

On the Correct Authorship of Three Combinations in *Xerochlamys* Baker (Sarcoilaenaceae)

Authors: Hong-Wa, Cynthia, and Schatz, George E.

Source: *Candollea*, 66(1) : 107-108

Published By: The Conservatory and Botanical Garden of the City of Geneva (CJBG)

URL: <https://doi.org/10.15553/c2011v661a8>

BioOne Complete (complete.BioOne.org) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at www.bioone.org/terms-of-use.

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non-commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

6. HONG-WA, Cynthia & George E. SCHATZ: On the correct authorship of three combinations in *Xerochlamys* Baker (Sarcolaenaceae)

Introduction

Within the endemic Malagasy family *Sarcolaenaceae*, a group of closely related genera have been treated by various authors as either three genera (*Leptolaena* Thouars, *Mediusella* (Cavaco) Hutch., and *Xerochlamys* Baker), or as a single broadly-defined genus under the name *Leptolaena*. Following an earlier revision of *Leptolaena* s.s. (SCHATZ & al., 2001), and in light of an ongoing molecular phylogenetic study of the family (Haevermans, pers. comm.), a recent revision recognizes both *Mediusella* and *Xerochlamys*, and clarifies species delimitation in the two genera (HONG-WA, 2009).

Until now, authorship of three combinations in *Xerochlamys* (*X. bojeriana*, *X. diospyroidea*, and *X. grandidieri*) for names originally described in the genus *Sarcolaena* Thouars by Baillon has been attributed to J. G. Baker. PERRIER DE LA BATHIE (1931) accepted the genus *Xerochlamys* and mistakenly cited Baker as the author of the three combinations, in each case incorrectly citing their place of publication as “Trim. J. of Bot. 1882: 4”. CAVACO (1952), in adopting *Leptolaena* s.l., similarly misattributed authorship of the combinations *Xerochlamys bojeriana* and *X. grandidieri* to Baker in the same incorrect reference, placing them in synonymy under *Leptolaena bojeriana* (Baill.) Cavaco and citing their place of publication as “*Journ. of Bot.* XX 1882: 4”; however, he did not mention the combination *Xerochlamys diospyroidea* in synonymy under *Leptolaena diospyroidea* (Baill.) Cavaco. In fact, the current full journal citation for Baker’s 1882 publication, which both Perrier and Cavaco incorrectly cited as occurring on page 4, is “*Journal of Botany, British and Foreign* 20: 45” (standard abbreviation “*J. Bot.*”; the journal was originally known as “*Trimen’s Journal of Botany, British and Foreign*”, hence Perrier’s abbreviation “*Trim. J. of Bot.*”), where Baker first described the genus *Xerochlamys* and the species *X. pilosa*, but made no mention whatsoever of *bojeriana*, *diospyroidea*, or *grandidieri*. These citation errors, both in authorship and

place of publication, were perpetuated, when recently HONG-WA (2009) also erroneously attributed the three combinations to Baker, incorrectly citing their place of publication as “*Journal of the Linnean Society, Botany* 25: 296. 1889”, where, in fact, Baker published *X. pubescens*, but again made no mention of *X. bojeriana*, *X. diospyroidea*, or *X. grandidieri*.

Despite describing these three species in the genus *Sarcolaena*, BAILLON (1886) nevertheless placed them in what he considered to be part of sect. *Xerochlamys* (Baker) Baill. SCHUMANN (1893) published a treatment of *Chlaenaceae* in Engler & Prantl’s “*Die Natürlichen Pflanzenfamilien*” in which he recognized the genus *Xerochlamys*, and associated Baillon’s three *Sarcolaena* names with *Xerochlamys*, but clearly stated that he did not know the three species and therefore could not pass judgment on their correct placement (“*Leider kenne ich jene Arten (Sarcochlaena [Xerochlamys] bojeriana Baill., grandidieri Baill., diospyroidea Baill.) nicht, und kann also über diese ein Urteil nicht abgeben*”. SCHUMANN, 1893: 174), thus not validly publishing the new combinations for the three names in *Xerochlamys* (Article 34.1 of the ICBN; McNEILL & al., 2006). Although *Xerochlamys* is also recognized as a separate genus from *Leptolaena* and *Sarcolaena* in “*Index Kewensis*” (Volume II, p. 1238; Suppl. i, vi, vii, ix), these epithets initially appeared under *Sarcolaena* in 1895 and 1906 (Volume II, p. 804; Suppl. i) and later under *Leptolaena* in 1958 (Suppl. xii), but never as combinations in *Xerochlamys*.

GÉRARD (1915) likewise recognized *Xerochlamys* as a distinct genus; he discussed Baker’s description of the genus and two species (*X. pilosa* and *X. pubescens*), and implied that Baker also recognized the three Baillon *Sarcolaena* species as belonging to *Xerochlamys* (“...le botaniste anglais détachait encore du genre *Sarcochlaena*, tel que l’avait créé du Petit-Thouars, trois espèces: *S. Bojeriana*, *S. diospyroidea* et *S. Grandidieri*, dont il fit des *Xerochlamys*”). (GÉRARD, 1915: 405). Then, on the following page, he goes on to state: “*Nous*

Addresses of the authors: CH-W: Department of Biology, University of Missouri - St. Louis, One University Blvd., St. Louis, MO 63121-4000, U.S.A. and Missouri Botanical Garden, P. O. Box 299, St. Louis, MO 63166-0299, U.S.A. Email: cynthia.hong-wa@mobot.org
GES: Missouri Botanical Garden, P. O. Box 299, St. Louis, MO 63166-0299, U.S.A.

admettons donc qu'il faut classer respectivement dans deux genres les espèces connues ci-après:" (GÉRARD, 1915: 406), listing species in two columns under either *Sarcochlaena* [sic] or *Xerochlamys*, clearly placing *bojeriana*, *diospyroidea*, and *grandidieri* in *Xerochlamys*, and thus effectively making the new combinations (Articles 33.1, 33.2 and 33.3 of the ICBN; MCNEILL & al., 2006).

Currently, among the three combinations discussed here, only *X. bojeriana* and *X. diospyroidea* are accepted taxa, with *X. grandidieri* considered to be a synonym of *X. bojeriana*. The following taxonomic citations serve as corrections for those that appeared in HONG-WA (2009).

Nomenclature

Xerochlamys bojeriana (Baill.) F. Gérard in *Compt. Rend. Assoc. Franç. Avancem. Sci.* 1914 (sess. 43): 406. 1915.

- ≡ *Sarcolaena bojeriana* Baill. in *Adansonia* 10: 177. 1872. ≡ *Leptolaena bojeriana* (Baill.) Cavaco in *Mém. Inst. Sci. Madagascar, Sér. B, Biol. Vég.* 4: 64. 1952.

Typus: MADAGASCAR: Without precise locality, s.d., fl., *Bojer s.n.* (holo-: P [P00389113]!).

- = *Xerochlamys pilosa* Baker in *J. Bot.* 20: 45. 1882.

Typus: MADAGASCAR: On the top of a bleak stony hill in the west of the Betsileo-country, s.d., fl., *Baron 134* (holo-: K [K000240319]; iso-: P [P00490727]!).

- = *Sarcolaena grandidieri* Baill. in *Bull. Soc. Linn. Paris* 1: 565. 1886. ≡ *Xerochlamys grandidieri* (Baill.) F. Gérard in *Compt. Rend. Assoc. Franç. Avancem. Sci.* 1914 (sess. 43): 406. 1915. **Typus: MADAGASCAR. Prov. Fianarantsoa:** Ambatomenaloha, [20°37'S 46°33'E], 1876, fl., *Grandidier 63* (holo-: P [P00389114, P00389115, P00389116]!).

- = *Xerochlamys pubescens* Baker in *J. Linn. Soc., Bot.* 25: 296. 1889. **Typus: MADAGASCAR:** Imerina, Lahavohitra mountain, s.d., fr., *Baron 5112* (holo-: K [K000240317]; iso-: P [P00389111, P00389112]!).

Xerochlamys diospyroidea (Baill.) F. Gérard in *Compt. Rend. Assoc. Franç. Avancem. Sci.* 1914 (sess. 43): 406. 1915.

- ≡ *Sarcolaena diospyroidea* Baill. in *Bull. Soc. Linn. Paris* 1: 565. 1886.

- ≡ *Leptolaena diospyroidea* (Baill.) Cavaco in *Bull. Mus. Hist. Nat.* ser. 2, 23: 135. 1951.

Typus: MADAGASCAR. Prov. Fianarantsoa: Ambatomenaloha, [20°37'S 46°33'E], 1876, fl., *Grandidier 62* (holo-: P [P00047871]!).

References

- BAILLON, M. (1886). Liste des plantes de Madagascar (suite). *Bull. Soc. Linn. Paris* 1: 565.
- CAVACO, A. (1952). Chlénacées. In: HUMBERT H. (ed.), *Fl. Madagascar & Comores* 126. Muséum National d'Histoire naturelle, Paris.
- GÉRARD, F. (1915). Contribution à l'étude des genres *Sarcochlaena* et *Xerochlamys*, Chlaenacées de Madagascar. *Compt. Rend. Assoc. Franç. Avancem. Sci.* sess. 43: 404-410.
- HONG-WA, C. (2009). Endemic families of Madagascar. XII. Resurrection and taxonomic revision of the genera *Mediusella* (Cavaco) Hutchinson and *Xerochlamys* Baker (Sarcolaenaceae). *Adansonia* ser. 3, 31: 311-339.
- MCNEILL, J., F. R. BARRIE, H. M. BURDET, V. DEMOULIN, D. L. HAWKSWORTH, K. MARHOLD, D. H. NICOLSON, J. PRADO, P. C. SILVA, J. E. SKOG, J. H. WIERSEMA & N. J. TURLAND (2006). International code of botanical nomenclature (Vienna Code) adopted by the Seventeenth International Botanical Congress Vienna, Austria, July 2005. *Regnum Veg.* 146.
- PERRIER DE LA BÂTHIE, H. (1931). Remarques sur les Chlaenacées. *Bull. Soc. Bot. France* 78: 46-65.
- SCHATZ, G. E., P. P. LOWRY II & A.-E. WOLF (2001). Endemic families of Madagascar. VII. A synoptic revision of *Leptolaena Thouars sensu stricto* (Sarcolaenaceae). *Adansonia* ser. 3, 23: 171-189.
- SCHUMANN, K. (1893). Chlaenaceae. In: ENGLER, A. & K. PRANTL (ed.), *Nat. Pflanzenfam.* III (6): 168-175. W. Engelmann, Leipzig.