

Evaluation of the Influence of the Antibiotic Ciprofloxacin in the Development of an Old World Screwworm Fly, Chrysomya putoria

Authors: Ferraz, Adriana C. P., Dallavecchia, Daniele L., Silva, Débora Cardoso da, Carvalho, Rafaela Pereira de, Filho, Renato Geraldo da Silva, et al.

Source: Journal of Insect Science, 14(3): 1-11

Published By: Entomological Society of America

URL: https://doi.org/10.1673/031.014.03

BioOne Complete (complete.BioOne.org) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at <u>www.bioone.org/terms-of-use</u>.

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non - commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.



Evaluation of the influence of the antibiotic ciprofloxacin in the development of an Old World screwworm fly, Chrysomya putoria

Adriana C. P. Ferraz^{1,2a}, Daniele L. Dallavecchia^{2b}, Débora Cardoso da Silva^{1,3c}, Rafaela Pereira de Carvalho^{2d}, Renato Geraldo da Silva Filho^{2e}, Valéria M. Aguiar-Coelho^{2f}

¹Universidade Federal Rural do Rio de Janeiro, Curso de pós-graduação. Rod. BR 465, Km 7, CEP 23890-000 Seropédica, RJ, Brazil

²Universidade Federal do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Rua Frei Caneca, 94, Centro, CEP 20211-040 Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brasil

³Universidade Estadual do Sudoeste da Bahia, Departamento de Estudos Básicos e Instrumentais, Praça Primavera s/n, Bairro Primavera, CEP 45700-000, Itapetinga, BA, Brasil

Abstract

Chrysomya putoria (Wiedemann) (Diptera: Calliphoridae), an Old World screwworm fly, is a species with potential for maggot therapy practice and has been described in myiasis and forensic entomology studies. The objective of the present study was to assess the action of different ciprofloxacin concentrations on the growth and development of C. putoria. First instar maggots of the third generation were raised on 60 g of chicken gizzard homogenate in 65% agar diet and received ciprofloxacin chloridrate. Each concentration of the antibiotic tested (3.33 µg/mL, 6.66 µg/mL, and 13.33 µg/mL) and the control (no antibiotic) were replicated four times (40 maggots/replication). The control received distilled water instead of the antibiotic. Maggots were kept in an acclimatized chamber at 30° C during the day and 28° C at night, with 70 + 10% RH and a 14:10 L:D photoperiod. They were weighed in batches of five and stored in test tubes sealed with nylon fabric and elastic. Microsoft Excel and STAT were used for the analysis. The variation among the maggot weight means and the duration of the maggot stage, pupal stage, and time to total development (neo-larvae to adult) were analyzed by Student's *t*-test ($\alpha = 5\%$). The viabilities and the normality rates were compared using ANOVA, and the expected sex ratio frequency was tested by the chi-squared test (χ^2). There was no significant difference among the four treatments regarding mean individual maggot weight, mean duration of the maggot inoculation until abandonment, the duration of the maggot and pupal stages, and the total duration of all stages. The sex ratios found in the four treatments did not differ from the expected. Only treatment 2 (6.66 µg/mL concentration of ciprofloxacin) differed significantly from the control in maggot and total viability. The antibiotic did not seem to alter C. putoria development in the post-embryonic period.

Keywords: blowflies, drug, maggots, pupae Correspondence: <u>a adrianapedroso7@yahoo.com.br</u> , <u>b danieledallavecchia@gmail.com</u> , <u>c dcardoso_rj@hotmail.com</u> , <u>d</u> rafa_pereirarj@hotmail.com, <u>e rgbk@baktron.com.br</u> , <u>f valerialed@yahoo.com.br</u>
Editor: John Ewer was editor of this paper.
Received: 19 February 2012 Accepted: 28 May 2013 Published: 2 January 2014
Copyright: This is an open access paper. We use the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 license that permits unrestricted use, provided that the paper is properly attributed. ISSN: 1536-2442 Vol. 14, Number 3
Cite this paper as: Ferraz ACP, Dallavecchia DL, Silva DCD, Carvalho RPD, Filho RGDS, Aguiar-Coelho VM. 2013. Evaluation of the influence of the antibiotic ciprofloxacin in the development of an Old World screwworm fly, <i>Chrysomya putoria. Journal of Insect Science</i> 14:3. Available online: <u>http://www.insectscience.org/14.3</u>

Introduction

Antimicrobial agents are medications used to eliminate different genera of microorganisms. Among the different genres of existing antimicrobials, some of the most commonly used are norfloxacin, ciprofloxacin, nitrofurantoin. ampicin, and sulfametoxazol/trimetropin. Ciprofloxacin is a wide-spectrum therapeutic chemical used to treat pathologies caused by gram-positive and gram-negative sensitive microorganisms (DEF 2001; Korolkovas 2002; Martindale 2002) and is appropriate for the treatment of infections caused by pathogens sensitive to the drug, such as infections of the upper respiratory tract, ear, nose, throat, maxillofacial area, urinary and kidney tracts, gastrointestinal tract (including typhoid fever), bile duct, soft tissues and infected wounds, bones and joints, gynecological and obstetric infections, septicemia, meningitis, and peritonitis, and can be used to treat imminent risk of infection (prophylaxis) in patients with immune suppression (Quinoflox 2011)

Many wounds, such as those mentioned above, can be treated alternatively with the use of maggot therapy, also known as maggot debridement therapy, biotherapy, or biosurgery, which consists of applying live fly maggots (Diptera: Calliphoridae) to wounds that do not heal with the objective of removing the necrotic material and promot-

ing new tissue growth. Its use and popularization have increased in many countries due to the great efficacy of the maggot in removing necrotic material and its safe and easy application (Sherman et al. 2000; Martini and Sherman 2003; Marcondes 2006; Dallavechia et al. 2010, 2011). Chrysomya putoria (Wiedemann) (Diptera: Calliphoridae) is easily obtained throughout the Brazilian territory, it is easy and cheap to rear, its maggots develop quickly, and its behavior and biology are compatible with use in maggot therapy (Marcondes 2006; Oliveira-Costa 2011). In maggot therapy, it is important to know how antibiotics used in conjunction with maggots act on the maggots because the antibiotics can influence the natural activities and survival of the maggots.

Patients undergoing myiasis often use antibiotic therapy in association with myiasis because they might have other associated infirmities and skin wounds that are very contaminated (Ferraz et al. 2008. 2010), therefore the use of antibiotics would help the healing process because antibiotics help in disinfection. So, it is necessary to know the effects of these antibiotics on the maggots.

Necrophage insect development rates can be affected by substances introduced to the insect through its food (Introna et al. 2001), and this can affect studies on these insects, such as those linked to the post mortem interval estimate in forensic entomology, because this analysis is based on the development period of these insects (Estrada et al. 2009).

Chrysomya putoria is a species with potential for maggot therapy practice and has also been described in myiasis and forensic entomology studies. The objective of the present study was to assess the action of different antibiotic concentrations on the growth and development of the species.

Materials and Methods

The dipterans used throughout the experiment were reared in the Dipterans Study Laboratory, Department of Microbiology and Parasitology, Federal University of the State of Rio de Janeiro.

The C. putoria colony was started with adults collected in a zoological garden located in the Quinta da Boa Vista, São Cristóvão, Rio de Janeiro. Three traps were used, following the model of Mello et al. (2007), and they contained sardine as bait. The traps were exposed for approximately five hours in the morning. After collecting dipteran adults and maggots, the insects were taken to the laboratory, where they were screened and identified taxonomically according to Mello et al. (2003). The flies were reared in transparent plastic cages (40 x 30 x 20 cm) with an opening in the upper part for airing and a front opening for access to the inside of the cage, which was covered with nylon fabric. They were fed water, honev. and water solution (50%) and chicken gizzard or beef as a protein source, oviposition substrate, and aid in ovary maturation.

The 1st instar maggots of the third laboratory generation were transferred using a fine brush to 100 mL glass beakers each containing 60 g of chicken gizzard homogenate in a 65% agar diet (Ferraz et al. 2012). The diet was selected because it was practical to homogenize substances to be tested and it was sterile (autoclaved), which makes the antibiotic completely available to act only on the maggot. The antibiotic used was 400 mg Hifloxan (ciprofloxacin chloridrate, Halexwww.halexistar.com.br). istar. Each replication received 1 mL of the antibiotic at three different concentrations, resulting in the following concentrations in the diet: 3.33 μ g/mL, 6.66 μ g/mL, and 13.33 μ g/mL. The concentrations were chosen from ciprofloxacin serial concentration (500 mg via oral administration 12 times in 12 hr: 2.97 µg /mL, 400 mg via intravenous administration 12 times in 12 hr: 4.56 μ g /mL). The first concentration chosen (T1) would have an approximate value between the oral and intravenous serum concentrations, the second concentration (T2) would be approximately double the first, and the third concentration (T3) would be approximately four times as great as T1. Each concentration of the antibiotic and the control were replicated four times with 40 maggots in each replication. The control received distilled water in place of the antibiotic.

Each beaker of each replication was inserted in a larger beaker (400 mL) containing sterilized sawdust to allow maggot pupation when the maggot was mature after abandoning the diet, and the beaker was sealed with nylon fabric and elastic. The maggots were kept in an acclimatized chamber at 30° C during the day, 28° C at night, 70 \pm 10% RH, and with a 14:10 L:D photoperiod. Observations were made daily at 12:00. **Table I.** Maggot weight (g) of *Chrysomya putoria* from four treatments with different ciprofloxacin concentrations reared in an acclimatized chamber (30° C day, 28° C night, 70 ± 10% RH. 14:10 L:D photoperiod).

Treatments	Average individual weight (g) ±	Range		р			
Treatments	standard deviation	Range	Control	T1	T2	T3	
Control	$0.049a \pm 0.007$	0.035-0.059	-	0.231	0.182	0.37	
T1	$0.034a \pm 0.021$	0.011-0.058	0.231	-	0.793	0.71	
T2	$0.029a \pm 0.024$	0.007-0.053	0.182	0.793	-	0.54	
T3	$0.039a \pm 0.018$	0.006-0.054	0.371	0.71	0.544	-	

Means followed by the same letter do not differ significantly by the *t*-test ($\alpha = 5\%$). Control = chicken gizzard homogenate in 65% agar diet; T1 = chicken gizzard homogenate in 65% agar diet + 3.33 µg/mL ciprofloxacin; T2 = chicken gizzard homogenate in 65% agar diet + 6.66 µg/mL ciprofloxacin, T3 = chicken gizzard homogenate in 65% agar diet + 13.33 µg/mL ciprofloxacin.

Table 2. Mean duration of the post-embryonic development stages (days) of *Chrysomya putoria* from fourtreatments with different ciprofloxacin concentrationreared in an acclimatized chamber (30° C day, 28° C night,70 + 10% RH, 14:10 L:D photoperiod).

Maaaaaaa	TD	Days	Standard	р					
Measurement	Ireatment		Deviation	Control	T1	T2	T3		
Duration until abandonment	Control	4.478a	0.517	-	0.264	0.127	0.117		
	T1	4.138a	0.192	0.264	-	0.266	0.23		
	T2	4.019a	0.038	0.127	0.266	-	0.679		
	T3	4.000a	0.081	0.23	0.117	0.679	-		
	Control	5.477a	0.516	-	0.419	0.114	0.117		
Lorral Stage	T1	5.234a	0.223	0.419	-	0.081	0.095		
Larval Stage	T2	5.000a	0	0.114	0.081	-	0.985		
	T3	5.000a	0.081	0.117	0.095	0.985	-		
Pupal Stage	Control	3.874a	0.214	-	0.588	0.353	0.393		
	T1	3.937a	0.055	0.588	-	0.161	0.34		
	T2	2.986a	0.016	0.353	0.161	-	0.79		
	T3	3.974a	0.048	0.393	0.34	0.79	-		
Total	Control	9.339a	0.322	-	0.367	0.068	0.054		
Development	T1	9.158a	0.186	0.367	-	0.108	0.073		
Duration	T2	8.981a	0.016	0.068	0.108	-	0.158		
Duration	T3	8.953a	0.033	0.054	0.073	0.158	-		
Means followed by the same letter do not differ significantly by the t test (α =5%). Control = chicken gizzard homoge- nate in 65% agar diet; TI = chicken gizzard homogenate in 65% agar diet + 3.33 µg/mL ciprofloxacin; T2 = chicken gizzard homogenate in 65% agar diet + 6.66 µg/mL ciprof- loxacin, T3 = chicken gizzard homogenate in 65% agar diet + 13.33 µg/mL ciprofloxacin.									


The maggots, after abandoning the diet, were weighed in batches of five on an analytical scale and stored in test tubes sealed with nylon fabric and elastic to observe adult emergence. The dates of pupation and adult emergence were recorded along with the sex ratio and adult morphological abnormalities.

Microsoft Excel (<u>www.microsoft.com</u>) was used for the gross data analysis and the PAST program (<u>http://folk.uio.no/ohammer/past/</u>) for the other analyses. The variation among the maggot weight means and the durations of the maggot stage, pupal stage, and the time to total development (neolarvae and adults) were analyzed using Student's *t*-test(α = 5%). The viability and normality rates were compared by ANOVA. The sex ratio was tested in relation to the expected frequency by the chi-square test (χ^2).


Results

There was not a significant difference among the four treatments in mean individual maggot weight (Table 1), and there was not a significant difference among the four treatments in both the mean duration of the inoculation of the maggots until abandonment and the duration of the maggot stage, pupal stage, and the time to total development (days) (Table 2).

Figure 1 shows the diet abandonment rhythm of the C. putoria maggots and the pupation and emergence percentages in the four different treatments (control: chicken gizzard homogenate in 65% agar diet; T1: chicken gizzard homogenate in 65% agar diet plus 3.33% ciprofloxacin; T2: chicken gizzard homogenate in 65% agar diet plus 6.6% ciprofloxacin, T3: chicken gizzard homogenate in 65% agar diet plus 13.33% ciprofloxacin). Diet abandonment peaked in the control on the fourth and fifth days, while in the treatments with the antibiotic it peaked predominantly on the fourth day. The control pupation peaked on the fifth and sixth days, while the other treatments peaked on the fifth day. Emergence peaked on the ninth day in all the treatments.



maggots/replication): control = chicken gizzard homogenate in 65% agar diet; TI = chicken gizzard homogenate in 65% agar diet + 3.33 µg/mL ciprofloxacin; T2 = chicken gizzard homogenate in 65% agar diet + 6.66 µg/mL ciprofloxacin; T3 = chicken gizzard homogenate in 65% agar diet + 13.33 µg/mL ciprofloxacin. High quality figures are available online.



The *C. putoria* male and female emergence rates by days were similar (Figure 2). The sex ratios found were: control: males = 47%,

females = 53%; T1: males = 55%, females = 45%; T2: males = 50%, females = 50%; T3: males = 44%, females = 56%. The chisquare tests showed that the sex ratios observed in the four treatments did not differ from the expected (control: $\chi^2 = 0.398$; T1: $\chi^2 = 0.909$; T2: $\chi^2 = 0.009$; T3: $\chi^2 = 1.923$, χ^2 from table with 1 df α =5% (5% probability) is 3.84.

Only the control produced100% normal adults (T1 = 96%, T2 = 98%, T3 = 99%). However, there was no significant statistical difference among the treatments (control x T1: p = 0.192; control x T2: p = 0.073; control x T3; p = 0.356; T1 x T2: p = 0.408; T1 x T3: p = 0.249; T2 x T3: p = 0.463). Regarding the maggot, pupa and total viabilities, only treatment 2 differed significantly from the control in the maggot and total viabilities (Table 3).

Discussion

Different concentrations of the same antibiotic need to be tested because the same antimicrobial added to a diet may be safe, inhibitory, or highly damaging depending on the concentration used (Singh and House

Journal of Insect Science | http://www.insectscience.org

Table 3. Comparison by ANOVA of the viability of the maggots, pupae, and total development (neomaggot to adult) of *Chrysomya putoria* from four treatments with different ciprofloxacin concentrations reared in an acclimatized chamber (30° C day, 28° C night, 70 <u>+</u> 10% RH. 14:10 L:D photoperiod).

Stage	Treatment V	Viability	р					
Stage			Control	T1	T2	T3		
Larvae	Control	81.88%	-	0.643	0.007	0.733		
	T1	78.13%	0.643	-	0.831	0.965		
	T2	96.67%	0.007	0.831	-	0.859		
	T3	77.50%	0.733	0.965	0.859	-		
Pupae	Control	93.60%	-	0.456	0.579	0.806		
	T1	85.44%	0.456	-	0.333	0.571		
	T2	95.65%	0.579	0.333	-	0.512		
	T3	92.01%	0.806	0.571	0.512	-		
Total	Control	76.88%	-	0.562	0.034	0.807		
	T1	68.75%	0.562	-	0.108	0.82		
	T2	92.50%	0.034	0.108	-	0.217		
	T3	73.13%	0.807	0.82	0.217	-		
Control = chicken gizzard homogenate in 65% agar diet; TI = chicken gizzard homogenate in 65% agar diet + 3.33 $\mu g/mL$ ciprofloxacin; T2 = chicken gizzard homogenate in 65% agar diet + 6.66 $\mu g/mL$ ciprofloxacin, T3 = chicken gizzard homogenate in 65% agar diet + 13.33 $\mu g/mL$ ciprof- loxacin.								

1970). In our study, more than 1 g of diet was provided for each maggot because this amount was reported by Aguiar-Coelho and Milward-de-Azevedo (1996) as being ideal when using meat in different caliphoridae. Care was taken so that the density of food per larvae did not interfere in the assessment of the efficiency of these diets by causing stress due to competition for resources or chemical alterations in the food substrate resulting from the maggot metabolism (Khazaeli et al. 1993; Bubli et al. 1998). Considering that 100% normal dipterans were obtained in the control and the other values in the other treatments did not differ significantly from the control, the amount of diet per larvae can be considered adequate for laral development. Furthermore, it can be assumed that the ciprofloxacin antibiotic does not affect the phenotype. Larval density in reference to available food can influence the phenotype determination of bionomic traits and alter determining components of the adaptive value of the organisms, as reported by Ribeiro et al. (1993), Reis et al.

(1994), Roper et al. (1996), and Ribeiro (1998) in studies using dipterans. When there are no variations in the symmetry, it means that sufficiently intense disturbances that could not be buffered or neutralized with metabolic adjustments to guarantee homeostatic stability in the development patterns were not produced (Lomonaco and Germanos 2001).

Although not significant, the treatments with the antibiotic presented variation intervals in individual weight with minimum values (control > T1 > T2 > T3) and maximum values (control > T1 > T3 > T2) smaller than the control. These values were also lower than those reported by Ferraz et al. (2012), who used meat, chicken gizzard, and chicken gizzard homogenate in 65% agar diet to rear *C. putoria*. The total duration of the maggot development stage was longer in the present experiment, which is understandable, because meat is the natural diet of this species (Leal et al. 1982).

Ahmad et al. (2006) compared the development of *Cochliomyia macellaria* (F.) in sterile medium and other medium with bacteria, and a faster development rate and greater survival was observed in the sterile medium than in the medium with bacteria (Ahmad et al. 2006). In our study, the rhythm of the maggots abandoning the diet, pupation, and emergence tended to be earlier in the treatments with antibiotic than in the control. As the maggots used in this experiment were not sterile, they probably took bacteria to the culture media, causing the bacteria to be been neutralized in the culture media with antibiotics but not in the control.

The main period of food resource limitation in Calliphoridae is in the maggot period, when they ingest the maximum amount of

food in the shortest time interval (Goodbrod and Goff, 1990). A longer feeding period can occur to compensate the poor nutritional quality of the food (Kamal 1958; Levot et al. 1979; Paes et al. 2000). In the present study, the shorter the time the insects stayed on the diet (until abandonment), the lower their weight (control > T1 > T3 > T2)

The gender rates of the individuals in the four different treatments in the present study indicated stability in the population according to the chi-square analysis and the concepts by Fisher (1930): only when the sex ratio is 1:1 will there be stability in the population; a sex ratio with deviation is not evolutionarily stable, because in future generations there will be gradual increases in the proportion of the sex observed in smaller number.

The ciprofloxacin antibiotic did not alter the mean maggot weight of C. putoria, the duration of the post-embryonic stages, or the sex ratio. Some studies on the effects of other chemical substances on C. putoria maggot development have reported different results. Carvalho (2004) studied the effect of cocaine, exposing liver containing the substance, and observed that C. putoria maggots exposed to the drug developed significantly faster compared to the control. It was also reported that the maggot exposed to liver containing cocaine started and ended the pupation process before the control, but emergence time was similar.

Another substance that accelerated *C. putoria* maggot development was diazepam, and the maggots treated with diazepam were heavier than the control maggots (Carvalho et al. 2001). The amphetamine anphepramon also accelerated *C. putoria* development by approximately 48 hours (Carvalho, 2004).

The analgesic scopolamine retarded development in groups with higher concentration (Grella et al. 2007), and the amphetamine increased the *C. putoria* pupation period (Nitsche 2010)

In the present study, ciprofloxacin only significantly altered the maggot and total viabilities in T2. The T2 viabilities were highest, but those of the other treatments were also high. In dipterans, viability of over 60% is considered promising (Loureiro et al. 2005). There is also the possibility that the maggots did not metabolize the drug, as reported by Grella and Thyssen (2008) in a study on immature *C. albiceps, C. megacephala*, and *C. putoria* reared on artificial diet with the addition of oxycodone chloridrate where significant differences were not observed in the development among the control and experimental groups.

Insects absorb substances used by the humans the insects are feeding on, and toxins and controlled substances have been detected both in the insect and the quitinized remains removed from victims at an advanced stage of decomposition (Goff and Lord 2001). Thus, it is necessary to study the effects that drugs and toxins exercise on maggot development because acceleration or delay in development can interfere in the postmortem interval estimates based on the biology of these insects (Goff et al. 1992; Carvalho et al. 2001; Grella and Thyssen 2008). In Campinas, São Paulo, Brazil, from 1993 to 1998, C. putoria was among the most frequent insects in morgue and field collections and was also considered to be a forensic indicator for the area (Carvalho et al. 2000).

Sherman et al. (1995) carried out an experiment on the action of seven antibiotics on

Lucilia sericata maggot and pupae growth and development, as L. serrata is used in maggot therapy. The drugs they used belonged to the penicillin, cephalosporin, and aminoglycoside classes. These antibiotics were added to agar-liver culture medium at several concentrations, and one-day-old maggots were placed in the medium. The authors concluded that the antibiotics did not interfere with maggot growth or maturation, a very similar finding to findings of our study. Chrysomya putoria maggots have been used successfully for maggot therapy in Wistar rats, but these maggots have not yet been used in humans in Brazil because more studies need to be done (Nitsche 2010). Therefore, studies such as the present will help in using C. putoria in forensic entomology, maggot therapy, and clinical myiasis.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank the CNPq, FINEP, UNIRIO, and FAPERJ for funding the study.

References

Aguiar-Coelho VM, Milward-de-Azevedo EMV. 1996. Relações intraespecíficas de *Cochliomyia macellaria* (Fabricius) e *Chrysomya albiceps* (Wiedemann), *Chrysomya megacephala* (Fabricius) e *Cochliomyia macellaria* (Fabricius) (Calliphoridae, Diptera) sob condições de laboratório. *Revista Brasileira Entomologia* 41: 35–40.

Ahmad A, Broce A, Zurek L. 2006. Evaluation of significance of bacteria in larval development of *Cochliomyia macellaria* (Diptera: Calliphoridae). *Journal of Medical Entomology* 43(6): 1129–1133. Bubli AO, Imasheva AG, Loeschcke V. 1998. Selection for knockdown resistance to heat in *Drosophila melanogaster* at high and low larval densities. *Evolution* 52: 619–625.

Carvalho LML, Thyssen PJ, Linhares AX, Palhares FB. 2000. A checklist of arthropods associated with pig carrion and human corpses in southeastern Brazil. *Memórias do Instituto Oswaldo Cruz* 95(1): 135–138.

Carvalho LML, Linhares AX, Trigo JR. 2001. Determination of drug levels and the effect of diazepan on the growth of necrophagous flies of forensic importance in southeastern Brazil. *Forensic Science International* 120: 140–144.

Carvalho LML. 2004. Detecção e efeito de drogas no desenvolvimento de formas imaturas e adultas de <u>Chrysomya albiceps</u> (Wiedemann) e <u>Chrysomya putoria</u> (Wiedemann) (Diptera: Calliphoridae), duas moscas varejeiras de interesse forense. Doutor em Parasitologia Tese, Instituto de Biologia da Universidade Estadual de Campinas.

Dallavecchia DL, Proença BN, Coelho VMA. 2011. Bioterapia: uma alternativa eficiente para o tratamento de lesões cutâneas. *Revista de pesquisa: cuidado é fundamental online* 3(3): 2071–79.

Dallavecchia DL, Silva Filho RG, Figueiredo NMA, Aguiar-Coelho VM. 2010. Esterilização da superfície dos ovos de *Chrysomya megacephala* (Fabricius, 1794) para utilização em biodesbridamento. *Revista de pesquisa: cuidado é fundamental online* 2 (Ed. Supl.): 1–4.

DEF. 2001/2002: *Dicionário de especialidades farmacêuticas*, 30° edição. Publicações Científicas.

Estrada DA, Grella MD, Thyssen PJ, Linhares AX. 2009. Taxa de Desenvolvimento de *Chrysomya albiceps* (Wiedemann) (Diptera: Calliphoridae) em Dieta Artificial Acrescida de Tecido Animal para Uso Forense. *Neotropical Entomology* 38(2): 203–207

Introna F, Campobasso CP, Goff ML. 2001. Entomotoxicology. *Forensic Science International* 120: 42–47.

Ferraz ACP, Bosisio DD, Aguiar-Coelho VM. 2011. Dieta para larvas de *Chrysomya megacephala*, *Chrysomya putoria* e *Cochliomyia macellaria* (Diptera: Calliphoridae). *EntomoBrasilis* 4(3): 125– 129

Ferraz ACP, Dallavecchia DL, Silva DC, Carvalho RP, Silva Filho RG, Aguiar-Coelho VM. 2012. Alternative diets for *Chrysomya putoria*, an Old World screwworm fly. *Journal of Insect Science* 12:43. Available online: www.insectscience.org/12.43

Ferraz ACP, Proença B, Gadelha BQ, Faria LM, Barbalho MGM, Aguiar-Coelho VM, Lessa CSS. 2010. First Record of Human Myiasis Caused by Association of the Species *Chrysomya megacephala* (Diptera: Calliphoridae), *Sarcophaga (Liopygia) ruficornis* (Diptera: Sarcophagidae), and *Musca domestica* (Diptera: Muscidae) *Journal of Medical Entomology* 47(3): 487– 490.

Ferraz ACP, Nunes RV, Gadelha BQ, Nascimento BP, Barros PREM, Coelho VMA, Lessa CSS. 2008. Raro caso de miíases por *Cochliomyia hominivorax* (Diptera: Calliphoridae) e *Dermatobia hominis* (Diptera: Oestridae) em paciente humano. *Arquivo Ciências da Saúde* 15: 142–144.

Ferreira MJM. 1978. Sinantropia de dípteros muscóides de Curitiba, Paraná. I. Calliphoridae. *Revista Brasileira de Biologia* 38 (2): 445–454.

Fisher RA. 1930. Seleção gênica. In: Futuyma DJ, Editor. *Biologia Evolutiva*. pp. 631. Sociedade Brasileira de Genética.

Goff ML, Lord WD. 2001.

Entomotoxicology: Insects as toxicological indicators and the impact of drugs and toxins on insect development In: Byrd JH, Castner JL, Editors. *Forensic entomology: the utility of arthropods in legal investigations*. pp 331–340. CRC.

Goff ML, Brown WA, Omori AI. 1992. Preliminary observations of the effect of methamphetamine in decomposing tissues on the development rate of *Parasarcophaga ruficornis* (Diptera: Sarcophagidae) and implications of this effect on the estimations of postmortem intervals. *Journal of Forensic Sciences* 37(3): 867–872.

Grella MD, Thyssen PJ. 2008. Qualitative analysis of the effect of oxycodone (opioid analgesic) on the development rate of *Chrysomya megacephala* (Diptera: Calliphoridae) and its importance for estimating of the post-mortem interval in Brazil. *Annals of the XXIII International Congress of Entomology, Durban, Africa*.

Grella MD, Estrada DA, Thyssen PJ. 2007. Scopolamine effect on the development of

Chrysomya putoria (Wiedemann) (Diptera: Calliphoridae) and its importance for the post mortem interval estimate. *Entomologia Mexicana* 6: 870–873.

Introna F, Campobasso CP, Goff ML. 2001. Entomotoxicology. *Forensic Science International* 120: 42–47.

Korolkovas A. 2002. *Dicionário terapêutico Guanabara 2002/2003*. Guanabara Koogan.

Khazaeli AA, Fukvi HH, Curtsinger JW. 1993. Egg and larval densities and survival rates in an inbred line of *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Drosophila Information Service* 72: 142–143.

Leal TTS, Prado AP, Antunes JA. 1982. Rearing the larvae of the blowfly *Chrysomya chlopyga* (Wiedemann) (Diptera: Calliphoridae) on oligidic diets. *Revista Brasileira de Zoologia* 1: 41–44.

Lomônaco C, Germanos E. 2001. Variações Fenotípicas em *Musca domestica* L. (Diptera: Muscidae) em Resposta à Competição Larval por Alimento. *Neotropical Entomology* 30: 223–231.

Loureiro MS, Oliveira VC, D'almeida JM. 2005. Desenvolvimento pós-embrionário de *Pattonella intermutans* (Thomson) (Diptera: Sarcophagidae) em diferentes dietas. *Revista Brasileira de entomologia* 49(1): 127–129

Marcondes CB. 2006. *Terapia larval de lesões de pele causadas por diabetes e outras doenças*. UFSC.

Martindale. 2002. Martindale: the complete drug reference, edition 33. Pharmaceutical Press.

Martini RK, Sherman RA. 2003. 2003. Terapia de Desbridamento com larvas. *Jornal Brasileiro de Medicina* 85(4): 82–85.

Mello RP. 2003. Chave para identificação das forma adultas da espécies da família Calliphoridae (Diptera: Brachycera, Cyclorrhapha) encontradas no Brasil. *Entomología y Vectores* 10(2): 255–268.

Mello RS, Queiroz MMC, Aguiar-Coelho VM. 2007. Population fluctuations of calliphorid species (Diptera, Calliphoridae) in the Biological Reserve of Tinguá, state of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. *Iheringia Série Zoologia* 97(4): 481–485.

Nitsche MJT. 2010. Avaliação da recuperação das lesões cutâneas por meio da terapia larval utilizando como modelos ratos Wistar. Tese de Doutorado, UNESP.

Biolab Farmacêutica. 2011. *Quinoflox: cloridrato de ciprofloxacino*. Bula de remédio. Available online: <u>http://www.bulas.med.br/bula/3433/quinoflo</u> <u>x.htm</u>

Reis SF, Stangenhaus G, Godoy WAC, Von Zuben CJ, Ribeiro OB. 1994. Variação em caracteres bionômicos em função da densidade larval em *Chrysomya megacephala* e *Chrysomya putoria* (Diptera: Calliphoridae). *Revista Brasileira de Entomologia* 38: 33–46.

Ribeiro OB, Prado AP, Guimarães JH. 1993. Competição intra-específica em *Chrysomya putoria* (Wiedemann, 1983) (Diptera, Calliphoridae) em meio artificial. *Revista Brasileira de Entomologia* 37: 641–652.

Ribeiro OB. 1998. Dynamics of equilibrium in experimental populations of *Cocliomya*

macellaria (Diptera: Calliphoridae). *Revista Brasileira de Entomologia* 42: 43–51.

Roper C, Pignatelli P, Partridge L. 1996. Evolutionary responses of *Drosophila melanogaster* life history to differences in larval density. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* 9: 609–622.

Sherman RA, Hall MJR, Thomas S. 2000. Medicinal Maggots: An Ancient Remedy for Some Contemporary Afflictions. *Anual Review of Entomology* 45: 55–81.

Sherman RA, Wyle FA, Thrupp L. 1995. Effects of Seven Antibiotics on the Growth and Development of *Phaenicia sericata* (Diptera: Calliphoridae) Larvae. *Journal of Medical Entomology* 32(5): 646–649.

Singh P, House HL. 1970. Antimicrobials: 'Safe' levels in a synthetic diet of an insect, *Agria affinis. Journal of Insect Physiology* 16: 1769–1782.