

Interaction between Corn Genotypes with Bt Protein and Management Strategies for *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae)

Authors: Teixeira Silva, Cinthia Luzia, Paiva, Lígia Alves, Correa, Fernanda, Silva, Franciele Cristina, Pelosi, Ana Paula, et al.

Source: Florida Entomologist, 102(4) : 725-730

Published By: Florida Entomological Society

URL: <https://doi.org/10.1653/024.102.0409>

BioOne Complete (complete.BioOne.org) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at www.bioone.org/terms-of-use.

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non - commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

Interaction between corn genotypes with Bt protein and management strategies for *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae)

Cinthia Luzia Teixeira Silva¹, Lígia Alves Paiva¹, Fernanda Correa¹, Franciele Cristina Silva², Ana Paula Pelosi², Márcio da Silva Araujo¹, André Cirilo de Sousa Almeida^{2,*}, and Flávio Gonçalves Jesus²

Abstract

Insect pests, including caterpillars, cause losses in maize (*Zea mays* L.; Poaceae) which is one of the most important agricultural crops in the world. The objective of this study was to evaluate the management of *Spodoptera frugiperda* Smith & Abbot (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) with transgenic and conventional maize genotypes. The experiments were conducted in the field in summer crops from the seasons 2014/2015 and 2015/2016 in a randomized complete block design with sub-subdivided plots represented by: control (no control), chemical control (methomyl + diflubenzuron), Integrated Pest Management–spinosade, and biological control (*Trichogramma pretiosum* Riley; Hymenoptera: Trichogrammatidae) with 3 maize genotypes (Impact VIP 3, P3862 HX, and BM 3061) and 4 replications. Control and reduction of *S. frugiperda* damage were higher in the Impact VIP 3 corn genotype. The crop yield was higher (11,838.59 kg per h⁻¹), and the damage to the ears was lower in the biological control with *T. pretiosum*.

Key Words: fall armyworm; egg parasitoids; host plant resistance to insects; *Zea mays*

Resumo

Pragas, incluindo lagartas, causam redução na produção em milho (*Zea mays* L.; Poaceae) uma das culturas mais importantes no mundo. O objetivo deste estudo foi avaliar o manejo de lepidópteros em genótipos de milho transgênico e convencional. Os experimentos foram conduzidos em campo, nas safras de verão nos períodos de 2014/2015 e 2015/2016 em delineamento de blocos casualizados em parcelas sub-subdividida representada por controle (sem controle), controle químico (methomyl + diflubenzuron), manejo integrado de pragas–spinosade, e controle biológico (*Trichogramma pretiosum* Riley; Hymenoptera: Trichogrammatidae) em três genótipos de milho (Impact VIP 3, P3862 HX, and BM 3061) em quatro repetições. Controle e redução de danos foi maior no genótipo Impact VIP 3. A produtividade foi alta (11,838.59 kg para h⁻¹) e os danos foram menores no controle biológico com *T. pretiosum*.

Palavras Chave: lagarta-do-cartucho; parasitoides; Resistência de plantas a insetos; *Zea mays*

Corn (*Zea mays* L.; Poaceae) is one of the most important agricultural crops in the world, but pests can compromise the yield and quality of this plant (Teixeira et al. 2014; Moraes et al. 2015). Lepidoptera larvae are among the most significant corn pests in Brazil, especially *Diatraea saccharalis* Fabricius sensu Guenée (Lepidoptera: Crambidae), *Elasmopalpus lignosellus* (Zeller) (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae), *Helicoverpa zea* Boddie (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae), *Mocis latipes* (Guenée) (Lepidoptera: Erebidae), and *Spodoptera frugiperda* (JE Smith) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) (Pereira et al. 2000; Girón-Pérez et al. 2014; Paiva et al. 2016). *Spodoptera frugiperda*, the most important species of this group, is widely distributed, damaging the vegetative and reproductive stages of corn plants (Ramalho et al. 2011; Silva et al. 2013). Newly hatched larvae of this insect scrape the new and developed leaves, and also feed on the stem and corn ears (Farias et al. 2014; Paiva et al. 2016).

Chemical control is the main strategy used to manage *S. frugiperda*, which may cause environmental and human health problems, and to select for resistant pest populations (Jesus et al. 2014; Nascimento et al. 2016). Resistant plants represent an ideal method of integrated pest management, maintaining economic damage caused by the pest at lower levels (Seifi et al. 2013; Paiva et al. 2016). Additionally, the use of Bt hybrids is a major concern in the management of pests (Storer et al. 2012; Van den Berg et al. 2013; Farias et al. 2014; Omoto et al. 2016; Waquil et al. 2016).

The use of plant resistance and biological control with the lepidopteran egg parasitoid, *Trichogramma pretiosum* (Riley) (Hymenoptera: Trichogrammatidae), can increase the control efficiency of *S. frugiperda* (Petacci et al. 2009) and other pests (Zhang et al. 2005; Soares et al. 2007). Damage to corn ears by *H. zea* was 26% lower with the release of *T. pretiosum*. The control of *S. frugiperda* was 19.4% higher

¹University of Goiás State, Department of Agronomy, Ipameri, Goiás 75780-000, Brazil; E-mail: cinthiateixeirasilva@hotmail.com (C. L. T. S.), ligia.agropaiva@outlook.com (L. A. P.), fernanda.agronomia5@gmail.com (F. C.), marcio.araujo@ueg.br (M. S. A.)

²Federal Goiano Institute, Department of Agronomy, Urutaí, Goiás 75790-000, Brazil; E-mail: franciele.agronomia@outlook.com (F. C. S.), ana.pelosi@ifgoiano.edu.br (A. P. P.), andre.almeida@hotmail.com (A. C. S. A.), flavio.jesus@ifgoiano.edu.br (F. G. J.)

*Corresponding author; E-mail: andre.almeida@ifgoiano.edu.br

with the release of *T. pretiosum* after the emergence of corn plants (Figueiredo et al. 2015). The parasitoids of *T. pretiosum* and *Telenomus podisi* (Ashmead) (Hymenoptera: Platygastridae) eggs complement pest control and reduce the use of insecticides in soybean crops (Bueno et al. 2011).

The objective of this work was to evaluate the control of lepidopteran pests in transgenic and conventional maize genotypes using chemicals, biological insecticides, and the egg parasitoid *T. pretiosum*.

Materials and Methods

AREA STUDIED

The experiment was established at the Instituto Federal de Goiás, Campus Urutaí, Goiás, Brazil (17.484444°S, 48.212777°W) in the summer seasons of 2014/2015 and 2015/2016.

EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN AND TREATMENTS

The experimental design was a randomized block with sub-subdivided plots (3 × 4 × 4 m) with the corn genotypes BM 3061 (conventional), and P3862 HX and Impact VIP 3 (transgenic). The treatments were: control (no control), chemical control (methomyl + diflubenzuron), biological insecticide—spinosad, and biological control (*T. pretiosum*).

Each plot had eight 10-m rows with 0.50 m spacing totaling 40 m². The biological control subplot was installed approximately 500 m distant from the other treatments. The planting was fertilized according to the soil analysis and crop requirement.

Treatments were initiated when the plants had 10% of the leaves scraped by *S. frugiperda* (Cruz 1999). The chemical treatment subplot was sprayed at 13 and 27 d after emergence with 100 g per ha⁻¹ of insecticide diflubenzuron (250 g kg⁻¹) and 0.6 L ha⁻¹ of methomyl (215 g L⁻¹). The integrated pest management subplot received 0.08 L per ha⁻¹ from the biological insecticide spinosad (480 g L⁻¹). The insecticides were applied with a CO₂ backpack sprayer and a spray volume of 200 L ha⁻¹.

PARASITOID REARING AND RELEASE

The parasitoid *T. pretiosum* was raised from adults obtained from the Rice and Beans National Research Center, Entomology Laboratory—EMBRAPA, Santo Antônio, Goiás, Brazil, and reared in the laboratory on eggs of the alternative host *Anagasta kuehniella* (Zeller) (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae) (Silva et al. 2013).

Anagasta kuehniella eggs, parasitized by *T. pretiosum*, were placed in the subplot of the biological control experiment, and distributed in the sheaths of corn plants every 7 d during the vegetative period at 13, 20, 27, and 34 d after emergence and 3 others in the reproductive period 66, 73, and 80 d after emergence. A total of approximately 4,800 *Trichogramma* was released per application, corresponding to 100,000 individuals per ha.

EVALUATION OF *SPODOPTERA FRUGIPERDA* DAMAGE AND GRAIN YIELD

In the vegetative stage, infestation and damage by *S. frugiperda* were evaluated at 14, 22, 29, and 36 d after emergence. Five corn plants were collected per plot, and the number of caterpillars in the leaves counted, assigning a damage score (0 to 9) based on a visual scale (Davis et al. 1992). The corn ears were collected 150 d after sowing at the central plants of 2 lines per plot, with 2.5 m at the ends

considered to be a border, and with 10 linear m being evaluated. Thirty ears were evaluated randomly by quantifying the damage by caterpillars and the length, diam, and number of rows of grain in the ear, and the diam of the stem. The corn yield and the mass of 100 grains were calculated. The values were corrected for 13% moisture, and grain yield per plot extrapolated to kg ha⁻¹.

STATISTICAL ANALYSES

The data were submitted to analysis of variance with software R using the Shapiro-Wilk test to evaluate the normality of the residues. The averages were compared using the Tukey test at 5% probability. All analyses were performed using R vers. 3.2.2 software (R Core Team 2017).

Results

The number of *S. frugiperda* at 15 ($F = 2.79$; $P = 0.0760$), 22 ($F = 3.28$; $P = 0.0502$), and 29 d after emergence ($F = 7.48$; $P = 0.0020$) ranged between genotypes, and 22 d after emergence among control strategies ($F = 5.79$; $P = 0.0027$). The number of *S. frugiperda* increased with plant age and the evaluation period, being higher in treatment P 3862 HX and lower in Impact VIP 3 at 15 to 29 d after emergence. At 22 d after emergence, the infestation of *S. frugiperda* was lower in the chemical and integrated pest management treatments, and higher in the biological control (Table 1).

The damage by *S. frugiperda* varied between the genotypes at 15 ($F = 23.49$; $P < 0.0001$), 22 ($F = 28.76$; $P < 0.0001$), 29 ($F = 81.87$; $P = 0.0001$), and 36 d after emergence ($F = 17.71$; $P < 0.0001$), and between the control strategies at 29 d after emergence ($F = 26.19$; $P < 0.0001$) (Table 2).

The number of corn ears ($F = 10.41$; $P = 0.0003$), the percentage of damaged ears ($F = 10.41$; $P = 0.0003$), the weight of 100 grains ($F = 128.27$; $P < 0.0001$), and yield (kg ha⁻¹) ($F = 25.66$; $P < 0.0001$) differed between the genotypes. In the control strategies, the number ($F = 5.77$; $P = 0.0027$) and percentage of damaged ears ($F = 5.77$; $P = 0.0027$) and maize production (kg ha⁻¹) ($F = 6.24$; $P = 0.0018$) were similar (Table 3). The number of damaged ears was 13.44 and 16.18 in the genotypes P 3862 HX and BM 3061, which was 11.87% and 21.05% higher than in the Impact VIP 3 genotype, respectively. The weight of 100 grains was lower in the hybrid Impact VIP 3, and higher in the P 3862 HX and BM 3061 genotypes. Maize productivity was higher in hybrids P 3862 HX and BM 3061, and lower in Impact VIP 3. Control strategies showed the highest number and percentage of ears damaged in the control and lower in the biological control and integrated pest management, but the productivity was similar in integrated pest management, chemical, and biological controls.

The interaction of genotypes versus control strategies at 36 d after emergence showed that *S. frugiperda* did not infest the hybrid Impact VIP 3, and that the number of caterpillars was higher in the BM 3061 genotype in the chemical control. At 29 d after emergence, damage by *S. frugiperda* was higher in the BM 3061 and P 3862 HX genotypes in the control and the biological control. Regardless of the control strategy, less damage was found by *S. frugiperda* in the Impact VIP 3 genotype and the integrated pest management treatment. The damage in the BM 3061 genotype was higher in the control and Impact VIP 3, and lower in the biological control. The percentage of damaged ears was higher in the BM 3061 genotype in the control, and lower in Impact VIP 3 in the biological control. The grain yield was higher in the P 3862 HX genotype in the biological control, and lower in the Impact VIP 3 in the control (Table 4).

Table 1. Number of *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae), per 5 plants of 3 maize genotypes in different control strategies and different evaluation periods. Urutai, Goiás, Brazil.

Genotypes (G)	Number of caterpillars			
	15 d after emergence	22 d after emergence	29 d after emergence	36 d after emergence
Impact VIP 3	0.02 b	0.22 b	0.37 b	0.18
P 3862 HX	0.07 a	0.73 a	1.05 a	0.15
BM 3061	0.31 ab	0.48 ab	1.04 a	0.26
F Test	2.79	3.28	7.48	1.57
P value	0.0760	0.0502	0.0020	0.2221
Control strategies (E)				
Control	0.06	0.67 ab	1.21	0.22
Integrated pest management – biological pesticide	0.01	0.13 b	0.50	0.10
Chemical	0.06	0.18 b	0.91	0.25
Biological control	0.31	0.95 a	1.13	0.23
F Test	1.28	5.79	2.12	1.67
P value	0.2952	0.0027	0.1155	0.1931
Interaction (G × E)				
F Test	1.33	1.32	0.43	5.04
P value	0.2694	0.2758	0.8537	0.0009

¹Means followed by the same letter within a column do not differ significantly by the Tukey test at 5% probability.

Discussion

Spodoptera frugiperda is the main caterpillar in conventional or transgenic corn crops in Brazil and integrated pest management-based strategies should be implemented for its control (Farias et al. 2014; Omoto et al. 2016; Bernardi et al. 2017).

Infestations by *S. frugiperda* were recorded in all corn genotypes, with the highest value in the conventional BM 3061 and in the transgenic P 3862 HX. The highest infestation in the hybrid P 3862 HX (Herculex®, Du Pont/Dow AgroScience, São Paulo, Brazil) by *S. frugiperda* confirms the resistance of this caterpillar to the Cry1F protein (Storer et al. 2012; Farias et al. 2014) and Cry1AB (Omoto et al. 2016). However, genotypes with the Vip3Aa20 insert (Impact VIP 3) efficiently controlled this pest, causing up to 100% mortality of *S. frugiperda* in

Bt maize with this protein (Waquil et al. 2016). The lower level of infestation by *S. frugiperda* in the chemical control and integrated pest management, and the higher level in the biological control, in the first evaluations, was due to the first release of *T. pretiosum* after the leaves were scraped in the plant cartridge. This shows the importance of synchronizing the release of the *T. pretiosum* parasitoid with the first adults of *S. frugiperda* in the field (Petacci et al. 2009; Silva et al. 2013).

The major damage in the transgenic hybrid P 3862 HX and in the conventional BM 3061 confirms that plants without or with only 1 protein (Yieldgard®, Monsanto, São Paulo, Brazil; Total Liberty®, Bayer, São Paulo, Brazil; and Herculex®, Du Pont/Dow AgroScience, São Paulo, Brazil) are more vulnerable to *S. frugiperda*, whereas genotypes with more than 1 protein, such as Impact VIP 3, are less vulnerable (Michelotto et al. 2013; Moraes et al. 2015). Reduction of *S. frugiperda* damage with the interaction of integrated pest management and Impact VIP

Table 2. Damage level of *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) by 5 plants of 3 corn genotypes in different control strategies and different evaluation periods. Urutai, Goiás, Brazil.

Genotypes (G)	Caterpillar damage			
	15 d after emergence	22 d after emergence	29 d after emergence	36 d after emergence
Impact VIP 3	0.21 b	0.47 b	0.34 b	0.85 b
P 3862 HX	1.72 a	2.37 a	2.69 a	3.07 a
BM 3061	1.87 a	2.72 a	3.06 a	3.97 a
F Test	23.49	28.76	81.57	17.71
P value	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001
Control strategies (E)				
Control	1.60	2.12	2.95 a	3.13
Integrated pest management – biological pesticide	1.00	2.00	1.07 b	2.03
Chemical	1.16	1.32	1.33 b	2.22
Biological control	1.31	2.00	2.77 a	3.15
F Test	1.35	1.96	26.19	1.79
P value	0.2744	0.1386	< 0.0001	0.1668
Interaction (G × E)				
F Test	1.98	0.57	4.28	2.06
P value	0.0973	0.7483	0.0027	0.0855

¹Means followed by the same letter within a column do not differ significantly by the Tukey test at 5% probability.

Table 3. Number (NED) and percentage (PED) of damaged ears, weight of 100 grains (g), and grain yield (kg per ha⁻¹) of 3 corn genotypes in different control strategies. Urutaí, Goiás, Brazil.

Genotypes (G)	NED	PDE	Weight of 100 grains	Yield
Impact VIP 3	9.87 b	32.91 b	27.88 c	9,846.65 b
P 3862 HX	13.44 a	44.78 a	39.48 a	12,014.00 a
BM 3061	16.18 a	53.96 a	36.04 b	11,261.95 a
F Test	10.41	10.41	128.27	25.66
P value	0.0003	0.0003	< 0.0001	< 0.0001
Control strategies (E)				
Control	15.75 a	52.50 a	33.99	10,306.90 b
Integrated pest management – biological pesticide	13.58 ab	45.26 ab	33.54	10,980.17 ab
Chemical	14.00 a	46.67 a	34.62	11,037.81 ab
Biological control	9.33 b	31.11 b	35.71	11,838.59 a
F Test	5.77	5.77	2.41	6.24
P value	0.0027	0.0027	0.0843	0.0018
Interaction (G × E)				
F Test	2.89	2.89	0.72	3.32
P value	0.0223	0.0222	0.6327	0.0115

ⁱMeans followed by the same letter within a column do not differ significantly by the Tukey test at 5% probability.

Table 4. Deployment of corn genotype versus control strategies, referring to the number (36 d after emergence) and level of damage (29 d after emergence) of *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae), and number and percentage of damaged ears and production of grains (kg per ha⁻¹). Urutaí, Goiás, Brazil.

Control strategies / number of caterpillar					
Genotypes	Control	Integrated pest management	Chemical	Biological	P value
Impact VIP 3	0.45 Aa	0.20 aAB	0.10 bB	0.00 bB	0.0096
P 3862 HX	0.10 bA	0.05 aA	0.15 bA	0.30 abA	0.2602
BM 3061	0.10 bBC	0.05 aC	0.50 aA	0.40 aAB	0.0025
P value	0.0137	0.4163	0.0075	0.0109	—
Control strategies / level of damage					
Genotypes	Control	Integrated pest management	Chemical	Biological	P value
Impact VIP 3	0.80 bA	0.15 bA	1.15 bA	0.25 bA	0.4470
P 3862 HX	3.65 aA	1.50 aB	1.75 aB	3.85 aA	< 0.0001
BM 3061	4.40 aA	1.55 aB	2.10 aB	4.20 aA	< 0.0001
P value	< 0.0001	0.0065	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	—
Control strategies / number of damaged ears					
Genotypes	Control	Integrated pest management	Chemical	Biological	P value
Impact VIP 3	15.25 abA	8.00 bAB	10.25 aAB	6.00 bB	0.0138
P 3862 HX	12.00 bAB	18.00 aA	16.25 aA	7.50 bB	0.0029
BM 3061	20.00 aA	14.75 abA	15.50 aA	14.50 aA	0.1809
P value	0.0236	0.0035	0.0769	0.0097	—
Control strategies / percentage of damaged ears					
Genotypes	Control	Integrated pest management	Chemical	Biological	P value
Impact VIP 3	50.82 abA	26.65 bAB	34.20 aAB	20.00 bB	0.0138
P 3862 HX	40.00 bAB	60.00 aA	54.15 aA	24.97 bB	0.0029
BM 3061	66.67 aA	49.15 abA	51.67 aA	48.35 aA	0.1806
P value	0.0235	0.0034	0.0776	0.0097	—
Control strategies / yield (kg per ha ⁻¹)					
Genotypes	Control	Integrated pest management	Chemical	Biological	P value
Impact VIP 3	8,744.29 bB	10,587.71 aA	9,707.36 bAB	10,347.24 bAB	0.0237
P 3862 HX	10,915.38 aB	11,469.09 aB	11,800.98 aB	13,870.56 aA	< 0.0001
BM 3061	11,261.03 aA	10,883.71 aA	11,605.08 aA	11,297.98 bA	0.7102
P value	< 0.0001	0.3560	0.0028	< 0.0001	—

ⁱMeans followed by the same letter, lowercase by column or uppercase by line, do not differ significantly by the Tukey test at 5% probability.

3 demonstrates that genotypes with a single protein, such as P 3862 HX (Cry1F), should not be used as an isolated strategy in *S. frugiperda* integrated pest management, and that combinations of these are the best alternative for pest management in agricultural crops (Bueno et al. 2011; Ramalho et al. 2011).

The highest number and percentage of damaged ears in the transgenic genotype P 3862 HX and in the conventional BM 3061, and the lower values in the Impact VIP 3 show the low effectiveness of the Cry1F protein in the management of this pest. The higher efficiency of biological control with *T. pretiosum*, and the reduction of *S. frugiperda* damage in corn ears corroborates the high percentages of those genotypes with Herculex® and Yieldgard® technologies (Michelotto et al. 2013), and damage reduction by *H. zea* Boddie in areas with 100,000 parasitoids per ha (Foresti et al. 2012). Maize productivity was higher with the interaction of the P 3862 HX genotype and the biological control. The integrated pest management in agricultural crops should be implemented with practices compatible with biological control, because isolated use of insecticides may not be sufficient to increase crop productivity.

The efficiency of the interaction of the transgenic genotype Impact VIP 3 and the biological control at 36 d after emergence shows the importance of these combined control methods in the management of *S. frugiperda* in Bt plants. This agrees with the fact that the egg parasitoids *T. pretiosum* and *T. podisi* have complemented the pest control for soybean (Bueno et al. 2011). In addition, the release of *T. pretiosum* maintained the population and reduced the damage of *S. frugiperda* below the level of economic damage in corn (Martinazzo et al. 2007). This demonstrates the importance of using integrated pest management compatible practices to minimize the risk of selecting Bt protein-resistant individuals, and to increase efficiency for the control of *S. frugiperda* (Matos-Neto et al. 2004; Zanuncio et al. 2008; Jesus et al. 2014). This is consistent with the results from the literature on the use of Bt proteins for the control of *S. frugiperda* (Zanuncio et al. 2008).

Biological control or interaction of control strategies in integrated pest management are the best alternatives for pest management in agricultural crops. The interaction of biological control with Bt plants increases pest control efficiency.

Control efficiency and reduction of *S. frugiperda* damage were higher with Vip3 technology (Cry1Ab and Vip3Aa20). The caterpillar infestation and pest damage were similar in corn P 3862 with Herculex technology (Cry1F) and in the conventional hybrid BM 3061, indicating the limited efficiency of this protein for the management of *S. frugiperda*. The egg parasitoid *T. pretiosum* diminished the damage to the ears and increased the productivity of the corn crop.

Acknowledgments

This study was partially supported by "Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq)" – Process 488225/2013-2, and the productivity grant in research, grant 311280/2015-3 to F. G. Jesus. We also thank the "Instituto Federal Goiano – Campus Urutai," and "Programa Cooperativo sobre Proteção Florestal – Instituto de Pesquisas e Estudos Florestais," for financial support. Phillip John Villani (The University of Melbourne, Australia) revised and corrected the English language used in this manuscript.

References Cited

Bernardi D, Bernardi O, Horikoshi R, Salmeron E, Okuma DM, Farias JR, Nascimento ARB, Omoto C. 2017. Selection and characterization of *Spodoptera*

- frugiperda* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) resistance to MON 89034 - TC1507 - NK603 maize technology. Crop Protection 94: 64–68.
- Bueno AF, Batistela MJ, Bueno RCOF, França-Neto JB, Nishikawa MAN, Libério Filho A. 2011. Effects of integrated pest management, biological control and prophylactic use of insecticides on the management and sustainability of soybean. Crop Protection 30: 937–945.
- Cruz I. 1999. A lagarta-do-cartucho: enfrente o principal inimigo do milho. Revista Cultivar 21: 68.
- Davis FM, Ng SS, Williams WP. 1992. Visual rating scales for screening whorl-stage corn for resistance to fall armyworm. Technical Bulletin 186. Agricultural and Forest Experiment Station, Mississippi State University, Mississippi State, Mississippi, USA
- Farias JR, Andow D, Horikoshi RJ, Sorgatto RJ, Fresia P, Santos AC, Omoto C. 2014. Field-evolved resistance to Cry1F maize by *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) in Brazil. Crop Protection 64: 150–158.
- Figueiredo MLC, Cruz I, Silva RB, Foster JE. 2015. Biological control with *Trichogramma pretiosum* increases organic maize productivity by 19.4%. Agronomy for Sustainable Development 35: 1175–1183.
- Foresti J, Garcia MS, Bernardi O, Zart M, Nunes AM. 2012. Biologia, seleção e avaliação de linhagens de *Trichogramma* spp. para o controle da lagarta-da-espiga em milho semente. EntomoBrasilis 5: 43–48.
- Girón-Pérez K, Oliveira AL, Teixeira AF, Guedes RNC, Pereira EJG. 2014. Susceptibility of Brazilian populations of *Diatraea saccharalis* to Cry1Ab and response to selection for resistance. Crop Protection 62: 124–128.
- Jesus FG, Boiça Junior AL, Alves GCS, Zanuncio JC. 2014. Behavior, development and predation of *Podisus nigrispinus* (Hemiptera: Pentatomidae) on *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) fed transgenic and conventional cotton cultivars. Annals of Entomological Society of American 107: 601–606.
- Martinazzo T, Pietrowski V, Cordeiro ES, Eckstein B, Grisa S. 2007. Liberação de *Trichogramma pretiosum* para controle biológico de *Spodoptera frugiperda* na cultura do milho. Revista Brasileira de Agroecologia 2: 1657–1660.
- Matos Neto FC, Cruz I, Zanuncio JC, Silva CHO, Picanço MC. 2004. Parasitism by *Campoletis flavicincta* (Hymenoptera: Ichneumonidae) on *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) on corn. Pesquisa Agropecuária Brasileira 39: 1077–1081.
- Michelotto MD, Crosariol Netto J, Freitas RS, Duarte AP, Busoli AC. 2013. Milho transgênico (Bt): efeito sobre pragas alvo e não alvo. Nucleus 10: 67–82.
- Moraes ARA, Lourenção AL, Paterniani MEAGZ. 2015. Resistência de híbridos de milho convencionais e isogênicos transgênicos a *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). Bragantia 74: 50–57.
- Nascimento ARB, Farias JR, Bernardi D, Horikoshi RJ, Omoto C. 2016. Genetic basis of *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) resistance to the chitin synthesis inhibitor lufenuron. Pest Management Science 72: 810–815.
- Omoto C, Bernardi O, Salmeron E, Sorgatto RJ, Dourado PM, Crivellari A, Carvalho RA, Willse A, Martinelli S, Head GP. 2016. Field-evolved resistance to Cry1AB maize by *Spodoptera frugiperda* in Brazil. Pest Management Science 72: 1727–1736.
- Paiva LA, Corrêa F, Silva CLT, Moura TL, Silva FCS, Araújo MS, Jesus FG. 2016. Resistance of corn genotypes to fall armyworm *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). African Journal of Biotechnology 15: 1877–1882.
- Pereira EJG, Picanço MC, Guedes RNC, Faleiro FG, Araújo JM. 2000. Suscetibilidade de populações de milho a *Spodoptera frugiperda* Smith e *Helicoverpa zea* Bod. (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). Acta Scientiarum Agronomy 22: 931–936.
- Petacci F, Assis Júnior SL, Zanuncio JC, Serrão JE. 2009. Potential use of Asteraceae extracts to control *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) and selectivity to their parasitoids *Trichogramma pretiosum* (Hymenoptera: Trichogrammatidae) and *Telenomus remus* (Hymenoptera: Scelionidae). Industrial Crops and Products 30: 384–388.
- R Core Team. 2017. R: the R project for statistical computing, vers. 3.3.3. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.
- Ramalho FS, Azeredo TL, Nascimento ARB, Nascimento Júnior JL, Malaquias JB, Silva CAD, Zanuncio JC. 2011. Feeding of fall armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda*, on Bt transgenic cotton and its isoline. Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata 139: 207–214.
- Seifi A, Visser RGF, Bai Y. 2013. How to effectively deploy plant resistances to pests and pathogens in crop breeding. Euphytica 190: 321–334.
- Silva RB, Cruz I, Zanuncio JC, Figueiredo MLC, Zanuncio TV, Serrão JE. 2013. *Spodoptera frugiperda* (J. E. Smith) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) eggs as alternative food for rearing of lady beetle *Eriopis connexa* (Germar) (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae). Biological Control 64: 101–105.
- Soares MA, Leite GLD, Zanuncio JC, Rocha SL, Sá VGM, Serrão JE. 2007. Note: flight capacity, parasitism and emergence of five *Trichogramma* (Hyme-

- noptera: Trichogrammatidae) species from forest areas in Brazil. *Phytoparasitica* 35: 314–318.
- Storer PN, Kubiszak ME, King JE, Thompson GD, Santos AC. 2012. Status of resistance to Bt maize in *Spodoptera frugiperda*: lessons from Puerto Rico. *Journal Invertebrate Pathology* 110: 294–300.
- Teixeira FF, Paes MCD, Gama EEG, Pereira Filho IA, Miranda RA, Guimarães PEO, Parentoni SN, Cotta LV, Meirelles WF, Pacheco CAP, Guimarães LJM, Silva AR, Machado JRA. 2014. BRS Vivi: single-cross super sweet corn hybrid. *Crop Breeding and Applied Biotechnology* 14: 124–127.
- Van den Berg J, Hilbeck A, Bohn T. 2013. Pest resistance to Cry1Ab Bt maize: field resistance, contributing factors and lessons from South Africa. *Crop Protection* 54: 154–160.
- Waquil MS, Pereira EJG, Carvalho SSS, Pitta RM, Waquil JM, Mendes SM. 2016. Índice de adaptação e tempo letal da lagarta-do-cartucho em milho Bt. *Pesquisa Agropecuária Brasileira* 51: 563–570.
- Zanuncio JC, Silva CAD, Pereira FF, Ramalho FS, Serrão JE. 2008. Predation rate of *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) larvae with and without defense by *Podisus nigrispinus* (Heteroptera: Pentatomidae). *Brazilian Archives of Biology Technology* 51: 125–129.
- Zhang YZ, Huang DW, Zhao TH, Liu HP, Bauer LS. 2005. Two new species of egg parasitoids (Hymenoptera: Encyrtidae) of wood-boring beetle pests from China. *Phytoparasitica* 33: 253–260.