

Larvicidal Activity of Novaluron, a Chitin Synthesis Inhibitor, Against the Housefly, *Musca domestica*

Authors: Cetin, Huseyin, Erler, Fedai, and Yanikoglu, Atila

Source: Journal of Insect Science, 6(50) : 1-4

Published By: Entomological Society of America

URL: <https://doi.org/10.1673/031.006.5001>

BioOne Complete (complete.BioOne.org) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at www.bioone.org/terms-of-use.

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non - commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.



Larvicidal activity of novaluron, a chitin synthesis inhibitor, against the housefly, *Musca domestica*

Huseyin Cetin¹, Fedai Erler², and Atila Yanikoglu¹

¹ Department of Biology, Faculty of Arts and Science, Akdeniz University, 07058, Antalya, Turkey

² Department of Plant Protection, Faculty of Agriculture, Akdeniz University, 07058, Antalya, Turkey

Abstract

A chitin synthesis inhibitor, novaluron, was evaluated under laboratory conditions for its larvicidal activity against a field population of the housefly, *Musca domestica* L. (Diptera: Muscidae), by feeding and dipping methods. The concentrations used were 1, 2.5, 5, 10 and 20 mg a.i./kg in both methods. The product caused >80% larval mortality at 10 and 20 mg a.i./kg. Of the two methods, feeding was more effective for larvicidal activity at doses above 2.5 mg a.i./kg. After 72 hours, the LC₅₀ and LC₉₀ values were 1.66 and 8.25 mg a.i./kg, respectively, with the feeding method; and 2.72 and 17.88 mg a.i./kg, respectively, using the dipping method. The results showed that the product provided good control of housefly larvae and would greatly reduce adult emergence.

Keywords: insect growth regulator, pest management
Correspondence: hcetin4477@yahoo.com, erler@akdeniz.edu.tr,
Received: 21.7.2006 | Accepted: 18.8.2006 | Published: 31.12.2006
Copyright: Creative Commons Attribution 2.5
ISSN: 1536-2442 | Volume 2006, Number 50

Cite this paper as:

Cetin H, Erler F, Yanikoglu A. 2006. Larvicidal activity of novaluron, a chitin synthesis inhibitor, against the housefly, *Musca domestica*. 4pp. *Journal of Insect Science* 6:50, available online: insectscience.org/6.50

Introduction

The housefly, *Musca domestica* L. (Diptera: Muscidae) is an important mechanical vector of several bacterial and pathogenic organisms of humans and animals (Chavasse et al. 1999; Emerson et al. 1999). Sasaki et al. (2000) reported that houseflies were shown to spread a deadly strain of *Escherichia coli* in Japan. Zurek et al. (2001) showed that adult houseflies can transmit *Yersinia pseudotuberculosis*. In some parts of the world where cholera and typhoid are common, they also transmit these diseases (WHO, 1991). *M. domestica* is the most common insect species found in homes, horse stables, poultry farms, and ranches.

Because of its importance as a public health pest, many insecticides have been used directly or indirectly in the control of *M. domestica*. Throughout the world, houseflies have developed resistance to these insecticides. Recently, 10 generations of selection of field-collected houseflies produced a strain that was highly resistant (>150-fold) to spinosad (Shono & Scott, 2003). In Denmark, Kristensen and Jespersen (2003) found resistance toward diflubenzuron, and field populations with some resistance to cyromazine. Many researchers found similar results for other synthetic and biological insecticides (Kaufman et al. 2001; Learmount et al. 2002; Marcon et al. 2003). As levels of insecticide resistance continue to increase, it is ever more important to develop alternative methods and insecticides for controlling the housefly.

Novaluron is a chitin synthesis inhibitor that acts by both ingestion and contact (Ishaaya et al. 1996, 1998). It has been used for controlling a variety of insect pests of field crops (Ishaaya et al. 2003) and of public health (Mulla et al. 2003). Su et al. (2003) reported that novaluron exhibited a high level of activity against *Culex* mosquitoes. Mulla et al. (2003) showed that novaluron exhibited long-term activity against *Aedes aegypti* in water-storage containers.

The present study was undertaken to investigate larvicidal activity of novaluron (OSCAR Super[®] EC-10), against *M. domestica* under laboratory conditions.

Materials and Methods

Chemicals

A 1% emulsion concentrate of novaluron (OSCAR Super[®] EC-10) was obtained from Oben Ltd. Sti. Ankara, Turkey. Concentrations for the present study were determined by a series of preliminary studies that yielded between 25 and 95 percent larval mortalities.

One ml formulation was dissolved in 100 ml distilled water (stock solution) and from the stock solution different concentrations; 1, 2.5, 5, 10 and 20 mg a.i./kg were prepared.

Housefly strain

The strain of *M. domestica* originated from adults collected on a farm in Topcular, Antalya, using a sweep net and colonized at the Biology Department, Faculty of Arts and Science, Akdeniz University. The rearing method was that of Kristensen and Jespersen (2003) with some modifications. Adults of *M. domestica* were fed milk and dry sugar. Bran and milk was prepared at a weight ratio of 1:3, and 50 g of this mixture was placed on a plastic plate as an oviposition site.

Feeding method for the bioassay test of the larvae

Feeding with novaluron was carried out according to the method described by Kristensen and Jespersen (2003) with some modifications. To test the toxicity of the product, portions of artificial larval rearing medium (one portion: 400 g wheat bran, 200 g lucerne meal, 10 g baker's yeast, 500 ml whole milk, and 500 ml water) were treated with different concentrations of novaluron. Twenty grams of medium were put in a container and 2.5 ml of water containing novaluron or water alone was added and mixed into the medium. Twenty-five newly laid housefly eggs were placed on a piece of wet black filter paper that fit into a beer bottle cap. The eggs adhered well to the filter paper, and the caps with the eggs were placed inverted on top of the media in the containers. After 24–36 hours eggs hatched and larva began to feed. The number of emerging flies was recorded 3 weeks after the egg-seeding date and the larvicidal activity was recorded as the percentage of hatched eggs that were unable to develop into adults. All larvicidal assays were carried out at 12:12 (L:D) photoperiod, 60 ± 10%

RH and 26 ± 2 °C in the laboratory until emergence of all flies. Five concentrations of novaluron were used, plus a control. Four replicates of twenty-five larvae each were used for each treatment. The experiment was repeated three times on subsequent days.

Dipping method for the bioassay test of the larvae

The dipping method was applied according to the method described by Sukontason et al. (2004) with some modifications. All tests were run at 12:12 (L:D) photoperiod, $60 \pm 10\%$ RH, and 26 ± 2 °C. First instar larvae, 0–2 hours old, were used in the assays. Four replicates of twenty-five larvae each group were used at each concentration level. The experiments were repeated on subsequent days. The larvae of each group were gently dipped into insecticide solutions with a dip net, whereas those of the controls were dipped in tap water. After being dipped for exactly 30 sec, the larvae were transferred to the rearing jars containing food. After the larvae had been dipped, they were reared to determine the success of emergence. The number of emerging flies was recorded during the 3 week-test period.

Statistical analysis

The larval mortality data were corrected for control mortality by the formula of Abbott (1925). ANOVA was carried out with SPSS 10.0. Means were compared with Duncan's multiple range test ($P < 0.05$) and LC_{50} , LC_{90} values were calculated by using the EPA computer probit analysis program (Version 1.5).

Results and Discussion

The larvicidal activity of novaluron against larvae of *M. domestica* is presented in Table 1. Larval mortalities increased significantly ($P < 0.05$) with dose using both treatment methods. Concentrations of 10 and 20 mg a.i./kg resulted in

over 80% larval mortality in both assays. Mortality was generally greater in those larvae treated by feeding as compared to the dipping method. Some of emerging adults showed morphological abnormalities (malformations of wing, leg, head, thorax and abdomen) at these concentrations. When larvae were fed with the concentration of 20 mg a.i./kg, they completed only the first instar, however, and died during ecdysis.

From the probit analysis the LC_{50} and LC_{90} were 1.66 and 8.25 mg a.i./kg, respectively, for feeding assays; and 2.72 and 17.88 mg a.i./kg, respectively, for dipping assays.

Many different IGRs have been tested against the housefly. Webb and Wildey (1986) reported that diflubenzuron was effective in controlling strains of housefly that were resistant to carbamate, organophosphorus, organochlorine, and pyrethroid insecticides on a United Kingdom pig farm; 416 mg/m² applied to slurry pots in pig weaning stalls gave effective control for 2–4 weeks after application. Howard and Wall (1995) tested triflumuron against the housefly under laboratory conditions and found that a concentration of 1 milliunits (mU)/gram of triflumuron applied topically to adult females of *M. domestica* resulted in egg hatch inhibition greater than 95%. Similarly, Wright et al. (1975) found that 0.1 and 1 mg/kg of TH 6040 incorporated in rhinoceros feed inhibited development of *M. domestica* in the feces.

The data obtained from the present study clearly indicate that novaluron could provide excellent larval control of *M. domestica*. In addition, it was found that both feeding and dipping application methods had high larvicidal activity at the higher concentrations, 10 and 20 mg a.i./kg, and both of them could be used in the control of housefly. These results suggest that novaluron may be used in housefly control programs. Further assays

Table 1 Larvicidal activity of novaluron against *Musca domestica*

Concentration mg a.i./kg	% Mortality \pm SE	
	Feeding method	Dipping method
1	36.3 \pm 4.8 a	39.1 \pm 8.7 a
2.5	65.6 \pm 9.7 b	41.3 \pm 5.9 a
5	69.3 \pm 2.9 b	52.0 \pm 6.5 a
10	95.3 \pm 2.8 c	84.0 \pm 4.1 b
20	100.0 \pm 0.0 c	98.3 \pm 0.7 b
Control	2.3 \pm 1.2 d	5.0 \pm 3.9 c

Means with the same application method (columns) followed by the same lower case letter are not significantly different Duncan's multiple range test ($P < 0.05$)

regarding the effect of novaluron under field conditions should be followed.

Acknowledgements

The authors are thankful to Dr. Chris Curtis (University of London, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, England) for his constructive comments and suggestions, and to the Scientific Projects Administration Unit of Akdeniz University, Antalya for financial support.

References

- Abbott WS. 1925. A method of computing the effectiveness of an insecticide. *Journal of Economic Entomology* 18: 265-267.
- Chavasse DC, Shler RP, Murphy OA, Huttly SRA, Cousens SN, Akhtar T. 1999. Impact of fly control on childhood diarrhoea in Pakistan: community-randomised trial. *Lancet* 353: 22-25.
- Emerson PM, Lindsay SW, Walraven GEL, Faal H, Bogh C, Lowe K, Bailey RL. 1999. Effect of fly control on trachoma and diarrhoea. *Lancet* 353: 1401-1403.
- EPA *Probit Analysis*, Version 1.5. <http://www.epa.gov/nerleerd/stat2.htm>
- Howard J, Wall R. 1995. The effects of triflumuron, a chitin synthesis inhibitor, on the housefly, *Musca domestica* (Diptera, Muscidae). *Bulletin of Entomological Research* 85: 71-77.
- Ishaaya I, Yablonski S, Mendelson Z, Mansour Y, Horowitz AR. 1996. Novaluron (MCW-275), a novel benzoylphenyl urea, suppressing developing stages of lepidopteran, whitefly and leafminer pests. *Brighton Crop Protection Conference -Pests and Diseases* pp. 1013-1020. London: BCPC
- Ishaaya I, Damme N, Tirry L. 1998. Novaluron, optimization and use for the control of the beet armyworm and the greenhouse whitefly. *Brighton Crop Protection Conference- Pest and Diseases* pp. 1013-1020. London: BCPC
- Ishaaya I, Kontsedalov S, Horowitz AR. 2003. Novaluron (Rimon), a Novel IGR: Potency and Cross Resistance. *Archives of Insect Biochemistry and Physiology* 54: 157-164.
- Kaufman PE, Scott JG, Rutz DA. 2001. Monitoring insecticide resistance in house flies (Diptera: Muscidae) from New York dairies. *Pest Management Science* 57: 514-521.
- Kristensen M, Jespersen JB. 2003. Larvicide resistance in *Musca domestica* (Diptera: Muscidae) populations in Denmark and establishment of resistant laboratory strains. *Journal of Economic Entomology* 96: 1300-1306.
- Learmount J, Chapman P, MacNicol A. 2002. Impact of an insecticide resistance strategy for house fly (Diptera: Muscidae) control in intensive animal units in the United Kingdom. *Journal of Economic Entomology* 95: 1245-1250.
- Marcon PCRG, Thomas GD, Siegfried BD, Campbell JB, Skoda SR. 2003. Resistance status of house flies (Diptera: Muscidae) from southeastern Nebraska beef cattle feedlots to selected insecticides. *Journal of Economic Entomology* 96: 1016-1020.
- Mulla MS, Thavara U, Tawatsin A, Chompoonsri J, Zaim M, Su TY. 2003. Laboratory and field evaluation of novaluron, a new insect growth regulator (IGR), against *Aedes aegypti*. *Journal of Vector Ecology* 28: 241-254.
- Sasaki T, Kobayashi M, Agui N. 2000. Epidemiological potential of excretion and regurgitation by *Musca domestica* (Diptera: Muscidae) in the dissemination of *Escherichia coli* O157: H7 to food. *Journal of Medical Entomology* 37: 945-949.
- Shono T, Scott JG. 2003. Spinosad resistance in the housefly, *Musca domestica*, is due to a recessive factor on autosome 1. *Pesticide Biochemistry and Physiology* 75: 1-7.
- SPSS Base 10.0 1999. *Application Guide*, SPSS, Inc. <http://www.spss.com/spss/family.cfm?source=homepage&hpzone=tech>
- Su TY, Zaim M, Mulla MS. 2003. Laboratory and field evaluation of novaluron, a new insect growth regulator (IGR), against *Culex* mosquitoes. *Journal of American Mosquito Control Association* 19: 408-418.
- Sukontason KL, Boonchu N, Sukontason K, Choochote W. 2004. Effects of eucalyptol on house fly (Diptera: Muscidae) and blow fly (Diptera: Calliphoridae). *Revista do Instituto de Medicina Tropical de S Paulo* 46: 97-101.
- Webb DP, Wildey KB. 1986. Evaluation of the larvicide diflubenzuron for the control of a multi-insecticide resistant strain of housefly (*Musca domestica*) on a UK pig farm. *International Pest Control* 28: 64-66.
- WHO. 1991. Insect and rodent control through environmental management: A community action programme. Geneva, Switzerland. 29-34 pp.
- Wright JE, Oehler DD, Johnson JH. 1975. Control of house fly and stable fly breeding in rhinoceros dung with an insect growth regulator used as a feed additive. *Journal of Wildlife Diseases* 11: 522-524.
- Zurek L, Denning SS, Schal C, Watson DW. 2001. Vector competence of *Musca domestica* (Diptera: Muscidae) for *Yersinia pseudotuberculosis*. *Journal of Medical Entomology* 38: 333-335.