

A Route to Boundary Crossing?

Author: Beardsley, Timothy M.

Source: BioScience, 62(3) : 215

Published By: American Institute of Biological Sciences

URL: <https://doi.org/10.1525/bio.2012.62.3.1>

BioOne Complete (complete.BioOne.org) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at www.bioone.org/terms-of-use.

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non - commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

PUBLISHER
Richard T. O'Grady

EDITOR IN CHIEF
Timothy M. Beardsley

MANAGING EDITOR
James M. Verdier

BOOK REVIEW EDITOR
PEER REVIEW / PRODUCTION COORDINATION
Jennifer A. Williams

MANUSCRIPT EDITOR
Nathan N. True

Editors: Eye on Education: Beth Baker (educationoffice@aibs.org); Feature articles: Beth Baker (features@aibs.org); Washington Watch: Robert E. Gropp (publicpolicy@aibs.org).

Editorial Board: Agriculture: Sonny Ramaswamy; Animal Behavior: Janice Moore; Animal Development: Paula Mabec; Botany: Kathleen Donohue; Cell Biology: Randy Wayne; Conservation: Nick Haddad; Ecology: Scott Collins, Daniel Simberloff; Ecology and Conservation: David Wilcove; Ecotoxicology: Judith S. Weis; Education: Charlene D'Avanzo; Environmental Microbiology: Rita R. Colwell; Environmental Policy: Gordon Brown, J. Michael Scott; Evolutionary Biology: James Mallet; Genetics and Evolution: Martin Tracey; History and Philosophy: Richard M. Burian; Human Biology: David L. Evans; Invertebrate Biology: Kirk Fitzhugh; Landscape Ecology: Monica Turner; Mammalogy: David M. Leslie Jr.; Microbiology: Edna S. Kaneshiro; Molecular Biology: David Hillis; Molecular Evolution and Genomics: David Rand; Neurobiology: Catherine E. Carr; Plant Development: Cynthia S. Jones; Policy Forum: Eric A. Fischer; Population Biology: Ben Pierce; Professional Biologist: Jean Wyld; Remote Sensing and Computation: Geoffrey M. Henebry; Statistics: Kent E. Holsinger; Vertebrate Biology: Harvey B. Lillywhite.

BioScience (ISSN 0006-3568; e-ISSN 1525-3244) is published 12 times a year by the American Institute of Biological Sciences, 1900 Campus Commons Dr., Suite 200, Reston, VA 20191, in collaboration with the University of California Press. Periodicals postage paid at Berkeley, CA, and additional mailing offices. **POSTMASTER:** Send address changes to *BioScience*, University of California Press, Journals and Digital Publishing, 2000 Center Street, Suite 303, Berkeley, CA 94704-1223, or e-mail customerservice@ucpressjournals.com.

Membership and subscription: Individual members, go to www.aibs.org/aibs-membership/index.html for benefits and services, membership rates, and back issue claims. Subscription renewal month is shown in the four-digit year-month code in the upper right corner of the mailing label. Institutional subscribers, go to www.ucpressjournals.com or e-mail customerservice@ucpressjournals.com. Out-of-print issues and volumes are available from Periodicals Service Company, 11 Main Street, Germantown, NY 12526-5635; telephone: 518-537-4700; fax: 518-537-5899; Web site: www.periodicals.com.

Advertising: For information about display and online advertisements and deadlines, e-mail adsales@ucpressjournals.com. For information about classified placements and deadlines, contact Jennifer A. Williams, AIBS (jwilliams@aibs.org).

Copying and permissions notice: Authorization to copy article content beyond fair use (as specified in sections 107 and 108 of the US Copyright Law) for internal or personal use, or the internal or personal use of specific clients, is granted by the Regents of the University of California on behalf of AIBS for libraries and other users, provided that they are registered with and pay the specified fee through the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC), www.copyright.com. To reach the CCC's Customer Service Department, call 978-750-8400 or e-mail info@copyright.com. For permission to distribute electronically, republish, resell, or repurpose material, use the CCC's Rightslink service on JSTOR at <http://www.jstor.org/rucal/bio>. Submit all other permissions and licensing inquiries through the University of California Press's Rights and Permissions Web site, www.ucpressjournals.com/reprintInfo.asp, or e-mail journalspermissions@ucpress.edu. **Abstracting and indexing:** For complete abstracting and indexing information, please visit www.ucpressjournals.com.

© 2012 American Institute of Biological Sciences. All rights reserved. Printed at Allen Press, Inc.

BioScience®

A Forum for Integrating the Life Sciences
American Institute of Biological Sciences

A Route to Boundary Crossing?

Many thoughtful observers of the scientific scene have stressed the need to encourage more research that crosses traditional disciplinary boundaries. Although few argue against that proposition in the abstract, turning the aspiration into reality has often seemed difficult, largely because the traditional reward systems of academia and grant giving have tended to favor work that, instead, fits into well-known categories and so can be more easily assessed.

The article by Todd Crowl and his colleagues that appears on p. 282 of this issue should therefore be of interest to researchers and administrators who have contemplated this conundrum. The reported study provides some welcome information on the possible effects of a major effort to boost interdisciplinary research networks that was launched over a decade ago. The National Science Foundation's Research Coordination Networks in Biological Sciences (RCN) provided over \$1 million per year, starting in 2001, to support the efforts of investigators to "communicate and coordinate their research efforts across disciplinary, organizational, institutional, and geographical boundaries." Crowl and his colleagues examined various indicators of research output and collaboration for 13 research groups that received five-year RCN awards in plant science, ecology, and environmental science and technology before, during, and after their awards. The authors also looked at similar indicators in a small sample of groups that had sought but failed to win RCN awards.

The limitations of the available data prevented the authors from making the ideal comparisons for examining RCN award effectiveness—which would have been between groups that were the same in all pertinent respects except for receiving an RCN award. In fact, the unfunded group scored lower by the assessed measures of research output before the awards were made. Further complications were that coauthorship, cross-institutional collaboration, international collaboration, and the "integration score" of publications increased in the unfunded group as well as in the funded group in comparisons of the periods before the awards were made and after they had ended. Still, the increase in collaboration seemed more robust in the RCN-funded group, as did an increase in the "integration score" on an article-by-article basis.

The level of cross-citation of researchers within a network also showed some evidence of having increased more in RCN-funded projects than in unfunded ones. And articles published with RCN support during the award period were clearly cited more than the others and were published in higher-impact-factor journals than the others. Yet the data also suggest that some of the increase in the interdisciplinarity of research output stimulated by an RCN award did not persist after the end of the award; interested readers should consult the article for details.

Despite the complications, the authors argue that their data support the conclusion that the provision of RCN funds between 2001 and 2005 did foster new problem-focused research networks; it clearly supported high-quality research. More definitive evidence must await further study, as must an evaluation of how this particular funding mechanism compares in effectiveness with other ways of encouraging interdisciplinary research, such as funding physical research centers where there is face-to-face collaboration.

TIMOTHY M. BEARDSLEY
Editor in Chief

doi:10.1525/bio.2012.62.3.1