

## **Novel Pink Bollworm Resistance to the Bt Toxin Cry 1Ac: Effects on Mating, Oviposition, Larval Development and Survival**

Authors: Fabrick, J.A., Forlow Jech, L., and Henneberry, T. J.

Source: Journal of Insect Science, 9(24) : 1-8

Published By: Entomological Society of America

URL: <https://doi.org/10.1673/031.009.2401>

---

BioOne Complete ([complete.BioOne.org](https://complete.BioOne.org)) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at [www.bioone.org/terms-of-use](https://www.bioone.org/terms-of-use).

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non - commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

---

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.



## Novel pink bollworm resistance to the Bt toxin Cry1Ac: Effects on mating, oviposition, larval development and survival

J.A. Fabrick<sup>a</sup>, L. Forlow Jech and T. J. Henneberry

USDA-ARS, Arid Land Agricultural Research Center, 21881 North Cardon Lane, Maricopa, AZ 85238

### Abstract

Bt cotton plants are genetically engineered to produce insecticidal toxins from the *Bacillus thuringiensis* (Bt) Berliner (Bacillales: Bacillaceae) bacterium and target key lepidopteran pests. In all previous strains of pink bollworm, *Pectinophora gossypiella* (Saunders) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) selected in the laboratory for resistance to insecticidal Cry1Ac toxin using an artificial diet containing the toxin, resistance to Cry1Ac and to Bt cotton is linked to three cadherin alleles (*r1*, *r2*, and *r3*). In contrast, the BG(4) pink bollworm strain was selected for resistance to Bt cotton by feeding larvae for four days in each of 42 generations on bolls of ‘NuCOTN33B<sup>®</sup>’ that expressed Cry1Ac toxin. After additional selection for eleven generations on Cry1Ac-incorporated diet, the susceptibility to Cry1Ac, fecundity, egg viability, and mating of this strain (Bt4R) was compared with the unselected Cry1Ac-susceptible parent strain. Some larvae of the Bt4R strain survived on diet containing  $\geq 10$   $\mu\text{g}$  Cry1Ac per milliliter artificial diet, but none survived on transgenic cotton bolls. In contrast to strains selected exclusively on Cry1Ac diet, some survival of progeny of reciprocal moth crosses of Bt4R resistant and Bt-susceptible strains occurred on Cry1Ac-treated diet, suggesting differences in levels of dominance. The Bt4R resistant strain does not have the *r1*, *r2*, or *r3* mutant cadherin genes as do all previous strains of pink bollworm selected on Cry1Ac-treated artificial diet. The combined results suggest a mechanism of resistance to Cry1Ac that is different from previously described cadherin mutations.

**Keywords:** *Pectinophora gossypiella*, *Bacillus thuringiensis*, transgenic cotton

<sup>a</sup>jeff.fabrick@ars.usda.gov

**Received:** 10 January 2008 | **Accepted:** 28 May 2008 | **Published:** 22 May 2009

**Associate Editor:** Yves Carriere was editor of this paper

**Copyright:** This is an open access paper. We use the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 license that permits unrestricted use, provided that the paper is properly attributed.

**ISSN:** 1536-2442 | Vol. 9, Number 24

#### Cite this paper as:

Fabrick JA, Jech LF, Henneberry TJ. 2009. Novel pink bollworm resistance to the Bt toxin Cry1Ac: Effects on mating, oviposition, larval development and survival. 8pp. *Journal of Insect Science* 9:24, available online: [insectscience.org/9.24](http://insectscience.org/9.24)

## Introduction

Transgenic cotton with the *Bacillus thuringiensis* (Bt) Berliner (Bacillales: Bacillaceae) gene or genes producing proteins toxic to the pink bollworm, *Pectinophora gossypiella* (Saunders) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae), and other lepidopterous pests have been grown commercially in Arizona since 1996 without loss in control efficacy (Tabashnik et al. 2004; Tabashnik et al. 2006). This has occurred irrespective of the predictions for Bt resistance development based on experience with insecticides (Mellon and Rissler 1998) and documented examples of resistance to Bt sprays in greenhouse and field populations of some lepidopterans (Janmaat and Myers 2003; Tabashnik 1994; Ferré and Van Rie 2002). Four *P. gossypiella* strains have been selected for resistance using Cry1Ac protein from MVP-II<sup>®</sup> formulation incorporated in artificial diet (Tabashnik et al. 2003; Tabashnik et al. 2004). Larvae of these diet-selected resistant *P. gossypiella* strains survive and develop to reproductive adults on bolls of commercial transgenic cottons expressing Cry1Ac (Tabashnik et al. 2004; Tabashnik et al. 2005a). Resistance to Cry1Ac in these *P. gossypiella* is controlled by one or a few loci with recessive autosomal inheritance and without maternal or sex-linkage (Liu et al. 2001; Tabashnik et al. 2002). Three cadherin alleles (*r1*, *r2*, and *r3*) are genetically-linked with resistance to Cry1Ac and Bt cotton in all previously described resistant *P. gossypiella* strains (Morin et al. 2003, Morin et al. 2004; Tabashnik et al. 2004, Tabashnik et al. 2005a). Selection for resistance to Bt does not always result in the ability of resistant insects to survive on their natural host plants (Tabashnik et al. 2003), indicating that selection to formulated toxin differs from that on transgenic plants and that additional factors may contribute to insect mortality.

In contrast to selection for resistance using Cry1Ac protein in artificial diet, BG(4) *P. gossypiella* larvae selected for resistance in laboratory studies from 2001 to 2005 by feeding on ‘NuCOTN33B<sup>®</sup>’ (Bt) cotton bolls did not produce Bt resistant larvae that survived on Bt cotton bolls (Henneberry et al. 2006). Some larvae of this selected strain, however, did survive at  $\geq 10$   $\mu$ g Cry1Ac per milliliter artificial diet, which has been determined to be a discriminating concentration for *P. gossypiella* resistance in the laboratory (Tabashnik et al. 2003). Emergence, mating, and egg hatch were not significantly affected by selection, but fecundity was significantly reduced in BG(4). This suggests a cost of resistance similar to those reported for other *P. gossypiella* selected strains (Carrière et al. 2001a,b), although incomplete resistance (Carrière et al. 2006) or inbreeding depression could have contributed to such loss in fecundity.

Here, a *P. gossypiella* Bt4R strain was generated by further selecting the Cry1Ac-tolerant BG(4) strain on artificial diet containing Cry1Ac for 11 generations. Susceptibility was compared to Cry1Ac, fecundity, egg viability, and

mating of the Bt4R strain with a Cry1Ac-susceptible strain (WCRL) and provide an initial comparison of resistance with known diet-selected *P. gossypiella* strains. Bt4R adults were analyzed for the presence of known cadherin resistance alleles. Finally, the survival and development between F<sub>1</sub> progeny from reciprocal cross-mating of the Bt4R resistant strain and a susceptible strain on Cry1Ac-incorporated diet was compared. The results suggest that the mechanism of resistance to Cry1Ac in Bt4R differs from previously characterized *P. gossypiella* strains.

## Materials and Methods

### Pink bollworm strains

Bt-susceptible *P. gossypiella* used in the study were from the Western Cotton Research Laboratory, Phoenix, AZ colony (designated as the WCRL strain) that has been reared continuously without selection on artificial diet (Bartlett and Wolf 1985) for more than 350 generations. A Cry1Ac-resistant strain [BG(4)] was developed by selection of over 3,000 individuals from the WCRL strain over 42 generations of four-day feeding on Bt cotton bolls that expressed Cry1Ac (Henneberry et al. 2006). Following the 4 day feeding period on Bt cotton bolls, surviving larvae were reared until adulthood on artificial diet without Bt toxin. The initial selection of BG(4) consisted of 220 neonate larvae placed on 44 cotton bolls for 4 days, of which 112 pupae survived and were used for subsequent selections. Approximately 50–100 individuals in each generation were selected on Bt cotton bolls. An additional *P. gossypiella* strain was selected on Bt cotton bolls for seven days [BG(7)]; however, the BG(7) strain was less fit than the BG(4) strain and was ultimately lost (Henneberry et al. 2006). A sub-colony of the BG(4) strain at generation 42 was established on artificial diet containing 10  $\mu$ g of Cry1Ac toxin per milliliter diet and is designated as the Bt4R strain. Selection of Bt4R on Cry1Ac-treated diet resulted in sufficient adults to maintain the colony, ranging from 62 moths from initial selection to hundreds of adults in subsequent generations. This concentration of Bt toxin was chosen as previous experiments have identified it as diagnostic for identifying larvae that are homozygous for resistance (Tabashnik et al. 2002). Experiments on Bt4R began after 11 generations on Cry1Ac-treated diet.

For each generation of Bt4R on Cry1Ac diet, surviving pupae were held in 9.5 cm x 6.0 cm waxed cardboard mating-oviposition cages. Emerging adults were provided a 10% sucrose solution for food and paper towel pieces (2.5 cm<sup>2</sup>) were placed over screens to act as an oviposition substrate. Oviposition substrates with eggs were collected and placed in cardboard containers filled with approximately 100 g of 10  $\mu$ g Cry1Ac per ml artificial diet to start the following generation. Larvae were reared at 26.7°C in constant temperature cabinets with a 14:10

L:D cycle. The WCRL strain was reared as described above, but on artificial diet without Cry1Ac toxin.

### Cry1Ac-treated diet

Cry1Ac-treated diet was supplied by the Extension Arthropod Resistance Management Laboratory (EARML) of the University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ. Cry1Ac toxin (**MVP-II<sup>®</sup> Bioinsecticide, Mycogen, San Diego, CA**) in stock solution was mixed into artificial diet in amounts necessary to create final concentrations ranging from 0 to 1000 µg Cry1Ac/mL of diet as described by Dennehy et al. (2004).

### Cry1Ac susceptibility

The susceptibility of the Bt4R and WCRL pink bollworm strains to Cry1Ac was determined using a modification of the survival method of Tabashnik et al. (2002). Approximately 2 g of artificial diet containing 0, 0.1, 0.3, 1, 10, 32 or 100 µg Cry1Ac/ml was placed in five 30 ml plastic cups. Five neonate larvae from each strain were placed in each of the cups using a fine brush. Larvae were reared at 26.7°C with 14:10 L:D. Live and dead insects were recorded after 21 days, when any fourth instar larvae, pupae, or adults were considered survivors. An additional dose-mortality experiment was performed on the Bt4R strain, as higher concentrations of Cry1Ac were needed to estimate the LC<sub>50</sub>. For the Bt4R strain only, newly hatched neonates (3 replicates of n = 30) were reared individually on 0, 1, 10, 100, and 1000 µg Cry1Ac per ml artificial diet in cups as described above and mortality was recorded after 21 days. LC<sub>50</sub>s were estimated by Probit analysis (PROC PROBIT) using SAS (SAS Institute 1985). The resistance ratio was calculated as the LC<sub>50</sub> for Bt4R divided by the LC<sub>50</sub> for WCRL.

### PCR analysis for known cadherin *r* alleles

DNA screening of *P. gossypiella* for the presence of known cadherin resistance alleles was done using a modified protocol and PCR primers of that described by Morin et al. 2004 and Tabashnik et al. 2005a. Three sets of *P. gossypiella* adults from the Bt4R strain were screened for the presence of known *r* alleles. First, PCR was performed on DNA from adult *P. gossypiella* (n = 29) of the Bt4R strain that fed on Bt bolls and was the first generation of survivors on artificial diet containing 10 µg Cry1Ac toxin per ml diet. Second, DNA from Bt4R individuals (n = 19) maintained on 10 µg Cry1Ac per ml diet for ten generations was tested. Finally, Bt4R individuals (n = 20) were again tested for *r* alleles after 16 generations, with all but one generation of larvae reared on diet with 10 µg Cry1Ac per ml diet. DNA was extracted from *P. gossypiella* using PUREGENE DNA Isolation Kit from Gentra Systems (www.qiagen.com). PCR positive control reactions using DNA extracted *P. gossypiella* individuals from the diet-selected resistant strain (AZP-R) containing known *r* alleles (*r1r3* and *r2r3*) were performed as described by Morin et al. 2004.

### Reciprocal cross matings

To determine the effect of Cry1Ac resistance on mating, oviposition, larval survival and development of the Bt4R strain, male and female fourth instar larvae were separately reared on artificial diet containing 10 µg Cry1Ac/ml. Upon pupation, five Bt4R female pupae were paired with five WCRL male pupae and five WCRL female pupae were paired with five Bt4R male pupae and placed in cages. Tests were also performed on crosses with five Bt4R males paired with five Bt4R females and five WCRL males paired with five WCRL females. Ecdysis occurred equally and timing was nearly synchronous for both strains. Adults were provided with oviposition substrates and a 10% sucrose solution. Eggs were collected after 10 days when >90% of oviposition should have occurred (Lingren et al. 1988). Fecundity and egg hatch from these crosses were compared to control crosses that consisted of adults obtained from WCRL larvae reared on artificial diet without Cry1Ac toxin and handled similarly in other respects. Numbers of eggs laid and percentage of eggs hatched were recorded. Adult females were dissected and examined for the presence of spermatophores to verify mating status. Mating and oviposition tests were replicated five times.

Oviposition and development of insects resulting from reciprocal crosses of Bt4R and WCRL were analyzed. Both the total number of eggs laid and egg hatch were examined. Approximately one half of the eggs were placed in containers with ~ 2 g of either untreated diet or diet containing 10 µg Cry1Ac per ml diet. Eggs were incubated at 26.7°C (14:10 L:D) for 5 days and egg hatch was recorded. Larvae on diet were returned to 26.7°C for 21 days. Larval instar development and numbers of each on either untreated diet or on 10 µg Cry1Ac per ml diet were recorded. Egg and larval development experiments were replicated five times.


### Statistics

Data were analyzed by ANOVA using MSTAT (version 2.11) for comparisons between moth strains for differences in immature larval survival, fecundity, egg and larval mortalities. Percent mated females, spermatophore accrual, and oviposition were also analyzed pair wise by Mann-Whitney Rank Sum Test using SigmaStat (version 3.0). Mortality percentages were corrected for control mortalities using Abbott's formula (Abbott 1925), arcsine transformed, and analyzed by Holm-Sidak multiple comparison test using SigmaStat.

## Results

### Cry1Ac susceptibility

Larvae from the WCRL strain were more susceptible to Cry1Ac than the Bt4R strain (Figure 1 and Table 1). Mortality was significantly less for the Bt4R strain than for the WCRL strain ( $F = 4.69$ ,  $df = 3, 110$ ,  $P = 0.004$ ).



Mean  $\text{LC}_{50}$ s for the WCRL and Bt4R strains were 0.254  $\mu\text{g/ml}$  and 60.6  $\mu\text{g/ml}$ , respectively (Table 1). Based on the  $\text{LC}_{50}$ s, Bt4R was estimated to be 240-fold more resistant to Cry1Ac relative to WCRL. Other diet-selected strains of *P. gossypiella* had higher  $\text{LC}_{50}$  values and resistance ratios than Bt4R, including 700  $\mu\text{g/ml}$  and 3,100-fold for AZP-R, 400  $\mu\text{g/ml}$  and 1,700-fold for MOV97-R, and 120  $\mu\text{g/ml}$  and 520-fold for SAF97-R, respectively (Tabashnik et al. 2002; Tabashnik et al. 2005a). The  $\text{LC}_{50}$  value for WCRL is comparable with that determined for another lab strain of *P. gossypiella* susceptible to Cry1Ac ( $\text{LC}_{50} = 0.23 \mu\text{g/ml}$ ) (Tabashnik et al. 2005a).

**Table 1.** Responses to Cry1Ac of pink bollworm larvae resistant strain (Bt4R) selected on both Bt cotton and artificial diet and the unselected parent strain (WCRL).

Strain	n	Slope (SE)	$\text{LC}_{50}$ (95% FL) <sup>1</sup>	RR <sup>2</sup>
Bt4R	450	1.5 (0.27)	61 (34–89)	240
WCRL	425	1.2 (0.17)	0.25 (0.13–0.40)	-

<sup>1</sup>Units are micrograms of Cry1Ac per milliliter of diet

<sup>2</sup>Resistance ratio = ( $\text{LC}_{50}$  of Bt4R)/( $\text{LC}_{50}$  of WCRL)

### PCR analysis for known cadherin *r* alleles

Screening of Bt4R adults (n = 29 from F1, n = 19 from F10, and n = 20 from F16) for the presence of known *r* alleles failed to detect any of the previously identified cadherin *r* alleles. All individuals tested positive for the internal intron control, indicating that the DNA was intact at the cadherin locus in all samples. Likewise, DNA from individuals from diet-selected strains with known *r* alleles (*r1r3* and *r2r3*) was positively identified and genotyped. These results indicate that those Bt4R individuals selected on NuCOTN33B<sup>®</sup> Bt cotton bolls and 10  $\mu\text{g}$  Cry1Ac per ml diet in the artificial diet bioassay did not possess *r1*, *r2*, or *r3* cadherin resistance alleles. It is not known whether these resistant *P. gossypiella* individuals contain additional mutations in the cadherin gene (i.e. *r4*, *r5*, etc.) or if the resistance is associated with other unknown resistance genes.

### Reciprocal cross mating, oviposition and egg hatch

Mating of males and females within strains (Bt4R X Bt4R and WCRL X WCRL) were not significantly different from individuals mated between strains (Bt4R X WCRL) (Table 2). Also, numbers of spermatophores found per female were not significantly different for the WCRL strain compared to females of the reciprocal

**Table 2.** Mean percentages of mated females, spermatophores per female, and oviposition from crosses of WCRL and Bt4R moth pairs.

Moth pair		% mated females	No. Sperm. <sup>1</sup> /female	Eggs		
♀	♂			Mean total No. eggs laid	Mean total No. viable eggs	Mean No. viable/ mated females
Bt4R	WCRL	81.0 a <sup>2</sup>	2.3 a	247.8 b	142.4 b	47.3 b <sup>3</sup>
WCRL	Bt4R	84.0 a	2.3 a	473.4 ab	370.4 ab	88.5 ab
Bt4R	Bt4R	96.0 a	2.2 a	425.6 ab	295.6 b	64.5 ab
WCRL	WCRL	96.0 a	3.3 a	678.2 a	568.0 a	126.7 a
F, (P)		0.60 (>0.05)	2.23 (>0.05)	4.00 (<0.05)	5.06 (<0.05)	3.26 (>0.05)

<sup>1</sup>Sperm. = spermatophore

<sup>2</sup>Mean numbers for 5 replicates of 5 moth pairs per replicate. Means in the same column not followed by the same same letter are significantly different as determined by ANOVA and by pair wise comparisons using the Mann-Whitney Rank Sum Test,  $P \leq 0.05$ ,  $df = 3, 12$ .

<sup>3</sup>Mean numbers of viable eggs per mated female were not shown to be significantly different by ANOVA ( $F=3.26$ ,  $P=0.0594$ ), but pair-wise t-test comparisons revealed a significant difference between ♀ Bt4R X ♂ WCRL and ♀ WCRL X ♂ WCRL ( $t=-3.249$ ,  $P=0.012$ ) as indicated by different letters.

pairings between strains or within the Bt4R strain. The total numbers of eggs laid, mean number of viable eggs, and mean number of viable eggs per mated female were reduced for mating pairs involving Bt4R strain moths. Specifically, there was a significant reduction in oviposition and mean numbers of viable eggs (total and per mated female) for the cross involving Bt4R females and WCRL males (Table 2). All crosses involving Bt4R showed reduced oviposition compared to mating within the WCRL strain. Like those reported by Carrière et al. (2001a, Carrière et al. 2001b), our results suggest a fitness cost is associated with resistance to Cry1Ac in Bt4R which impacts mating and oviposition. However, other factors such as moth age, length of time after emergence before mating occurs, inbreeding depression, and incomplete resistance may also contribute to the differences in oviposition.

For larvae of WCRL and Bt4R reciprocal crosses feeding on untreated diet, the majority of the surviving progeny were 4<sup>th</sup> instar larvae by 21 days (Table 3). Except for the Bt4R (♀) X Bt4R (♂) cross, the proportion of larvae that reached the 4<sup>th</sup> instar when reared on artificial diet containing 10 µg Cry1Ac per ml diet by 21 days was significantly less than those larvae feeding on diet without Cry1Ac. Intrastrain crosses with Bt4R provided a clear advantage over crosses with the WCRL strain, with a significantly higher mean proportion of larvae reaching the 4<sup>th</sup> instar and higher corrected percent survival. The corrected percent survival indicates an apparent disadvantage for progeny of Bt4R X WCRL cross with the Bt4R mother (0.74% adjusted survival) compared to the Bt4R father (8.9% adjusted survival) for 21 days survival on 10 µg Cry1Ac/ml diet. However, the mean proportion of progeny reaching 4<sup>th</sup> instar larvae was not significantly different for Bt4R (♀) X WCRL (♂) versus WCRL (♀) X Bt4R (♂) indicating that the paternal sex linkage is not likely to be significant. Any cost associated with Bt4R (♀) X WCRL (♂) also did not affect oviposition, female mating, or spermatophore accrual (Table 2) or egg viability,

as percentage of viable eggs obtained for all crosses from larvae reared on untreated artificial diet were not significantly different (Table 3).

## Discussion

The survival of F1 progeny from reciprocal crosses of resistant (Bt4R) and susceptible (WCRL) pink bollworm moths on 10 µg Cry1Ac per ml diet is in contrast to the results reported by Liu et al. (2001) and Tabashnik et al. (2002). These studies reported that dominance of Bt resistance was related to the concentration of Cry1Ac in artificial diets. Co-dominance occurred at low diet concentrations (0.1 µg/ml), partially recessive resistance at intermediate concentrations (1.0 µg/ml), and completely recessive (no survival) inheritance at high concentrations (>10 µg/ml). Although our studies were not designed to define the level of dominance of Cry1Ac resistance in Bt4R, some F1 progeny of the Bt4R (♀) X WCRL (♂) and WCRL (♀) X Bt4R (♂) crosses survived on artificial diet containing a discriminating concentration of Cry1Ac. This indicates some level of dominance in the resistance of Bt4R to Cry1Ac and differs from any of the diet-selected strains tested by Liu et al. (2001) and Tabashnik et al. (2002).

Studies of insect responses to Bt plants suggest that resistance to Bt toxins in diets or leaf dip bioassays does not always translate to the ability of the resultant strain to survive on their Bt host plant (Tabashnik et al. 2003). Larvae of resistant strains of European corn borer, *Ostrinia nubilalis* (Huang et al. 2002), Colorado potato beetle, *Leptinotarsa decemlineata* (Wierenga et al. 1996), and tobacco budworm, *Heliothis virescens* (Gould et al. 1995), are examples of resistant insects that do not survive on their Bt hosts. In contrast, resistant larvae of Diamondback moth, *Plutella xylostella* (Tabashnik et al. 1990), pink bollworm, and *Helicoverpa armigera* (Akhurst et al. 2003; Fan et al. 2000) have complete development on host plants expressing Cry1A toxins. Some selected insect strains may



**Table 3.** Larval survival, egg viability, and instar development of F1 progeny from WCRL and Bt4R reciprocal crosses.

Moth pair <sup>1</sup>		Total No. viable eggs	% egg viability	No. developed to instar in 21 d <sup>2</sup>			Mean proportion developed to ≥4th instar <sup>3</sup>	Corrected % Survival <sup>4</sup>
♀	♂			2 <sup>nd</sup>	3 <sup>rd</sup>	4 <sup>th</sup>		
Reared on untreated artificial diet								
Bt4R	WCRL	298	54.7 a <sup>5</sup>	0	2	97	0.42 ± 0.013 a <sup>6</sup>	-
WCRL	Bt4R	897	80.3 a	0	1	232	0.29 ± 0.091 a	-
Bt4R	Bt4R	681	69.1 a	0	0	179	0.31 ± 0.073 a	-
WCRL	WCRL	1284	82.6 a	0	3	330	0.26 ± 0.082 a	-
Reared on artificial diet with 10 µg Cry1Ac per milliliter diet								
Bt4R	WCRL	414	59.7 a	47	1	1	0.0029 ± 0.0029 b	0.74
WCRL	Bt4R	955	76.4 a	38	10	22	0.034 ± 0.027 b	8.9
Bt4R	Bt4R	797	69.8 a	0	2	145	0.23 ± 0.048 a	69
WCRL	WCRL	1556	84.7 a	36	11	0	0.0 ± 0.0 b	0.0

<sup>1</sup>Reciprocal crosses were performed by mixing five females with five males from each strain in appropriate combinations and were replicated five times.

<sup>2</sup>No 1<sup>st</sup> instar larvae were found on untreated or Cry1Ac-treated diet. Fourth instar larvae category also includes those insects that developed to the pupal stage.

<sup>3</sup>Mean proportion ± SE was determined by calculating the number that survived to 4th instar larvae or pupae divided by the total number of viable individuals and calculating the mean for each of the five replicates.

<sup>4</sup>Corrected % survival was calculated as survival on Cry1Ac-treated diet divided by survival on untreated diet multiplied by 100%. Only live 4th instars or pupae at 21 d after the start of bioassay were counted as survivors.

<sup>5</sup>Mean proportion of egg hatch from each cross were not significantly different ( $\alpha=0.05$ ) as determined by ANOVA.

<sup>6</sup>Mean proportion of larvae that developed to 4th instar or pupae with the same letter were not significantly different ( $\alpha=0.05$ ) as determined by the Student-Newman-Keuls multiple comparison test.

survive on Bt host plants and others may not because of greater exposure to toxin in transgenic plants, higher toxin concentration in plants, the presence of plant-toxin interactions, differences in production of active toxin in plants versus protein in diet bioassays, and/or differences in the selected resistance genes. Cry1Ac in cotton bolls has been reported by Liu et al. (2001) to be more toxic to pink bollworm larvae than artificial diet containing 10 µg toxin per ml diet. It is possible that one or more factors contribute to survival of Bt4R on Cry1Ac in diet bioassays but not on Bt cotton bolls. Selection for 42 generations on Bt cotton and additional selection of 16 generations on 10 µg Cry1Ac per ml diet did not produce larvae that survive on Bt cotton bolls. It is possible that more rigorous selection on Cry1Ac in subsequent generations of Bt4R may increase resistance to allow for survival on high concentrations of Cry1Ac and on Bt cotton. Resistance to Cry1Ac in *P. gossypiella* may evolve by selection of major or minor resistance genes (Groeters and Tabashnik 2000). Major resistance genes often favor selection of one or few loci that show rapid changes in frequency and provide high levels of resistance. It is likely that resistance to Cry1Ac in Bt4R is controlled by a minor gene or genes, as a major allele would likely be fixed under intense selection (Groeters and Tabashnik 2000). Evolution of resistance to Bt toxin may also be influenced by inherent differences in the toxin, such as pro-toxin activation status and/or toxin formulation as discussed by Anilkumar et al. (2008). More detailed studies are needed to determine the genetic basis for resistance

to Cry1Ac in the Bt4R strain. Also, further studies are needed to determine differences in Cry1Ac expressed in Bt cotton bolls and/or other factors contributing to sustained susceptibility in Bt4R on plants versus toxin used in diet bioassays.

Our results suggest that resistance to Cry1Ac found in Bt4R differs from diet-selected *P. gossypiella* strains. The pink bollworm strains of Liu et al. (2001) and Tabashnik et al. (2002) were selected for higher levels of resistance using increasing amounts of Cry1Ac toxin with increasing generations. Resistance in these strains was recessively inherited and genetically linked to mutations in a cadherin BtR gene (Morin et al. 2003; Tabashnik et al. 2004; Tabashnik et al. 2005a). We did not detect *r1*, *r2*, or *r3* resistance alleles in Bt4R. Therefore, Bt4R may differ from previous Cry1Ac-resistant strains either by a completely novel resistance mechanism or by unknown cadherin alleles not detected by allele-specific PCR. The fact that a unique selection regime (4 d on Bt plant vs. 21 d on Cry1Ac-treated diet) was used and because the apparent dominance of resistance differs from previously identified diet-selected resistant strains suggests that Bt4R employs a novel mechanism of resistance.

It is noteworthy that selection of resistance for 42 generations on Bt cotton bolls and 16 generations on Cry1Ac-treated artificial diet resulted in a resistant strain that is still unable to survive on Bt cotton plants. Field monitoring for *P. gossypiella* resistance to Bt cotton throughout the

southwestern U.S. has not detected an increase in resistance allele frequency from 1997–2007 (Tabashnik et al. 2005b; Tabashnik personal communication) and PCR screening has yet to detect cadherin resistance alleles in the field (Tabashnik et al. 2006). This suggests that although *P. gossypiella* has the genetic potential to evolve resistance to Bt cotton, this has not yet occurred in the field and that resistance alleles that allow survival on Bt cotton are rare. Bioassays of field-collected *P. gossypiella* using Cry1Ac-treated diet estimated that the mean frequency of resistance alleles from 2001–2005 was 0.024 (Tabashnik et al. 2005b). If resistance is partially dominant as that found for Bt4R, such that some *rs* individuals survive in bioassays at 10 µg Cry1Ac per ml, the frequency of the dominant *r* allele would be lower than the stated estimate, with the exact estimate depending on how dominant the resistance is. Further studies are needed to identify genetic differences in *P. gossypiella* resistant strains, to define the mechanism of Bt resistance in Bt4R, and understand potential costs associated with resistance, which may improve our understanding of resistance mechanisms in lepidopterans and increase our ability to plan future resistance management tactics if it occurs in field populations.

## Acknowledgments

The authors appreciate the help of Drs. TJ Dennehy and BE Tabashnik and the staff of the University of Arizona's Extension Arthropod Resistance Management Laboratory for providing Cry1Ac-incorporated diet. We thank Barbara Hefner for technical assistance. We thank Mark Sisterson and Bruce Tabashnik for helpful comments on a previous version of this manuscript.

## References

Abbott WS. 1925. A method of computing the effectiveness of an insecticide. *Journal of Economic Entomology* 18: 265-267.

Akhurst RJ, James W, Bird L, Beard C. 2003. Resistance to the Cry1Ac  $\sigma$ -endotoxin of *Bacillus thuringiensis* in the cotton bollworm, *Helicoverpa armigera* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Journal of Economic Entomology* 96(4): 1290-1299.

Anilkumar KJ, Rodrigo-Simon A, Ferré J, Pusztai-Carey M, Sivasubramanian S, Moar W. 2008. Production and characterization of *Bacillus thuringiensis* Cry1Ac-resistant cotton bollworm *Helicoverpa zea* (Boddie). *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 74(2): 462-469.

Bartlett AC, Wolf WW. In: Moore RF, Singh P, editors. 1985. *Pectinophora gossypiella* 415-430. *Handbook of insect rearing 2*; Elsevier Scientific Publishing Co. .

Carrière Y, Ellers-Kirk C, Liu YB, Sims MA, Patin AL, Dennehy TJ, Tabashnik BE. 2001a. Fitness costs and maternal effects associated with resistance to transgenic cotton in the pink bollworm (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae). *Journal of Economic Entomology* 94(6): 1571-1576.

Carrière Y, Ellers-Kirk C, Patin AL, Sims MA, Meyer S, Liu YB, Dennehy TJ, Tabashnik BE. 2001b. Overwintering costs associated with resistance to transgenic cotton in the pink bollworm. *Journal of Economic Entomology* 94(4): 935-941.

Carrière Y, Ellers-Kirk C, Biggs RW, Nyboer ME, Unnithan GC, Dennehy TJ, Tabashnik BE. 2006. Cadherin-based resistance to Bt cotton in hybrid strains of pink bollworm: Fitness costs and incomplete resistance. *Journal of Economic Entomology* 99(6): 1925-1935.

Dennehy TJ, Unnithan GC, Brinks SA, Wood BD, Carrière Y, Tabashnik BE, Antilla L, Whitlow M. 2004. Update on pink bollworm resistance to Bt cotton in the Southwest. In: Silvertooth J, editor. 213-223. *Cotton, A College of Agriculture and Life Science Report Series P-138, 2004*. Cooperative Extension, Agriculture Experiment Station. The University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ.

Fan X, Zhao JZ, Fan Y, Shi X. 2000. Inhibition of transgenic Bt plants to the growth of cotton bollworm. *Plant Protection* 26(2): 3-5.

Ferré J, Van Rie J. 2002. Biochemistry and genetics of insect resistance to *Bacillus thuringiensis*. *Annual Review of Entomology* 47: 501-533.

Gould F, Anderson A, Reynolds A, Bumgarner L, Moar W. 1995. Selection and genetic analysis of a *Heliothis virescens* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) strain with high levels of resistance to *Bacillus thuringiensis* toxins. *Journal of Economic Entomology* 88(6): 1545-1559.

Groeters FR, Tabashnik BE. 2000. Roles of selection intensity, major genes, and minor genes in evolution of insecticide resistance. *Journal of Economic Entomology* 93(6): 1580-1587.

Henneberry TJ, Forlow Jech L, Maurer J. 2006. Effects of pink bollworm larvae feeding on “NuCOTN33B®” cotton bolls and pollen and tolerance to Cry1Ac toxin in artificial diet bioassays. *Southwestern Entomologist* 31(3): 169-185.

Huang F, Buschman LL, Higgins RA, Li H. 2002. Survival of Kansas Dipel-resistant European corn borer (Lepidoptera: Crambidae) on Bt and non-Bt corn hybrids. *Journal of Economic Entomology* 95(3): 614-621.

Janmaat AF, Myers JH. 2003. Rapid evolution and the cost of resistance to *Bacillus thuringiensis* in greenhouse populations of cabbage loopers, *Trichoplusia ni*. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B* 270(1530): 2263-2270.

Lingren PD, Warner WB, Henneberry TJ. 1988. Influence of delayed mating on egg production, egg viability, mating and longevity of female pink bollworm (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae). *Environmental Entomology* 17(1): 86-89.

Liu YB, Tabashnik BE, Myer SK, Carrière Y, Bartlett AC. 2001. Genetics of pink bollworm resistance to *Bacillus thuringiensis* toxin Cry1Ac. *Journal of Economic Entomology* 94(1): 248-252.

In: Mellon M, Rissler J, editors. 1998. *Now or Never: Serious New Plans to Save a Natural Pest Control* 149 Union of Concerned Scientists Publications.

Morin S, Biggs RW, Sisterson MS, Shriver L, Ellers-Kirk C, Higginson D, Holley D, Gahan LJ, Heckel DG, Carrière Y, Dennehy TJ, Brown JK, Tabashnik BE. 2003. Three cadherin alleles associated with resistance to *Bacillus thuringiensis* in pink bollworm. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA* 100(9): 5004-5009.



- Morin S, Henderson S, Fabrick JA, Carrière Y, Dennehy TJ, Brown JK, Tabashnik BE. 2004. DNA-based detection of Bt resistance alleles in pink bollworm. *Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology* 34(11): 1225-1233.
- SAS Institute 1985. *SAS user's guide: statistics, Version 5.1.2600*. SAS Institute.
- Tabashnik BE, Cushing NL, Finson N, Johnson MW. 1990. Field development of resistance to *Bacillus thuringiensis* in diamondback moth (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae). *Journal of Economic Entomology* 83(5): 1671-1676.
- Tabashnik BE. 1994. Evolution of resistance to *Bacillus thuringiensis*. *Annual Review of Entomology* 39: 47-79.
- Tabashnik BE, Liu YB, Dennehy TJ, Sims MA, Sisterson MS, Biggs RW, Carrière Y. 2002. Inheritance of resistance to Bt toxin Cry1Ac in a field-derived strain of pink bollworm (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae). *Journal of Economic Entomology* 95(5): 1018-1026.
- Tabashnik BE, Carrière Y, Dennehy TJ, Morin S, Sisterson MS, Roush RT, Shelton AM, Zhao JZ. 2003. Insect resistance to transgenic Bt crops: lessons from the laboratory and field. *Journal of Economic Entomology* 96(4): 1031-1038.
- Tabashnik BE, Liu YB, Unnithan DC, Carrière Y, Dennehy TJ, Morin S. 2004. Shared genetic basis of resistance to Bt toxin Cry1Ac in independent strains of pink bollworm. *Journal of Economic Entomology* 97(3): 721-726.
- Tabashnik BE, Biggs RW, Higginson DM, Henderson S, Unnithan DC, Unnithan GC, Ellers-Kirk C, Sisterson MS, Dennehy TJ, Carrière Y, Morin S. 2005a. Association between resistance to Bt cotton and cadherin genotype in pink bollworm. *Journal of Economic Entomology* 98(3): 635-644.
- Tabashnik BE, Dennehy TJ, Carrière Y. 2005b. Delayed resistance to transgenic cotton in pink bollworm. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA* 102(43): 15389-15393.
- Tabashnik BE, Fabrick JA, Henderson S, Biggs RW, Yafuso CM, Nyboer ME, Manhardt NM, Coughlin LA, Sollome J, Carrière Y, Dennehy TJ, Morin S. 2006. DNA screening reveals pink bollworm resistance to Bt cotton remains rare after a decade of exposure. *Journal of Economic Entomology* 99(5): 1525-1530.
- Wierenga JM, Norris DL, Whalon ME. 1996. Stage-specific mortality of Colorado potato beetle (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) feeding on transgenic potatoes. *Journal of Economic Entomology* 89(5): 1047-1052.