

## How to Get Real about Biotechnology

Author: Beardsley, Timothy M.

Source: BioScience, 61(9): 651

Published By: American Institute of Biological Sciences

URL: https://doi.org/10.1525/bio.2011.61.9.1

BioOne Complete (complete.BioOne.org) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at <a href="https://www.bioone.org/terms-of-use">www.bioone.org/terms-of-use</a>.

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non - commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

PUBLISHER

EDITOR IN CHIEF Timothy M. Beardsley

MANAGING EDITOR James M. Verdier

BOOK REVIEW EDITOR PEER REVIEW / PRODUCTION COORDINATION Jennifer A. Williams

> MANUSCRIPT EDITOR Nathan N. True

Editors: Eye on Education: Beth Baker (educationoffice@aibs.org); Feature articles: Beth Baker (features@aibs.org); Washington Watch: Robert E. Gropp (publicpolicy@aibs.org). Editorial Board: Agriculture: Sonny Ramaswamy; Animal Behavior: Janice Moore; Animal Development: Paula Mabee; Botany: Kathleen Donohue Cell Biology: Randy Wayne; Ecology: Scott Collins, Daniel Simberloff; Ecology and Conservation: David Wilcove; Ecotoxicology: Judith S. Weis; Education: Charlene D'Avanzo; Environmental Microbiology Rita R. Colwell; Environmental Policy: Gordon Brown, J. Michael Scott; Evolutionary Biology: James Mallet; Genetics and Evolution: Martin Trace History and Philosophy: Richard M. Burian; Human Biology: David L. Evans; Invertebrate Biology: Kirk Fitzhugh; Landscape Ecology: Monica Turner; Mammalogy: David M. Leslie Jr.; Microbiology: Edna S. Kaneshiro; Molecular Biology: David Hillis; Molecular Evolution and Genomics: David Rand; Neurobiology: Cole Gilbert; Plant Development: Cynthia S. Jones; Policy Forum: Eric A. Fischer; Population Biology: Ben Pierce; Professional Biologist: Jean Wyld; Remote Sensing and Computation: Geoffrey M. Henebry; Statistics: Kent E. Holsinger; Vertebrate Biology: Harvey B. Lillywhite. **BioScience** (ISSN 0006-3568; e-ISSN 1525-3244) is published 12 times a year by the American Institute of Biological Sciences, 1900 Campus Commons Dr., Suite 200, Reston, VA 20191, in collaboration with the University of California Press. Periodicals postage paid at Berkeley, CA, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: Send address changes to BioScience, University of California Press, Journals and Digital Publishing, 2000 Center Street, Suite 303, Berkeley, CA 94704-1223, or e-mail customerservice@ ucpressiournals.com.

Membership and subscription: Individual members, go to www.aibs.org/aibs-membership/index. html for benefits and services, membership rates and back issue claims. Subscription renewal month is shown in the four-digit year-month code in the upper right corner of the mailing label. Institutional subscribers, go to www. ucpressjournals.com or e-mail customerservice@ ucpressjournals.com. Out-of-print issues and volumes are available from Periodicals Service Company, 11 Main Street, Germantown, NY 12526-5635; telephone: 518-537-4700; fax: 518-537-5899; Web site: www.periodicals.com. Advertising: For information about display and online advertisements and deadlines, e-mail adsales@ ucpressjournals.com. For information about classified placements and deadlines, contact Jennifer A. Williams, AIBS (jwilliams@aibs.org)

Copying and permissions notice: Authorization to copy article content beyond fair use (as specified in sections 107 and 108 of the US Copyright Law) for internal or personal use, or the internal or personal use of specific clients, is granted by the Regents of the University of California on behalf of AIBS for libraries and other users, provided that they are registered with and pay the specified fee through the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC), www.copyright.com. To reach the CCC's Customer Service Department, call 978-750-8400 or e-mail info@copyright.com. For permission to distribute electronically, republish, resell, or repurpose material, and to purchase article offprints, use the CCC's Rightslink service on JSTOR at http://www.jstor.org/r/ucal/bio. Submit all other permissions and licensing inquiries through the University of California Press's Rights and Permissions Web site, www.ucpressjournals.com/reprintInfo.asp, or e-mail journalspermissions@ucpress.edu.

Abstracting and indexing: For complete abstracting and indexing information, please visit www.ucpressjournals.com.

© 2011 American Institute of Biological Sciences.

All rights reserved. Printed at Allen Press, Inc.

BioScience<sub>®</sub>

**Organisms from Molecules to the Environment**American Institute of Biological Sciences

## How to Get Real about Biotechnology

CAST) neatly puts a finger on a commonly ignored disconnect between law and science. This disconnect has stymied not only US government regulation of genetically modified animals proposed for use as food—the subject of the CAST paper—but also, in some European and African countries, regulation of genetically modified crops. Considering that malnutrition kills more people than AIDS, malaria, and tuberculosis combined and that the world population is still growing, this disconnect deserves to be more widely understood by scientists, policymakers, and the public.

The CAST paper (available from www.cast-science.org/publications), written by a group chaired by Alison L. Van Eenennaam of the University of California, Davis, and titled *The Science and Regulation of Food from Genetically Engineered Animals*, describes how applications to deregulate the sale of food animals created through genetic engineering are evaluated for their potential risks. The applications are handled by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA). In the case of the first proposal known to be under review, the AquAdvantage salmon developed by Aqua Bounty Technologies, the process has lasted for more than 15 years so far (it may be coming to a conclusion this year). FDA researchers have concluded that there is "reasonable certainty of no resulting harm" and no "significant impact on the quality of the human environment" from the fish. Nonetheless, the FDA must comply with the National Environmental Policy Act, which judges have sometimes interpreted to require consideration of social, economic, cultural, aesthetic, and historic concerns. Consequently, objectors may demand the evaluation of a proposal's possible harms on multiple large groups of people defined by their being vulnerable in principle.

The disconnect is that the regulatory process is unable to systematically balance ineliminable risk, which can be found for any technology if the net is cast widely enough, against the technology's estimated benefits to those same large groups. Many benefits are ignored. And as CAST notes, risks similar to those evaluated for genetically modified animals are commonly prominent in conventionally derived animals, which are subject to no regulatory approval. For example, any AquAdvantage salmon that managed to escape despite the planned physical barriers are far less likely to breed successfully with (and thus threaten populations of) wild salmon than are ordinary farmed salmon, which often escape. But this projected advantage is not credited to the hi-tech fish's account. CAST reports that regulatory uncertainties "have essentially halted commercial and public investment in the development of genetically engineered animals for agricultural applications in the United States." Similar complaints have been made recently in *BioScience* in regard to the approval process for genetically modified plants designed to sequester atmospheric carbon dioxide (60: 729–741).

Caution is laudable, but in a world already vastly affected by humans, the risks of new technologies should be compared with the existing—and expected—risks of current technologies, not with those of an imaginary utopia. The law is, famously, an inadequate animal. Policy leaders might seek an opportunity to make it less so if they want to see biotechnology achieve its potential.

TIMOTHY M. BEARDSLEY

Editor in Chief

doi:10.1525/bio.2011.61.9.1