

## **Impact of feeding experiences on oviposition and sex allocation of *Neoseiulus californicus* (Acari: Phytoseiidae)**

Authors: Xie, Zhong-Qiu, Lv, Jia-Le, Wang, En-Dong, and Xu, Xue-Nong

Source: Systematic and Applied Acarology, 26(8) : 1426-1436

Published By: Systematic and Applied Acarology Society

URL: <https://doi.org/10.11158/saa.26.8.3>

---

BioOne Complete ([complete.BioOne.org](https://complete.BioOne.org)) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at [www.bioone.org/terms-of-use](https://www.bioone.org/terms-of-use).

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non-commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

---

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

Article

## Impact of feeding experiences on oviposition and sex allocation of *Neoseiulus californicus* (Acari: Phytoseiidae)

ZHONG-QIU XIE<sup>#</sup>, JIA-LE LV<sup>#</sup>, EN-DONG WANG\* & XUE-NONG XU\*

Lab of Predatory Mites, Institute of Plant Protection of CAAS, Beijing, 100193, P. R. China

<sup>#</sup>These two authors contributed equally to this study.

\*Corresponding authors: Endong Wang: wangendong@caas.cn; Xuenong Xu: xuxuenong@caas.cn

### Abstract

Feeding experiences of predators during immature and adult stages may impact females' reproduction. In the present study, we investigated reproductive performances of *Neoseiulus californicus* when both parents had different feeding experiences on *Tetranychus urticae* and *Frankliniella occidentalis*. Female and male immatures fed on either prey species. Each newly emerged female adult individually mated with a male had either the same or different feeding experience. Prey for mated females were either same as or different from that consumed during their immature stages. Therefore, eight reproductive treatments were created. The highest cumulative fecundity ( $57.5 \pm 3.3$  eggs/female) was observed when both female and male fed on *T. urticae* with the lowest fecundity ( $34.2 \pm 2.7$  eggs/female) observed when the parents fed on *F. occidentalis*. Daily fecundity and oviposition duration were mainly affected by prey of mated females. Mated females preyed on *T. urticae* had 56.6% higher daily fecundity and 22.3% shorter oviposition duration than those preyed on *F. occidentalis*. No significant difference in offspring sex ratio and egg hatch rate was detected between treatments. About 88% of the first-laid eggs developed to males. Impact of prey species consumed by male immatures was only observed on pre-oviposition duration. The average pre-oviposition duration of females who mated with males fed on *T. urticae* in their immaturity was 33.0% shorter than those females who mated with males fed on *F. occidentalis* in their immaturity. Results of the present study are valuable in optimizing *N. californicus* field release strategies, and will help further investigations into the nutritional requirements of this species.

**Key words:** reproduction, nutrition history, predatory mites, *Tetranychus urticae*, *Frankliniella occidentalis*

### Introduction

Phytoseiid predatory mites are important natural enemies of small arthropods, such as spider mites (Escudero *et al.* 2005; Badrbani *et al.* 2015), gall mites (Castagnoli *et al.* 2003), thrips (Calvo *et al.* 2011), and whiteflies (Seiedy *et al.* 2016), and could also complete their life cycle on pollens (Khanamani *et al.* 2017; Pascua *et al.* 2020). McMurtry & Croft (1997) and McMurtry *et al.* (2013) divided phytoseiid mites into four categories based on their life styles: specialized predators of *Tetranychus* species (type I), selective predators of tetranychid mites (type II), generalist predators (type III), and specialized pollen feeders (type IV). Generally, the first two categories are considered as specialist predators, while the latter two as generalist predators. Phytoseiid mites have a special reproductive method that female mites will not lay eggs if they do not mate (Amano & Chant 1978; Xu & Liang 1994; Hoy 1985, 2009), and all offspring developed from a zygote. The female is diploid and the male is haploid. Haploid male mites also develop from fertilized eggs, in which half of chromosomes were lost or heterogenized and occur 24–48 hours after egg laying (Nelson-Rees *et al.*

1980). This special reproductive mode of phytoseiid mites is called as Pseudoarrhenotoky (Hoy 1985; Nagalkerke & Sabelis 1998; Sabelis *et al.* 2002).

Prey species is an important factor that affects reproductive features of phytoseiid mites, such as fecundity and offspring sex ratio, especially in specialist predators (Mesa *et al.* 1990). For example, Escudero & Ferragut (2005) compared the biological features of two predator mites, *Phytoseiulus persimilis* Athias-Henriot (type I) and *Neoseiulus californicus* (McGregor) (type II), with different *Tetranychus* species as prey. They reported that when feed on *T. urticae* Koch, both predator species have >85% higher cumulative fecundity and >20% higher proportion of female offspring than those feed on *T. evansi* Baker & Pritchard. Gotoh *et al.* (2006) also revealed that *N. californicus* adults survive 67.6% longer when preyed on *T. urticae* than on *Panonychus ulmi* Koch. Besides, *Galendromus occidentalis* (Nesbitt) preyed on *Tetranychus pacificus* (McGregor) (1.1±0.3 days) had 31.3% shorter pre-oviposition duration than those fed on *Eotetranychus willamettei* (McGregor) (1.6±1.0 days) (Lara 2007).

Biological control agents are always suggested to be released before pests outbreak for prevention, namely “Predator-in-First” (PIF) (Kumar *et al.* 2015). Phytoseiid mites in that case would easily face insufficient target pests, so forced to choose non-favorite prey. However, the pest species in the field changed dynamically (Kidd *et al.* 2005), when phytoseiid mites live and grow in such a dynamic environment, feeding experiences vary among individuals and life stages. It’s necessary to study how different prey species consumed by both males and females, and during immature and adult stages might impact reproduction to ensure if the predatory mites still have good biocontrol efficiency in the complex field of prey.

A few studies investigated details regarding how feeding experience of both sexes affects reproduction (van den Beuken & Smallegange 2018). Yet, it is still not clear whether the prey species fed by adults have more effect on reproduction than those fed by immatures. Whether predator females can compensate the negative impact on reproduction caused by their poor prey quality immature stages? Whether prey of different quality or species fed by males will affect the reproduction of their partners? Answers to these questions will help understand the general biology and physiology and improve the mass rearing of predators.

In the present study, we used *N. californicus* as the target predator, and two prey species, the two-spotted spider mite *T. urticae* and flower thrips *Frankliniella occidentalis* (Pergande) as prey. *N. californicus* is a selective predator of tetranychid species, and also can complete its life cycle on early immatures of *F. occidentalis* (Mizobe *et al.* 2005; van Baal *et al.* 2007). Herein we mainly focused on the reproductive performances of predator females affected by the prey species consumed by males and females during immature stages and by female adults during oviposition stage. Results of this study might provide guides to field release and population evaluations of *N. californicus*, and are also beginning steps in investigating male impact in reproduction regulation of phytoseiids.

## Materials and Methods

### *Mite and insect colonies*

The mite and thrips populations were maintained in the Lab of Predatory Mites, Institute of Plant Protection, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Beijing, China. The *N. californicus* colony was established from individuals collected in Dinghushan Natural Reserve, Guangdong, China in 2010 (Xu *et al.* 2013), and maintained on kidney bean leaves (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) seedlings infected with *T. urticae*. The *F. occidentalis* colony was established from individuals collected from cucumbers grown in a greenhouse, Changping, Beijing, China, in 2005, and maintained on fresh kidney bean seedlings. Breeding colonies were maintained and experiments were conducted at 25±1°C, 70±5% RH, 16:8 hours (Light: Dark).

### Experimental procedures

Approximately 100 gravid *N. californicus* were randomly collected from the colony and placed in a rearing box ( $17.5 \times 17.5 \times 7 \text{ cm}^3$ ) to achieve synchronous eggs. The rearing box has three layers of soaked sponges ( $13 \times 13 \times 1.5 \text{ cm}^3$ ), two layers of filter papers (dia. 9 cm), and a piece of black plastic film (dia. 7 cm) placed successively from bottom to top, and had a hole (dia. 5 cm) covered with 250 mesh nylon on the lid. Gravid females were fed with sufficient *T. urticae* for 24 h, and about 300 eggs were laid. Eggs were removed using a fine paintbrush and reared individually in rearing units (dia. 1 cm) made of 3 acrylic layers as described in Zhang *et al.* (2015). After egg hatch, half of individuals were fed with mixed stages of *T. urticae*. Another half of individuals were each provided with 20 1<sup>st</sup> instar nymphs of *F. occidentalis* daily. Prey were placed on a fresh bean leaf disc on the floor of rearing unit. For each treatment, prey amount (>20) exceeded the daily maximum consumption rate of *N. californicus* (Rahmani *et al.* 2009; Song *et al.* 2016).

Sex of newly emerged *N. californicus* adults was examined (McGregor 1954; Xu *et al.* 2013). For each prey treatment, ca. 50 females and 50 males were collected. We set up four mating treatments, i.e., females that fed either on *T. urticae* or on *F. occidentalis* during immature stages mated with males that fed on either prey species. Each pair was placed in a rearing unit and observed until the termination of copulation. As *N. californicus* females that multiply mate in 48 h have maximum fecundity (Gotoh & Tsuchiya 2008), males were allowed to stay in the rearing unit for 48 h and removed afterward. The mated females were fed either with the same prey species or with another species afterwards. Therefore, eight reproductive treatments were created: 2 prey of female immatures  $\times$  2 prey of male immatures  $\times$  2 prey of adult females (Table 1). There were 12 replicates for each reproductive treatment, and the specific replicates were shown in the corresponding table of results.

Mated females were individually introduced into the rearing units and changed into new units daily with eggs kept in the old ones for development. All the females were observed daily until death. The preoviposition, oviposition and post-oviposition duration, daily fecundity and cumulative fecundity, and egg hatch rate were recorded. Predatory mites usually show stable patterns in sex allocation of the first few eggs (Toyoshima & Amano 1998), therefore the first three eggs of each female were also reared separately until they developed to adulthood and adult sex was identified. The short and long diameters of each of these eggs were also measured, and their sizes were estimated using volume formula of prolate spheroids,  $v = \frac{4}{3}\pi a^2 b$  (a, b respectively represent the half-diameter of each axis,  $a < b$ ). The remaining eggs of each female were reared together to adult stage, developmental duration from eggs to adults and sex ratio of offspring were recorded.

### Statistical analysis

Three-way ANOVAs were used to analyze the impact of prey species consumed by female during immature (F-I) and adult (F-A) stages, and male during immature stage (M-I) on reproductive parameters, including daily fecundity, cumulative fecundity, pre-oviposition duration, oviposition duration, post-oviposition duration, female adult longevity, hatching rate, proportion of female offspring and sex order of first three eggs. Four-way ANOVA was applied to determine the impacts of the above three factors (i.e., F-I, F-A and M-I) and sex of eggs on egg size and offspring developmental duration. Linear regression was performed to describe the relationship between egg size and developmental duration. Due to limited number of replicates, only the impacts of main factors and two-way interactions were examined. Chi-square test was used to compare the pattern in sex allocation of the first three eggs. All analyses were conducted using SPSS statistics 22.0 with a rejection level set at  $P < 0.05$ .

## Results

### *Impact of prey species on reproductive performances of N. californicus*

The pre-oviposition, oviposition and post-oviposition duration, and longevity of *N. californicus* were all significantly affected by prey species of female adults (Table 2). When female adults preyed on *F. occidentalis*, their pre-oviposition and oviposition duration, and longevity were 126.5%, 28.6%, and 8.3% longer than that preyed on *T. urticae*, respectively, while post-oviposition duration was 36.0% shorter (Table 3).

**TABLE 1.** Reproductive treatments depending on the prey species fed by the immature females and males and ovipositing female adults.

| Sex and Stage   | Prey |    |     |    |    |    |     |      |
|-----------------|------|----|-----|----|----|----|-----|------|
| Female immature | Tu   | Tu | Fo  | Fo | Tu | Tu | Fo  | Fo   |
| Male immature   | Tu   | Fo | Tu  | Fo | Tu | Fo | Tu  | Fo   |
| Female adult    | Tu   | Tu | Tu  | Tu | Fo | Fo | Fo  | Fo   |
| Treatments      | I    | II | III | IV | V  | VI | VII | VIII |

Tu, *Tetranychus urticae*; Fo, *Frankliniella occidentalis*.

**TABLE 2.** Impacts of female immature prey, male immature prey and female adult prey on *Neoseiulus californicus* reproductive performances.

| Source    | df | P               |                      |                          |                      |                           |                        |               |                                |
|-----------|----|-----------------|----------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|---------------|--------------------------------|
|           |    | Daily fecundity | Cumulative fecundity | Pre-oviposition duration | Oviposition duration | Post-oviposition duration | Female adult Longevity | Hatching rate | Proportion of Female offspring |
| F-A       | 1  | <0.001          | 0.031                | <0.001                   | <0.001               | 0.017                     | 0.112                  | 0.861         | 0.147                          |
| F-I       | 1  | 0.698           | 0.042                | 0.009                    | 0.194                | 0.519                     | 0.844                  | 0.697         | 0.652                          |
| M-I       | 1  | 0.583           | 0.352                | 0.002                    | 0.301                | 0.332                     | 0.272                  | 0.870         | 0.884                          |
| F-A * F-I | 1  | 0.942           | 0.966                | 0.360                    | 0.816                | 0.061                     | 0.164                  | 0.378         | 0.724                          |
| F-A * M-I | 1  | 0.121           | 0.794                | 0.410                    | 0.392                | 0.046                     | 0.041                  | 0.716         | 0.414                          |
| F-I * M-I | 1  | 0.617           | 0.898                | 0.112                    | 0.448                | 0.558                     | 0.434                  | 0.706         | 0.583                          |
| Error     | 71 |                 |                      |                          |                      |                           |                        |               |                                |

F-A, prey species of female adults; F-I, prey species of female immatures; M-I, prey species of male immatures.

Significant impacts of prey species consumed by male or female immatures on pre-oviposition stage were also observed. When both female and male immatures fed *F. occidentalis*, the pre-oviposition duration was the longest (Table 3). Marginal significant impacts of interaction between prey consumed by female adults and male immatures on post-oviposition duration and female longevity were also detected (Figure 1).

Daily and cumulative fecundity were also significantly affected by female adult prey (Table 2). When female adults preyed on *T. urticae*, the daily and cumulative fecundity were 54.7% and 26.1% higher than those preyed on *F. occidentalis*, respectively. In addition, females preyed on *T. urticae* during immature stages also had higher fecundity than those preyed on *F. occidentalis*. No significant impact of prey on egg hatch rate and sex ratio was observed (Table 2).




FIGURE 1. Mean ( $\pm$ SEM) post-oviposition duration and female longevity of *Neoseiulus californicus* as affected by prey of female adults (F-A) and male immatures (M-I).




FIGURE 2. Mean ( $\pm$ SEM) egg size of *Neoseiulus californicus* as affected by prey of female adults (F-A) and male immatures (M-I).

TABLE 3. *Neoseiulus californicus* reproduction and offspring development in different feeding experience.

| Treatments | Prey |     |     | Cumulative fecundity | Daily fecundity | Pre-oviposition (day) | Oviposition (day) | Post-oviposition (day) | Adult longevity (day) | Hatch rate      | Proportion of female offspring | Egg volume ( $10^6 \mu\text{m}^3$ ) | Developmental duration (day) |
|------------|------|-----|-----|----------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|-------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|
|            | F-A  | F-I | M-I |                      |                 |                       |                   |                        |                       |                 |                                |                                     |                              |
| I          | Tu   | Tu  | Tu  | 57.5 $\pm$ 3.3       | 2.5 $\pm$ 0.1   | 0.6 $\pm$ 0.0         | 22.7 $\pm$ 0.8    | 12.8 $\pm$ 2.0         | 36.1 $\pm$ 1.8        | 0.90 $\pm$ 0.02 | 0.70 $\pm$ 0.01                | 2.09 $\pm$ 0.04                     | 5.9 $\pm$ 0.4                |
| II         | Tu   | Tu  | Fo  | 44.0 $\pm$ 4.4       | 2.6 $\pm$ 0.1   | 0.5 $\pm$ 0.1         | 17.4 $\pm$ 1.4    | 10.8 $\pm$ 2.6         | 28.7 $\pm$ 3.0        | 0.80 $\pm$ 0.07 | 0.71 $\pm$ 0.02                | 2.11 $\pm$ 0.04                     | 6.2 $\pm$ 0.2                |
| III        | Tu   | Fo  | Tu  | 48.5 $\pm$ 2.7       | 2.5 $\pm$ 0.1   | 0.5 $\pm$ 0.1         | 19.6 $\pm$ 1.1    | 14.7 $\pm$ 2.2         | 34.8 $\pm$ 2.5        | 0.77 $\pm$ 0.05 | 0.70 $\pm$ 0.02                | 1.93 $\pm$ 0.05                     | 6.1 $\pm$ 0.2                |
| IV         | Tu   | Fo  | Fo  | 43.5 $\pm$ 3.2       | 2.6 $\pm$ 0.1   | 1.0 $\pm$ 0.2         | 16.8 $\pm$ 1.3    | 10.75 $\pm$ 2.3        | 28.6 $\pm$ 2.7        | 0.85 $\pm$ 0.02 | 0.70 $\pm$ 0.01                | 2.14 $\pm$ 0.05                     | 5.4 $\pm$ 0.1                |
| V          | Fo   | Tu  | Tu  | 39.9 $\pm$ 5.2       | 1.7 $\pm$ 0.1   | 0.9 $\pm$ 0.1         | 23.4 $\pm$ 3.0    | 8.0 $\pm$ 1.7          | 32.3 $\pm$ 4.2        | 0.82 $\pm$ 0.04 | 0.69 $\pm$ 0.01                | 1.80 $\pm$ 0.05                     | 6.1 $\pm$ 0.2                |
| VI         | Fo   | Tu  | Fo  | 42.8 $\pm$ 4.2       | 1.6 $\pm$ 0.1   | 1.5 $\pm$ 0.2         | 27.0 $\pm$ 2.1    | 12.6 $\pm$ 2.2         | 41.1 $\pm$ 1.7        | 0.87 $\pm$ 0.02 | 0.70 $\pm$ 0.03                | 1.83 $\pm$ 0.04                     | 5.8 $\pm$ 0.1                |
| VII        | Fo   | Fo  | Tu  | 45.0 $\pm$ 3.8       | 1.7 $\pm$ 0.1   | 1.7 $\pm$ 0.2         | 28.2 $\pm$ 3.2    | 2.65 $\pm$ 1.2         | 32.6 $\pm$ 3.6        | 0.87 $\pm$ 0.02 | 0.69 $\pm$ 0.02                | 1.80 $\pm$ 0.03                     | 5.3 $\pm$ 0.2                |
| VIII       | Fo   | Fo  | Fo  | 34.2 $\pm$ 2.7       | 1.6 $\pm$ 0.1   | 2.7 $\pm$ 0.4         | 22.7 $\pm$ 2.2    | 7.5 $\pm$ 2.1          | 32.8 $\pm$ 3.5        | 0.82 $\pm$ 0.04 | 0.67 $\pm$ 0.02                | 1.84 $\pm$ 0.05                     | 5.6 $\pm$ 0.1                |

F-A, prey species of female adults; F-I, prey species of female immatures; M-I, prey species of male immatures.

#### Impact of prey species on *N. californicus* progeny development and sex allocation

Eggs that developed to females were ca. 7.5% larger than those developed to males. In addition, egg size was significantly affected by prey species of female adults, male immatures, and the interaction between sex and prey of female immatures (Table 4). When female adults preyed on *T.*

*urticae*, their eggs were ca. 12.6% larger than those preyed on *F. occidentalis* (Figure 2, Table 3). In addition, eggs laid by females that mated with males preyed on *F. occidentalis* were ca. 5.5% larger than eggs with fathers preyed on *T. urticae* (Figure 2, Table 3). No significant impact of prey species on offspring developmental duration was observed. However, larger eggs tended to develop faster than small ones (Figure 3).

**TABLE 4.** Impact of parent prey and sex on egg size and developmental duration of *Neoseiulus californicus*.

| Source    | df  | P                |                        |
|-----------|-----|------------------|------------------------|
|           |     | Egg volume       | Developmental duration |
| F-I       | 1   | 0.251            | 0.788                  |
| M-I       | 1   | <b>0.038</b>     | 0.822                  |
| F-A       | 1   | <b>&lt;0.001</b> | 0.696                  |
| Sex       | 2   | <b>&lt;0.001</b> | 0.802                  |
| F-I * Sex | 1   | <b>0.049</b>     | 0.547                  |
| M-I* Sex  | 1   | 0.401            | 0.501                  |
| F-A*Sex   | 1   | 0.430            | 0.815                  |
| M-I * F-I | 1   | 0.148            | 0.073                  |
| F-I * F-A | 1   | 0.318            | 0.616                  |
| M-I * F-A | 1   | 0.602            | 0.815                  |
| Error     | 125 |                  |                        |

F-A, prey species of female adults; F-I, prey species of female immatures; M-I, prey species of male immatures.

**TABLE 5.** The sex probability of the first egg of *Neoseiulus californicus* in different feeding experiences.

| Treatments | Prey |     |     | Sex    |         |      |          |
|------------|------|-----|-----|--------|---------|------|----------|
|            | F-A  | F-I | M-I | Female |         | Male |          |
| I          | Tu   | Tu  | Tu  | 2      | (22.2%) | 7    | (77.7%)  |
| II         | Tu   | Tu  | Fo  | 1      | (14.3%) | 6    | (85.7%)  |
| III        | Tu   | Fo  | Tu  | 0      | (0)     | 11   | (100.0%) |
| IV         | Tu   | Fo  | Fo  | 1      | (9.1%)  | 10   | (90.9%)  |
| V          | Fo   | Tu  | Tu  | 2      | (20.0%) | 8    | (80.0%)  |
| VI         | Fo   | Tu  | Fo  | 2      | (22.2%) | 7    | (77.7%)  |
| VII        | Fo   | Fo  | Tu  | 0      | (0)     | 10   | (100%)   |
| VIII       | Fo   | Fo  | Fo  | 2      | (25%)   | 6    | (75%)    |

F-A, prey species of female adults; F-I, prey species of female immatures; M-I, prey species of male immatures. Tu, *Tetranychus urticae*; Fo, *Frankliniella occidentalis*.


There was no significant difference in proportion of female offspring detected treatments, with an overall mean of 68.2%. Eighty-eight percentage of the first-laid eggs and at least one of first two eggs developed to males. The proportions of males among the first-laid eggs do not differ significantly across the treatments ( $\chi^2=5.965$ ,  $P=0.544$ ) (Table 5). Four sex sequence patterns were observed for the first three eggs: MFF, MFM, MFF and FMF (M: male, F: female). The overall sex

sequences do not differ significantly across the treatments ( $\chi^2=23.543$ ,  $P=0.316$ ) (Table 6). Among the four sex sequence patterns, MFF was mostly observed (45%), followed by MFM (34%), FMF (12%), and the MMF (9%).


**TABLE 6.** The sex sequence of the first three egg of *Neoseiulus californicus* in different feeding experiences.

| Treatments | Prey |     |     | Sex sequence of first three eggs |            |            |            |  |  |  |  |
|------------|------|-----|-----|----------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|--|--|--|--|
|            | F-A  | F-I | M-I | FMF                              | MFF        | MFM        | MMF        |  |  |  |  |
| I          | Tu   | Tu  | Tu  | 2 (22.2%)                        | 2 (22.2%)  | 5 (55.6%)  | 0 (0)      |  |  |  |  |
| II         | Tu   | Tu  | Fo  | 0 (0)                            | 2 (66.7%)  | 1 (33.3%)  | 0 (0)      |  |  |  |  |
| III        | Tu   | Fo  | Tu  | 0 (0)                            | 3 (42.85%) | 1 (14.3%)  | 3 (42.85%) |  |  |  |  |
| IV         | Tu   | Fo  | Fo  | 1 (11.1%)                        | 4 (44.45%) | 4 (44.45%) | 0 (0)      |  |  |  |  |
| V          | Fo   | Tu  | Tu  | 1 (14.3%)                        | 5 (71.4%)  | 1 (14.3%)  | 0 (0)      |  |  |  |  |
| VI         | Fo   | Tu  | Fo  | 1 (20.0%)                        | 2 (40.0%)  | 2 (40.0%)  | 0 (0)      |  |  |  |  |
| VII        | Fo   | Fo  | Tu  | 0 (0)                            | 5 (62.5%)  | 2 (25.0%)  | 1 (12.5%)  |  |  |  |  |
| VIII       | Fo   | Fo  | Fo  | 2 (25.0%)                        | 2 (25.0%)  | 3 (37.5%)  | 1 (12.5%)  |  |  |  |  |

F-A, prey species of female adults; F-I, prey species of female immatures; M-I, prey species of male immatures. Tu, *Tetranychus urticae*; Fo, *Frankliniella occidentalis*.



**FIGURE 3.** Correlation between *Neoseiulus californicus* egg size and developmental duration A, eggs develop to females. B, eggs develop to females.



**FIGURE 4.** Assumed accumulated nutrition pattern for oviposition in *Neoseiulus californicus*.



## Discussion

In this experiment, we found that females preyed on *F. occidentalis* or *T. urticae* have similar cumulative fecundity, but differed in daily fecundity. The average daily fecundity of females fed on *F. occidentalis* was 1.5 eggs/female/day, while that of those fed on *T. urticae* was 2.5 eggs/female/day (Table 3). In other words, *N. californicus* fed on *T. urticae* had shorter oviposition duration than those fed on *F. occidentalis* (Table 3). Based on these results, we assume that there might be a nutritional threshold for each egg formation (Jaumann & Snell-Rood 2019). Females might still be able to lay eggs when fed on prey of poor nutritional quality, but required longer time to accumulate nutrient. When *N. californicus* preyed on *F. occidentalis*, the process of egg maturation in was slower when preyed on *T. urticae* (Figure 4).

Poor nutrient of prey fed by *N. californicus* males or females in immature stages have negative impact on female reproduction, leading to the reduced fecundity and postponed oviposition duration. However, these negative impacts are relatively minor and reversible. If mated female had sufficient food of good quality, such negative impact was limited. These results are similar to some previous studies. Greco *et al.* (2006) reported that if *N. californicus* females starve for 2–4 days, their daily fecundity will be recovered in 2–3 days when sufficient preys are provided. Ogawa & Osakabe (2008) also reported that *N. californicus* females could recover fecundity when their food is switched from artificial diet to natural prey, *T. urticae*.

In this study, no significant impact of the prey species on offspring sex ratio was observed. In contrast, Abdel-Khalek & Momen (2009) reported that the proportion of *N. californicus* female offspring is 18.2% lower when fed on *T. evansi* than fed on *T. urticae*, but the lower proportion of female offspring is accompanied by 90% decrease in fecundity. Similarly, Toyoshima & Amano (1998) found that starvation may led to 90% decrease of fecundity and 50% decrease of proportion of female offspring in two phytoseiid mites, *P. persimilis* and *Amblyseius womersleyi* Schicha. In most phytoseiid species, eggs are dimorphism, with those develop to females are larger than those develop to males. It is assumed that female embryos have higher nutritional requirement, so they may suffer more from environmental pressure of limited or low quality of prey. When the mother is under poor nutritional conditions, more female embryos deceased during early development, especially before eggs were laid, which led to decreased proportion of female offspring. In contrast, limited changes in proportion of female offspring were expected when no significant decrease in cumulative fecundity was observed.

For selective and generalist phytoseiid species, field releases are usually performed when pest density is low or before pests reach the economic injury level, so-called Predator-In-First (Kutuk & Yigit 2011; Kumar *et al.* 2015). Thus the pest population are expected to be controlled as early as possible, with fewer predators released and lower economic costs. Therefore, predatory mites are expected to experience low prey density or hard hunting conditions after released. Both *T. urticae* and *F. occidentalis* are important greenhouse pests worldwide, while *F. occidentalis* has lower temperature threshold and optimal temperature for development (Hart *et al.* 2002; Kasap 2004; Chaisuekul & Riley 2005). Thus, *F. occidentalis* population often occur earlier in greenhouses than *T. urticae*. If *N. californicus* are released early in the season when there is only *F. occidentalis* in field, the fecundity would be 0.6–1.6 eggs per female per day (Van Baal *et al.* 2007), its population could still catch up the increase of *T. urticae* population when their fecundity compensated when better prey species available.

Momen (1994) showed that 17% *Neoseiulus barkeri* (Hughes) females mate with starved males fail to oviposit, others have shorter mating duration and lower daily fecundity compared to those mated with normal males. In the present study, female had longer pre-oviposition duration when they mated with males developed under poor nutritional conditions. In some insects, males transfer some

nutritional contents (nuptial gift) to females during mating (Vahed 1998). For example, Immonena *et al.* (2009) reported that female *Drosophila subobscura* Collin under poor nutritional conditions prefer to mate with males grow under good nutritional conditions, so that they could increase their fecundity. Similar situations have also been observed in a few Acari species. van den Beuken & Smallegange (2018) reported that starved female bulb mite *Rhizoglyphus robini* Claparède mate with satiated males lay more eggs, and had higher proportions of female offspring. Phytoseiid males deliver spermatophores to females during mating. Investigating the contents in spermatophores, such as seminal fluid proteins as well as sperm, may provide evidences about functions of nuptial gifts in phytoseiids.

In this study, we investigated how feeding experiences of both males and females affect the reproduction of phytoseiid mites. Although females play dominant roles in reproduction regulation, nutritional stress of males may also affect their partners reproduction. Further studies are expected to investigate the mechanisms how males impact female reproduction, and to evaluate field release strategies under complicated prey species and density conditions.

### Acknowledgements

This study was supported by the National Key R&D Program of China (2017YFD0201000), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (31872028) and Beijing Leafy Vegetables Innovation Team of Modern Agro-Industry Technology Research System (BAIC07–2021). We also thank Dr. Nicolai Vitt Meyling (Department of Plant and Environmental Sciences, University of Copenhagen, Denmark) for assistance in improving the manuscript.

### References

- Abdel-Khalek, A. & Momen, F. (2009) Influence of prey availability and age of female on reproduction and sex ratio of the predacious mite *Typhlodromus athiasae* (Acari: Phytoseiidae). *Acta Phytopathologica et Entomologica Hungarica*, 44, 159–166.  
<https://doi.org/10.1556/APhyt.44.2009.1.17>
- Amano, H. & Chant, D.A. (1978) Mating behaviour and reproductive mechanisms of two species of predacious mites, *Phytoseiulus persimilis* Athias-Henriot and *Amblyseius andersoni* (Chant) (Acarina: Phytoseiidae). *Acarologia*, 20, 196–213.
- Badrbani, F.K., Golpayegani, A.Z., Saboori, A. & Yazdi, S.A. (2015) Oviposition, development and predation rates of *Neoseiulus californicus* fed on red and green forms of *Tetranychus urticae*. *Systematic & Applied Acarology*, 20(6), 603–611.  
<https://doi.org/10.11158/saa.20.6.3>
- Calvo, F., Bolckmans, K. & Belda, J. (2011) Control of *Bemisia tabaci* and *Frankliniella occidentalis* in cucumber by *Amblyseius swirskii*. *Biocontrol*, 56(2), 185–192.  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10526-010-9319-5>
- Castagnoli, M., Simoni, S. & Liguori, M. (2003) Evaluation of *Neoseiulus californicus* (McGregor) (Acari Phytoseiidae) as a candidate for the control of *Aculops lycopersici* (Tryon) (Acari Eriophyoidea): a preliminary study. *Redia*, 86, 97–100.
- Chaisuekul, C. & Riley, D. (2005) Host plant, temperature, and photoperiod effects on ovipositional preference of *Frankliniella occidentalis* and *Frankliniella fusca* (Thysanoptera: Thripidae). *Journal of Economic Entomology*, 98(6), 2107–2113.  
<https://doi.org/10.1093/jee/98.6.2107>
- Immonen, E., Hoikkala, A., Kazem, A.J. & Ritchie, M.G. (2009) When are vomiting males attractive? Sexual selection on condition-dependent nuptial feeding in *Drosophila subobscura*. *Behavioral Ecology*, 20(2), 289–295.  
<https://doi.org/10.1093/beheco/arp008>
- Escudero, L.A. & Ferragut, F. (2005) Life-history of predatory mites *Neoseiulus californicus* and *Phytoseiulus persimilis* (Acari: Phytoseiidae) on four spider mite species as prey, with special reference to *Tetranychus evansi* (Acari: Tetranychidae). *Biological Control*, 32, 378–384.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocontrol.2004.12.010>
- Fan, Y. & Pettit, F.L. (1994) Functional response of *Neoseiulus barkeri* Hughes on two-spotted spider mite (Acari: Tetranychidae).

- chidae). *Experimental & Applied Acarology*, 18, 613–621.  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/bf00051724>
- Gotoh, T. & Tsuchiya, A. (2008) Effect of multiple mating on reproduction and longevity of the phytoseiid mite *Neoseiulus californicus*. *Experimental & Applied Acarology*, 44, 185–197.  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10493-008-9143-0>
- Gotoh, T., Tsuchiya, A. & Kitashima, Y. (2006) Influence of prey on developmental performance, reproduction and prey consumption of *Neoseiulus californicus* (Acari: Phytoseiidae). *Experimental & Applied Acarology*, 40, 189–204.  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10493-006-9032-3>
- Greco, N., Liljestrom, G., Cédola, C. & Roggiro, M. (2006) Effect of prey deprivation on survival and reproduction of *Neoseiulus californicus* (Acari: Phytoseiidae) females. *Acarologia*, 46(1–2), 13–18.
- Greco, N.M., Sánchez, N.E., & Liljestrom, G.G. (2005) *Neoseiulus californicus* (Acari: Phytoseiidae) as a potential control agent of *Tetranychus urticae* (Acari: Tetranychidae): Effect of pest/predator ratio on pest abundance on strawberry. *Experimental & Applied Acarology*, 37, 57–66.  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10493-005-0067-7>
- Hart, A.J., Bale, J.S., Tullett, A.G., Worland, M.R. & Walters, K.F. (2002) Effects of temperature on the establishment potential of the predatory mite *Amblyseius californicus* McGregor (Acari: Phytoseiidae) in the UK. *Journal of Insect Physiology*, 48, 593–599.  
[https://doi.org/10.1016/s0022-1910\(02\)00087-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0022-1910(02)00087-2)
- Hoy, M.A. (1985) Recent advances in genetics and genetic improvement of the phytoseiidae. *Annual Review of Entomology*, 30(1), 345–370.  
<https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.en.30.010185.002021>
- Hoy, M.A. (2009) The predatory mite *Metaseiulus occidentalis*: mitey small and mitey large genomes. *Bioessays*, 31(5), 581–590.  
<https://doi.org/10.1002/bies.200800175>
- Houten, Y., Rijn, P., Tanigoshi, L.K., Stratum, P. & Bruin, J. (1995) Preselection of predatory mites to improve year-round biological control of western flower thrips in greenhouse crops. *Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata*, 74, 225–234.  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1570-7458.1995.tb01895.x>
- Khanamani, M., Fathipour, Y., Talebi, A.A. & Mehrabadi, M. (2017) Linking pollen quality and performance of *Neoseiulus californicus* (Acari: Phytoseiidae) in two-spotted spider mite management programmes. *Pest Management Science*, 73(2), 452–461.  
<https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.4305>
- Kidd, N.A.C. & Jervis M.A. (2007) Population Dynamics. In: Jervis, M.A. (Ed.), *Insects as Natural Enemies*. Dordrecht, Springer Netherlands, pp. 435–523.
- Kumar, V., Xiao, Y., McKenzie, C.L. & Osborne, L.S. (2015) Early establishment of the phytoseiid mite *Amblyseius swirskii* (Acari: Phytoseiidae) on pepper seedlings in a predator-in-first approach. *Experimental & Applied Acarology*, 65(4), 465–481.  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10493-015-9895-2>
- Kasap, İ. (2004) Effect of apple cultivar and of temperature on the biology and life table parameters of the two spotted spider mite *Tetranychus urticae*. *Phytoparasitica*, 32(1), 73–82.  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02980863>
- Kutuk, H. & Yigit, A. (2011) Pre-establishment of *Amblyseius swirskii* (Athias-Henriot) (Acari: Phytoseiidae) using *Pinus brutia* (Ten.) (Pinales: Pinaceae) pollen for thrips (Thysanoptera: Thripidae) control in greenhouse peppers. *International Journal of Acarology*, 37, 95–101.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/01647954.2010.540081>
- Lara, J. (2007) The effect of prey diet on the feeding preference of *Galendromus occidentalis* (Acarina: Phytoseiidae) for *Tetranychus pacificus* and *Eotetranychus willamettei* (Acarina: Tetranychidae). Paper presented at the Senior Research Seminar, Environmental Sciences Group Major University of California at Berkeley, California.
- McGregor, E.A. (1954) Two new mites in the genus *Typhlodromus* (Acarina: Phytoseiidae). *Southern California Academy of Science Bulletin*, 53, 89–92.
- McMurtry, J.A., & Croft, B.A. (1997) Life-styles of phytoseiid mites and their roles in biological control. *Annual Review of Entomology*, 42, 291–321.  
<https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ento.42.1.291>
- McMurtry, J.A., De Moraes, G.J. & Sourassou, N.F. (2013) Revision of the lifestyles of phytoseiid mites (Acari: Phytoseiidae) and implications for biological control strategies. *Systematic & Applied Acarology*, 18(4), 297–320.  
<https://doi.org/10.11158/saa.18.4.1>
- Mesa, N.C., Braun, A.R. & Belotti, A.C. (1990) Comparison of *Mononychellus progresivus* and *Tetranychus urticae* as prey for five species of phytoseiid mites. *Experimental & Applied Acarology*, 9(3–4), 159–168.  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/bf01193425>
- Mizobe, M., Kashio, T., Morita, S. & Takagi, M. (2005) Predation rate of *Neoseiulus californicus* (McGregor) on three spe-

- cies of greenhouse thrips. *Kyushu Plant Protection Research (Japan)*, 51, 73–77.
- Momen, F.M. (1994) Fertilization and starvation affecting reproduction in *Amblyseius barkeri* (Hughes) (Acari: Phytoseiidae). *Journal of Pest Science*, 67, 130–132.  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01909034>
- Momen, F.M. & Abdelkhalder, M.M. (2010) Fungi as food source for the generalist predator *Neoseiulus barkeri* (Hughes) (Acari: Phytoseiidae). *Acta Phytopathologica et Entomologica Hungarica*, 45(2), 401–409.  
<https://doi.org/10.1556/APhyt.45.2010.2.18>
- Nagelkerke, C.J. & Sabelis, M.W. (1998) Precise control of sex allocation in arrhenotokous phytoseiid mites. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, 11(6), 649–684.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/061X/98/060649>
- Nelson-Rees, W.A., Hoy, M.A. & Roush, R.T. (1980) Heterochromatinization, chromatin elimination and haploidization in the parahaploid mite *Metaseiulus occidentalis* (nesbitt) (acarina: phytoseiidae). *Chromosoma*, 77(3), 263–276.  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00286052>
- Ogawa, Y. & Osakabe, M. (2008) Development, long-term survival, and the maintenance of fertility in *Neoseiulus californicus* (Acari: Phytoseiidae) reared on an artificial diet. *Experimental & Applied Acarology*, 45, 123–136.  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10493-008-9189-z>
- Pascua, M.S., Rocca, M., Greco, N. & Clercq, P.D. (2020) *Typha angustifolia* L. pollen as an alternative food for the predatory mite *Neoseiulus californicus* (McGregor) (Acari: Phytoseiidae). *Systematic & Applied Acarology*, 25(1), 51–62.  
<https://doi.org/10.11158/saa.25.1.4>
- Rahmani, H., Fathipour, Y. & Kamali, K. (2009) Life history and population growth parameters of *Neoseiulus californicus* (Acari: Phytoseiidae) fed on *Thrips tabaci* (Thysanoptera: Thripidae) in laboratory conditions. *Systematic & Applied Acarology*, 14, 91–100.  
<https://doi.org/10.11158/saa.14.2.2>
- Sabelis, M.W., Nagelkerke, C.J. & Breeuwer, J.A.J. (2002) Sex ratio control in arrhenotokous and pseudo-arrhenotokous mites. In: Hardy, I.C.W. (Ed.), *Sex Ratios: Concepts and Research Methods*. Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, pp. 235–253.
- Jaumann, S. & Snell-Rood, E.C. (2019) Adult nutritional stress decreases oviposition choosiness and fecundity in female butterflies. *Behavioral Ecology*, 30(3), 852–863.  
<https://doi.org/10.1093/beheco/arz022>
- Seiedy, M., Soleymani, S. & Hakimitabar, M. (2017) Development and reproduction of the predatory mite *Amblyseius swirskii* Athias-Henriot (Acari: Phytoseiidae) on *Tetranychus urticae* Koch (Acari: Tetranychidae) and *Bemisia tabaci* Genadius (Heteroptera: Aleyrodidae). *International Journal of Acarology*, 43(2), 160–164.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/01647954.2016.1248486>
- Song, Z.-W., Zheng, Y., Zhang, B.-X. & Li, D.-S. (2016) Prey consumption and functional response of *Neoseiulus californicus* and *Neoseiulus longispinosus* (Acari: Phytoseiidae) on *Tetranychus urticae* and *Tetranychus kanzawai* (Acari: Tetranychidae). *Systematic & Applied Acarology*, 21(7), 936–946.  
<https://doi.org/10.11158/saa.21.7.7>
- Toyoshima, S. & Amano, H. (1998) Effect of prey density on sex ratio of two predacious mites, *Phytoseiulus persimilis* and *Amblyseius womersleyi* (Acari: Phytoseiidae). *Experimental & Applied Acarology*, 22, 709–723.  
<https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1006093424452>
- Vahed, K. (1998) The function of nuptial feeding in insects: A review of empirical studies. *Biological Reviews*, 73, 43–78.  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-185x.1997.tb00025.x>
- van Baal, E., van Houten, Y., Hoogerbrugge, H. & Bolckmans, K. (2007) Side effect on thrips of the spider mite predator *Neoseiulus californicus*. *Proceedings of the Section Experimental and Applied Entomology - Netherlands Entomological Society*, 18, 37.
- van den Beuken, T.P.G. & Smallegange, I.M. (2018) Male nutritional history affects female fecundity in a male-dimorphic mite: Evidence for a nuptial gift? *Evolutionary Ecology*, 32, 411–425.  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10682-018-9940-9>
- Xu, X., Wang, B., Wang, E. & Zhang Z.-Q. (2013) Comments on the identity of *Neoseiulus californicus* sensu lato (Acari: Phytoseiidae) with a redescription of this species from southern china. *Systematic & Applied Acarology*, 18(4), 329–344.  
<https://doi.org/10.11158/saa.18.4.3>
- Xu, X. & Liang, L. (1994) Studies on mating behaviour and reproduction of *Amblyseius pseudolongispinosus* Xin, Liang et Ke (Acarina: Phytoseiidae). *Journal of Anhui Agricultural University*, 21(1), 81–86.
- Zhang, X., Lv, J., Hu, Y., Wang, B., Chen, X., Xu, X. & Wang, E. (2015) Prey preference and life table of *Amblyseius orientalis* on *Bemisia tabaci* and *Tetranychus cinnabarinus*. *PLoS One*, 10, e0138820.  
<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0138820>

Submitted: 1 Dec. 2020; accepted by Guilherme Liberato da Silva: 15 Jun. 2021; published: 6 Aug. 2021