

Foraging behavioural traits of tropical insectivorous birds lead to dissimilar communities in contrasting forest habitats

Authors: Castaño-Villa, Gabriel J., Santisteban-Arenas, Rafael, Hoyos-Jaramillo, Alejandro, Estévez-Varón, Jaime V., and Fontúrbel, Francisco E.

Source: Wildlife Biology, 2019(1): 1-6

Published By: Nordic Board for Wildlife Research

URL: https://doi.org/10.2981/wlb.00483

BioOne Complete (complete.BioOne.org) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at <u>www.bioone.org/terms-of-use</u>.

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non - commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.



Foraging behavioural traits of tropical insectivorous birds lead to dissimilar communities in contrasting forest habitats

Gabriel J. Castaño-Villa, Rafael Santisteban-Arenas, Alejandro Hoyos-Jaramillo, Jaime V. Estévez-Varón and Francisco E. Fontúrbel

G. J. Castaño-Villa (http://orcid.org/0000-0001-5327-8063), R. Santisteban-Arenas, A. Hoyos-Jaramillo and J. V. Estévez-Varón (http://orcid. org/0000-0002-1141-6576), Grupo de Investigación en Ecosistemas Tropicales, Univ. de Caldas, Manizales, Colombia. GJCV also at: Depto de Desarrollo Rural y Recursos Naturales, Univ. de Caldas. JVEV also at: Depto de Biología, Univ. de Caldas, Manizales, Colombia. ORCID. – F. E. Fontúrbel (http://orcid.org/0000-0001-8585-2816) (fonturbel@gmail.com), Inst. de Biología, Facultad de Ciencias, Pontificia Univ. Católica de Valparaíso, Valparaíso, Chile.

Many deforested areas worldwide have been planted with *Alnus* spp. to protect watersheds and soils. However, the effects of these plantations on biodiversity are little known yet. Contrasting forest types may impose strong environmental filters to some behavioural traits, leading to dissimilar communities. Insectivorous birds are known to be sensitive to changes in habitat structure due to their specialized foraging behaviour. We contrasted species richness, abundance and composition of insectivorous birds, according to functional behaviour groups (foraging strategy and stratum), between secondary forest stands and Andean alder *Alnus acuminata* plantations, to assess how contrasting forest types affect this bird group in the Colombian Andes. Insectivorous bird species richness and abundance were higher at the Alder plantation rather than at the secondary forest, resulting in dissimilar communities. In this regard, forest plantations act as a positive filter for foliage gleaners and flycatchers, whereas secondary forests act as a positive filter for bark foragers. Secondary forests and alder plantations impose different ecological scenarios to insectivorous birds, related to foraging strategies and foraging stratum, which ultimately leads to a dissimilar species composition.

Keywords: Alnus acuminate, Colombian Andes, community similarity, foraging stratum, foraging strategy, understory height

Forest plantations are increasing worldwide, covering millions of hectares across countries and ecosystems, increasing at an average annual rate of 3.6 million hectares during the 1990–2000 period (FAO 2016). Among the most common tree species used to establish forest plantations, the alder (*Alnus* spp.) is becoming increasingly common in 34 countries of Europe, Asia, Oceania and Central and South America (FAO 2006). Alder plantations are intended for productive and protective uses, currently covering 413 000 ha worldwide (FAO 2006). This species is frequently planted due to its fast growing and positive effect on the soil (Mortimer et al. 2015, Rytter and Rytter 2016) and also because of its facilitator role on forest restoration (Murcia 1997, Avendaño-Yáñez et al. 2014). However, its effects on biodiversity are still poorly understood because Alder plantations are remarkably understudied compared to *Eucalyptus* spp. and *Pinus* spp. plantations.

Recent evidence showed that Andean alder Alnus acuminata protective plantations are capable to hold a large diversity of bird species, being highly dissimilar to those bird communities found at neighbouring native forest stands (Castaño-Villa et al. 2014a). This could also be the case of insectivore birds, but to the best of our knowledge, no study has assessed this bird group in Alnus plantations. Insectivore birds respond drastically to habitat complexity structure (Shahabuddin and Kumar 2007, Moradi et al. 2009, Castaño-Villa et al. 2014b). Consequently, due to their specialized foraging strata and behaviour, it is considered as a highly vulnerable group to habitat disturbance in tropical regions (Bierregaard and Lovejoy 1989, Renjifo 1999). In this regard, forest plantations can act as a strong biodiversity moderator, modifying bird diversity and community composition (Barlow et al. 2007, Faria et al. 2007). These changes on bird communities can be measured using changes in foraging guilds or foraging strategies as a proxy (Barlow et al. 2007, Holbech 2009). Life history traits, such as foraging stratum and strategies, influence aspects related

This work is licensed under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC-BY) <http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>. The license permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

to resource use as well as matter and energy flows in the ecosystem (Luck et al. 2012). Therefore, these traits can be sensitive and useful tools for assessing the effects of forest plantations on avifauna.

We aimed to compare the richness, abundance and composition of insectivorous birds according to foraging behavioural traits (foraging strategy and stratum) between Andean alder plantations and secondary forest stands. Given that understory insectivorous bird abundance and distribution are known to respond to habitat-specific structural features (Kattan and Murcia 2012), contrasting between alder plantations and native forests (Murcia 1997, Kattan and Murcia 2012), will show if they are subject to different environmental conditions. We hypothesized that insectivorous bird species foraging behaviour would differ between secondary forest stands and alder plantations, as each habitat may favour certain foraging-related responses.

Methods

Study area

The Central Hidroeléctrica de Caldas S.A. E.S.P. (CHEC) Protective Forest Reserve is owned and operated by the public hydropower company. This Reserve is located on the western slope of the Colombian central Andes (05° 01'N, 75° 24'W; altitude 2500 m a.s.l.); and it is part of the basin of the Chinchiná River, in the region of Caldas. This zone has an average annual temperature of 13°C and an annual precipitation of 2500 mm. The Reserve covers 3890 ha, comprising stands of native secondary growth forests in diverse successional stages (however, there are no accessible primary growth forest stands in the area, as the few remnants that still persist were not disturbed due to their inaccessibility), as well as some exotic (Eucalyptus spp., Acacia spp., Pinus spp. and Cupressus lusitanica) and native (Alnus acuminata) tree plantations (Castaño-Villa et al. 2014a). Those plantations are intended for a protective use only (Castaño-Villa et al. 2014a). Central Hidroeléctrica de Caldas provided the necessary permissions to carry out fieldwork.

We set eight capture points at each forest type, being careful to select stands of about the same age (35-40 years old, based on information provided by the local people) to avoid confounding effects related to forest maturity and habitat structure, which are associated with the resident avian community (Styring et al. 2011). The eight capture points at the alder plantation were located in four stands (i.e. two points per stand), ranging between 6 and 7 ha (covering ~26 ha in total). Similarly, the eight capture points at the secondary forest were located in four stands (two points per stand), where the most common species are Montanoa quadrangularis (Asteraceae), Saurauia cuatrecasana (Actinidiaceae) and Lippia schlimii (Verbenaceae), ranging between 5 and 9 ha (covering ~30 ha in total). In both cases, sampling locations were separated from each other by 500 m to 1 km. Common understory tree and shrub species found in the plantations included Bocconia frutescens (Papaveraceae), Miconia theaezans (Melastomataceae), Palicourea acetosoides (Rubiaceae), Palicourea calophlebia (Rubiaceae), Sapium stylare (Euphorbiaceae). The most common species found in the understory of the native forest stands were *Palicourea deviae* (Rubiaceae), *Hoffmannia glabra* (Rubiaceae), *Chamaedorea linearis* (Arecaceae), *Siparuna laurifolia* (Siparunaceae) and *Piper* spp. (Piperaceae) (Ramos 2010).

Bird sampling

We used mist nets to determine the avifauna present in each forest type. This method has been used in similar investigations in the tropics (Barlow et al. 2007, Holbech 2009) since it allows conducting multiple sampling, independently from observer bias (Blake and Loiselle 2001). It also allows performing direct comparisons among studies, and allows detecting silent, cryptic and cautious species (Karr 1981) such as insectivores birds. Between October 2008 and April 2009, we established four mist nets $(12 \times 2.5 \text{ m} \times 36 \text{ mm})$ on a monthly basis at each capture point (separated 100 m from other). Each capture point was operated for 56 h per month, with a total capturing effort of 3136 h net⁻¹ at each forest type. Captured birds were marked using bands with a unique colour combination for later identification, and then individuals were released at the same capturing place. Bird species were classified following Remsen et al. (2018). Resident and migratory insectivorous bird species (i.e. those birds that have a diet mainly based on insects) were included in the analyses. Bird species were then categorized according two behavioural groups: foraging strategy (bark foragers, flycatchers, foliage gleaners and ground foragers) and foraging stratum (intermediate + canopy, and understory) following Del Hoyo et al. (1992), and corroborated with field observations. Sampling effectiveness was assessed using the average of four species richness estimators commonly used (Chao1, ACE, Jack1 and bootstrap, calculated in EstimateS 9.1.0), our field sampling effectiveness was expressed as the ratio between the number of observed and expected species (Castaño-Villa et al. 2014a, b). Using this criterion, overall sampling effectiveness in this case was 84% (sampling effectiveness in the secondary forest was 81% and in the plantation was 79%), therefore we considered our sampling representative of the insectivorous species richness present at the study area.

Data analysis

We contrasted insectivore bird diversity between both forest types (i.e. secondary forest and Alder plantation sites) and among foraging strategy and stratum through the visual inspection of the estimated species richness (S_{est}) and the lower and upper bounds of its confidence intervals (when confidence intervals do not overlap we assume significant differences at an alpha level of 0.05) (MacGregor-Fors and Payton 2013, Hanula et al. 2015). To statistically compare bird community composition between secondary forests and alder plantations, we performed a one-way analysis of similarity (ANOSIM; using the Euclidean distance as similarity measure). ANOSIM is a non-parametric test based on similarity matrices that estimates the significance level upon 9999 permutations, which is commonly used for comparing bird communities (Barlow et al. 2007, Fontúrbel and Jiménez 2014). For the general between-habitat comparison we conducted a one-way ANOSIM, whereas for the foraging

2

strategy and stratum comparisons we performed two-way ANOSIM tests, nesting foraging strategies and strata within habitat types. Further, to visualize potential composition differences among groups we used a non-metric multidimensional scaling (nMDS hereafter) approach. ANOSIM and nMDS tests were performed using the software PAST 3.20 (Hammer et al. 2001).

All references to abundance in this document refer to the number of captured individuals, which were reported as mean values. Recaptured individuals were not included in the analyses. Bird abundance between the alder plantation and the secondary forest was compared using a one-way nested analysis of variance (ANOVA), with mist-net capture points nested within each stand according to forest types (Murcia 1997). Goodness-of-fit to a normal distribution was assessed through a Shapiro–Wilk test. Homogeneity of variances was assessed using a Levene test. These analyses were performed using R ver. 3.4.2 (<www.r-project.org>).

Data deposition

Original data is freely available at the figshare digital repository: https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.6900665>.

Results

We registered a total 45 insectivorous bird species (Supplementary material Appendix 1 Table A1). From those, 37 species were captured in the alder plantation stands and 28 in the secondary forest stands, being 21 species captured in both forest types (47% of the total species pool). Only two migratory species were recorded in the study area (Setophaga fusca and Cardellina canadensis). Species richness was significantly higher at the alder plantation than at the native forest (Fig. 1a). Regarding foraging strategy, estimated species richness of bark foragers was significantly higher at the native forest, whereas estimated species richness was significantly higher for foliage gleaners and flycatchers at the alder plantation (Fig. 1b); due to the low number of ground forager species present, we cannot perform any formal comparison in this case. Examining species richness by foraging stratum, there were no significant differences on species richness for understory birds, but those foraging at the canopy and intermediate heights showed a significantly higher species richness at the plantation (Fig. 1c). We captured a total of 352 individuals, 220 were captured at the alder plantation and 132 at the native forest. Overall, the average number of individuals captured per point was greater in the plantations (27.50 ± 3.54) than in the secondary forests $(16.50 \pm 2.04;$ $F_{1.8}$ = 10.94, p = 0.011). Regarding foraging strategy and stratum, plantations had a significantly higher abundance of foliage gleaners and those birds foraging at the canopy and intermediate heights, but abundances of the other functional groups were similar between forest types as well as those foraging at the understory level (Table 1).

Bird species composition was significantly different between plantations and native forests (ANOSIM R=0.22, p=0.004; Fig. 2). From the 17 species exclusive to alder plantations, 65% corresponded to foliage gleaners (*Scytalopus spillmanni*, *Phyllomyias nigrocapillus*, *Poecilotriccus ruficeps*,



r oraging oracam

Figure 1. Estimated species richness (S_{est}) for (a) the overall comparison between native forest (black symbols) and alder plantation (white symbols); (b) comparison among foraging strategy: BF = bark foragers (circles), FG = foliage gleaners (squares), F = flycatchers (triangles) and GF = ground foragers (diamonds); (c) foraging stratum: CIM = canopy and intermediate (circles) and understory (squares). Error bars represent the 84% confidence interval of the richness estimations.

Mecocerculus poecilocercus, Vireo leucophrys, Cinnycerthia unirufa, Hemispingus atropileus, Conirostrum albifrons, Catamblyrhynchus diadema, Myioborus ornatus and S. fusca), while from the nine species exclusive to the native forests, 56% corresponded to bark foragers (Campephilus pollens, Dendrocolaptes picumnus, Anabacerthia striaticollis,

Table 1. Abundance comparison between native forest and alder plantation examined by foraging strategy and stratum. Significant comparisons after a nested ANOVA analysis are denoted with an asterisk.

Group	Alder plantation	Native forest	Test
(a) Foraging strategy			
Bark forager	3.63 ± 1.31	4.25 ± 1.44	$F_{1.8} = 0.32$, p=0.584
Flycatcher	4.25 ± 1.19	2.88 ± 0.48	$F_{1.8} = 4.17, p = 0.075$
Foliage gleaner	19.50 ± 1.87	8.88 ± 1.89	$F_{1.8} = 9.16, p = 0.016^*$
Ground forager	5.50 ± 2.08	1.75 ± 0.50	$F_{1.8} = 4.32, p = 0.071$
(b) Foraging stratum			.,
Intermediate + canopy	12.00 ± 3.24	7.00 ± 1.47	$F_{1.8} = 15.38, p = 0.004^*$
Understory	15.50 ± 3.37	9.50 ± 1.87	$F_{1,8} = 4.99, p = 0.056$

Thripadectes holostictus and *T. flammulatus*). Examining bird composition data by foraging strategy, both forest types (ANOSIM R=0.08, p=0.019) and foraging strategy (R=0.46, p<0.001) showed significant composition differences (Fig. 3); foliage gleaners, which form a clearly separated group from the remaining ones, mainly explaining those differences. Also, when examining data by foraging stratum we detected significant differences in composition for forest type (R=0.23, p<0.001) and foraging stratum (R=0.75, p<0.001) (Fig. 4).

Discussion

Insectivorous bird diversity found in the alder plantations was dissimilar to that of the secondary forests. This results is opposite to previous reports on this matter, as similar insectivorous bird species compositions were found in native *Araucaria* plantations in Brazil (Volpato et al. 2010), native *Eucalyptus* plantations in Australia (Loyn et al. 2007, Law et al. 2014), and exotic tree plantations in Ghana (Holbech 2009). The dissimilarity in species diversity and abundance between alder plantations and secondary forests could arise as result of different environmental conditions affecting bird foraging behaviour. Alder plantations are likely to positively affect foliage gleaners and flycatchers, and negatively affect bark foragers. In the case of foliage gleaners and flycatchers, the dense understory found at the alder plantation,



Figure 2. Non-metric multidimensional scaling (nMDS) plot of the overall species composition between native forest (black symbols) and alder plantation (white symbols). Ordination stress = 0.12.

dominated by common pioneer and secondary plant species (Ramos 2010) with large leaf production and high vulnerability to insect attack (Newbery and Deforesta 1985), could be favouring the abundance and diversity of insectivorous birds (Holbech 2009, Sheldon et al. 2010). The dense understory composed of pioneer and early secondary species in alder plantations results from the physiognomy of its trees (mainly determined by its canopy type), and the plantation location on sunny slopes, allowing abundant light to enter through the understory. On the other hand, the reduced bark forager diversity may result from the homogeneity in tree size within plantation (e.g. diameter at breast height is similar among most of the planted trees). Bark forager diversity is known to respond to the variation on tree diameter values and not to the diameter values itself (Castaño-Villa et al. 2014b).

Structural differences between the forest types can also explain the low bird assemblage similarity between the alder plantations and the secondary forests (only 47% of the species were present in both forest types). This pattern may emerge from strong differences in richness and abundance of particular foraging groups. For instance, foliage gleaners are the most common group at the alder plantations, with 23 species detected and 12 of them (52%) being found only there. It is possible that vertical vegetation structure within the alder plantations (having only two strata: understory and canopy) is influencing foliage gleaner habitat use. It is likely that this understory discontinuity from the ground to the canopy will force foliage gleaner birds (including



Figure 3. Non-metric multidimensional scaling (nMDS) plot of the species composition between habitats (black symbols = native forest, white symbols = alder plantation) and among foraging strategies (circles = bark foragers, squares = foliage gleaners, triangles = flycatchers, diamonds = ground foragers). Ordination stress = 0.08.

4



Figure 4. Non-metric multidimensional scaling (nMDS) plot of the species composition between habitats (black symbols = native forest, white symbols = alder plantation) and between foraging strata (circles = canopy and intermediate, squares = understory). Ordination stress = 0.11.

those canopy or sub-canopy foragers such as P. nigrocapillus, M. poecilocercus, V. leucophrys, H. atropileus, C. albifrons and M. ornatus) to forage more intensively at the plantation understory, where vegetation and insects are usually more abundant. This also may be conditioning species from other foraging strategies (such as flycatchers: Pyrrhomyias cinnamomeus and Myiarchus cephalotes, or bark foragers: Colaptes rivolii, Xiphorhynchus triangularis and Margarornis squamiger) to forage at the understory, where they are able to find perching sites and shelter against predators. Such idiosyncratic responses may be responsible of the larger functional space observed in Fig. 2-4, despite the plantation represents a more homogeneous habitat, microclimate conditions are likely to create a patchy distribution of food resources (i.e. insects), increasing the variation on bird species richness among capturing points. Another non-mutually exclusive explanation for this pattern is territoriality, as many insectivorous species actively defend territories, they may have to defend larger areas in lower quality habitats. Besides, the higher capture numbers at the alder plantation should be interpreted with caution, as this pattern is likely to result from the association between habitat structure and foraging behaviour (Pearson 1975), which may be leading insectivorous birds to be more frequently captured at the plantation understory, compared to the native forest, simply because they are frequently flying at the mist net height (Blake and Loiselle 2001).

Management implications

The Colombian central Andes is one of the most biodiverse areas in the world, but it is one of the most threatened ecosystems as well. Past deforestation and land-use changes have significantly altered this area during the 20th century, making its present conservation and management a challenging issue. In this sense, our results show that forest plantations based on native tree species (such as the Andean alder) are able to hold a non-random subset of species, but they cannot replace native forests. Therefore, aiming to preserve bird diversity in this hotspot, it is crucial to: 1) protect the extant native forest remnants, 2) encourage reforestation with native species, and given that both forest types are complementary in terms of bird diversity, 3) provide landscapelevel connectivity between both habitat types. If these three conditions are met, properly-managed landscapes could take advantage of the complementary role of native forest plantations and act as a relief to native habitat loss and landuse change pressures. Therefore, this information may be used by policy-makers to think about biodiversity-friendly landscapes and their long-term implications.

Conclusions

Alder plantations and secondary forests seem to impose different environmental conditions to birds, which result in differences on diversity of foraging behaviour as well as in species composition. While alder plantations may constitute suitable habitat for many insectivorous bird species, they do not constitute a substitute of native forest stands, but rather act as complementary habitat for the regional insectivorous avifauna. Examining foraging strategies in addition to species diversity may depict a more complete and accurate scenario of the actual conservation value of forest plantations across countries and ecosystems, as plantations are likely to modify bird ecological scenario, and its effects can widely vary depending on the tree species planted and how the plantation was established.

Acknowledgements – The authors are grateful to the Vicerrectoría de Investigaciones y Posgrados at the Universidad de Caldas, and to the Fundación HTM for funding the project entitled 'Efectos de las plantaciones forestales en la conservación de la diversidad de la avifauna y flora, en los bosques de la CHEC (Caldas)'. We are grateful for the logistic support provided by the Central Hidroeléctrica de Caldas S.A E.S.P. and C. A. Franco for arranging the logistics at the study site. L. Salazar, A. D. Pineda, J. A. Morales and F. A. Ramos assisted in field. Comments of A. Viña and C. González improved an earlier version of the manuscript.

Funding – Universidad de Caldas Grant no. 00414 to GJCV, FONDECYT project 11160152 to FEF.

Permits – The Corporación Autónoma Regional de Caldas (CORPOCALDAS) granted the research permits. This research met the Research ethics and Animal ethics guidelines of Universidad de Caldas.

References

- Avendaño-Yáñez, M. D. et al. 2014. Is facilitation a promising strategy for cloud forest restoration? – For. Ecol. Manage. 329: 328–333.
- Barlow, J. et al. 2007. The value of primary, secondary and plantation forests for Amazonian birds. – Biol. Conserv. 136: 212–231.
- Bierregaard, R. O. J. and Lovejoy, T. E. 1989. Effects of forest fragmentation on Amazonian understory bird communities. – Acta Amaz. 19: 215–241.
- Blake, J. G. and Loiselle, B. A. 2001. Bird assemblages in second-growth and old-growth forests, Costa Rica: perspectives from mist nets and point counts. – Auk 118: 304–326.

- Castaño-Villa, G. J. et al. 2014a. The role of native forest plantations in the conservation of Neotropical birds: the case of the Andean alder. – J. Nat. Conserv. 22: 547–551.
- Castaño-Villa, G. J. et al. 2014b. Fine-scale habitat structure complexity determines insectivorous bird diversity in a tropical forest. – Acta Oecol. 61: 19–23.
- Del Hoyo, J. et al. (eds) 1992. Handbook of the birds of the world. – Lynx Editions.
- FAO 2006. Global planted forest thematic study: results and analysis. Planted forests and tress working paper 38.
 Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.
- FAO 2016. The global forest resources assessment 2015: how are the world's forests changing? – Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.
- Faria, D. et al. 2007. Ferns, frogs, lizards, birds and bats in forest fragments and shade cacao plantations in two contrasting landscapes in the Atlantic forest, Brazil. – Biodivers. Conserv. 16: 2335–2357.
- Fontúrbel, F. E. and Jiménez, J. E. 2014. Does bird species diversity vary among forest types? A local-scale test in southern Chile. – Naturwissenschaften 101: 855–859.
- Hammer, Ø. et al. 2001. PAST: paleontological statistics software package for education and data analysis. – Palaeontol. Electron. 4: 1–9.
- Hanula, J. L. et al. 2015. Have changing forests conditions contributed to pollinator decline in the southeastern United States? – For. Ecol. Manage. 348: 142–152.
- Holbech, L. H. 2009. The conservation importance of luxuriant tree plantations for lower storey forest birds in Southwest Ghana. Bird Conserv. Int. 19: 287–308.
- Karr, J. R. 1981. Surveying birds with mist nets. Stud. Avian Biol. 6: 62–67.
- Kattan, G. H. and Murcia, C. 2012. Ecological patterns and processes in noncommercial monospecific tree plantations in the tropical Andes. – In: Simonetti, J. A. et al. (eds), Biodiversity conservation in agroforestry landscapes: challenges and opportunities. – Editorial Univ., pp. 131–144.
- Law, B. S. et al. 2014. Trends in bird diversity over 12 years in response to large-scale eucalypt plantation establishment: implications for extensive carbon plantings. – For. Ecol. Manage. 322: 58–68.
- Loyn, R. H. et al. 2007. Eucalypt plantations as habitat for birds on previously cleared farmland in south–eastern Australia. – Biol. Conserv. 137: 533–548.

Supplementary material (available online as Appendix wlb-00483 at <www.oikosjournal.org/appendix/wlb-00483>). Appendix 1.

- Luck, G. W. et al. 2012. Improving the application of vertebrate trait-based frameworks to the study of ecosystem services. – J. Anim. Ecol. 81: 1065–1076.
- MacGregor-Fors, I. and Payton, M. E. 2013. Contrasting diversity values: statistical inferences based on overlapping confidence intervals. – PLoS One 8: e56794.
- Moradi, H. V. et al. 2009. Insectivorous birds and environmental factors across an edge–interior gradient in Tropical Rainforest of Malaysia. – Int. J. Zool. Res. 5: 27–41.
- Mortimer, P. E. et al. 2015. Alder trees enhance crop productivity and soil microbial biomass in tea plantations. – Appl. Soil Ecol. 96: 25–32.
- Murcia, C. 1997. Evaluation of Andean alder as a catalyst for the recovery of tropical cloud forests in Colombia. – For. Ecol. Manage. 99: 163–170.
- Newbery, D. M. and Deforesta, H. 1985. Herbivory and defense in pioneer, gap and understory trees of tropical rain-forest in French-Guyana. – Biotropica 17: 238–244.
- Pearson, D. L. 1975. The relation of foliage complexity to ecological diversity of three Amazonian bird communities. – Condor 77: 453–466.
- Ramos, A. 2010. Estructura y composición florística de un bosque secundario y unaplantación de aliso (*Alnus acuminata*), en los Andes Centrales Colombianos. Univ. de Caldas.
- Remsen, J. V. et al. 2018. Version 21-June-2018. A classification of the bird species of South America. – Am. Ornithol. Union.
- Renjifo, L. M. 1999. Composition changes in a subandean avifauna after long-term forest fragmentation. – Conserv. Biol. 13: 1124–1139.
- Rytter, L. and Rytter, R. M. 2016. Growth and carbon capture of grey alder (*Alnus incana* (L.) Moench.) under north European conditions – estimates based on reported research. – For. Ecol. Manage. 373: 56–65.
- Shahabuddin, G. and Kumar, R. 2007. Effects of extractive disturbance on bird assemblages, vegetation structure and floristics in tropical scrub forest, Sariska Tiger Reserve, India. – For. Ecol. Manage. 246: 175–185.
- Sheldon, F. H. et al. 2010. Bird species richness in a Bornean exotic tree plantation: a long-term perspective. – Biol. Conserv. 143: 399–407.
- Styring, A. R. et al. 2011. Bird community assembly in Bornean industrial tree plantations: effects of forest age and structure. – For. Ecol. Manage. 261: 531–544.
- Volpato, G. H. et al. 2010. What can tree plantations do for forest birds in fragmented forest landscapes? A case study in southern Brazil. – For. Ecol. Manage. 260: 1156–1163.

6