

# **Tree species composition, breeding systems, and pollination and sispersal syndromes in three forest successional stages in a tropical dry forest in Mesoamerica**

Authors: Hilje, Branko, Calvo-Alvarado, Julio, Jiménez-Rodríguez, César, and Sánchez-Azofeifa, Arturo

Source: Tropical Conservation Science, 8(1) : 76-94

Published By: SAGE Publishing

URL: https://doi.org/10.1177/194008291500800109

BioOne Complete (complete.BioOne.org) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at www.bioone.org/terms-of-use.

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non - commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

## **Research Article**

## **Tree species composition, breeding systems, and pollination and dispersal syndromes in three forest successional stages in a tropical dry forest in Mesoamerica**

## **Branko Hilje1,2,4, Julio Calvo-Alvarado<sup>3</sup>, César Jiménez-Rodríguez<sup>3</sup> and Arturo Sánchez-Azofeifa<sup>1</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>Centre for Earth Observation Sciences (CEOS), Earth and Atmospheric Sciences Department, University of Alberta, Edmonton, AB T6G 2E3, Canada

<sup>2</sup>Asociación para la Conservación y el Estudio de la Biodiversidad (ACEBIO), Casa 15, Barrio Los Abogados, Zapote, San José, Costa Rica

<sup>3</sup>Escuela de Ingeniería Forestal, Tecnológico de Costa Rica, Apartado 159-7050, Cartago, Costa Rica <sup>4</sup>Corresponding author E-mail address: [hiljerod@ualberta.ca](mailto:hiljerod@ualberta.ca)

#### **Abstract**

Tropical dry forests (TDF) in Mesoamerica are highly endangered by the expansion of human activities (e.g., agriculture and cattle ranching). In contrast, TDF in Costa Rica have experienced outstanding restoration due to changes in economic and conservation policies. Currently TDF landscapes in Costa Rica are a mosaic of different successional stages. Tree breeding systems and pollination and dispersal syndromes are key elements for understanding restoration processes in TDFs. In this study we describe and compare tree species composition and diversity in three TDF successional stages (early, intermediate and late) in Guanacaste, Costa Rica. We describe for the first time tree species breeding systems and pollination and dispersal syndromes for the largest and most significant TDF remnant in Mesoamerica. We set up nine plots, three per successional stage, and we measured and identified 1,072 trees from 96 species. Species richness and diversity indices were higher for the intermediate stage. Monoecy was the most common breeding system, as in other tropical life zones. Insects were the dominant pollinators, facilitated by the trees' small inflorescences. Wind was found to be not only the next most influential pollinator, mainly in open and disturbed early forests, but also it was also a good seed dispersal agent. As TDF age increases so does the relevancy of birds and mammals as dispersers; the late stage therefore has more tree species with adaptations to these dispersers.

**Key words**: Santa Rosa National Park; Costa Rica; monoecy; dioecy; species richness

#### **Resumen**

Los bosques secos tropicales (BST) están altamente amenazados en Mesoamérica debido a actividades humanas (agricultura y ganadería). Sin embargo, Costa Rica ha experimentado un importante proceso de restauración del BST como consecuencia de cambios en economías y políticas de conservación. Actualmente la cobertura forestal es un mosaico de estadíos sucesionales. Los sistemas reproductivos de las especies de árboles, sus síndromes de polinización y dispersión de semillas y cómo estos síndromes son afectados por condiciones ambientales y, son importantes elementos para entender los procesos de restauración del BST. En este estudio describimos y comparamos la composición y diversidad de especies de árboles en tres estadios sucesionales del BST en Guanacaste, Costa Rica. También describimos por primera vez los sistemas reproductivos y síndromes de polinización y dispersión para las especies de árboles presentes en el más importante remanente BST en Mesoamérica. Instalamos nueve parcelas en tres estadios sucesionales (temprano, intermedio y tardío, tres parcelas por estadio) y medimos e identificamos 1,072 árboles pertenecientes a 96 especies. La riqueza y diversidad de especies fue mayor para el estadio intermedio. El sistema de reproducción monoica fue el más común, siguiendo el patrón general para zonas de vida tropicales. La mayoría de especies de árboles son polinizadas por insectos, y los árboles poseen inflorescencias pequeñas. El viento es un importante polinizador, y dispersor de semillas, y este síndrome es más común en bosques tempranos y perturbados. Las aves y mamíferos aumentan su importancia como dispersores conforme los BSTs maduran, por lo tanto el estadío tardío posee más especies de árboles con adaptaciones para estos agentes dispersores.

**Palabras clave:** Parque Nacional Santa Rosa; Costa Rica; monoico; dioico; riqueza de especies

Received: 7 July 2014; Accepted 8 December 2014; Published: 23 March 2015

**Copyright**: © Branko Hilje, Julio Calvo-Alvarado, César Jiménez-Rodríguez and Arturo Sánchez-Azofeifa. This is an open access paper. We use the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 license http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/us/. The license permits any user to download, print out, extract, archive, and distribute the article, so long as appropriate credit is given to the authors and source of the work. The license ensures that the published article will be as widely available as possible and that your article can be included in any scientific archive. Open Access authors retain the copyrights of their papers. Open access is a property of individual works, not necessarily journals or publishers.

**Cite this paper as:** Hilje, B., Calvo-Alvarado, J., Jiménez-Rodríguez, C. and Sánchez-Azofeifa, A. 2015. Tree species composition, breeding systems, and pollination and dispersal syndromes in three forest successional stages in a tropical dry forest in Mesoamerica. *Tropical Conservation Science* Vol.8 (1): 76-94. Available online[: www.tropicalconservationscience.org](http://www.tropicalconservationscience.org/)

## **Introduction**

Among the most threatened ecosystems worldwide are the tropical dry forests (TDFs) [1-8]. In the Neotropics, particularly in Mesoamerica, TDFs are heavily utilized and perturbed by anthropogenic activities, primarily by agriculture expansion, tourism, and an increase in human settlements [9-11]. However, in some countries such as Costa Rica, TDFs are experiencing a significant comeback due to changes in socio-economic conditions [12-15]. Consequently, the TDFs in Costa Rica are a mosaic of secondary forests with varying ages and fragment sizes [1, 11, 16-18]. Currently, the vertical structure of Costa Rica's TDF is composed of deciduous and semi-deciduous trees with heights between 5 to 15 m [18, 20], organized mainly in two strata: understory and canopy [18]. The understory has more evergreen species, adapted to low light conditions during the wet season, than the canopy layer [18- 20].

The species diversity and composition of TDF successional stages are influenced and driven by natural and anthropogenic disturbances [21, 22]. Forest fires and the secondary effects of tropical storms (i.e. strong winds, extreme rainfalls) allow the opening of canopy gaps, interrupting the natural forest succession. This disruption is described by the Intermediate Disturbance Hypothesis (IDH) [23-25], which argues that any ecological community in equilibrium is affected by disturbances that enhance species diversity by allowing for species colonization and establishment [26].

Species presence or absence in a particular habitat can be determined by different factors (e.g. abiotic and biotic) [27]. In the case of plants, their mobility and location in the landscape is determined by seed dispersal strategies. For this reason, plants have adaptations that optimize seed dispersal by abiotic factors such as wind and water, or biotic dispersers such as fauna (e.g., fleshy colored fruits, seeds with food reward) [28], although there is debate about ripe-colored fruits as a strategic defence against pests and as an attractor of dispersers [28]. However, even when the seeds succeed at colonizing a site, seed germination and seedling success require other favorable conditions (e.g. soil humidity, light exposure or shade, amount of rain, and less competition with conspecifics and heterospecifics) [29, 30]. Plant reproduction is also important [30] and is related to pollinators and seed dispersers [31]. The variety of seed dispersal and pollination syndromes range from very generalist (e.g., wind, water, gravity) to very specific (e.g., particular species of fauna) [32]. The success of each strategy is variable, but specialization depends highly on other organisms, which means that the pollinator or disperser can be absent or specific to a particular habitat. The presence or absence of pollinators and dispersers can be affected by anthropogenic activities such as deforestation and forest fragmentation [33], by unusual climatic conditions, or by specific habitat characteristics such as seasonal flooding or drought conditions. [33].

Studies on the ecology and dynamics of plant species for TDFs are mainly focused on a few particular species [34-36], but at the community level differences in breeding systems, pollination, and seed dispersal syndromes in TDFs are not well understood or studied [34]. Type and frequency of breeding systems (e.g. monoecy and dioecy) have been studied mainly in the Neotropical rainforest, but there are few such studies for TDF [37-39]. The sexual systems (e.g. monoecy and dioecy), pollination syndromes, and the characteristics of flowers and fruits can influence the forest dynamics and successional processes [38, 40]. Therefore, plant pollination and seed dispersal strategies can determine the plant community composition in a particular site [41]. Furthermore, plant community can also influence the presence or absence of pollinators and seed dispersers, as well as the presence and abundance of certain plant species and breeding systems. Additionally, vegetation cover, related to different forest successional stages, can affect pollinators' and dispersers' presence, abundance, and composition [42, 43].

The characteristics of the remaining TDF fragments (size, shape and conservation status), underline the importance of its successional dynamics. Thus, it is not only critical to evaluate tree species composition in the TDF successional stages (early, intermediate, and late), but also it is important to understand how breeding systems, and pollination and seed dispersal syndromes vary across these successional stages, and whether these factors can restrict or potentiate the presence of some plant species to a particular successional stage.

In this study we describe and compare the breeding systems, pollination and seed dispersal syndromes of tree species in three TDF successional stages in Guanacaste, Costa Rica. The comparisons are based on the species composition, diversity and differences among successional stages, the main objective being to determine which reproductive traits influence the TDF restoration process.

## **Methods**

#### *Study Area*

The study area is located in the Santa Rosa National Park (SRNP), on the North-Western coast of Costa Rica (10°48'53" N, 85°36'54" W). This conservation area protects one of the largest dry forest remnants in Mesoamerica (Fig. 1). The climate has a dry season of six months (December-May) and a highly variable annual precipitation that ranges from 915 mm yr<sup>-1</sup> to 2,558 mm yr<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. 2) [4].



**Fig. 1. Santa Rosa National Park map showing locations of the nine plots in the three TDFs successional stages, Guanacaste, Costa Rica.**

The current land cover is a mixture of forest successional stages and pasture areas, as the SRNP was created from an old Spanish Hacienda (i.e., cattle ranching farm) [10, 11, 18]. In addition, most of the current TDF at SRNP is under intensive fire control, which in turn creates a very heterogeneous forest with a high diversity of habitats and biotic communities [44].





#### *Data collection*

Forest successional stages were selected based in their structure and composition according to Kalacska et al. [18]. They describe the forest succession in SRNP in terms of forest structure: tree height, number of canopy layers, tree light tolerance, and plant types, using forest age as a descriptive characteristic of the forest development and not as a deterministic factor. The categories of estimated forest ages in 2007 for these stages according to Kalacska et al. [18] are 21 years old (early stage), 32 y.o. (intermediate stage), and 90 y.o. or older (late stage) (Fig. 3).



**Fig. 3. Forest successional stages a) early, b) intermediate, c) late, dry season, Santa Rosa National Park, Guanacaste, Costa Rica.**

According to protocols described by Alvarez et al. [45], nine permanent plots of 1,000 m<sup>2</sup> (50 x 20 m, three per successional stage) were established. The selection of forest patches was performed previously by Kalacska et al. [18], who evaluated TDF in SRNP and determined its random spatial distribution. The distance between plots ranged from 400 to 1,000 m. In each plot, we measured and identified all trees with diameters at breast height (DBH, i.e., 1.3 m) larger than 5 cm.

We classified each tree species within the plots according to its breeding system (e.g. monoecious or dioecious), pollination, and seed dispersal syndromes. Breeding systems, pollination, and seed dispersal syndromes were determined for each species, and we supported our findings with literature review, scientific articles and species monographs. We had advice from plant parataxonomists of SRNP, and we also checked in the field for some of the tree species pollination syndromes that are not well determined. Monoecious plants have male and female flowers on the same individual (tree), including perfect flowers. Dioecious plants have flowers of one sex, male or female on different individuals [46]. We established the categories for pollination and seed dispersal syndromes according to Chazdon et al. [47].For pollination we established: entomophily (insects, including the Orders Coleoptera, Hymenoptera, Hemiptera, Diptera and other); lepidopterophily (Order Lepidoptera, moths, hawkmoths, and butterflies); anemophily (wind); chiropterophily (bats); and ornithophily (birds). Since some species can have more than one pollination system, we categorized them by the most important for each of the species observed [48]. We then classified trees according to the following seed dispersal syndromes: anemochory (wind); autochory (ballistic); barochory (gravity); chiropterochory (bats); mastochory (mammals other than bats); ornithochory (birds); and saurochory (reptiles). We also determined tree species richness, species diversity, composition, and abundances for each successional stage.

#### *Data analysis*

We estimated tree species diversity for each successional stage using Shannon diversity index (H') [49, 50], and we then compared this index among successional stages with two sample T-tests [51]. We performed a Jaccard's coefficient of similarity (J) and cluster analysis based on plot species composition for the successional stages [52]. We also performed a Morisita Index (Cλ) and a cluster analysis based on plot species composition and abundance [53]. Both indexes have been used widely to compare tree species composition among forest stages [52, 54, 55]. Finally, we performed Chisquares tests to compare breeding systems among successional stages.

## **Results**

#### *Species Composition and Diversity*

We measured a total of 1,104 trees in the nine successional plots. These trees were classified in 96 species, and grouped in 41 families (Appendix 1). Tree species richness was highest in the intermediate successional stage (72 species), followed by the late (61 species), and then early (32 species) stages (Appendix 1). Some endangered species such as Cocobolo (*Dalbergia retusa*) and Spanish cedar (*Cedrela odorata*), and species with high risk of extinction like Mahogany (*Swietenia macrophylla*) [56, 57], were found in all three TDF successional stages with a low abundance (e.g. *Swietenia macrophylla* individuals percentage per stage: early: 0.3%, intermediate 0.9%, and late 0.2%). The early forest stage is dominated by species well adapted to open habitats like Silk cotton tree (*Cochlospermum vitifolium*), Madero negro (*Gliricidia sepium*) and Yayo (*Rehdera trinervis*) (Appendix 1) [44, 58]. These are also sun-loving species (heliophytes) that have anemochory and autochory dispersal syndromes. Molenillo (*Luehea candida*) and Guácimo (*Guazuma ulmifolia*) are the dominant species of the intermediate stage, and these are old tall trees that remain in forest patches. Mexican jumping bean (*Sebastiana pavoniana*) and Cancerina (*Semialarium mexicanum*) are shade tolerant species that prevail in the late stage.

In the early successional stage, there was a total of 20 families, the intermediate stage 35 families, and the late stage 36 families (Appendix 1). Tree species from the Fabaceae family were present in all the successional stages (Table 1) with abundances between 15-21% of the total individuals registered in each stage (early: 20.4%, intermediate: 18.5%, and late: 15.9%); however, this family is more dominant in the early and intermediate stages. Some families were highly dominant in only one particular successional stage: Verbenaceae (29.5%) and Cochlospermaceae (18.2%) in early stage and

Euphorbiaceae (20%) in the late stage (Table 1). Some families were only present or at least more common in some stages, for example Burseraceae in late stage; Tiliaceae, Flacourtiaceae, Sterculiaceae and Boraginaceae in the intermediate stage (Table 1).



**Table 1.** Tree families with a percent of composition greater than 5% in three tropical dry forest successional stages in Santa Rosa National Park, Guanacaste, Costa Rica.

The intermediate stage is the most diverse (H' = 3.89), while the early and late stages account for H' values of 1.90 and 3.31 respectively. The diversity indices showed differences among the three stages (late vs. intermediate, T= 7.93, df= 784, p< 0.0001; late vs. early, T= 12.99, df= 609, p< 0.0001; intermediate vs. early, T= 19.30, df= 471, p<0.0001). Of 96 species in total, 17 species were common to all successional stages, while four species were exclusive to the early stage, 22 to the intermediate stage, and eight to the late stage (Appendix 1). Species similarity among successional stages was low, and we determined 26% of species similarity between the early and the intermediate stages, 33% between the early and the late stages, and 44% between intermediate and late stages. Based on Jaccard's similarity, we determined two major groups from a cluster analysis. One group allocates the three early stages plots with an intermediate plot (I3) and a late plot (L2), and the second groups two intermediate and two late stage plots (Fig. 4). The first group is based on the presence of four common species among the plots Yayo (*Rehdera trinervis*)*,* Silk cotton tree (*Cochlospermum vitifolium*)*,*  Cancerina (*Semialarium mexicanum*)*,* and Nance (*Byrsonima crassifolia*) showing a dominance of pioneer species. The second group is based on the presence of shade intolerant species like Molenillo (*Luehea candida*)*,* Guácimo (*Guazuma ulmifolia*)*,* and Madroño negro (*Guettarda macrosperma*) (Appendix 1). Most trees of these species are old trees that remain when forests become old.

The cluster based on Morisita Index showed also two main groups (Fig. 5). Plot similarities were very low, but two early plots (E1 and E2) had a high similarity (>0.8) due to the high abundance of Yayo (*Rehdera trinervis*) (35% of the total trees) and Silk cotton tree (*Cochlospermum vitifolium*) (25% of the total trees) (Appendix 1). The plot with the lowest species similarity is a late stage of succession (L1), due to the high dominance of Mexican jumping bean (*Sebastiana pavoniana*) (32% of the total trees) and Quina (*Exostema mexicanum*) (12%) (Appendix 1).



#### *Breeding systems, pollination and dispersal syndromes*

Monoecy was the most common breeding system in terms of total number of individuals or total number of species ( $X^2 = 920.41$ , df= 1, p<0.0001;  $X^2$ <sub>yates</sub> = 135.91, df= 1, p<0.0001, respectively), while the dioecy system was present only in a few individuals and species in all stages (Table 2). We determined five different pollination syndromes in the three TDF successional stages at SRNP (entomophily, lepidopterophily, anemophily, chiropterophily, and ornithophily). Entomophily was the most frequent syndrome either by number of individuals or number of species, followed by lepidopterophily in all the successional stages (Table 3). Anemophily was important in number of trees pollinated, but only few species are pollinated by wind (Table 3). Chiropterophily and ornithophily were important syndromes for some trees, but only few species rely on them. Some tree species are generalistic and depend on more than a single pollinator (Appendix 1). Seven different dispersal syndromes were observed in all the TDF successional stages, wind and birds being the most important agents in number of individuals and number of species dispersed (Table 4). Mastochory is also a very important syndrome in terms of number of species dispersed for all the successional stages, and bats are important for some particular species such as Gumbo-limbo (*Bursera simaruba*), Nance (*Byrsonima crassifolia*), Ojoche (*Brosimum alicastrum*), and Jagua (*Genipa americana*). There are some tree species, Madero negro (*Gliricidia sepium*), Spanish cedar (*Cedrela odorata*), *Bernardia nicaraguensis*, and Casco de venado (*Bauhinia ungulata*) that do not depend on animals for seed dispersal (e.g. autochory and barochory) (Appendix 1).

**Table 2.** Tree species richness and breeding systems observed in three tropical dry forests (TDFs) successional stages in Santa Rosa National Park, Guanacaste, Costa Rica-



**Table 3.** Pollination syndrome by tree individuals and species observed in three tropical dry forest successional stages in Santa Rosa National Park, Guanacaste, Costa Rica.





 **Table 4**. Seed dispersal syndrome by tree individuals and species observed in three tropical dry forest stages in Santa Rosa, National Park, Guanacaste, Costa Rica.

## **Discussion**

#### *Species composition*

Species richness and diversity of the TDFs evaluated in this study are consistent with the Intermediate Disturbance Hypothesis (IDH) [59], the intermediate stage being the most diverse and with the highest species richness. According to this hypothesis, intermediate successional stages at the SRNP have in general a level of recovery that is a transition in structure, physiognomy, microhabitats, and microclimates between young and old-growth forests [59]. This transition makes the intermediate stage viable and suitable for tree species that reside in both young open habitats and old-growth forests. However, the intermediate stage shares more species with the late stage than with the early stage, suggesting that the transition characteristics of the intermediate successional stage facilitate a higher colonization and establishment of species more adapted to older successional stages. Pioneer tree species were mostly found in the early stage; these species are fast- growing and well-adapted to disturbed habitats with full sunlight exposure [44, 60].

Not surprisingly in our study, heliophitic plant families were dominant in the early successional stage (e.g. Verbenaceae, Cochlospermaceae and Fabaceae). The late successional stage has a more shaded understory and forest floor, and more humid soils (pers. obs.), which promote tree species germination and growth. This may explain why some species are restricted to this stage, Huevos de caballo (*Stemmadenia obovata*), Mexican jumping bean (*Sebastiana pavoniana*), Quebracho (*Lysiloma divaricatum*), Canelo (*Ocotea veraguensis*), and Quina (*Exostema mexicanum*). Low abundances of valuable timber species, Ron ron (*Astronium graveolens*), Cocobolo (*Dalbergia retusa*), Spanish cedar (*Cedrela odorata*), and Mahogany (*Swietenia macrophylla*) are related to past selective pressure from logging [56-58, 61, 62]. Some important dry forest pioneer species like Cocobolo (*D. retusa*) and Ron ron (*A. graveolens*), both wind-dispersed species, were absent from the early stage, which may be due to the absence of close mother trees [63, 64]. The low species similarity among successional stages shows that the stages are very different in tree species composition, suggesting that the plots evaluated for each forest successional can have a particular history of species colonization and establishment (Fig. 4). This is also supported by the cluster analysis based on species composition and

species abundances (Fig. 5). We think this is a combination of species habits (e.g. heliophytic, shadetolerant) and high abundance of some particular species due to their dispersal syndromes in the successional plots. Two heliophytic wind-dispersed trees showed a high dominance in two early plots (E1 and E2), which had the highest species similarity. In contrast, one late successional stage plot with the lowest species similarity is dominated by two shade-tolerant species that have anemochory, Quina (*Exostema mexicanum*) and possibly autochory Mexican jumping bean (*Sebastiana mexicanum*, unknown dispersal syndrome) [65].

#### *Breeding systems*

Monoecy is the most common breeding system observed in this study in terms of number of individuals and number of species. Monoecy is a basal evolutionary plant characteristic of flowering plants, and about 80% of the flowering tree species worldwide are monoecious [65]. Monoecy is present in tree species with small flowers and not dependant on specific pollinators, while specific reliable pollinators are needed only for dioecious species to succeed [67, 68]. Even though dioecious plants are in relatively lower numbers, their out-crossing is much more effective than out-crossing of monoecious plants [46, 69]. Dioecy also avoids self-pollination and autonomous reproduction, and increases genetic variability in unpredictable environments [46, 70, 71]. However, self-pollination can be important for monoecious tree species in TDF, particularly when conspecifics are few and/or far away from each other [71]. Some scientific investigations have found that the ratio between monoecy:dioecy is 3:1 (20-25% of the trees are dioecious) for TDFs [72, 73], but surprisingly, in our study only 4% of the trees are dioecious, indicatingthat in the dry forests of SRNP trees are rarely dependant on specific pollinators, but rely mainly on generalist pollinators (e.g., insects and wind). This is supported by our findings for both pollination and dispersal syndromes, where the high frequency of strong winds allows tree species take advantage of this particular climatic condition.

#### *Pollination syndromes*

Entomophily is one of the most common and important pollination syndromes in several biomes throughout the Neotropics [34, 74-79]. Our results for SRNP confirm this pattern, which is also reported for dry forests in Brazil [34]. Most of the tree species observed in our study have small inflorescences that seem to be adapted to pollination by small visitors such as insects. In addition, SRNP has an enormous insect diversity of about 13,000 species with the potential to visit flowers and pollinate them. High insect diversity can define plant species composition in habitats, being important seed predators and primary pollinators, and therefore determining the presence/absence and abundance of plant species in habitats [80].

Wind pollination (anemophily) can be an important pollination agent in early forests (open and disturbed) where pollinators may be scarce due to increased distance from less disturbed forests. We have observed few wind-pollinated tree species in the early stage, but one species was highly dominant there, Yayo (*Rehdera trinervis*) (Appendix 1). Also, wind does not prevent insects completely from visiting flowers; however, evaluating the success of these visits was not part of this study.

In our study, chiropterophily was a frequent syndrome in some tree species like Guapinol (*Hymenaea courbaril*) and Palanco (*Sapranthus palanga*), but again, most of tree species surveyed in this study have small inflorescences that are more adapted for insect pollination. These particular species are highly dependent on chiropterophily due to their floral structures [81, 82]. Ornithophily is less common in TDF than in forests at higher elevations (e.g. mid and high) with higher amounts of mist and humidity [83].

### *Dispersal syndromes*

Wind is a very important dispersal agent, mainly in the early stage, where the majority of tree species have dry fruits (e.g. legumes, siliques, achenes, samaras, capsules) (pers. obs.). Plants with seeds and fruits adapted to wind-dispersal are less dependant on the presence of a specific disperser. This is very advantageous in SRNP, because the fruiting period of the majority of species takes place in the dry season, during which trade winds in SRNP are dominant and strong [44].

Many species in the tropics, including TDF, are either entirely or partially dependant on birds and mammals for seed dispersal [84-87]. Fleshy fruits and dry indehiscent fruits are important food sources for birds (171 species of birds present in SRNP) and mammals such as monkeys, agoutis and other rodents, white-tailed deer, peccaries, and others. However, the forest structure of the early successional stage (e.g. open short canopy) is not suitable for monkeys, though White-Faced capuchin monkeys (*Cebus capucinus*) in SRNP may visit early stages to feed on fruit of Acacia trees (*Vachellia collinsii*), which are highly dominant in early stages [88]. The absence of closed canopy can also limit bird visits, lengthening time for tree species colonization and establishment in early stages of the forest. On the other hand, seed dispersal by animals helps seeds travel, colonize and establish farther away from their parent trees, which reduces mortality by predation, pathogens, and intraspecific competition associated with parents' proximity [89]. Autochory (ballistic) and barochory (gravity) are other important seed dispersal strategies in trees that do not depend on any disperser [86], such as those producing dry fruits, specifically dehiscent fruits like legumes and siliques . Even when we identified a few tree species with these dispersal traits, these were very abundant mainly in the early successional stage, Guácimo de monte (*Helicteres baruensis*), Casco de venado (*Bauhinia ungulate*), and Madero negro (*Gliricidia sepium*) (Appendix 1). Barochory in SNRP TDF is currently demonstrated by species with large heavy fruits, which used to be dispersed by extinct megafauna [90].

## **Implications for Conservation**

Our study describes the floristic composition of three successional stages of the dry forest of SRNP in Costa Rica. This is one of the most significant and largest remnants of dry forest in Mesoamerica. Tree species in this region are not only related to abiotic factors such as soil humidity and canopy shade, but also to biotic factors such as seed dispersers and proximity to seed mother trees, as well as other biophysical soil properties [91]. Many tree species common to Costa Rican TDF are missing from the early stage, and consequently species composition is different from intermediate and late stages. We found that many tree species are restricted to the late stage, and some of them are very rare in Costa Rican dry forests in general.

This study describes for the first time breeding systems and pollination and dispersal syndromes for a large number of tropical dry forest tree species through a succession. This knowledge is critical in terms of conservation because it gives an idea on what species colonize a perturbed place, and how long it takes for some other to colonize and establish in older forests. We observed a transition in species composition across a dry forest succession, showing how species are replaced when the forest becomes older. In terms of pollination and dispersal agents this study shows how syndromes vary across the dry forest succession and how some specific syndromes are restricted to certain forest age. Insects are important to consider in this TDF remnant due to their relevance as key pollinators for TDFs. SRNP dry forest fosters a high insect diversity that promotes pollination of many tree species. This information is crucial on how natural restoration occurs in a dry forest, but also critical for restoration efforts of this highly endangered ecosystem in other countries in the Mesoamerican region.

## **Acknowledgements**

This work is part of the Research Project: TROPI-DRY (Human, Ecological and Biophysical Dimension on Tropical Dry Forest) a collaborative research network funded by the InterAmerican Institute for Global Change Research (IAI) Collaborative Research Network Program (CRN3-025), supported by the U.S. National Science Foundation (Grant GEO 0452325). Special recognition is given to Instituto Tecnológico de Costa Rica and the University of Alberta for their support throughout all the phases of this project. We thank A. Guadamuz, R. Espinoza and J. Vargas, parataxonomists of the Área de Conservación Guanacaste (ACG), for their invaluable help with some species syndromes identification. We thank R. Blanco from SRNP for his support throughout the field data collection. BH thanks Ministerio de Ciencia, Tecnología y Telecomunicaciones (MICITT) Costa Rica, and Consejo Nacional para Investigaciones Científicas y Tecnológicas (CONICIT) Costa Rica for their support. We thank D. Carvajal-Vanegas and A. Calvo-Obando for providing information for Appendix 1. We thank the editor and two anonymous reviewers for their important feedback to improve the manuscript. We thank D. Harrison for kindly reviewing the last version of the manuscript.

## **References**

- [1] Janzen, D. H. 1988. Management of Habitat Fragments in a Tropical Dry Forest: Growth. *Annals of the Missouri Botanical Garden* 75:105-116.
- [2] Ewel, J. J. 1999. Natural systems as models for the design of sustainable systems of land use. *Agroforestry Systems* 45:1-21.
- [3] Sánchez-Azofeifa, G. A., Kalacska, M., Quesada, M., Calvo-Alvarado, J. C., Nassar, J. and Rodríguez, J. 2005. Need for Integrated Research for a Sustainable Future in Tropical Dry Forests. *Conservation Biology* 19:1-2.
- [4] Sánchez-Azofeifa, G. A., Quesada, M., Rodríguez, J. P., Nassar, J. M., Stoner, K. E., Castillo, A., Garvin, T., Zent, E. L., Calvo-Alvarado, J. C., Kalacska, M. E. R., Fajardo, L., Gamon, J. A. and Cuevas-Reyes, P. 2005. Research Priorities for Neotropical Dry Forests. *Biotropica* 37:477-485.
- [5] Sánchez-Azofeifa, G. A., Calvo-Alvarado, J. C., Espiritu-Santo, M. M., Fernandes, G. W., Powers, J. S. and Quesada, M. 2013. Tropical Dry Forest in the Americas: The Tropi-dry endeavour. In: *Tropical Dry Forests in the Americas: Ecology, Conservation and Management*. Sánchez-Azofeifa, G. A., Powers, J. S., Fernandes, G. W. and Quesada, M. (Eds.), pp.1-16. CRC Press, USA.
- [6] Hoekstra, J., Boucher, T., Ricketts. T. and Roberts, C. 2005. Confronting a biome crisis: global disparities of habitat loss and protection. *Ecology Letters* 8:23-29.
- [7] Portillo-Quintero, C. and Sánchez-Azofeifa, G. A. 2010. Extent and conservation of tropical dry forests in the Americas. *Biological Conservation* 143:144-155.
- [8] Sánchez-Azofeifa, G. A. and Portillo-Quintero, C. 2011 Extent and Drivers of Change of Neotropical Seasonally Dry Tropical Forests. In: *Seasonally Dry Tropical Forests: Ecology and Conservation*. Dirzo, R., Young, H., Mooney, H. and Ceballos, G. (Eds.), pp.45-57. Island Press, London.
- [9] Maass, J., Vose, J. M., Swank, W. T. and Martínez-Yrízar, A. 1995. Seasonal changes of leaf area index (LAI) in a tropical deciduous forest in west Mexico. *Forest Ecology and Management* 74:171-180.
- [10] Quesada, M. and Stoner, K. E. 2004. Threats to the conservation of the tropical dry forest in Costa Rica. In: *Biodiversity Conservation in Costa Rica: Learning the Lessons in a Seasonal Dry Forest*. Frankie, G. W., Mata, A. and Vinson, S. B. (Eds.), pp.266-280. University of California Press, Berkeley.

- [11] Arroyo-Mora, J. P., Sánchez-Azofeifa, G. A., Rivard, B., Calvo, J. C. and Janzen D. H. 2005. Dynamics in landscape structure and composition for the Chorotega region, Costa Rica from 1960 to 2000. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment* 106:27-39.
- [12] Calvo-Alvarado, J. C., Sánchez-Azofeifa, G. A. and Kalacska, M. 2008. Deforestation and Restoration of Tropical Dry Forest: The case of Chorotega Region-Costa Rica. In: *Applying Ecological Knowledge to Land use Decisions*. Tiessen, H. and Stewart, J. (Eds.), pp.123-133. (SCOPE) Scientific Committee on Problems of the Environment IAI, the Inter-American Institute for Global Change Research, and IICA, the Inter-American Institute for Cooperation on Agriculture.
- [13] Calvo-Alvarado, J. C., McLennan, B., Sánchez-Azofeifa, G. A., and Garvin, T. 2009. Deforestation and forest restoration in Guanacaste, Costa Rica: Putting conservation policies in context. *Forest Ecology and Management* 258:931-940.
- [14] Calvo-Alvarado, J. C., Sánchez-Azofeifa, G. A., and Portillo-Quintero, C. 2013. Neotropical Seasonally Dry Forests. In: *Encyclopedia of Biodiversity*. (2nd ed.). Levin, S. (Ed.), pp.488-500. Academic Press, Princeton University, New Jersey.
- [15] Redo, D. J., Grau, H. R., Aide, T. M., and Clark, M. L. 2012. Asymmetric forest transition driven by the interaction of socioeconomic development and environmental heterogeneity in Central America. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 109:8839-8844.
- [16] Janzen, D. H. 1986. Guanacaste National Park: ecological and cultural restoration. EUNED-FPN-PEA, San José, Costa Rica
- [17] Stoner, K. E. and Timm, R. M. 2004. Tropical dry-forest mammals of Palo Verde: Ecology and conservation in a changing landscape. In: *Biodiversity Conservation in Costa Rica: Learning the Lessons in a Seasonal Dry Forest*. Frankie, G. W., Mata, A. and Vinson, S. B. (Eds.), pp.48-66. University of California Press, Berkeley.
- [18] Kalacska, M., Sánchez-Azofeifa, G. A., Calvo-Alvarado, J. C., Quesada, M., Rivard, B. and Janzen, D. H. 2004. Species composition, similarity and diversity in three successional stages of a seasonally dry tropical forest. *Forest Ecology and Management* 200:227-247.
- [19] Bazzaz, F. and Pickett, S. 1980. Physiological ecology of tropical succession: a comparative review. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution and Systematics* 11:287-310.
- [20] Hartshorn, G. S. 1983. Plants. In: *Costa Rican Natural History*. Janzen, D. H. (Ed.), pp.118-157. University of Chicago Press.
- [21] Ewel, J. 1980. Tropical succession. Mainfold routes to maturity. *Biotropica* 12:2-7
- [22] Brown, S. and Lugo, A.E. 1990. Tropical secondary forests. *Journal of tropical Ecology* 6:1-32.
- [23] Wilson, J. B. 1994. The 'intermediate disturbance hypothesis' of species coexistence is based on patch dynamics. *New Zealand Journal of Ecology* 18:176-181.
- [24] Molino, J. F. and Sabatier, D. 2001. Tree Diversity in Tropical Rain Forests: A Validation of the Intermediate Disturbance Hypothesis. *Science* 294:1702-1704
- [25] Roxburgh, S. H., Shea, K. and Wilson J. B. 2004. The intermediate disturbance hypothesis: Patch dynamics and mechanisms of species coexistence. *Ecology* 85:359-371.
- [26] Townsend, C. R. and Scarsbrook, M. K. 1997. The intermediate hypothesis, refugia, and biodiversity in streams. *Limnology and Oceanography* 42:938-949.
- [27] Turner, M. G. 2010. Disturbance and landscape dynamics in a changing world. *Ecology* 91:2833-2849.

- [28] Schaefer, H. M., Schmidt, V., and Winkler, H. 2003. Testing the defence trade-off hypothesis: how contents of nutrients and secondary compounds affect fruit removal. *Oikos* 102:318-328.
- [29] Belyea, L. R. and Lancaster, J. 1999. Assembly Rules within a Contingent Ecology. *Oikos* 86:402-416.
- [30] Hargreaves, A. L. and Eckert, C. G. 2014. Evolution of dispersal and mating systems along geographic gradients: implications for shifting ranges. *Functional Ecology* 28:5-21.
- [31] Vázquez, D. P., Morris, W. F. and Jordano, P. 2005. Interaction frequency as a surrogate for the total effect of animal mutualists on plants. *Ecology Letters* 8:1088-1094.
- [32] Waser, N. M., Chittka, L., Price, M. V., Williams, N. M. and Ollerton, J. 1996. Generalization in Pollination Systems, and Why it Matters. *Ecology* 77:1043-1060.
- [33] Setsuko, S., Nagamitsu, T. and Tomaru, N. 2013. Pollen flow and effects of population structure on selfing rates and female and male reproductive success in fragmented Magnolia stellata populations. *BMC Ecology* 13:10.
- [34] Machado, I. C. and Lopes, A. V. 2004. Floral traits and pollination systems in the Caatinga, a Brazilian tropical dry forest. *Annals of Botany* 94:365-376.
- [35] Leal, F. C., Lopes, A. V. and Machado, I. C. 2006. Polinização por beija-flores em uma área de caatinga no Município de Floresta, Pernambuco, Nordeste do Brasil. *Revista Brasileira de Botanica* 29:379-389.
- [36] Machado, I. C., Vogel, S. and Lopes, A. V. 2002. Pollination of *Angelonia cornigera* Hook. (Scrophulariaceae) by Long-Legged, Oil-Collecting Bees in NE Brazil. *Plant Biology* 4:352-359.
- [37] Bawa, K. S. 1990. Plant-Pollinator Interactions in Tropical Rain Forests*. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution and Systematics* 21:399-422.
- [38] Machado, I. C., Lopes, A. V. and Sazima, M. 2006. Plant sexual systems and a review of the breeding system studies in the Caatinga, a Brazilian tropical dry forest. *Annals of Botany* 97:277-287.
- [39] Bawa, K. S., and Opler, P. A. 1975. Dioecism in tropical forest trees. *Evolution* 167-179.
- [40] Ibarra-Manríquez, G. and Oyama, K. 1992. Ecological correlates of reproductive traits of Mexican rain forest trees. *American Journal of Botany* 79:383-394.
- [41] Du, Y., Mi, X., Liu, X., Chen, L. and Ma, K. 2009. Seed dispersal phenology and dispersal syndromes in a subtropical broad-leaved forest of China. *Forest Ecology and Management* 258:11471152.
- [42] Murali, K. and Sukumar, R. 1994. Reproductive phenology of a tropical dry forest in Mudumalai, southern India. *Journal of Ecology* 82:759-767.
- [43] van Schaik, C. P., Terborgh, J. W. and Wright, S. J. 1993. The phenology of tropical forests: adaptive significance and consequences for primary consumers. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution and Systematics* 24:353-377.
- [44] Janzen, D. H. 1983. Plants. Species accounts. In: *Costa Rican Natural History*. Janzen, D. H. (Ed.), pp.148-350. University of Chicago Press.
- [45] Álvarez, M., Avila-Cabadilla, L. D., Berbara, R., Calvo-Alvarado, J. C., Cuevas-Reyes, P., do Espirito Santo, M. M., Fernández, A., Fernandes, G. W., Herrera, R., Kalácska, M., Lawrence, D., Monge Romero, F., Nassar, J. M., Quesada, M., Quesada, R., Rivard, B., Sanz D'Angelo, V. and Stoner, K. 2008. Manual of Methods: Human,

Ecological and Biophysical Dimensions of Tropical Dry Forests. Ediciones IVIC, Instituto Venezolano de Investigaciones Científicas (IVIC), Caracas, Venezuela, pp.18-21.

- [46] Richards, A. J. 1997. Plant breeding systems. Chapman and Hall, London.
- [47] Chazdon, R. L., Careaga, S., Webb, C. and Vargas, O. 2003. Community and phylogenetic structure of reproductive traits of woody species in wet tropical forests. *Ecological Monographs* 73:331-348.
- [48] Giovanetti, M., and Aronne, G. 2011. Honey bee interest in flowers with anemophilous characteristics: first notes on handling time and routine on *Fraxinus ornus* and *Castanea sativa*. *Bulletin of Insectology* 64:77-82.
- [49] Butturi-Gomes, D., Junior, M. P., Giacomini, H. C., and Junior, P. D. M. 2014. Computer intensive methods for controlling bias in a generalized species diversity index. *Ecological Indicators* 37 Part A:90-98.
- [50] Agrawal, A. and Gopal, K. 2013. Application of Diversity Index in Measurement of Species Diversity. Biomonitoring of Water and Waste Water, Springer India.
- [51] Zar, J. H. 2010. Biostatistical Analysis. 5th ed. Prentice-Hall/Pearson, New Jersey.
- [52] Real, R. and Vargas, J. M. 1996. The Probabilistic Basis of Jaccard's Index of Similarity. *Systematic Biology* 45:380-385.
- [53] Golay, J., Kanevski, M., Vega Orozco, C. D., & Leuenberger, M. 2014. The multipoint Morisita index for the analysis of spatial patterns. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* 406:191-202.
- [54] Magurran, A. E. 2004. Measuring Biological Diversity. Blackwell Publishing MA, USA.
- [55] Pottier, J., Dubuis, A., Pellissier, L., Maiorano, L., Rossier, L., Randin, C. F., Vittoz, P. and Guisan, A. 2013. The accuracy of plant assemblage prediction from species distribution models varies along environmental gradients. *Global Ecology and Biogeography* 22:52-63.
- [56] CITES. 2014. *2014 Convention on international trade in endangered species of wild fauna and flora. Appendices I, II and III*. www.cites.org
- [57] IUCN. 2014. *2014 IUCN Red List of Threatened Species*. www.iucnredlist.org
- [58] Chavarría, U., González, J. and Zamora, N. 2001. Árboles comunes del Parque Nacional Palo Verde. Editorial INBio, Heredia, Costa Rica.
- [59] Catford, J. A., Daehler, C. C., Murphy, H. T., Sheppard, A. W., Hardesty, B. D., Westcott, D. A., Rejmánek, M., Bellingham, P. J., Pergl, J., Horvitz, C. C., and Hulmei, P. E. 2012. The intermediate disturbance hypothesis and plant invasions: Implications for species richness and management. *Perspectives in Plant Ecology Evolution and Systematics* 14:231-241.
- [60] Barbosa, C. E. de A., Benato, T., Cavalheiro, A. L. and Torezan, J. M. D. 2009. Diversity of Regenerating Plants in Reforestations with *Araucaria angustifolia* (Bertol.) O. Kuntze of 12, 22, 35, and 43 Years of Age in Paraná State, Brazil. *Restoration Ecology* 17:60-67.
- [61] Jiménez-Madrigal, Q., Rojas-Rodríguez, F. E., Rojas-Chacón, V., Rodríguez-Sánchez, S. and Feeny, C. 2002. Árboles maderables de Costa Rica. Ecología y silvicultura. Timber tres of Costa Rica. Ecology and Silviculture. Editorial INBio, Heredia, Costa Rica.
- [62] Flores-Vindas, E. M. and Obando-Vargas, G. 2003. Árboles del trópico húmedo: importancia socioeconómica. Editorial Tecnológica de Costa Rica, Cartago, Costa Rica.

- [63] García, E. G. and Di Stéfano, J. F. 2000. Temperatura y germinación de las semillas de *Dalbergia retusa* (Papilonaceae), árbol en peligro de extinción. *Revista de Biología Tropical* 48:43-45.
- [64] Guzmán, J. A. and Cordero, R. A. 2013. Growth and photosynthetic performance of five tree seedlings species in response to natural light regimes from the Central Pacific of Costa Rica. *Revista de Biología Tropical* 61:1433-1444.
- [65] Saravy, F. P., Freitas, P. D., Lage, M. A., Leite, S. J., Braga, L. F., and Sousa, M. P. 2003. Síndrome de dispersão em estratos arbóreos em um fragmento de floresta ombrófila aberta e densa em alta floresta-MT. *Alta Floresta* 2:1-12.
- [66] Renner, S. S. and Ricklefs, R. E. 1995. Dioecy and its correlates in the flowering plants. *American Journal of Botany* 82:596-606.
- [67] Bawa, K. S. and Beach, J. H. 1981. Evolution of sexual systems in flowering plants. *Annals of the Missouri Botanical Garden* 254-274.
- [68] Gross, C. L. 2005. A comparison of the sexual systems in the trees from the Australian tropics with other tropical biomes—more monoecy but why? *American Journal of Botany* 92:907-919.
- [69] Friedman, J., and Barrett, S. C. 2009. The consequences of monoecy and protogyny for mating in wind pollinated *Carex*. *New Phytologist* 181:489-497.
- [70] Harder, L. D., Barrett, S. C. H. and Cole, W. W. 2000. The mating consequences of sexual segregation within inflorescences of flowering plants. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences* 267:315- 320.
- [71] Rea, A. C. and Nasrallah, J. B. 2008. Self-incompatibility systems: barriers to self-fertilization in flowering plants. *International Journal of Developmental Biology* 52:627-636.
- [72] Bullock, S. H. 1985. Breeding Systems in the Flora of a Tropical Deciduous Forest in Mexico. *Biotropica* 17:287-301.
- [73] Gillespie, T. W. 1999. Life history characteristics and rarity of woody plants in tropical dry forest fragments of Central America. *Journal of Tropical Ecology* 15:637-649.
- [74] van Dulmen, A. 2001. Pollination and phenology of flowers in the canopy of two contrasting rain forest types in Amazonia, Colombia. In: *Tropical forest canopies: ecology and management.* Linsenmair, K. E. (Ed.), pp.73- 85. Springer Netherlands.
- [75] Meléndez-Ramírez, V., Parra-Tabla, V., Kevan, P. G., Ramírez-Morillo, I., Harries, H., Fernández-Barrera, M. and Zizumbo-Villareal, D. 2004. Mixed mating strategies and pollination by insects and wind in coconut palm (*Cocos nucifera* L.(Arecaceae)): importance in production and selection. *Agricultural and Forest Entomology* 6:155-163.
- [76] Gottsberger, G., and Silberbauer-Gottsberger, I. 2006. Life in the Cerrado: Pollination and seed dispersal (Vol. 2). Reta Verlag.
- [77] Girão, L. C., Lopes, A. V., Tabarelli, M. and Bruna, E. M. 2007. Changes in tree reproductive traits reduce functional diversity in a fragmented Atlantic forest landscape. *PLoS One* 2:e908
- [78] Lopes, A. V., Girão, L. C., Santos, B. A., Peres, C. A. and Tabarelli, M. 2009. Long-term erosion of tree reproductive trait diversity in edge-dominated Atlantic forest fragments. *Biological Conservation* 142:1154- 1165.

- [79] Kimmel, T. M., do Nascimento, L. M., Piechowski, D., Sampaio, E. V., Nogueira Rodal, M. J. and Gottsberger, G. 2010. Pollination and seed dispersal modes of woody species of 12-year-old secondary forest in the Atlantic Forest region of Pernambuco, NE Brazil. *Flora-Morphology, Distribution, Functional Ecology of Plants* 205:540-547.
- [80] Janzen, D. H. 1987. Insect diversity of a Costa Rican dry forest: why keep it, and how? *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* 30:343-356.
- [81] Gentry, A. H. 1996. A field guide to the families and genera of woody plants of northwest South America (Colombia, Ecuador, Perú), with supplementary notes on herbaceous taxa. The University of Chicago Press.
- [82] CATIE. 2004. Manual árboles de Centroamérica. Centro Agronómico Tropical de Investigación y Enseñanza. Turrialba, Costa Rica.
- [83] Stiles, F. G., Skutch, A. F. and Gardner, D. 2007. Guide to the birds of Costa Rica. 4<sup>th</sup> ed. Editorial INBio, Heredia, Costa Rica.
- [84] Howe, H. F. and Smallwood, J. 1982. Ecology of Seed Dispersal. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution and Systematics* 13:201-228.
- [85] Jordano, P. 2000. Fruits and frugivory. In: *Seeds: The Ecology of Regeneration in Plant Communities*. Fenner, M. (Ed.), pp.125-166. CAB International, Wallingford, England.
- [86] Wikander, T. 1984. Mecanismos de Dispersión de Diásporas de una Selva Decidua en Venezuela. *Biotropica* 16:276-283.
- [87] Peres, C. A., Schiesari, L. C. and Dias-Leme, C. L. 1997. Vertebrate predation of Brazil-nuts ( *Bertholletia excelsa*, Lecythidaceae), an agouti-dispersed Amazonian seed crop: a test of the escape hypothesis. *Journal of Tropical Ecology* 13:69-79.
- [88] Young, H., Fedigan, L. M. and Addicott, J. F. 2008. Look before leaping: foraging selectivity of capuchin monkeys on acacia trees in Costa Rica. *Oecologia* 155:85-92.
- [89] Janzen, D. H. 1970. Herbivores and the number of tree species in tropical forests. *American Naturalist* 501- 528.
- [90] Janzen, D. H. and Martin, P. S. 1982. Neotropical anachronisms: the fruits the gomphotheres ate. *Science* 215:19-27.
- [91] Powers, J. S., Becknell, J. M., Irving, J., and Pérez-Aviles, D. 2008. Diversity and structure of regenerating tropical dry forests in Costa Rica: Geographic patterns and environmental drivers. *Forest Ecology and Management* 258:959-970.

Appendix 1. Tree species observed in numbers and percentages, including diameter at breast height (DBH), their breeding system, and pollination and seed dispersal syndrome in three tropical dry forest stages in Santa Rosa National Park, Guanacaste, Costa Rica.



#### **Tropical Conservation Science | ISSN 1940-0829 | Tropicalconservationscience.org**

.

