) ^b	$Slope^c \pm SE^d$	RR ^f /(95% FL) ^g	$LC_{50} (mg l^{-1})^a / $ (95% FL) ^b	$Slope^c \pm SE^d$	RRf/(95% FL) ^g	$LC_{50} (mg l^{-1})^{a/p}$ (95% FL) ^b	$Slope^c \pm SE^d$	RR ^f /(95% FL) ⁸
Lab-NN ^e Qianxi County, Bijie city Pingtang County, Qian'nan	0.04 (0.03–0.06) 0.50a (0.26–0.85) 0.75a (0.42–1.30)	1.0 ± 0.1	11.38 (5.91–19.32) 17.11 (9.55–29.55)	0.11 (0.06–0.17) 0.36a (0.25–0.49) 1.42b (0.85–2.88)	1.8 ± 0.2 1.1 ± 0.2	3.30 (2.29–4.50) 12.98 (7.80–26.42)	0.10 (0.04–0.17) 0.23b (0.12–0.39) 0.53c (0.34–0.84)	1.5 ± 0.2 1.3 ± 0.2	2.44 (1.25–4.06) 5.54 (3.54–8.75)
Bozhou District, Zunyi city Pingba County, Anshun city Huaxi District, Guiyang city	2.37b (1.42–4.09) 5.44c (3.63–8.61) 1.10ab (0.68–1.84)	1.0 ± 0.1 1.4 ± 0.2 1.0 ± 0.2	53.91 (32.27–92.95) 123.59 (82.50–195.68) 25.05 (15.45–41.82)	0.51a (0.33-0.80) 0.22a (0.12-0.34) 0.46a (0.30-0.70)	1.3 ± 0.2 1.3 ± 0.2 1.4 ± 0.2	4.69 (3.03–7.34) 1.99 (1.10–3.12) 4.19 (2.75–6.42)	0.09b (0.06–0.14) 0.03a (0.02–0.04) 0.23b (0.13–0.34)	1.7 ± 0.2 1.8 ± 0.2 1.3 ± 0.2	0.98 (0.63–1.46) 0.27 (0.21–0.42) 2.37 (1.35–3.54)

^a LC₅₀ (mg l⁻¹): lethal concentration value expressed in mg liter⁻¹. Different letters indicate a significant difference among LC₅₀ values among the five populations based on the overlap of 95% FLs.

^c Slope: the slope of the regression of LC-P line (Y=).

^d SE—Standard error of slope.

e The data were referred to susceptible baselines in Su et al. (2013) and Zhang et al. (2014a).

The resistance ratio (RR) was calculated by dividing the LC₅₀ value of a field population by the corresponding LC₅₀ value of the susceptible baseline (Lab-NN).

^{8 95%} FL: 95% fiducial limits of resistance ratio are in parentheses. Resistance ratio is significant (fiducial limits do not include the value 1.0).

Resistance Monitoring of S. furcifera to Thiamethoxam

The LC₅₀ value of thiamethoxam against *S. furcifera* populations collected from the five different regions in Guizhou Province tended to decrease from 2012 to 2015. The resistance ratio of *S. furcifera* to thiamethoxam indicated a trend toward low resistance level (RR = 0.27–9.69), except for the two extreme value in the Pingtang population (RR = 17.50) and Pingba population (RR = 12.81) in 2012. LC₅₀ values from Bozhou District (0.06 mg liter⁻¹) in 2014 and Bozhou District (0.09 mg liter⁻¹) and Pingba County (0.03 mg liter⁻¹) in 2015 were all lower than the susceptibility baseline (LC₅₀ = 0.10 mg liter⁻¹). The RRs of all five populations in 2012; those from Qianxi, Pingtang, and Bozhou in 2013; the four populations except Bozhou in 2014; and those from Qianxi, Pingtang, and Huaxi Counties in 2015 were significantly different from the susceptibility baseline; the 95% FLs of RRs did not include the value 1.0 (Tables 2–5).

Discussion

As the use of chemical insecticides has increased in importance for the control of rice hoppers, resistance to a number of insecticides has been reported in various planthopper species (He et al. 2013, Zhang et al. 2014a), ultimately leading to control failure. For example, during an outbreak of *N. lugens* in China in 2005, imidacloprid was suspended for control of this pest insect because of high resistance (Wang et al. 2008). To prevent such resistance-related control failure and to maintain the long-term efficacy of insecticides, the susceptibility levels of different planthopper species to the insecticides used for their control must be periodically assessed (Zhang et al. 2014a).

A common insecticide used for controlling planthoppers in rice is chlorpyrifos, a broad-spectrum organophosphate (Fukuda and Nagata, 1969) that is used intensively in China. As shown by Su et al. (2013), such intensive use resulted in a 10.2-fold difference in susceptibility to chlorpyrifos among S. furcifera populations from sites in Cangyuan and Eshan, China, whereas almost 60% of populations in central China displayed moderate resistance but remained sensitive to chlorpyrifos in 2011. A recent study further found that S. furcifera resistance to chlorpyrifos is ubiquitous in rice-planting areas of China, with resistance levels ranging from low to high and resistance ratios varying from 9.2- to 127.6-fold higher than those of the reference population in 2013 (Zhang et al. 2014a). We found that the resistance ratios for this compound ranged from 4.63 to 19.58; therefore, the resistance ranged from decreased susceptibility to moderate resistance among the five Guizhou populations from 2012 to 2015. However, in some populations, such as those from Pingtang, Bozhou, and Huaxi, RRs were 15.93, 10.58, and 12.77, respectively, suggesting a risk of further increase in resistance to chlorpyrifos.

Isoprocarb is a carbamate insecticide that has not only a knockdown effect but also a long residual effect against planthoppers (Endo et al. 1988); however, the intrinsic level of absorption is relatively low compared with the other tested compounds. Notably, all assayed populations remained susceptible to this compound. Our results showed that *S. furcifera* remained either susceptible or with decreased susceptibility to isoprocarb from all five regions in Guizhou during 2012–2015, which might be attributed to its less common use in these regions.

Buprofezin is an insect growth regulator with a long history of use for planthopper control in most rice-growing areas of China and Vietnam. *Sogatella furcifera* assayed in 2006 and 2007 showed no apparent resistance to buprofezin (Su et al. 2013, Zhang et al. 2014a). However, greater use of buprofezin may lead to a rapid increase in the resistance of *S. furcifera* because the development of

high resistance to imidacloprid in N. lugens occurred beginning in 2005 (Gorman et al. 2008, Ling et al. 2011). Zhang et al. (2014a) showed that many field populations of S. furcifera have developed dramatically high resistance to buprofezin, such that the RR increased from 10.8 in 2011, to 23.9 in 2012 and to 90.6 in 2013 in the populations collected from Jinhua City, Zhejiang Province. The LC50 value of buprofezin in S. furcifera ranged from 0.068 mg liter-⁻¹ in Nanning, Guangxi Province, to 1.135 mg liter⁻¹ in Hejiang, Sichuan Province, in 2010 and 2011 (Su et al. 2013). A similar increase in buprofezin resistance was also observed in N. lugens in China and Vietnam (Ling et al. 2011). Compared with the reference strain Lab-NN (Su et al. 2013), our test found significantly higher LC₅₀ values for this chemical, and resistance to this chemical was at least at moderate levels in most Guizhou populations. Similarly, in 2012, the maximum RR appeared in the Pingba population (1043.18), and the RR values fluctuated from 183.18 to 1043.18. According to our investigation in 2012, buprofezin was used at a lower frequency, and farmer spraying was irrational in Guizhou. Farmers, on their own initiative, increased the dosage of buprofezin application because they desired excellent control of adult S. furcifera; however, the farmers were unaware that buprofezin is effective only against nymphs. In 2015, resistance to buprofezin was observed in Bozhou (53.91) and Pingba (123.59). These results indicated that buprofezin has been overused, and therefore, alternative strategies, such as decreasing or even restricting buprofezin use and rotations with other insecticides, should be employed to slow the development of resistance.

Imidacloprid and thiamethoxam are neonicotinoid insecticides used for planthopper control that act as competitive inhibitors to the nicotinic acetylcholine receptors in the central nervous system. Their systemic properties and long residual activity make them ideal insecticides against sucking insects such as N. lugens and S. furcifera. However, the widespread and intensive use of imidacloprid for more than two decades since 1992 has caused a remarkable increase in resistance in N. lugens, leading to control failure of this pest in 2005 (Wu et al. 1997; Wang et al. 2008, 2009a, 2009b). Resistance in S. furcifera to imidacloprid was reported previously at a low level (RR = 0.8-12; Su et al. 2013), and we demonstrated that most S. furcifera populations from the five regions in Guizhou remained relatively sensitive to this chemical (RR = 0.71-26.06), except for the Huaxi population in 2012 (RR = 63.21). The findings of the present study differed from those of Su et al. (2013) and Zhang et al. (2014a). However, in the Huaxi population in 2012, a high LC₅₀ value was recorded, which might be attributed to the long and improper use of this compound in this region. Since 2005, the frequency of imidacloprid use has been generally low in China, which might explain the slowing increase in imidacloprid resistance observed in recent years. Similarly, no obvious resistance in S. furcifera to thiamethoxam was found in a recent study, with little variation in susceptibility among 25 field populations collected from nine provinces of southern China in 2011. Compared with the LC₅₀ values of the laboratory reference strain (0.10 mg liter⁻¹), 28% of field populations exhibited a low level of resistance to thiamethoxam and 72% remained sensitive to this insecticide (Su et al. 2013). In another study, the resistance levels of 15 field populations of S. furcifera to thiamethoxam were minimal and ranged from susceptible (no-resistance) to decreased susceptibility in populations from seven provinces of southern China in 2012 and 2013 (Zhang et al. 2014a). Our results also showed that the resistance of S. furcifera to thiamethoxam was at susceptible to low levels (RR 0.27-9.69) except for that of Pingtang (RR = 17.50) and Pingba (RR = 12.81) populations in 2012. However, the development of

thiamethoxam resistance should be a serious concern because thiamethoxam not only is one of the primary insecticides used to control rice planthoppers, including *S. furcifera*, but also has the same mode of action as imidacloprid (Zhang et al. 2014b).

Pymetrozine, a novel-activity pyridine-azomethine, is a selective insecticide effective against plant-sucking insects such as aphids, whiteflies, leafhoppers, and planthoppers, yet relatively safe to their natural enemies (Kristinsson 1994). Additionally, the mode of action of pymetrozine is completely different from that of organophosphates, carbamates, neonicotinoids, and other nerve poisons. However, high resistance to pymetrozine has been detected in Bemisia tabaci (Gennadius) (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae) in China, the United States, and Spain, among other countries (Gorman et al. 2010, Rao et al. 2012), and in Trialeurodes vaporariorum (Westwood) (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae) in China (Karatolos et al. 2010). According to Su et al. (2013), several field populations of S. furcifera exhibited similar sensitivity to pymetrozine (0.706-4.308 mg liter⁻¹). Minor variations (less than fivefold) were observed in sensitivity between Fengxian (in Shanghai City) and Jiangpu (in Nanjing City, Jiangsu Province) populations, and 72% of field populations were susceptible to pymetrozine, with 28% exhibiting a low tolerance. Our results showed that pymetrozine resistance in S. furcifera in the five regions of Guizhou ranged from 10.48 to 84.65, representing a medium-to-high resistance level, except in the Pingba population (6.86) in 2014. In some regions of Guizhou, such as Pingtang (51.02), Pingba (78.37), and Huaxi (84.65) in 2015, resistance to pymetrozine developed to a high level. Considering that pymetrozine has been widely used throughout China, monitoring the development of pymetrozine resistance in Guizhou and other regions is critical.

In the five populations during the four years, we found the minimum LC_{50} values for isoprocarb, imidacloprid, and thiamethoxam, i.e., 13.19, 0.08, and 0.03 mg liter⁻¹, respectively, which were all lower than the susceptibility baselines of 16.13, 0.11, and 0.10 mg liter⁻¹, respectively. However, these lower LC_{50} values should not be regarded as the new susceptibility baselines for these three chemicals in Guizhou because the adoption of a new susceptibility baseline depends not only on the LC_{50} value but also on other factors, such as different operators and conditions, including rice variety, stage or health, and room condition, among others.

To determine the most effective strategies for control of S. furcifera in the future, constant monitoring of insecticide resistance is recommended for Guizhou. According to this study, chlorpyrifos, imidacloprid, thiamethoxam, and isoprocarb are suitable choices for pest control in a program of rotating insecticides for resistance management. From year-to-year, the level of resistance to different insecticides among different regions of Guizhou fluctuated dramatically. Differences in insecticide application might be a key factor in these fluctuations in resistance. Moreover, S. furcifera is a long-distance migratory pest, and therefore, insecticide application and consequent evolution of resistance in one region could theoretically influence the development of resistance in another region (Zhuang and Shen 2000). Thus, resistance monitoring is an important aspect of managing rice planthoppers in the karst region, particularly in Guizhou, because the planthoppers might emigrate northward. The insecticide resistance management programs should be implemented with international cooperative measures in Guizhou and in the larger rice-planting area of Southeast Asia. Additionally, rice growers should be trained in the rational use of insecticides (Xia et al. 2014).

Acknowledgments

The Provincial Outstanding Graduate Program for Agricultural Entomology and Pest Control (ZYRC-[2013]010) and the Guizhou Agricultural Science

and Technology Project (NY[2013]3007) funded this work. Special thanks to the Bureau of Rural Work of Pingtang, Guizhou, for help in the investigation and to the people who sent in planthopper samples.

References Cited

- Atwal, A. S., J. P. Chaudhary, and A. S. Sohi. 1967. Studies on the biology and control of Sogatella furcifera Horv.(Delphacidae: Homoptera) in the Punjab. J. Res. Punjab Agric. Univ. 5: 547–555.
- Bo, X. P., C. F. Gao, S. Y. Li, Y. H. Wang, L. Yu, X. Yan, J. L. Shen, J. Yang, L. M. Tao, and X. Liu. 2008. Laboratory screening for alternatives of highly toxic insecticides and the risk evaluation of buprofezin resistance by Sogatella furcifera (Horváth). Jiangsu Agric. Sci. 5: 91–95.
- Cheng, J.A. 2009. Rice planthopper problems and relevant causes in China, pp. 157–178. In K. L. Heong and B. Hardy (eds.), Planthoppers: New threats to the sustainability of intensive rice production systems in Asia. International Rice Research Institute, Los Baños, Philippines.
- Endo, S., and M. Tsurumachi. 2001. Insecticide susceptibility of the brown planthopper and the white-backed planthopper collected from Southeast Asia. J. Pestic. Sci. 26: 82–86.
- Endo, S., T. Nagata, S. Kawabe, and H. Kazano. 1988. Changes of insecticide susceptibility of the white-backed planthopper Sogatella furcifera (Horváth) (Homoptera: Delphacidae) and the brown planthopper Nilaparvata lugens Stål (Homoptera: Delphacidae). Appl. Entomol. Zool. 23: 417–421.
- Fukuda, H., and T. Nagata. 1969. Selective toxicity of several insecticides on three planthoppers. Jpn. Soc. Appl. Entomol. Zool. 13: 142–149.
- Gorman, K., Z. W. Liu, L. Denholm, K. U. Brüggen, and R. Nauen. 2008. Neonicotinoid resistance in rice brown planthopper, *Nilaparvata lugens*. Pest Manag. Sci. 64: 1122–1125.
- Gorman, K., R. Slater, J. D. Blande, A. Clarke, J. Wren, A. McCaffery, and I. Denholm. 2010. Cross-resistance relationships between neonicotinoids and pymetrozine in *Bemisia tabaci* (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae). Pest Manag. Sci. 66: 1186–1190.
- He, G. C., B. Du, and R. Z. Chen. 2013. Insect resistance, pp.177–192. In Q. F. Zhang and R. A. Wing (eds.), Genetics and genomics of rice. Springer, New York.
- Heong, K. L. 2009. Are planthopper problems caused by a breakdown in ecosystem services? pp. 221–232. In K. L. Heong and B. Hardy (eds.), Planthoppers: New threats to the sustainability of intensive rice production systems in Asia. International Rice Research Institute, Los Baños, Philippines.
- Jeschke, P., and R. Nauen. 2008. Neonicotinoids: From zero to hero in insecticide chemistry. Pest Manag. Sci. 64: 1084–1098.
- Karatolos, N., L. Denholm, M. Williamson, R. Nauen, and K. Gorman. 2010. Incidence and characterisation of resistance to neonicotinoid insecticides and pymetrozine in the greenhouse whitefly, *Trialeurodes vaporariorum* Westwood (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae). Pest Manag. Sci. 66: 1304–1307.
- Khan, Z. R., and R. C. Saxena. 1985. A selected bibliography of the white-backed planthopper, Sogatella furcifera (Horváth) (Homoptera: Delphacidae). Insect Sci. Appl. 6: 115–134.
- Kisimoto, R. 1971. Long distance migration of planthopper, Sogatella furcifera and Nilaparvata lugens. Trop. Agric. Res. Ser. 5: 201–216.
- Kisimoto, R. 1976. Synoptic weather conditions inducing long-distance immigration of planthoppers, Sogatella furcifera (Horváth) and Nilaparvata lugens Stål. Ecol. Entomol. 1: 95–109.
- Krishnaiah, N. V., and M. B. Kalode. 1988. Comparative toxicity of synthetic pyrethroid, organophosphate and carbamate insecticides against Nephotettk virescens (Distant) and Nilaparvata lugens (Stål) in rice. Crop Prot. 7: 66–71.
- Kristinsson, H. 1994. Pymetrozine: A new insecticide, pp. 85–102. In G.G. Briggs (eds.), In advances in the chemistry of insect control III. The Royal Society of Chemistry, Cambridge, United Kingdom.
- Lai, T. C., J. Li, and J. Y. Su. 2011. Monitoring of beet armyworm *Spodoptera exigua* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) resistance to chlorantraniliprole in China. Pestic. Biochem. Phys. 101: 198–205.
- Lakshmi, V.J., N.V. Krishnaiah, G. Katti, I.C. Pasalu, and K.V. Bhanu. 2010.
 Development of insecticide resistance in rice brown planthopper and white-

- backed planthopper in Godavari Delta of Andhra Pradesh. Indian J. Plant Prot. 38: 35-40.
- Lei, W. B., D. F. Liu, P. Li, and M. L. Hou. 2014. Interactive effects of southern rice black-streaked dwarf virus infection of host plant and vector on performance of the vector, *Sogatella furcifera* (Homoptera: Delphacidae). J. Econ. Entomol. 107: 1721–1727.
- Li, S. Y., X. Liu, C. F. Gao, X. P. Bo, J. Y. Su, Y. H. Wang, L. Yu, X. Yan, J. L. Shen, J. Yang, and L.M. Tao. 2009. Laboratory screening of alternatives to highly-toxic insecticides for controlling the white-Backed planthopper, *Sogatella furcifera* and resistance risk assessment to imidacloprid in rice. Chin. J. Rice Sci. 23: 79–84.
- Li, Y. Q., Z. H. Xia, J. Peng, T. Zhou, and Z. F. Fan. 2013. Evidence of recombination and genetic diversity in southern rice black streaked dwarf virus. Arch. Virol. 158: 2147–2151.
- Li, Z. Z., and L. M. Wang. 1992. Agriculture and forestry insect fauna of Guizhou, Vol. 4 (Homoptera: Cicadellidae). Guizhou People Press, Guiyang, China.
- Liang, G. M., Y. P. Li, and J. Q. Gou. 2007. Recent development and management of rice planthopper occurrence and insecticide resistance in Vietnam and Thailand. China Plant Prot. 27: 44–46.
- Ling, Y., F. K. Huang, L. P. Long, Y. Zhong, W. B. Yin, S. S. Huang, and B. Q. Wu. 2011. Studies on the pesticide resistant of *Nilaparvata lugens* (Stål) in China and Vietnam. Chin. J. Appl. Entomol. 48: 1374–1380.
- Mao, L. X., and T. X. Liang. 1992. Monitoring in susceptibility of white-backed planthopper and brown planthopper to thirteen insecticides. Chin. J. Rice Sci. 6: 70–76.
- Matsumura, M., and S. Sanada-Morimura. 2010. Recent status of insecticide resistance in Asian rice planthoppers. Jpn Agric. Res. Q. 44: 225–230.
- Matsumura, M., S. Sanada-Morimura, A. Otuka, R. Ohtsu, S. Sakumoto, H. Takeuchi, and M. Satoh. 2013. Insecticide susceptibilities in populations of two rice planthoppers, *Nilaparvata lugens* and *Sogatella furcifera*, immigrating into Japan in the period 2005-2012. Pest Manag. Sci. 70: 615–622.
- Nagata, T., and T. Masuda. 1980. Insecticide susceptibility and wing-form ratio of the brown planthopper, *Nilaparvata lugens* (Stål) (Hemiptera: Delphacidae) and the white backed planthopper, *Sogatella furcifera* (Horváth) (Hemiptera: Delphacidae) of Southeast Asia. Appl. Entomol. Zool. 15: 10–19.
- Nagata, T., T. Kamimuro, Y. C. Wang, S. G. Han, and N. M. Noor. 2002. Recent status of insecticide resistance of long-distance migrating rice planthoppers monitored in Japan, China and Malaysia. J. Asia-Pac. Entomol. 5: 113–116.
- Pu, L. L., G. H. Xie, C. Y. Ji, B. Ling, M. X. Zhang, D. L. Xu, and G. H. Zhou. 2012. Transmission characteristics of Southern rice black-streaked dwarf virus by rice planthoppers. Crop Prot. 41: 71–76.
- Rao, Q., Y. H. Xu, C. Luo, H. Y. Zhang, C. M. Jones, G. J. Devine, K. Gorman, and I. Denholm. 2012. Characterisation of neonicotinoid and pymetrozine resistance in strains of *Bemisia tabaci* (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae) from China. J. Integ. Agric. 11: 321–326.
- Shen, J. H., J. M. Shang, and G. J. Liu. 2003. Management of the white-backed planthopper, *Sogatella furcifera* in China: A mini-review. Chin. J. Rice Sci. 17: 7–22.
- Shen, H. M., J. P. Lü, J. Y. Zhou, X. X. Zhang, X. N. Cheng, and B. P. Zhai. 2011. Source areas and landing mechanism of early immigration of whitebacked planthoppers Sogatella furcifera (Horváth) in Yunnan, 2009. Acta Ecol. Sin. 31: 4350–4364.
- Sogawa, K., G. J. Liu, and Q. Qiang. 2009. Prevalence of whitebacked planthopper in Chinese hybrid rice and whitebacked planthopper resistance in Chinese japonica rice, pp. 257–280. In K. L. Heong and B. Hardy (eds.), Planthoppers: New threats to the sustainability of intensive rice production systems in Asia. International Rice Research Institute, Los Baños, Philippines.

- Su, J. Y., Z. W. Wang, K. Zhang, X. R. Tian, Y. Q. Yin, X. Q. Zhao, A. D. Shen, and C. F. Gao. 2013. Status of insecticide resistance of the white-backed planthopper, Sogatella furcifera (Hemiptera: Delphacidae). Fla. Entomol. 96: 948–956.
- Suri, K. S., and G. Singh. 2011. Insecticide-Induced resurgence of the white-backed planthopper Sogatella furcifera (Horváth) (Hemiptera: Delphacidae) on rice varieties with different level of resistance. Crop Prot. 30: 118–124.
- Tang, Q. Y., and C. X. Zhang. 2013. Data processing system (DPS) software with experimental design, statistical analysis and data mining developed for use in entomological research. Insect Sci. 20: 254–260.
- The department of pest monitoring and forecasting of the center of national agricultural technology service, The occurrence trend forecasting of the major plant diseases and insect pests in China in 2012. (http://www.natesc.gov.cn/Html/2012_04_20/28092_151760_2012_04_20_224038.html) (accessed 20 April 2012).
- Tu, Z., B. Ling, D. L. Xu, M. X. Zhang, and G. H. Zhou. 2013. Effects of southern rice black-streaked dwarf virus on the development and fecundity of its vector, Sogatella furcifera. Virol. J. 10: e145.
- Wang, H. D., J. P. Chen, A. G. Wang, X. H. Jiang, and M.J. Adams. 2009b. Studies on the epidemiology and yield losses from rice black-streaked dwarf disease in a recent epidemic in Zhejiang province, China. Plant Pathol. 58: 815–825.
- Wang, Q., J. Yang, G. H. Zhou, H. M. Zhang, J. P. Chen, and M. J. Adams. 2010. The complete genome sequence of two isolates of Southern rice blackstreaked dwarf virus, a new member of the genus fijivirus. J. Phytopathol. 158: 733–737.
- Wang, Y. H., J. Chen, Y. C. Zhu, C. Y. Ma, Y. Huang, and J. L. Shen. 2008. Susceptibility to neonicotinoids and risk of resistance development in the brown planthopper, *Nilaparvata lugens* (Stål) (Homoptera: Delphacidae). Pest Manag, Sci. 64: 1278–1284.
- Wang, Y. H., S. G. Wu, Y. C. Zhu, J. Chen, F. Y. Liu, X. P. Zhao, Q. Wang, Z. Li, X. P. Bo, and J. L. Shen. 2009a. Dynamics of imidacloprid resistance and cross-resistance in the brown planthopper, *Nilaparvata lugens*. Entomol. Exp. Appl. 131: 20–29.
- Wu, G. R., X. P. Yu, and L. Y. Tao. 1997. Long-term forecast on the outbreak of brown planthopper (*Nilaparvata lugens* (Stål)) and white-backed planthopper (*Sogatella furcifera* (Horváth)). Sci. Agric. Sin. 30: 25–29.
- Xia, Y. M., Y. H. Lu, J. Shen, X. W. Gao, H. Qiu, and J. H. Li. 2014.
 Resistance monitoring for eight insecticides in *Plutella xylostella* in central China Crop Prot. 63: 131–137
- Xue, W. P., D. C. Jin, X. Jin, and H. Yang. 2013. Analysis of source areas of early immigrant white-backed planthoppers *Sogatella furcifera* in Guizhou Province in 2011. Chin. J. Appl. Entomol. 50: 1371–1377.
- Zhou, G. H., D. L. Xu, D. G. Xu, and M. X. Zhang. 2013. Southern rice black-streaked dwarf virus: A white-backed planthopper transmitted Fiji virus threatening rice production in Asia. Front. Microbiol. 4: 1–9.
- Zhang, K., W. Zhang, S. Zhang, S. F. Wu, L. F. Ban, J. Y. Su, and C. F. Gao. 2014a. Susceptibility of Sogatella furcifera and Laodelphax striatellus (Hemiptera: Delphacidae) to six insecticides in China. J. Econ. Entomol. 107: 1916–1922.
- Zhang, X. L., X. Y. Liu, F. X. Zhu, J. H. Li, H. You, and P. Lu. 2014b. Field evolution of insecticide resistance in the brown planthopper *Nilaparvata lugens* (Stål) in China. Crop Prot. 58: 61–66.
- Zheng, S., Q. L. Luo, J. Q. Song, T. Zhu, C. X. Zhou, Y. S. Li, J. P. Lu, J. R. Huang, and C. Liu. 2011. Occurrence characteristics and control of Southern rice black-streaked dwarf virus in southern Guizhou. China Plant Prot. 31: 22–23.
- Zhuang, Y. L., and J. L. Shen. 2000. A method for monitoring of resistance to buprofezin in the brown planthopper. J. Nanjing Agric. Univ. 23: 114–117.