



New Species of Caecum (Caenogastropoda: Rissooidea: Caecidae) from the Atlantic Coast of South America (Brazil) with a Description of the Protoconch and Growth Stages

Authors: Lima, Silvio F. B., Santos, Franklin N., and Absalão, Ricardo S.

Source: Zoological Science, 30(9) : 767-778

Published By: Zoological Society of Japan

URL: <https://doi.org/10.2108/zsj.30.767>

BioOne Complete (complete.BioOne.org) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at www.bioone.org/terms-of-use.

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non - commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

New Species of *Caecum* (Caenogastropoda: Rissooidea: Caecidae) from the Atlantic Coast of South America (Brazil) with a Description of the Protoconch and Growth Stages

Silvio F. B. Lima^{1*}, Franklin N. Santos², and Ricardo S. Absalão³

¹Universidade Federal da Paraíba (UFPB), Campus I, Departamento de Sistemática e Ecologia (DSE), João Pessoa, Paraíba-PB 58051-900, Brazil

²Universidade Federal do Espírito Santo (UFES), Centro Universitário Norte do Espírito Santo (CEUNES), Departamento de Educação e Ciências Humanas, São Mateus-ES 29932-540, Brazil

³Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro (UFRJ), Departamento de Zoologia, Rua Professor Rodolpho Paulo Rocco, Ilha do Fundão-RJ 21941-901, Brazil

Two new species of Caecinae are described from the Brazilian coast. Specimens of *Caecum metamorphosicum* sp. nov. were collected from northern to southeastern Brazil. The teleoconch V of this species is characterized by strong, raised, quadrangular, rather closely arranged axial ribs, except the last two to three preceding the aperture, which are slightly larger and more widely separated, and a finger-shaped mucro. Specimens of *Caecum trinidadense* sp. nov. were only collected from southeastern Brazil. The teleoconch VI of this species is characterized by conspicuous, sinuous longitudinal striae, finger-shaped mucro, and rather smooth varix. The protoconch and all growth stages of *C. metamorphosicum* sp. nov. and *C. trinidadense* sp. nov. are described and figured here based on scanning electron microscopy. A brief discussion on the biodiversity of Caecidae on the Atlantic coast of South America is given. This report provides a basis for the better recognition of growth stages among members of Caecinae.

Key words: biodiversity, south Atlantic, Brazil, continental shelf, shallow waters, archipelago, micromollusk, Gastropoda, Caecinae

INTRODUCTION

The family Caecidae Gray, 1850 comprises minute marine caenogastropods represented by more than 200 recent species with worldwide distribution (Lightfoot, 1993a; Ponder and Keyzer, 1998), occurring predominantly in tropical regions and inhabiting a multitude of marine ecosystems from the intertidal zone to depths of about 300 m (Moore, 1970, 1972; Lightfoot, 1992a; Pizzini et al., 1994; Di Geronimo et al., 1995; Ponder and Keyzer, 1998; Pizzini and Nofroni, 2001a; Tunnell Jr. et al., 2010). These microgastropods are associated to various substrates, such as sediments, rocks, calcareous formations, algae, sea grasses, mangrove roots, sponges and corals (Moore, 1962, 1972; Abbott, 1974; Mello and Maestrati, 1986; Bandel, 1996; Gomes and Absalão, 1996; Nofroni et al., 1997; Pizzini and Nofroni, 2001a).

Caecum Fleming, 1813 and *Meioceras* Carpenter, 1859 are the most studied caecids based on the shell morphology (Carpenter, 1858; Folin, 1867a, b; Klappenbach, 1964; Keeler, 1981; Jong and Coomans, 1988; Lightfoot, 1992a, b; Diaz and Puyana, 1994; Gomes and Absalão, 1996; Absalão and Gomes, 2001; Redfern, 2001; Rios, 2009). These genera

have a tubular, slender, slightly arched teleoconch formed through growth stages, which are obliterated until complete morphological development of the gastropods (Day, 1983; Draper, 1985; Bandel, 1996; Pizzini, 1998; Ponder and Keyzer, 1998; Absalão and Gomes, 2001; Absalão and Pizzini, 2002). The various growth stages may exhibit different sculpture patterns, which hampers species identification (Van Aartsen, 1977; Pizzini, 1998; Bandel, 1996; Absalão and Pizzini, 2002).

In recent years, a number of studies have expanded knowledge on the alpha-taxonomy of Caecidae for the Atlantic Ocean (Lightfoot, 1992a, b; Absalão, 1994, 1997; Diaz and Puyana, 1994; Pizzini et al., 1994, 1995; Nofroni et al., 1997; Absalão and Gomes, 2001; Pizzini and Nofroni, 2001a; Redfern, 2001; Lee, 2009; Tunnell Jr. et al., 2010). However, very few data have been published on the group for the Atlantic coast of South America, with the majority of information originating from southeastern Brazil (Absalão, 1994, 1997; Absalão and Gomes, 1995; Gomes and Absalão, 1996; Rios, 2009).

During taxonomic studies on a large number of caecids from the western Atlantic in the framework of a research project carried out between 2008 and 2010 (Lima, 2010), it became evident that specimens of *Caecum* in material deposited in Brazilian malacological collections had been misidentified and were actually undescribed species previously unknown to science.

The present contribution is part of a continuing effort

* Corresponding author. Tel. : +55-83-32167771;
Fax : +55-83-32167025;
E-mail : gergovia_lima@hotmail.com
doi:10.2108/zsj.30.767




Fig. 1. Schematic *Caecum* shell with morphometric measurements taken: **(A)** entire shell, lateral view - total length (Tol), length from aperture to the point of maximum arc (Larc), maximum arc (Arc); **(B)** posterior extremity - diameter of posterior extremity (Dpe = Da), length of mucro (Lm), width of mucro (Wm).

toward a better taxonomic understanding of Caecidae from the western Atlantic, with an emphasis on taxa from the Atlantic coast of South America. This paper describes two new species of *Caecum* from Brazilian waters.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Most of the examined material was collected through dredging and box coring activities carried out by an oceanographic research vessel in Brazilian waters during the development of the Environmental Characterization of Campos Basin (ECCB/Brazilian Petroleum Co.: 1998, 2003–2004), Multidisciplinary Study of the Continental Shelf of Amazonia (AMASSEDs/Brazil: 1990), Marine Geology of the Continental Shelf of Brazil (GEOMAR: 1979) and Live Resources of the Economical Exclusive Zone Program (REVIZEE/Brazil: 1996 to 1998 and 2001 to 2002). This collection area comprises a region on the coast of Brazil stretching from the state of Pará to the state of Rio de Janeiro, including oceanic islands (Trindade and Martim Vaz Archipelago).

Identification of the material was performed under a stereomicroscope and based on comparisons with type material, original descriptions/illustrations, and other references. Simultaneously, specimens were studied based on photographs taken with scanning electron microscopy (SEM), at the Electron Microscope Laboratory of the “Museu Nacional do Rio de Janeiro (MNRJ)” and “Departamento de Física da Universidade Federal de Pernambuco (UFPE)”. In the material examined, number inside square brackets indicates number of specimens in each lot.

The following standard measures were taken using a stereomicroscope with an eyepiece micrometer: total length (Tol), length from the aperture to the point of maximum arc (Larc), maximum arc (Arc), diameter of aperture (Da), diameter of posterior extremity (Dpe), length of mucro (Lm) and width of mucro (Wm) (Fig. 1). Only undamaged shells were measured. One other variable and abbreviations used: number of axial sculptures (As), number (N), mean (M), range (R), standard deviation (SD). Simple descriptive statistics were performed to determine the range of meristic and morphometric variables.

Growth stages in shells were recognized based on truncation regions characterized herein as strangulation (Fig. 2A: arrow), suture (Fig. 2B: arrow), pronounced increase in diameter (Fig. 2C: arrow), or with an interface of sculpture patterns (Fig. 2D: arrow). Roman numerals discriminate and arrows delimit each growth stage (Fig. 2A–D). Some growth stages were characterized together (e.g., Fig. 2C: II–III) due to the lack of a distinct transition.




Fig. 2. *Caecum* shells in growth stages—Roman numerals discriminate and arrows delimit each stage: **(A)** arrow pointing to strangulation; **(B)** arrows pointing to sutures; **(C)** arrow pointing to area with pronounced increase in the diameter; **(D)** arrow pointing to sculpture change at interface between stages.

All specimens studied are deposited in the following scientific collections: Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia (ANSP), Philadelphia, USA; Coleção de Invertebrados Paulo Young, Departamento de Sistemática e Ecologia, Universidade Federal da Paraíba (UFPB MOLL), João Pessoa, Paraíba, Brasil; Coleção Malacológica Prof. Henry Ramos Matthews - série A (CMPHRM-A), Fortaleza, Ceará, Brasil; Delaware Museum of Natural History (DMNH), Wilmington, Delaware, USA; Departamento de Zoologia, Instituto de Biologia, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro (IBUFRJ), Rio de Janeiro, Brasil; Florida Museum of Natural History (FLMNH), University of Florida (UF), Gainesville, Florida, USA; The Bailey-Matthews Shell Museum (BMSM), Sanibel, FL, USA; The Natural History Museum (NHMUK), London, Great Britain; Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle (MNHN), Paris, France; Museu Nacional, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro (MNRJ), Rio de Janeiro, Brasil; Museu Oceanográfico “Prof. Eliézer de Carvalho Rios”, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande (MORG), Rio Grande, RS, Brasil; Museu de Zoologia, Universidade de São Paulo (MZSP), São Paulo, Brasil; Universidade Estadual de Campinas (UNICAMP/ZUEC GAS), Campinas, São Paulo, Brasil; Zoologische Staatssammlung Muenchen (ZSM), malacological collection, Munich, Germany.

40°53'00"W, 12–100 m, 30.viii.1979, coll. 'Almirante Câmara'); [19] IBUFRJ 18374, REVIZEE/Score Central VI (Rio de Janeiro: sta R1#1, 21°38'S, 40°10'W, 140 m, 13.vi.2002, coll. 'Astro Garoupa'); [13] IBUFRJ 9661, REVIZEE/Score Central I (Rio de Janeiro: sta D1–2, 22°48'S, 41°09'W, 69 m, 23.ii.1996, coll. 'Antares'); [10] IBUFRJ 11553, ECCB (Pampo shelf, sta #2D, 3D, 4A, 106–110 m, 20–27.i.1998, coll. 'Seward Johnson'); [1] MNRJ 31252, ECCB (Rio de Janeiro: sta H16-G03-R2, 22°03'45.78"S, 40°09'58.84"W, 75 m, 06.vii.2009, coll. 'Seward Johnson'); [16] MNRJ 31253, ECCB (Rio de Janeiro: sta H16-D05-R3, 22°31'07.51"S, 40°31'32.53"W, 138 m, 03.vii.2009, coll. 'Seward Johnson'); [3] MNRJ 31254, ECCB (Rio de Janeiro: sta H16-F02-R1, 22°03'41.42"S, 40°24'09.88"W, 56 m, 06.vii.2009, coll. 'Seward Johnson'); [1] MNRJ 31255, ECCB (Rio de Janeiro: sta H16-A05-R1, 23°36'14.97"S, 41°21'30.07"W, 145 m, 01.vii.2009, coll. 'Seward Johnson'); [15] MNRJ 31256, ECCB (Rio de Janeiro: sta H16-C03-R1, 22°46'54.27"S, 41°03'33.08"W, 78m, 02.vii.2009, coll. 'Seward Johnson'); [5] MNRJ 31257, ECCB (Rio de Janeiro: sta H16-B04-R1, 23°10'05.20"S, 41°03'06.45"W, 107 m, 02.vii.2009, coll. 'Seward Johnson'); [9] MNRJ 31258, ECCB (Rio de Janeiro: sta H16-E04-R1, 22°17'42.21"S, 40°27'0.05"W, 103 m, 04.vii.2009, coll. 'Seward Johnson'); [2] MNRJ 31259, ECCB (Rio de Janeiro: sta H17-I04-R3, 21°09'09.81"S, 40°16'07.83"W, 103 m, 21.vii.2009, coll. 'Seward Johnson'); [15] MZSP 110295, ECCB (Rio de Janeiro: sta H16-D05-R2, 22°31'07.11"S, 40°31'32.87"W, 137 m, 03.vii.2009, coll. 'Seward Johnson'); [5] MZSP 110291, ECCB (Rio de Janeiro: sta H16-F03-R1, 22°07'43.55"S, 40°18'47.00"W, 72 m, 05.vii.2009, coll. 'Seward Johnson'); [45] MZSP 110297, ECCB (Rio de Janeiro: sta H16-G04-R3, 22°03'38.37"S, 40°06'59.40"W, 90 m, 07.vii.2009, coll. 'Seward Johnson'); [17] MZSP 110298, ECCB (Rio de Janeiro: sta H16-D03-R1, 22°19'32.01"S, 40°37'18.93"W, 74 m, 04.vii.2009, coll. 'Seward Johnson'); [3] MZSP 110293, ECCB (Rio de Janeiro: sta H16-B05-R1, 23°12'08.68"S, 40°59'35.74"W, 141 m, 02.vii.2009, coll. 'Seward Johnson'); [10] MZSP 110296, ECCB (Rio de Janeiro: sta H16-C03-R2, 22°46'54.72"S, 41°03'33.11"W, 78 m, 02.vii.2009, coll. 'Seward Johnson'); [4] UFPB MOLL 3547, ECCB (Rio de Janeiro: sta H16-D03-R3, 22°19'31.87"S, 40°37'18.97"W, 73 m, 04.vii.2009, coll. 'Seward Johnson'); [6] UFPB MOLL 3548, ECCB (Rio de Janeiro: sta H16-C05-R3, 22°57'28.43"S, 40°50'30.30"W, 143 m, 03.vii.2009, coll. 'Seward Johnson'); [8] ZUEC GAS 747, ECCB (Rio de Janeiro: sta H16-D03-R2, 22°19'31.88"S, 40°37'19.23"W, 73 m, 04.vii.2009, coll. 'Seward Johnson'); [22] ZUEC GAS 748, ECCB (Rio de Janeiro: sta H16-C04-R3, 22°52'02.10"S, 40°57'29.07"W, 92 m, 03.vii.2009, coll. 'Seward Johnson'); [15] ZUEC GAS 750, ECCB (Rio de Janeiro: sta H16-F03-R2, 22°07'43.33"S, 40°18'48.05"W, 71 m, 06.vii.2009, coll. 'Seward Johnson'); [11] ZUEC GAS 749, ECCB (Rio de Janeiro: sta H17-I04-R1, 21°09'09.70"S, 40°16'06.77"W, 103 m, 21.vii.2009, coll. 'Seward Johnson'); [1] ZUEC GAS 751, ECCB (Rio de Janeiro: sta H16-E05-R2, 22°17'25.28"S, 40°06'36.90"W, 142 m, 05.vii.2009, coll. 'Seward Johnson'); [3] ZUEC GAS 745, ECCB (Rio de Janeiro: sta H16-B05-R3, 23°12'08.57"S, 40°59'35.66"W,

142 m, 02.vii.2009, coll. 'Seward Johnson'); [12] ZUEC GAS 746, ECCB (Rio de Janeiro: sta H17-I04-R2, 21°09'09.28"S, 40°16'07.45"W, 103 m, 21.vii.2009, coll. 'Seward Johnson').

Etymology. From *metamorphosis* (Greek noun = change, transformation), referring to the remarkable change in sculpture pattern between growth stages.

Description. Protoconch paucispiral (about 1.375 whorl), planispiral, smooth, transition to teleoconch I marked by very slight axial edge (Fig. 3A, B). Teleoconch I short, sculptured with very weak growth axial marks to threads, transition to teleoconch II marked by very slight axial strangulation (Fig. 3A, B). Teleoconch II about 2.5 times length of teleoconch I, sculptured with very weak growth axial marks to threads, transition to teleoconch III marked by very slight axial strangulation (Fig. 3B). Teleoconch III sculptured with numerous, very weak, thin striae (Fig. 3C–E), transition to teleoconch IV marked by very slight axial strangulation (Fig. 3D; Fig. 4A). Teleoconch IV with identical characters to the teleoconch III, about 2.5 times its length, transition to teleoconch V marked by slight axial strangulation, immediately preceding appearance of axial rib (Figs. 3C–E, 4A–F). Teleoconch V (last growth stage) small [Tol 2.68 to 4.00 mm], tubular, rather thick, robust, moderately and regularly arched [Larc: 0.62 to 1.35 mm; Arc: 0.15 to 0.50 mm], with slight increase in caliber from apical region to aperture, whitish to slight brownish white (Figs. 4G, H, 5A). Surface sculptured with numerous, conspicuous, dense, longitudinal




Fig. 3. *Caecum metamorphosicum* sp. nov. shells at different growth stages (paratypes: IBUFRJ 18368): (A, B) protoconch to teleoconch II; (C) teleoconch III to IV; (D) teleoconch III to V; (E) sculpture of teleoconch III and IV. Scale bars: (A) 100 µm; (B–D) 200 µm; (E) 50 µm.




Fig. 4. *Caecum metamorphosicum* sp. nov. shells at different growth stages (paratypes): (A) teleoconch III to V (IBUFRJ 18369); (B) sculpture of teleoconch III and IV (Fig. A); (C) teleoconch V (Fig. A); (D, F) teleoconch IV to V (IBUFRJ 18369); (G, H). teleoconch V (IBUFRJ 18370). Scale bars: (A) 500 µm; (B) 50 µm; (C) 100 µm; (D) 200 µm; (E–H) 500 µm.

striae on axial ribs and interspaces (Fig. 5A–G). Striae more pronounced, alternating between one to three faintly more impressed (Fig. 5F, G). Axial sculpture comprising 21 to 43 raised, strong, thick, wide, quadrangular (flattened at top) ribs rather closely arranged and regularly spaced (Fig. 5A, F, G), except last two to three preceding aperture, which are slightly larger and more widely separated (Fig. 5D). Axial interspaces rather deep, narrow, narrower than or with width of ribs (Fig. 5F, G), except last two to three preceding aperture, which become wider (Fig. 5D). Interspaces on dorsal region slightly wider than on ventral region due to teleoconch curvature (Fig. 5F, G). Apical region circular [Dpe: 0.30 to 0.49 mm], with thick edge (Fig. 5C). Septum rather flattened, slightly recessive (Fig. 5C). Mucro finger-shaped, conical, blunt [Lm: 0.06 to 0.22 mm; Wm: 0.08 to 0.20 mm] positioned on dorsal margin, straight to slightly curved toward dorsal margin (Fig. 5B, C). Axial rib around apical region wider than subjacent (Fig. 5B). Aperture circular [Da: 0.45 to 0.75 mm], thick, with three to four axial ribs sharply reduced, flattened and fused around (Fig. 5E). Lip smooth, with deflected peristome (Fig. 5E). Varix usually absent (Fig. 5A) to slightly developed (Fig. 5D, E). Figure 6 shows the reconstruction of the growth stages.

Taxonomic remarks. *Caecum metamorphosicum* sp. nov. has been studied from a large number of specimens in good condition of teleoconch V and different growth stages

still attached. This species seems to be a very abundant and successful caecid living on calcareous and biodendritic substrates over a vast area along the Brazilian coast (states of Pará to Rio de Janeiro) and apparently inhabiting the middle to lower continental shelf. Although reported here only for Atlantic coast of South America, it is likely that *C. metamorphosicum* sp. nov. has tropical western Atlantic distribution, based on extensive material examined throughout much of the region. Thus, the species may be an important component of benthic communities.

Caecum metamorphosicum sp. nov. is similar to *C. floridanum* Stimpson, 1851 in the well-defined, wide, rather closely arranged axial ribs, except the last three, which become larger and more widely separated at the anterior end, but it is distinguished by the raised, quadrangular ribs, deep axial interspaces, finger-shaped mucro, and aperture usually without a varix immediately preceded by axial ribs that are sharply reduced and fused around the aperture. In *Caecum floridanum*, the ribs are rounded and not raised, with shallow axial interspaces, moderately slender, triangular mucro and prominent varix around the aperture (Lightfoot, 1992a: 179, fig. 12; Diaz and Puyana, 1994: 141, fig. 489; Gomes and Absalão, 1996: 521, fig. 10; Redfern, 2001: 41, pl. 20, fig. 174a, b; Lee, 2009: 71, fig. 339; Tunnell Jr. et al., 2010: 144).

Despite the fact that *Caecum metamorphosicum* sp. nov. and *C. brasiliicum* Folin, 1874a present axial ribs flattened at top and longitudinal striae on the axial ribs and interspaces, these species are unlikely to be confused. The new species differs basically in having finger-shaped mucro, axial rib around apical region wider than subjacent, axial ribs closely arranged, except the last preceding aperture, deep axial interspaces and aperture with axial ribs sharply reduced and fused around. In contrast, *C. brasiliicum* has blunt, triangular mucro, undifferentiated axial rib around apical region compared the subjacent, axial ribs more widely spaced on the teleoconch and shallow axial interspaces (Mello and Maestrati, 1986: 151, fig. 6; Gomes and Absalão, 1996: 515, figs. 1, 2; Rios, 2009: 94–95, fig. 225).

Caecum metamorphosicum sp. nov. resembles *C. donmoorei* Mitchell-Tapping, 1979 in many aspects, such as the microsculpture pattern on the interspaces and ribs, the axial rib outline and axial ribs that are more widely separated at the anterior end, sharply reduced and fused around the aperture. The most distinguishing features of the new species are the rather closely arranged quadrangular ribs (As 21 to 43), axial rib around apical region that is wider than subjacent, axial interspaces that are usually about half the width of the ribs, flattened septum and finger-shaped mucro, while *C. donmoorei* has well-spaced, noticeably wider, round-topped ribs (up to 27), undifferentiated axial rib around the apical region when compared to subjacent, axial interspaces and ribs with similar width, slightly convex septum and broad mucro (holotype, DMNH 119521, Fig. 5H; Mitchell-Tapping, 1979: 105, figs. 21, 22, 29, 30; Lightfoot, 1992a: 175, 176, fig. 6, *C. (C.)* sp. 3 = *C. donmoorei* according to Lee (2009); Redfern, 2001: 40, pl. 19, fig. 168, *C. sp. A* [Fig. 5J] = *C. donmoorei* according to Lee (2009); Lee, 2009: 71, fig. 338 [Fig. 5I]). According to Lightfoot (1992a: 176), the second growth stage in this species has low, abruptly separate axial ribs, very different from those on the




Fig. 5. *Caecum metamorphosicum* sp. nov. (**A, B, F, G**) holotype; (**C–E**) paratypes; teleoconch V fully developed) and *C. donmoorei* (**H**) holotype; teleoconch fully developed; (**I**) specimen figured by Lee (2009: 71, n° 338, Tol 2 mm), (**J**) specimen figured by Redfern (2001: 40, pl. 19, fig. 168)): (**A**) lateral view (IBUFRJ 18364); (**B**) apical region showing mucro; (**C**) apical region showing septum and mucro (IBUFRJ 18365); (**D**) anterior region view (IBUFRJ 18367); (**E**) aperture view (IBUFRJ 18366); (**F**) view of dorsal margin; (**G**) view of ventral margin; (**H**) lateral view (Mitchell-Tapping, 1979: Tol 1.4 mm); (**I–J**). lateral view. Scale bars: (**A, J**) 500 µm; (**B, C, E, F, G**). 100 µm; (**D**) 200 µm.




Fig. 6. Reconstruction of growth stages of *Caecum metamorphosicum* sp. nov.: (A) protoconch to teleoconch V; (B) sculpture of teleoconch I to II; (C) sculpture of teleoconch III to IV; (D) sculpture of teleoconch V. Scale bars: (A) protoconch to teleoconch II (200 µm); teleoconch III and IV (200 µm); teleoconch V (500 µm); (B, C) 50 µm; (D) 100 µm.

Table 1. Linear measurements (mm) of *Caecum metamorphosicum* sp. nov. and *C. trinidadense* sp. nov. specimens.

	<i>C. metamorphosicum</i> sp. nov.				<i>C. trinidadense</i> sp. nov.			
	N	R	M	SD	N	R	M	SD
Tol	139	2.68–4.00	3.29	0.34	36	2.37–4.20	3.37	0.45
Larc	139	0.62–1.35	0.92	0.13	36	1.10–2.00	1.51	0.21
Arc	138	0.15–0.50	0.29	0.07	36	0.25–0.56	0.32	0.08
Dpe	137	0.30–0.49	0.4	0.03	35	0.32–0.49	0.39	0.04
Da	138	0.45–0.75	0.56	0.05	35	0.45–0.72	0.56	0.07
Lm	131	0.06–0.22	0.11	0.02	33	0.10–0.25	0.13	0.03
Wm	135	0.08–0.20	0.12	0.02	33	0.07–0.20	0.12	0.02
As	138	21.0–43.0	29.4	3.9				

new species. Specimens of *Caecum metamorphosicum* sp. nov. exhibit axial ribs that are usually corroded on the anterior margin, which may affect the spacing pattern or the width of the axial interspaces between them.

In *Caecum metamorphosicum* sp. nov. and *Caecum eliezeri* Absalão, 1997, the axial ribs are rather flattened at the top and closely arranged. However, the new species has deep axial interspaces, very strong, thick, raised axial ribs (21 to 43) that are slightly larger and more widely separated at the anterior end, while *C. eliezeri* has shallow interspaces and tiny ribs (52 to 90) that are closely arranged throughout the teleoconch (Gomes and Absalão, 1996: fig. 3; Absalão, 1997: 271–272, figs. 1–4; Rios, 2009: 95, fig. 226).

Type locality. Off the coast of the state of Rio de Janeiro (southeastern Brazil), 21°38'S, 40°10'W, 140 m, 13.vi.2002, 'Seward Johnson'.

Geographic distribution. Northern to northeastern Brazil, Pará, Maranhão, Bahia; southeastern Brazil, Espírito Santo (Trindade and Martim Vaz Archipelago) to Rio de Janeiro, up to 140 m depth. The specimens studied here were collected from calcareous (Trindade Island) and biodebitric (continental shelf of Rio de Janeiro) substrates (Lavrado and Ignacio, 2006).

Caecum trinidadense sp. nov.

(Figs. 7–10; Table 1)

Diagnosis. Teleoconch sculptured with conspicuous, prominent, dense, compact, slightly sinuous longitudinal striae and microscopic grooves. Axial sculpture represented by weak ridges not interrupting striae. Mucro finger-shaped, blunt, straight to slightly curved toward dorsal region. Aperture rather thick, swollen due to weak or prominent surrounding varix.

Type material. Holotype—[1] IBUFRJ 18391, REVIZEE/Score Central VI (Rio de Janeiro: sta R1#1, 21°38'S, 40°10'W, 140 m, 13.vi.2002, coll. 'Astro Garoupa'); Paratypes—[1] MNHN IM-2012-37, [1] MNRJ 31260, [3] MORG 33.440, REVIZEE/Score Central (Espírito Santo: Trindade and Martim Vaz Archipelago: Trindade Island: 22.vii.1996, coll. 'Antares'); [4] ANSP 450673, ECCB (Rio de Janeiro: sta H16-G05-R2, 22°06'10.63"S, 40°03'06.30"W, 149 m, 07.vii.2009, coll. 'Seward Johnson'); [1] ANSP 450672, [1] UF 458838, [6] MORG 40.429, [1] MZSP 110290, REVIZEE/Score Central (Rio de Janeiro: sta D1, 22°48'S, 41°09'W, 69 m, 23.ii.1996, coll. 'Antares'); [4] CMPHRM-A, ECCB (Rio de Janeiro: sta H16-C03-R1, 22°46'54.27"S, 41°03'33.08"W, 78 m, 02.vii.2009, coll. 'Seward Johnson'); [2] IBUFRJ 19597, ECCB (Rio de Janeiro: sta H16-D05-R2, 22°31'07.11"S, 40°31'32.87"W, 137 m, 03.vii.2009, coll. 'Seward Johnson'); [4] MNHN IM-2012-36, ECCB (Rio de Janeiro: sta H16-D03-R2, 22°19'31.88"S, 40°37'19.23"W, 73 m, 04.vii.2009, coll. 'Seward Johnson'); [5] MNRJ 31261, ECCB (Rio de Janeiro: sta H17-D4, 22°23'22.23"S, 40°34'59.11"W, 110 m, 25.vii.2009, coll. 'Seward Johnson'); [4] MNRJ 31262, ECCB (Rio de Janeiro: sta H16-E04-R1, 22°17'42.21"S, 40°27'00.05"W, 103 m, 04.vii.2009, coll. 'Seward Johnson'); [1] MNRJ 31263, ECCB (Rio de Janeiro: sta H16-E05-R2, 22°23'39.34"S, 40°20'41.32"W, 149 m, 04.vii.2009, coll. 'Seward Johnson'); [1] MORG 50.929, ECCB (Rio de Janeiro: sta H16-B05-R1, 23°12'08.68"S, 40°59'35.74"W, 141 m, 02.vii.2009, coll. 'Seward Johnson'); [7] MZSP 110292, ECCB (Rio de Janeiro: sta H16-F03-R2, 22°07'43.33"S, 40°18'48.05"W, 71 m, 06.vii.2009, coll. 'Seward Johnson'); [1] MZSP 110306, ECCB (Rio de Janeiro: sta H16-F03-R1, 22°07'43.55"S, 40°18'47.00"W, 72 m, 05.vii.2009, coll. 'Seward Johnson'); [5] NHMUK 20120340, ECCB (Rio de Janeiro: sta H16-D03-R1, 22°19'32.01"S, 40°37'18.93"W, 74 m, 04.vii.2009, coll. 'Seward Johnson'); [4] UF 458836, ECCB (Rio de Janeiro: sta H16-F03-R1, 22°07'43.55"S, 40°18'47.00"W, 72 m, 05.vii.2009, coll. 'Seward Johnson'); [3] UFPB MOLL 3549, ECCB (Rio de Janeiro: sta H16-C05-R2, 22°57'28.41"S, 40°50'30.51"W, 143 m, 03.vii.2009, coll. 'Seward Johnson'); [4] ZUEC GAS 744, ECCB (Rio de Janeiro: sta H16-D03-R2, 22°19'31.88"S, 40°37'19.23"W, 73 m, 04.vii.2009, coll. 'Seward Johnson').

Additional material examined. [1] MNRJ 31264, ECCB (Rio de Janeiro: sta H17-D04-R2, 22°23'20.87"S, 40°34'56.41"W, 110 m, 25.vii.2009, coll. 'Seward Johnson'); [2] MNRJ 31265, ECCB (Rio de Janeiro: sta H16-A05-R1, 23°36'14.97"S, 41°21'30.07"W, 145 m, 01.vii.2009, coll. 'Seward Johnson'); [16] MNRJ 31266, ECCB (Rio de Janeiro: sta H17-D04-R1, 22°23'22.23"S, 40°34'59.11"W, 110 m, 25.vii.2009, coll. 'Seward Johnson'); [1] MNRJ

31267, ECCB (Rio de Janeiro: sta H16-D05-R3, 22°31'07.51"S, 40°31'32.53"W, 138 m, 03.vii.2009, coll. 'Seward Johnson'); [2] MNRJ 31291, ECCB (Rio de Janeiro: sta H16-D03-R1, 22°19'32.01"S, 40°37'18.93"W, 74 m, 04.vii.2009, coll. 'Seward Johnson'); [20] IBUFRJ 19599, ECCB (Rio de Janeiro: sta H17-D04-R1, 22°23'22.23"S, 40°34'59.11"W, 110 m, 25.vii.2009, coll. 'Seward Johnson').

Etymology. The specific name, *trindadense*, is an adjective, referring to one of the islands of the Trindade and Martim Vaz Archipelago, from which the specimens were collected.

Description. Protoconch paucispiral (about 1.5 whorl), planispiral, smooth, transition to teleoconch I marked by very slight axial edge (Fig. 7A, B). Teleoconch I to IV with very slight increase in caliber from apical region to the aperture, sculptured with very weak, slightly sinuous longitudinal striae (Figs. 7B–E, 8A–G, 10B). Teleoconch I and II short, with approximately same length, transition to teleoconch II and III marked by very slight axial edge (Fig. 7B–E). Teleoconch III with about 1.5 × the length teleoconch II (Fig. 7C–E), transition to teleoconch IV marked by very slight axial edge (Fig. 8A–E). Teleoconch IV and V with approximately same length (Fig. 8E–G), transition to teleoconch V marked by very slight axial strangulation and pronounced increase in diameter (Fig. 8A–G). Teleoconch V with identical characters to the teleoconch VI. Teleoconch VI (last growth stage) small [Tol: 2.37 to 4.20 mm], tubular, rather thick, moderately and regularly arched [Larc: 1.10 to 2.00 mm; Arc: 0.25 to 0.56 mm], with inconspicuous to very slight increase in caliber from apical region to the aperture, whitish to slight brownish white (Fig. 9A, H). Surface sculptured with numerous, conspicuous, prominent, dense, rather continuous, slightly sinuous longitudinal striae and deep longitudinal microscopic grooves (Figs. 9D, 10C). Axial sculpture comprising weak microscopic ridges not interrupting striae (Fig.

9D), may still present edges resulting from growth (Fig. 9H) or rarely very faint, narrow, rather closely arranged ribs distributed irregularly on surface. Apical region circular [Dpe: 0.32 to 0.49 mm], with thick edge (Fig. 9C), may present slight constriction (Fig. 9B). Septum slightly convex, slight to deeply recessive (Fig. 9C). Mucro finger-shaped, conical, blunt [Lm: 0.10 to 0.25 mm; Wm: 0.07 to 0.20 mm] positioned on dorsal margin, straight to slightly curved toward dorsal region (Fig. 9B, C, H). Aperture circular [Da: 0.45 to 0.72 mm], rather thick, robust, with weak to prominent surrounding varix (Fig. 9F, G). Lip smooth, weak to well developed, with slightly deflected peristome (Fig. 9E–G). Figure 10 shows the reconstruction of the growth stages.

Taxonomic remarks. *Caecum trindadense* sp. nov. has a very distinctive shell morphology in comparison to the vast majority of congeners from the Atlantic Ocean, which are smooth or develop well-defined longitudinal and/or axial sculptures on the teleoconch (Lightfoot, 1992a, b; Diaz and Puyana, 1994; Absalão and Gomes, 2001; Redfern, 2001; Rios, 2009; Tunnell Jr. et al., 2010).

Caecum trindadense sp. nov. is very similar to *C. subvolutum* Folin, 1874b and *C. lineicinctum* Folin, 1880 in its moderately and regularly curved teleoconch with an inconspicuous increase in caliber, recessed septum developing a blunt, finger-shaped mucro curved towards the dorsal margin, but differs in the presence of a slight varix as well as conspicuous, dense, longitudinal striae and grooves on the teleoconch. *Caecum subvolutum* has smooth to faint longitudinal striae on the teleoconch and faint axial rings




Fig. 7. *Caecum trindadense* sp. nov. shells at different growth stages (paratypes: IBUFRJ 19599): (A) protoconch to teleoconch I; (B) protoconch to teleoconch II; (C, D) teleoconch II to III; (E) teleoconch III. Scale bars: (A) 100 μ m, (B, C, E) 200 μ m, (D) 500 μ m.




Fig. 8. *Caecum trindadense* sp. nov. shells at different growth stages (paratypes: IBUFRJ 19599): (A–D) teleoconch III to V; (E–G) teleoconch IV to V; (H) teleoconch VI. Scale bars: 500 μ m.




Fig. 9. *Caecum trinidadense* sp. nov. (A, B, D, F) holotype; (C, E, G, H) paratypes; teleoconch VI fully developed: (A) lateral view (IBUFRJ 18391); (B) apical region showing mucro; (C) apical region showing septum and mucro (MORG 40.429); (D) sculpture of teleoconch V; (E) aperture view (MORG 33.440); (F) anterior region view; (G) anterior region view (MORG 33.440); (H) ventral view (MORG 40.429). Scale bars: (A, H) 500 µm; (B–D, F) 100 µm; (E, G) 200 µm.




Fig. 10. Reconstruction of growth stages of *Caecum trinidadense* sp. nov.: (A) protoconch to teleoconch VI; (B) sculpture of teleoconch I to IV; (C) sculpture of teleoconch V to VI. Scale bars: (A) protoconch to teleoconch II (200 µm); teleoconch III (200 µm); teleoconch IV and V (500 µm); teleoconch VI (500 µm); (B) 50 µm; (C) 100 µm.

limited to the anterior end (see Absalão and Gomes, 2001: figs. 3, 4, type material; Moore, 1972: 885, fig. 4). *Caecum lineicinctum* has an apparently smooth, glossy surface (Folin, 1880: 808; Moore, 1972: 886, fig. 3, 5; Absalão and Gomes, 2001: figs. 1, 2, type material), but with faint axial growth lines (Redfern, 2001), a series of closely set axial rings limited to the anterior end (Folin, 1880; Moore, 1972; Lightfoot, 1992a: 184, fig. 20; Absalão and Gomes, 2001; Redfern, 2001) and may develop a swollen, abrupt, enlarge varix covered with rings (Moore, 1972; Lightfoot, 1992a; Redfern, 2001). *Caecum trinidadense* sp. nov. has been mistakenly identified and figured as *C. lineicinctum* by Absalão and Gomes (2001: 11, figs. 5, 6) and Absalão and Pizzini (2002: pl. 2, fig. 12) for the Abrolhos Archipelago, state of Bahia, Brazil. Rios (2009: 98, fig. 235) also reported *C. lineicinctum* for the same archipelago, but reproduced an illustration of the taxon from Redfern (2001: pl. 19, fig. 171A). Based on these previous studies, we can assert that there is no evidence of the occurrence of *C. lineicinctum* in Brazilian waters.

Caecum trinidadense sp. nov. and *C. massambabensis* Absalão, 1994 exhibit prominent longitudinal striae on the teleoconch. The new species has a visibly smaller Tol (2.37 to 4.20 mm), a slightly curved, blunt, finger-shaped mucro and slight varix around the aperture, while *C. massambabensis* develops a relatively larger Tol (around 6 mm), conical, pointed mucro, without any terminal varix (Absalão, 1994: 138–139, fig. 1; Gomes and Absalão, 1996: 521, fig. 11), but usually with faint axial rings limited to the anterior end (Lima, 2010).

Caecum trinidadense sp. nov., *C. gofasi* Pizzini and Nofroni, 2001a and *C. wayae* Pizzini and Nofroni, 2001a [both from the Eastern Atlantic, Azores Archipelago] share a slight subcylindrical outline from the apical region to the aperture and numerous, conspicuous, longitudinal striae crossed by fine axial growth striae. The new species develops a blunt, finger-shaped mucro, longitudinal striae not interrupted by axial ridges, without any evidence of well-defined longitudinal and axial ornamentation (rarely developing very faint axial ribs irregularly distributed on the

teleoconch), while *C. gofasi* has protruding, weakly S-shaped mucro ending in a squashed ball-shaped tip and may have small axial rings on the inflection near the aperture (Pizzini and Nofroni, 2001a: 19–20, figs. 1–7) and *C. wayae* has a spatula-shaped mucro, few axial rings on the teleoconch and longitudinal striae interrupted by growth axial striae (Pizzini and Nofroni, 2001a: 21, figs. 8–15).

Type locality. Off the coast of the state of Rio de Janeiro (southeastern Brazil), 21°38'S, 40°10'W, 140 m, 13.vi.2002, 'Seward Johnson'.

Geographic distribution. Southeastern Brazil, Espírito Santo (Trindade and Martim Vaz Archipelago) to Rio de Janeiro, up to 140 m depth. The specimens studied here were collected from calcareous (Trindade Island) and biodendritic (continental shelf of Rio de Janeiro) substrates (Lavrado and Ignacio, 2006).

DISCUSSION

Caecidae is one of the least investigated families of marine caenogastropod along many coastlines worldwide, with a lack of adequate knowledge on basic aspects, such as taxonomy and anatomy. Recently, however, a number of studies have improved the alpha taxonomic knowledge of caecids in the north Atlantic (Lightfoot, 1992a, b; Diaz and Puyana, 1994; Pizzini et al., 1994, 1995, 1998; Nofroni et al., 1997; Pizzini and Nofroni, 2001a, b; Redfern, 2001; Lee, 2009; Tunnell Jr. et al., 2010). In contrast, considerable differences are found in research efforts on the group in the south Atlantic, which have apparently been restricted to southeastern and, to a lesser extent, northeastern Brazil (Absalão, 1994, 1997; Absalão and Gomes, 1995, 2001; Gomes and Absalão, 1996; Barros et al., 2003; Rios, 2009). Although representatives of this family typically inhabit shallow waters, species are far from being well known and are currently being recorded and described worldwide, especially in poorly studied regions, such as the Indo-Pacific (Pizzini et al., 2008; Raines and Pizzini, 2009; Pizzini and Raines, 2011) and the Atlantic coast of South America (Absalão, 1994, 1997; Absalão and Gomes, 1995; Gomes and Absalão, 1996; Wiggers and Veitenheimer-Mendes, 2003), at times based on misidentified specimens (Gomes and Absalão, 1996; Absalão, 1997; Absalão and Gomes, 2001; Absalão and Pizzini, 2002; Rios, 2009), as in the case of the species described herein.

Reasonable caecids richness (about 30 taxa) has been reported for the Atlantic coast of South America (Folin, 1867a, b, c; 1868a, b; 1874a, b; Mello and Maestrati, 1986; Diaz and Puyana, 1994; Absalão and Gomes, 1995; Gomes and Absalão, 1996; Absalão and Gomes, 2001; Rios, 2009). However, a number of species are scarcely represented in the literature, and are not yet adequately reviewed, characterized or illustrated (e.g., *Caecum bimamillatum* Folin, 1867b; *C. limpidum* Folin, 1874a; *C. planum* Folin, 1874b; *C. someri* (Folin, 1867a)), while others remain unknown, which hinders an assessment of the biodiversity of this group in the region. The present findings support the hypothesis that the taxonomic understanding of Caecidae on the Atlantic coast of South America remains unsatisfactory and open to further research.

A number of studies have recognized the existence of

growth stages in Caecinae (Olsson and Harbison, 1954; Keen, 1971; Abbott, 1974; Draper, 1979, 1985; Mello and Maestrati, 1986; Lightfoot, 1992a, 1993; Diaz and Puyana, 1994; Gomes and Absalão, 1996; Pizzini et al., 1998; Absalão and Gomes, 2001; Redfern, 2001; Absalão and Pizzini, 2002; Rios, 2009; Tunnell Jr. et al., 2010; Albano and Pizzini, 2011), but only a few investigations have attempted to describe and/or figure certain phases for some taxa (Moore, 1970; Van Aartsen, 1977; Draper, 1979, 1985; Keeler, 1981; Mello and Maestrati, 1986; Lightfoot, 1992a, b, c; 1993a, b; Di Geronimo et al., 1995; Bandel, 1996; Nofroni et al., 1997; Redfern, 2001; Absalão and Pizzini, 2002; Tunnell Jr. et al., 2010; Pizzini and Raines, 2011). Only Draper (1985: fig. 6—*Caecum chilense* Stuardo, 1962) and Bandel (1996: fig. 7—*C. digitulum* Hedley, 1904; fig. 8—*C. antillarum* Carpenter, 1858; fig. 9—*C. glabellum* Montagu, 1803; fig. 10—*C. nitidum* Stimpson, 1851; fig. 11—*C. erroneum*; fig. 12—*C. pulchellum* Stimpson, 1851; fig. 13—*C. floridanum* not *C. imbricatum*; fig. 14—*C. troglodyte* Moolenbeek, Faber and Iliffe, 1989; fig. 15—*C. plicatum* Carpenter, 1858) fully studied the growth series in *Caecum* species. Most studies addressing growth stages are (apparently) not based on a substantial number of specimens, are not accompanied by descriptions and adequate illustrations of certain phases, and have not analyzed the stages in an integrated, comparative fashion, as performed in the present study. Despite its lack of novelty, the present report presents in detail the basis for the better recognition and general differentiation pattern of growth stages among members of Caecinae. Based on the material examined and previous studies, one may predict that Caecinae species exhibit certain consistency in the number of growth phases (Bandel, 1996; present study), average length and typical sculpture pattern associated to each stage (Draper, 1985; Bandel, 1996; present study), as well as a characteristic transition pattern between stages (e.g., suture, strangulation, pronounced increase in diameter or interface of sculpture patterns) (Draper, 1985; Lightfoot, 1992c; Bandel, 1996; Absalão and Pizzini, 2002; present study). In general, some intraspecific variation may be observed in the conchological ontogeny of species and a reliable study of these stages depends on the perceptions of the researcher analyzing the specimens.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are grateful to Dr. Paula S. Oliveira (MORG) for the loan of material deposited in their institution; to Mr. Mauro Pizzini (Italy), Mr. Philippe Maestrati (MNH) and Mr. Marien J. Faber (The Netherlands) for help in obtaining literature; to Dr. Leslie L. Skibinski (Collection Manager of Mollusks, Delaware Museum of Natural History) for taking the photo of the holotype of *C. donmoorei*; to Dr. Harry Lee (USA) and Mr. Colin Redfern (USA) for making the SEM (*C. donmoorei*) from his book available; to Dr. Emilio Rolán (Museo de Historia Natural, Campus Universitario Sur, Santiago de Compostela) for providing the SEM of Redfern's specimen; to Dr. Martin L. Christoffersen (DSE/UFPB), Dr. Bret Raines (Research Associate, Natural History Museum of Los Angeles County, USA), Mr. Colin Redfern (USA) and the anonymous referee for their critical review, corrections and suggestions regarding the paper; to Dr. Helena P. Lavrado (IBUFRJ, Department of Marine Biology) and Dr. Alexandre Vasconcellos and his team of the "Laboratório de Termitologia (DSE/UFPB)" for providing the stereomi-

croscope with a millimeter ocular; to Mr. Elivaldo Lima (MNRJ) and Mr. Sérgio Santos (UFPE) for the SEM photographs; this study was partially supported by "the Brazilian fostering agencies *Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior*" (CAPES) and "*Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico*" (CNPq - process 141732/2011-3); our greatest thanks to PETROBRAS S.A. (Brazilian Petroleum Co.) for making the collection and study of material from the Habitats Project possible.

REFERENCES

- Abbott RT (1974) *American Seashells*. Van Nostrand, New York
- Absalão RS (1994) A new species of the genus *Caecum* (Prosobranchia: Mesogastropoda) from Southern Brazil. *J conchol* 35: 137–140
- Absalão RS (1997) *Caecum eliezeri* sp. nov. (Prosobranchia: Mesogastropoda): a new species from Brazil. *Veliger* 40: 271–273
- Absalão RS, Gomes RS (1995) Ocorrência de *Caecum butoti* Jong & Coomans no Brasil (Rissoidea; Gastropoda; Mollusca). *Biociências* 3: 207–211
- Absalão RS, Gomes RS (2001) The species usually reported in the subgenus *Brochina* (*Caecum*, Caecidae, Caenogastropoda) from Brazil and some relevant type specimens from western Atlantic. *Boll malacol* 37: 9–22
- Absalão RS, Pizzini M (2002) Critical analysis of subgeneric taxa of the subfamily Caecinae. *Arch Molluskenkd* 131: 167–183
- Albano PG, Pizzini M (2011) Notes on Caecidae (Mollusca: Gastropoda) from southern Mozambique, with the description of a new species. *Afr invertebr* 52: 1–10
- Bandel K (1996) Phylogeny of the Caecidae (Caenogastropoda). *Mitt Geol-Paläont Inst Univ Hamburg* 79: 53–115
- Barros JCN, Barros FNB, Lima SFB, Padovan IP, Santos MCF, Cabral E, Feitosa RNS (2003) Sobre os Caecidae Gray, 1850, obtidos durante as expedições "Natureza" e "Canopus": Sistemática e aspectos ultra-estruturais. *Bol tec cient CEPENE* 11: 43–61
- Carpenter PP (1858) First steps towards a monograph of the Caecidae, a family of rostriferous Gasteropoda. *Proc Zool Soc Lond* 26: 413–441
- Day B (1983) Distribution and abundance of *Caecum cornucopiae* (Gastropoda: Prosobranchia) on *Cladophora crystalline* mats in a Bahamian salt water lake. *Veliger* 26: 128–135
- Di Geronimo I, Privitera S, Valdovinos C (1995) *Fartulum magellanicum* (Prosobranchia, Caecidae): a new species from the Magellanic Province. *Bol Soc Biol Concepción* 66: 113–118
- Diaz MJM, Puyana HM (1994) Moluscos del Caribe Colombiano. *Colciencias y Fundación Natura*, Santafé de Bogotá
- Draper BC (1979) Radular development in the family Caecidae. *Annu rep-West Soc Malacol* 11: 26–30
- Draper BC (1985) Mollusks which truncate their shells and how they plug the openings. *Festivus* 17: 3–9
- Folin L (1867a) Descriptions d'espèces nouvelles de Caecidae. *J Conchylol* 15: 44–58
- Folin L (1867b) Rade de la Guayra. *Les Fonds de la Mer* 1: 30–33
- Folin L (1867c) Rade de Colon-Aspinwall. *Les Fonds de la Mer* 1: 26–29
- Folin L (1868a) Baie de Bahia. *Les Fonds de la Mer* 1: 48–51
- Folin L (1868b) Rade de Rio de Janeiro. *Les Fonds de la Mer* 1: 51–54
- Folin L (1874a) Sur les côtes du Brésil. *Les Fonds de la Mer* 2: 210–214
- Folin L (1874b) Quelques sondages de l'expédition du Hassler. *Les Fonds de la Mer* 2: 276–278
- Folin L (1880) On the Mollusca of H.M.S. 'Challenger' Expedition.—The Caecidae, comprising the genera *Parastrophia*, *Watsonia*, and *Caecum*. *Proc Zool Soc Lond* 1879: 806–812
- Gomes RS, Absalão RS (1996) Lista comentada e ilustrada dos

- Caecidae (Mollusca, Prosobranchia, Mesogastropoda) da Operação Oceanográfica GEOMAR XII. *Rev Bras Zool* 13: 513–531
- Jong KM, Coomans HE (1988) Marine gastropods from Curaçao, Aruba and Bonaire. E.J. Brill, New York
- Keeler JH (1981) Minute shells: Western Atlantic caecids. *Festivus* 13: 67–72
- Keen AM (1971) Seashells of Tropical West America. 2nd ed, Stanford University Press, California
- Klappenbach MA (1964) La familia Caecidae (Moll. Gastr.) em águas uruguayas. *Comun Soc Malacol Urug* 1: 145–149
- Lavrado HP, Ignacio BL (2006) Biodiversidade Bentônica da Região Central da Zona Econômica Exclusiva Brasileira. Museu Nacional/Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro
- Lee HG (2009) Marine shells of northeast Florida. Jacksonville Shell Club, Jacksonville
- Lightfoot J (1992a) Caecidae of the Western Atlantic. *Of Sea and Shore* 14: 171–185
- Lightfoot J (1992b) Caecidae of the Western Atlantic. Part 2, conclusion. *Of Sea and Shore* 15: 23–32
- Lightfoot J (1992c) Hawaiian Caecidae. *Hawaiian Shell News* 40: 1, 4–5
- Lightfoot J (1993a) Caecidae of the Panamic Province Part One. *Of Sea and Shore* 16: 13–26
- Lightfoot J (1993b) Caecidae of the Panamic Province Part Two. *Of Sea and Shore* 16: 75–87
- Lima SFB (2010) Os caecídeos do grupo “Elephantulum” (Mollusca: Caenogastropoda: Caecidae: *Caecum*) do Oceano Atlântico oeste. Ms.Thesis, Museu Nacional/Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro
- Mello RLS, Maestrati P (1986) A família Caecidae Gray, 1850 no Nordeste do Brasil. *Cad Ômega Univ Fed Rur PE* 2: 145–166
- Mitchell-Tapping HJ (1979) The Caecidae (Gastropoda: Rissoacea) of Water Island, U. S. Virgin Islands, with a new species. *Nautilus* 93: 103–105
- Moore DR (1962) The systematic position of the family Caecidae (Mollusca: Gastropoda). *Bull mar sci Gulf Caribb* 12: 695–701
- Moore DR (1970) A new *Caecum* from Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands. *Bull mar sci* 20: 368–373
- Moore DR (1972) Ecological and Systematic notes on Caecidae from St. Croix, U.S. Virgin Islands. *Bull mar sci* 22: 881–898
- Nofroni I, Pizzini M, Oliverio M (1997) Contribution to the knowledge of the family Caecidae. 3. Revision of the Caecidae of the Canary Islands (Caenogastropoda: Rissooidea). *Argonauta* 10: 3–32
- Olsson AA, Harbison A (1954) Pliocene Mollusca of southern Florida. *J Acad Nat Sci Phila* 8: 1–457
- Pizzini M (1998) Contribution to the knowledge of the family Caecidae. 7: *C. fulvum* Kisch, 1959 a junior synonym of *C. neocaledonicum* de Folin, 1868 (Caenogastropoda: Rissooidea Gray J.E., 1847). *Argonauta* 11: 33–38
- Pizzini M, Nofroni I (2001a) A contribution to the knowledge of the family Caecidae: 8. Caecidae from the Azores (Caenogastropoda: Rissooidea). *Conchiglia* 33: 19–24
- Pizzini M, Nofroni I (2001b) Contribution to the knowledge of the family Caecidae: 13. *Caecum rolani*, new name for *Caecum bimarginatum* Dautzenberg, 1912 non P.P. Carpenter, 1859 (Caenogastropoda: Rissooidea). *Boll malacol* 37: 49–51
- Pizzini M, Raines B (2011) The Caecidae from French Polynesia with description of eight new species (Caenogastropoda: Rissooidea). *Boll malacol* 47: 23–46
- Pizzini M, Nofroni I, Oliverio M (1994) Contribution to the knowledge of the family Caecidae. 1. A new *Caecum* from Canary Islands (Caenogastropoda: Rissooidea). *Apex* 9: 79–82
- Pizzini M, Nofroni I, Oliverio M (1995) Contribution to the knowledge of the family Caecidae. 2. *Caecum auriculatum* de Folin, 1868 (Caenogastropoda: Rissooidea). *Apex* 10: 79–86
- Pizzini M, Oliverio M, Nofroni I (1998) Contribution to the knowledge of the family Caecidae. 4. The temporary septum formation of some caecid species (Caenogastropoda: Rissooidea). *Iberus* 16: 133–140
- Pizzini M, Nofroni I, Bonfitto A (2008) Two new species of Caecidae from the Indo-Pacific (Gastropoda). *Boll malacol* 44: 20–24
- Ponder WF, Keyser RG (1998) Superfamily Rissooidea. In “Mollusca: The Southern Synthesis. Fauna of Australia, Vol 5” Ed by PL Beesley, GJB Ross, A Wells, CSIRO Publishing, Melbourne, Part B viii, 745–766
- Raines B, Pizzini M (2009) Two new Caecidae from the South-West Pacific Ocean and the “*Caecum insculptum* complex” (Caenogastropoda: Rissooidea). *Boll malacol* 45: 115–121
- Redfern C (2001) Bahamian Seashells: a thousand species from Abaco. Bahamianseashells.com, Boca Raton, FL
- Rios EC (2009) Compendium of Brazilian Sea shells. Evangraf, Rio Grande, RS
- Tunnell JrJW, Andrews J, Barrera NC, Moretzsohn F (2010) Encyclopedia of Texas Seashells: Identification, Ecology, Distribution and History. College Station, Texas A & M University Press, Texas
- Van Aartsen JJ (1977) Revision of the East Atlantic and Mediterranean Caecidae. *Basteria* 41: 7–19
- Wiggers F, Veitenheimer-Mendes IL (2003) Gastrópodes atuais da Plataforma Continental Externa e Talude Continental ao largo de Rio Grande, Rio Grande do Sul, Brasil. *Rev bras paleontol* 6: 55–60

(Received February 8, 2013 / Accepted April 1, 2013)