

Beyond the Envelope

Author: Beardsley, Timothy M.

Source: BioScience, 59(6) : 459

Published By: American Institute of Biological Sciences

URL: <https://doi.org/10.1525/bio.2009.59.6.1>

BioOne Complete (complete.BioOne.org) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at www.bioone.org/terms-of-use.

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non - commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

PUBLISHER

Richard T. O'Grady

EDITOR IN CHIEF

Timothy M. Beardsley

SENIOR EDITOR

Donna Daniels Verdier

PRODUCTION MANAGER / ART DIRECTOR

Herman Marshall

PEER REVIEW / EXTERNAL RELATIONS

Jennifer A. Williams

MANUSCRIPT EDITOR

Laura C. Sullivan

Editors: Eye on Education: Cathy Lundmark (educationoffice@aibs.org); Feature articles: Cathy Lundmark (features@aibs.org); Washington Watch: Robert E. Gropp (publicpolicy@aibs.org).

Editorial Board: Agriculture: Sonny Ramaswamy; Animal Behavior: Janice Moore; Animal Development: Paula Mabee; Botany: Kathleen Donohue; Cell Biology: Randy Wayne; Ecology: Scott Collins, Daniel Simberloff; Ecotoxicology: Judith S. Weis; Education: Gordon E. Uno; Environmental Policy: Gordon Brown, J. Michael Scott; Evolutionary Biology: James Mallet; Genetics and Evolution: Martin Tracey; History and Philosophy: Richard M. Burian; Invertebrate Biology: Kirk Fitzhugh; Landscape Ecology: Monica Turner; Microbiology: Edna S. Kaneshiro; Molecular Biology: David Hillis; Molecular Evolution and Genomics: David Rand; Neurobiology: Cole Gilbert; Plant Development: Cynthia S. Jones; Policy Forum: Eric A. Fischer; Population Biology: Ben Pierce; Professional Biologist: Jean Wyld; Sensing and Computation: Geoffrey M. Henebry; Statistics: Kent E. Holsinger; Vertebrate Biology: Harvey B. Lillywhite.

BioScience (ISSN 0006-3568; e-ISSN 1525-3244) is published 11 times a year (July/August combined) by the American Institute of Biological Sciences, 1444 I Street, NW, Suite 200, Washington, DC 20005, in collaboration with the University of California Press. Periodicals postage paid at Berkeley, CA, and additional mailing offices. **POSTMASTER:** Send address changes to *BioScience*, University of California Press, Journals and Digital Publishing, 2000 Center Street, Suite 303, Berkeley, CA 94704-1223.

Membership and subscription: Individual members, go to www.aibs.org/individual-membership for benefits and services, membership rates, and back issue claims. Subscription renewal month is shown in the four-digit year-month code in the upper right corner of the mailing label. Institutional subscribers, go to www.ucpressjournals.com or e-mail customer service@ucpressjournals.com.

Advertising: For information on both display and online advertisements and deadlines, contact Colin Mackenzie, University of California Press; e-mail: colin.mackenzie@ucpress.edu or adsales@ucpressjournals.com. For information on classified placements and deadlines, contact Jennifer A. Williams, AIBS; e-mail: jwilliams@aibs.org.

Copying and permissions notice: Authorization to copy article content beyond fair use (as specified in sections 107 and 108 of the US Copyright Law) for internal or personal use, or the internal or personal use of specific clients, is granted by the Regents of the University of California on behalf of the AIBS for libraries and other users, provided that they are registered with and pay the specified fee through the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC), www.copyright.com. To reach the CCC's Customer Service Department, dial 978-750-8400 or write to 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923. Permission, as well as paper reprints, may also be obtained for current content through the Rightslink service on Caliber at <http://caliber.ucpress.net>. For information about Rightslink and for other permissions and licensing inquiries, please go to the University of California Press's Rights and Permissions Web site: www.ucpressjournals.com/reprintInfo.asp.

Abstracting and indexing: For complete abstracting and indexing information, please visit www.ucpressjournals.com.

© 2009 American Institute of Biological Sciences. All rights reserved. Printed at Allen Press, Inc.

BioScience

Organisms from Molecules to the Environment

American Institute of Biological Sciences

Beyond the Envelope

B iologists are familiar with studies that estimate the range of temperatures that must prevail for a species to survive and then examine where those temperatures might be found under various scenarios for the future climate. The approach, which allows a rough estimate of how a species' geographic range might change with global warming, has provided important insights: the expected shifts in the ranges of many organisms, animals and plants, seem drastic. In many cases, ranges seem likely to be smaller in the future as biota move toward the poles and higher up mountains (where that option exists).

Bioclimatic envelope modeling can be more sophisticated than this simplistic account suggests; precipitation and other variables may be included, for example. But organismal biologists know that the actual determinants of where a species thrives can be dauntingly complex and, moreover, can change as genes migrate. Animals, which may modify their behavior and diets opportunistically, present more of a challenge in this respect than do plants. But plants, which may be genetically more labile, are complicated enough, and the interdependencies of the members of the kingdoms mean that bioclimatic envelope monitoring could never supply a final answer.

In the article that begins on p. 489, Eric Post and his colleagues describe some ways that researchers have started to refine bioclimatic envelope modeling as they seek insights into the factors that limit species' distributions. They focus on the use of time-series data to examine correlations between climatic and population variables. Although this approach demands large amounts of data, it can highlight subtle yet important effects. A key revelation is that local populations of a species often vary dramatically in their responses to climate measures. The anomalies can flag important influences—for example, interactions of local populations with other species may ameliorate or exacerbate responses to abiotic factors. Post and colleagues take care not to propose the approaches they describe as replacements for other modes of analysis, but the fascinating findings they relate from work with just a few species indicate promising potential to uncover significant phenomena.

The importance of better understanding and analysis of linkages between organisms and their environment is, coincidentally or not, the principal message of five "grand challenges in organismal biology" recently highlighted in a statement issued by the Executive Committee of the Society for Integrative and Comparative Biology. The document, available at www.sicb.org, could become a useful starting point for researchers lobbying to increase funding for organismal biology. But an important task remains beyond the starting point: the powerful but abstract ideas in the five challenges need to be fleshed out with real-world examples of the ways in which, for example, organisms respond to environmental changes. These real-world examples will drive home the importance of organismal biology to society. If a price tag can be attached to these examples, politicians will be more likely to pay attention, knowing that inaction could be costly; they cannot be counted on to act on the basis of abstract ideas. Cost issues are far from straightforward (see, for example, the letter from Mark Sagoff on p. 461 and the accompanying response from Gary Luck and colleagues). Ignoring them, however, is not an option.

TIMOTHY M. BEARDSLEY

Editor in Chief

doi:10.1525/bio.2009.59.6.1