



Predaceous Fire Ants (Hymenoptera: Formicidae) at Sea Turtle (Testudines: Cheloniidae) Nesting Beaches and Hatcheries in El Salvador

Authors: Wetterer, James K., Liles, Michael J., Sermeño, José M., Cervantes, Leopoldo Serrano, Echeverria, Eunice E., et al.

Source: Florida Entomologist, 99(1) : 106-109

Published By: Florida Entomological Society

URL: <https://doi.org/10.1653/024.099.0119>

BioOne Complete (complete.BioOne.org) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at www.bioone.org/terms-of-use.

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non - commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

Predaceous fire ants (Hymenoptera: Formicidae) at sea turtle (Testudines: Cheloniidae) nesting beaches and hatcheries in El Salvador

James K. Wetterer^{1,*}, Michael J. Liles^{2,3}, José M. Sermeño⁴, Leopoldo Serrano Cervantes⁴, Eunice E. Echeverría⁵, Rosa María Estrada Hernández⁴, Ana Henriquez², Dagoberto Pérez⁴, Doris Argentina Sánchez García⁴, Carlos E. Gómez Peralta⁴, Rubén López Sorto⁴, and Glenda Melendez⁴

Abstract

As in many other parts of the world, in El Salvador, few sea turtle (Testudines: Cheloniidae) eggs develop and hatch in situ on nesting beaches. Instead, conservationists relocate most sea turtle eggs to hatcheries for protection. Hatchery managers incubate the eggs in artificial nests within protected enclosures and then release the hatchling sea turtles into the ocean. We surveyed ants (Hymenoptera: Formicidae) on 2 sea turtle nesting beaches and at 14 sea turtle hatchery sites in El Salvador to evaluate the potential threat of predaceous ant species to sea turtle eggs and hatchlings. Of the ant species we found, only the tropical fire ant, *Solenopsis geminata* (F.) (Hymenoptera: Formicidae), is a known threat to sea turtle hatchlings. We found *S. geminata* at 5 of 7 (71%) and 7 of 30 (23%) baits along sea turtle nesting beaches at Las Bocanitas and Las Isletas, respectively, and within the nest enclosures at 7 of 14 (50%) hatchery sites. Given the widespread use of hatcheries for protecting sea turtle eggs worldwide, we believe it is important for hatchery managers to recognize the potential threat that predaceous ants pose to hatchling sea turtles. Hatchery managers may be unknowingly releasing apparently healthy but stung hatchlings to the ocean, only to have the hatchlings soon die from sting-related impairment. Fortunately, because of the small size of the incubation enclosures, controlling ants at hatcheries by using chemicals that have low toxicity to vertebrates and that degrade quickly (e.g., hydramethylnon) should be safe, simple, and relatively inexpensive.

Key Words: conservation; endangered species; predaceous ant; sea turtle hatchery

Resumen

Al igual que en muchas otras partes del mundo, en El Salvador, pocos huevos de las tortugas del mar (Testudines: Cheloniidae) se desarrollan y eclosionan in situ en las playas de anidación. En cambio, la mayoría de los conservacionistas trasladan la mayoría de los huevos de las tortugas del mar a criaderos para su protección. Los gerentes de los criaderos incuban los huevos en nidos artificiales dentro de recintos protegidos, y luego, libera los neonatos de las tortugas marinas en el océano. Se realizó un sondeo de las hormigas en dos playas de anidación de tortugas marinas y en 14 centros de incubación de tortugas marinas en El Salvador para evaluar el potencial de amenaza de las especies de hormigas depredadoras (Hymenoptera: Formicidae) a los huevos y crías de tortugas marinas. De las especies de hormigas que encontramos, sólo la hormiga de fuego tropical, *Solenopsis geminata* (F.) (Hymenoptera: Formicidae), es una amenaza conocida a crías de tortugas marinas. Encontramos *S. geminata* en 5 de los 7 (71%) cebos y 7 de los 30 (23%) cebos en las playas de anidación de tortugas marinas en Las Bocanitas y Las Isletas, respectivamente, y dentro de los recintos de nidos en siete de 14 (50%) sitios de incubación. Debido al uso generalizado de los criaderos para proteger los huevos de tortugas marinas en todo el mundo, creemos que es importante que los gerentes de criaderos reconozcan la potencial amenaza de las hormigas depredadoras a los neonatos de tortugas marinas. Los gerentes de criaderos pueden estar liberando al mar, sin saber, neonatos que son aparentemente sanos pero fueron picados, sólo para tener crías que mueren de una incapacidad relacionada con las picaduras. Afortunadamente, debido al pequeño tamaño de los recintos de incubación, el control de hormigas en los criaderos que utilizan métodos químicos debe ser seguro, simple y relativamente barato.

Palabras Clave: conservación; especies en peligro de extinción; hormiga depredadora; criadero de tortugas marinas

Conservationists often find it difficult to adequately protect threatened and endangered species in their natural habitat. In the case of sea turtles, conservationists commonly relocate complete clutches of sea turtle eggs from high-risk nesting beaches where eggs are vulner-

able to human poaching, depredation by animals, and loss by tidal inundation (e.g., Mortimer et al. 1993; Marcovaldi & Marcovaldi 1999; García et al. 2003; Chacón-Chaverri & Eckert 2007; Patino-Martinez et al. 2012). These eggs are then usually incubated at protected hatcher-

¹Wilkes Honors College, Florida Atlantic University, 5353 Parkside Drive, Jupiter, Florida 33458, USA

²Eastern Pacific Hawksbill Initiative, San Salvador, El Salvador

³Department of Wildlife & Fisheries Sciences, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas 77843, USA

⁴Facultad de Ciencias Agronomicas, Universidad de El Salvador, San Salvador, El Salvador

⁵Museo de Historia Natural de El Salvador, San Salvador, El Salvador

*Corresponding author; E-mail: wetterer@fau.edu

canned tuna in water at intervals (20 m at Las Bocanitas and 10 m at Las Isletas) near the beach vegetation line ($n = 30$ at both sites). At Las Bocanitas, dogs and crabs took most of our bait cards, leaving only 7 cards with ants. Therefore, at Las Isletas, we placed each card with a galvanized steel mesh held in place by a stake to prevent removal by animals. We laid the bait cards in the late afternoon and collected them 2 h later, placing them in individual plastic bags, later transferring all ants into 100% ethanol.

From 26 Jul to 3 Aug 2012, we surveyed ants in 14 sea turtle hatcheries. The hatchery enclosures varied in size but were typically 50 to 100 m². All were located adjacent to sea turtle nesting beaches. We placed 9 folded index cards containing approximately 2 g canned tuna in water within each enclosure, 1 card at each corner, 1 at the center of each side, and 1 in the center. We collected the cards 2 h later, placing them in individual plastic bags, later transferring all ants into 100% ethanol. In almost all cases, sea turtle eggs were present in the enclosures at the time of our survey. Due to security concerns, we conducted hatchery surveys during daylight hours. It is likely that ant activity was lower in the heat of the day than at night and that our surveys underestimated ant activity at the hatcheries.

In addition to the bait surveys, we searched the area surrounding the hatcheries at 13 of the 14 hatchery sites (all except Punta San Juan) to determine what ant species in the local area could potentially invade the hatchery enclosures. Voucher specimens were deposited at both the National Museum of Natural History in El Salvador and the Museum of Comparative Zoology at Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts.

Results

We found 3 ant species on 7 bait cards at Las Bocanitas beach: *Solenopsis geminata* (5 baits), *Solenopsis globularia* (Smith) (1), and *Nylanderia* sp. (1). This may not be a representative sample; dogs and crabs took most of the 30 bait cards, and they may have selectively avoided cards with *S. geminata* present because of the ant's sting. Dogs and crabs are probably important predators of hatchling sea turtles on this beach.

We found 13 ant species on 30 bait cards along Las Isletas beach (* = exotic ant species): *Pheidole angusticeps* Wilson (11 baits), *Solenopsis geminata* (7), *Pheidole radoszkowskii* Mayr (6), *Camponotus atriceps* (Smith) (4), *Camponotus* sp. (4), *Tetramorium bicarinatum* (Nylander)* (4), *Nylanderia* sp. (3), *Tapinoma melanocephalum* (F.)* (3), *Crematogaster obscurata* Emery (2), *Dorymyrmex* sp. (2), *Ectatomma ruidum* (Borgmeier) (2), *Crematogaster rochai* Forel (1), and *Paratrechina longicornis* (Latreille)* (1).

We found 13 ant species on 171 bait cards in the hatchery enclosures: *Solenopsis geminata* (24 baits), *Dorymyrmex* sp. (14), *Monomorium ebeninum* Forel (10), *Monomorium pharaonis* (L.)* (8), *P. radoszkowskii* (4), *Aphaenogaster cf. araneoides* (3), *Pheidole pugnax* Dalle Torre (2), *Pheidole susannae* Forel (2), *Camponotus* sp. (2), *Nylanderia* sp. (1), *S. globularia* (1), *Tetramorium lanuginosum* Mayr* (1), and *T. melanocephalum** (1).

We found *S. geminata* within 7 of the 14 hatchery enclosures: La Barra de Santiago (B: 6 baits), Los Cobanos (C: 4), Toluca (E: 3), Zungana (G: 4), Costa del Sol 1 (H: 2), Playa El Espino (O: 1), and El Tamarindo (Q: 4). One hatchery site, La Barra de Santiago, had exceptionally high numbers of *S. geminata* at 6 of 9 baits (67%). This was the only hatchery that had trees growing in the incubation area. We found large *S. geminata* colonies nesting at the base of several coconut palm trees in this area. Finally, we found *S. geminata* in the vicinity of all 13 of the hatchery sites where we made visual surveys of the area.

Discussion

Of the ant species we found on the sea turtle nesting beaches and at the hatcheries in El Salvador, only *S. geminata* is a known threat to sea turtle hatchlings (Wetterer 2006). We found *S. geminata* at 5 of 7 (71%) and 7 of 30 (23%) baits along sea turtle nesting beaches at Las Bocanitas and Las Isletas, respectively, indicating that this species could pose a substantial threat to the hatchling sea turtles on natural nesting beaches. *Solenopsis geminata* was the most common ant species we collected at baits in the hatcheries, found within incubation enclosures at 7 of the 14 hatchery sites (50%).

Given the ubiquitous use of hatcheries for incubating sea turtle eggs worldwide (e.g., Mortimer et al. 1993; Marcovaldi & Marcovaldi 1999; García et al. 2003; Chacón-Chaverri & Eckert 2007; Patino-Martinez et al. 2012), we believe it is important for hatchery managers to recognize the potential threat that predaceous ants pose to hatchling sea turtles. Hatchery managers may be unknowingly releasing apparently healthy but stung hatchlings to the ocean, only to have the hatchlings soon die from sting-related impairment. The hatcheries in El Salvador typically have caging around each artificial nest to prevent the newly hatched turtles from dispersing throughout the enclosure. This caging could also prevent emerged hatchlings from escaping any stinging ants present. Removing this caging, however, could make the situation even worse if hatchlings moving around the hatchery enclosure encounter a nest of stinging ants.

Predaceous ants may represent a particularly high risk to hawksbill turtles (*E. imbricata*) given their dire conservation status and distinct nesting ecology. Fewer than 500 adult female hawksbills are estimated to exist in the eastern Pacific Ocean (Gaos et al. 2010), placing this population of sea turtles among the most endangered in the world (Wallace et al. 2011). The beaches of El Salvador host over 45% of total hawksbill nesting activity, with the majority of deposited eggs being relocated to hatcheries for protection (Liles et al. 2011). Additionally, because hawksbills tend to choose vegetated nest sites, hawksbills egg hatcheries are generally located near vegetation where ants appear to be more abundant (M. J. Liles, personal observation). Therefore, the small extant population size of hawksbills in the eastern Pacific Ocean and the close proximity of their nests to vegetated areas increase their vulnerability to predaceous ants and should be monitored closely.

Fortunately, because of the small size of the hatchery enclosures (typically approx. 100 m²), protecting hatchlings at the hatcheries by controlling ants with chemical methods should be safe, simple, and relatively inexpensive. Several chemicals that have low toxicity to vertebrates and that degrade quickly (e.g., hydramethylnon; Plentovich et al. 2010) have been found to be effective for controlling *S. geminata* and other predaceous ants in ecologically sensitive areas, including U.S. national parks. We found other ant species on the nesting beaches and in the hatchery enclosures, besides *S. geminata*, that are also capable of injuring hatchling sea turtles, such as *Ectatomma* species that have powerful stings (Schmidt 1990). It may be wise hatchery policy to be cautious and act preemptively, controlling all ant species within hatchery enclosures throughout times of the year when eggs and hatchlings are present.

Acknowledgments

We thank M. Wetterer and L. Wood for comments on this manuscript; J. T. Longino for ant identification; and the Ministry of Environment and Natural Resources for permits granted. We are indebted to AMBAS, Arenas del Pacifico, FIAES, FUNDARRECIFE, Fundacion Domenech, FUNDATAMARINDO, FUNZEL, MSM, PROMESA, and VIVA-

ZUL for permission to visit hatcheries; and to Eastern Pacific Hawksbill Initiative, the Universidad de El Salvador, the Museo de Historia Natural de El Salvador, and Florida Atlantic University for financial support.

References Cited

- Chacón-Chaverri D, Eckert KL. 2007. Leatherback sea turtle nesting at Gandoca Beach in Caribbean Costa Rica: management recommendations from fifteen years of conservation. *Chelonian Conservation and Biology* 6: 101–110.
- Crossland SL. 2003. Factors disturbing leatherback turtles (*Dermochelys coriacea*) on two nesting beaches within Suriname's Galibi Nature Preserve. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-SEFSC 503: 137–138.
- Gaos AR, Abreu-Grobois FA, Alfaro-Shigueto J, Amorocho D, Arauz R, Baquero A, Briseño R, Chacon D, Dueñas C, Hasbun C, Liles M, Mariona G, Muccio C, Munoz JP, Nichols WJ, Peña M, Seminoff JA, Vasquez M, Urteaga J, Wallace B, Yañez IL, Zarate P. 2010. Signs of hope in the eastern Pacific: international collaboration reveals encouraging status for a severely depleted population of hawksbill turtles *Eretmochelys imbricata*. *Oryx* 44: 595–601.
- García A, Caballos G, Adaya R. 2003. Intensive beach management as an improved turtle conservation strategy in Mexico. *Biological Conservation* 111: 253–261.
- Godfrey MH, Mroskovsky N. 1997. Estimating the time between hatching of sea turtles and their emergence from the nest. *Chelonian Conservation and Biology* 2: 581–585.
- Hughes GR. 1970. Further studies of marine turtles in Tongaland, III. *Lammergeyer* 12: 7–36.
- Hughes GR. 1971. The marine turtles in Tongaland V. *Lammergeyer* 13: 7–24.
- Hughes GR. 1972. The marine turtles in Tongaland 6. *Lammergeyer* 15: 15–26.
- Krahe HB. 2005. Impact of the red imported fire ant (*Solenopsis invicta*) on two species of sea turtle hatchlings. M.S. thesis. Florida Atlantic University, Boca Raton, Florida.
- Liles MJ, Jandres MV, Lopez WA, Mariona GI, Hasbun CR, Seminoff JA. 2011. Hawksbill turtles *Eretmochelys imbricata* in El Salvador: nesting distribution and mortality at the largest remaining nesting aggregation in the eastern Pacific Ocean. *Endangered Species Research* 14: 23–30.
- Liles MJ, Peterson MJ, Lincoln YS, Seminoff JA, Gaos AR, Peterson TR. 2014. Connecting international conservation priorities with human wellbeing in low-income nations: lessons from hawksbill turtle conservation in El Salvador. *Local Environment: The International Journal of Justice and Sustainability* 20: 1383–1404.
- Marcovaldi MÂ, Marcovaldi GG. 1999. Marine turtles of Brazil: the history and structure of Projeto TAMAR-IBAMA. *Biological Conservation* 91: 35–41.
- MARN (Ministerio de Medio Ambiente y Recursos Naturales). 2013. Resultados de las actividades para la conservación de las tortugas marinas en El Salvador en 2012. MARN, San Salvador, El Salvador.
- Mortimer JA, Ahmad Z, Kaslan S. 1993. The status of the hawksbill *Eretmochelys imbricata* and green turtle *Chelonia mydas* of Melaka and Negeri Sembilan. *Malayan Nature Journal* 46: 243–253.
- Moulis RA. 1997. Predation by the imported fire ant (*Solenopsis invicta*) on loggerhead sea turtle (*Caretta caretta*) nests on Wassaw National Wildlife Refuge, Georgia. *Chelonian Conservation and Biology* 2: 433–436.
- Patino-Martinez J, Marco A, Quiñones L, Abella E, Abad RM, Diéguez-Uribeondo J. 2012. How do hatcheries influence embryonic development of sea turtle eggs? Experimental analysis and isolation of microorganisms in leatherback turtle eggs. *Journal of Experimental Zoology A, Ecological Genetics and Physiology* 317: 47–54.
- Plentovich S, Swenson C, Reimer N, Richardson M, Garon N. 2010. The effects of hydramethylnon on the tropical fire ant, *Solenopsis geminata* (Hymenoptera: Formicidae), and non-target arthropods on Spit Island, Midway Atoll, Hawaii. *Journal of Insect Conservation* 14: 459–465.
- Pritchard PC. 1980. The conservation of sea turtles: practices and problems. *American Zoologist* 20: 609–617.
- República de El Salvador. 2009. Veda total y permanente al aprovechamiento de huevos, carne, grasa, aceite, sangre, huesos, especímenes disecados, caparazones, fragmentos y productos elaborados de caparazones de todas las especies de tortugas marinas. *Diario Oficial* 23 (382): 4 Febrero.
- Schmidt JO. 1990. Hymenoptera venoms: striving toward the ultimate defense against vertebrates, pp. 387–419 *In* Evans DL, Schmidt JO [eds.], *Insect Defenses: Adaptive Mechanisms and Strategies of Prey and Predators*. State University of New York Press, Albany, New York.
- Vasquez M, Liles MJ, Lopez W, Mariona G, Segovia J. 2008. Sea turtle research and conservation in El Salvador. Technical report. FUNZEL-ICMARES/UES, San Salvador, El Salvador.
- Wallace BP, DiMatteo AD, Bolten AB, Chaloupka MY, Hutchinson BJ, Abreu-Grobois FA, Mortimer JA, Seminoff JA, Amorocho D, Bjorndal KA, Bourjea J, Bowen BW, Briseño Dueñas R, Casale P, Choudhury BC, Costa A, Dutton PH, Fallabrino A, Finkbeiner EM, Girard A, Girondot M, Hamann M, Hurley BJ, Lopez-Mendilaharsu M, Marcovaldi MA, Musick JA, Nel R, Pilcher NJ, Troeng S, Witherington B, Mast RB. 2011. Global conservation priorities for marine turtles. *PLoS One* 6: e24510.
- Wetterer JK. 2006. The tropical fire ant, *Solenopsis geminata*, on an important sea turtle nesting beach in Tortuguero National Park, Costa Rica. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-SEFSC 536: 281–283.
- Wetterer JK. 2011. Worldwide spread of the tropical fire ant, *Solenopsis geminata* (Hymenoptera: Formicidae). *Myrmecology News* 14: 21–35.