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Clear-cut areas and breeding success of Tengmalm’s owls

*Aegolius funereus*

Harri Hakkarainen, Vesa Koivunen, Erkki Korpimäki & Sami Kurki

The effect of mature forest fragmentation on breeding success of vole-eating Tengmalm’s owls *Aegolius funereus* was studied in western Finland during 1981-1995. The owl pairs produced more fledglings in territories with high (>30%) proportions of clear-cut and plantation areas than in territories with low (<30%) proportions of such areas. Territory occupancy, clutch size, laying date, and parental characteristics such as wing length, body mass and age were not associated with the proportion of clear-cut areas within territories. Snap-trapping data from the ‘increase’ vole year 1994 revealed that the *Microtus* vole density tended to be higher in large clear-cuttings than in small ones. The increasing amount of sapling and clear-cut areas in coniferous forests during the last three decades has created suitable grass habitats for *Microtus* voles, and simultaneously new hunting habitats for Tengmalm’s owls. Therefore, forest fragmentation due to clear-cuttings may benefit Tengmalm’s owls at the present scale. However, nest-boxes should be erected and old groves should be protected, because forest harvesting apparently reduces the number of suitable natural cavities for owls in the long run.
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During the last decades modern forestry has had a large-scale impact on boreal forest ecosystems, both in Palearctic and Nearctic regions. Especially, at landscape level, there is a lack of large pristine forests (e.g. Ohmann et al. 1988), while remaining patches of mature forests have become internally more homogeneous and more isolated from larger forest complexes (Hansson 1992). This human disturbance evidently causes harmful effects on organisms that are strictly dependent on mature forest habitats and that have restricted ability to disperse between suitable habitat patches (Hansson 1992). The direct costs of loggings for such species are caused by the decrease in the amount and the fragmented spacing of resources required by these individuals. In addition to that, organisms may be incapable of moving between vitaly important habitat patches, and the energy expenditure of food and mate searchings along with failures in social behaviour may increase substantially in strongly fragmented landscapes (e.g. Rolstad & Wegge 1989). Furthermore, the change in landscape composition may modify community structure and therefore affect inter-specific interactions (Addicott et al. 1987, Angelstam 1992). One of the most studied examples is the increased predation pressure in fragmented forest landscapes (Kuitunen & Helle 1988, Small & Hunter 1988, Andrén 1992, Kurki & Lindén 1995, Huhta 1995). Therefore, we need to evaluate how clear-cut areas affect reproduction and survival of species subsisting on forest resources, as the density decline of many forest species is suggested to be connected with forest fragmentation (e.g. Haila et al. 1979).

Birds of prey living in forest habitats are generally considered to be sensitive to forest management and habitat change (e.g. Newton 1979). One example of such detrimental effects is the spotted owl *Strix occidentalis*, which primarily uses old forests for foraging and roosting (Forsman et al. 1984, Carey et al. 1992). Birds of prey inhabit...
large territories (Newton 1979), where, as top carnivores, they consume prey, which is scarce and difficult to catch (e.g. Temes 1985). Therefore, they may lose much energy in each feeding event, especially if prey is sparsely and patchily distributed within a territory. Forest harvesting may also induce a lack of nesting places, such as natural cavities and large nesting trees for many owl, hawk and eagle species. Loggings also reduce habitat quality of many birds of prey by decreasing the amount of suitable hunting perches (Widen 1994). On the other hand, volet-eating predators may benefit from large clear-cut and plantation areas, as these may sustain a large number of field voles (Henttonen 1989).

Tengmalm’s owl Aegolius funereus is a small nocturnal hole-nesting bird of prey, which commonly breeds in coniferous forests in northern Europe (e.g. Mikkola 1983). In Finland, Microtus voles (the field vole Microtus agrestis and the sibling vole M. rossiaemerdionalis) and the bank vole Clethrionomys glareolus form the main prey of Tengmalm’s owl (e.g. Korpimäki 1981, 1988a, Koivunen et al. 1996). Microtus voles inhabit field areas as well as clear-cut and plantation areas, whereas bank voles mainly live in forest habitats (e.g. Hasson 1978). Based on Henttonen’s hypothesis (1989) that predators may benefit from expanding populations of field voles in logged and planted areas, we examined whether such areas enhance the breeding frequency and reproductive success of Tengmalm’s owls. We also evaluated whether density and occurrence of the main prey of Tengmalm’s owls increase along with the size of clear-cut areas.

### Methods

#### The owl population

The study was conducted during 1981-1995 in the Kauhava region (ca 63°N, 23°E), western Finland, in an area covering 1,300 km² and containing 500 nest-boxes and approximately 30 natural cavities suitable for Tengmalm’s owls. Spruce forest, pine forest and clear-cut areas cover 46% of the study area, while the rest of the habitats consist of agricultural land (27%), peatlands (23%), and urban and water areas (4%), respectively (e.g. Korpimäki 1981). All territories and nest-boxes were checked annually at least twice per breeding season. On the basis of map observations (scale 1:20,000), all nest sites situated within extensive forest areas (only forest within 1.5 km from the nest-box), were chosen for this study (N = 30). The 1.5 km radius from the nest-box covers the mean territory size (2-5 km²) of Tengmalm’s owls (Sonerud 1986a, Korpimäki 1992, our own unpubl. radio-tracking data). All territories that included some agricultural fields were excluded, because the proportion of agricultural fields within Tengmalm’s owl territories is positively related to the breeding frequency and reproductive success of Tengmalm’s owls (Korpimäki 1988b). Therefore, even small agricultural fields would have lead to increased vole numbers in the territory, and, thus would have confounded our analyses on the effects of clear-cut areas within owl territories. Based on map evaluations and our own field observations, the 30 territories were divided into two groups with respect to the frequency of clear-cuttings. In the whole study area, about one third of total forest areas was clear-cut, and therefore, 30% clear-cutting was chosen as the limit value between the two groups. Based on this criterion, we had two groups: 1) territories, in which <30% of total forest area was clear-cut within 1.5 km from the nest-boxes (on average 18%, SD = 7%, range 10-30%, N = 17), and 2) territories, in which >30% of total forest area was clear-cut (on average 49%, SD = 11%, range 35-70%, N = 13). In our classification, the term ‘clear-cut area’ also included some areas with up to 2 m high saplings, but 0.2 - 1 m high saplings were prevailing. Clear-cut features, such as mean age of clear-cuts and saplings were similar in the two territory groups. The habitat composition outside clear-cuttings did not differ between the two territory groups, indicating that there were no obvious differences in soil productivity between the sparsely and widely clear-cut territory groups (Table 1). Accurate estimates for clear-cut areas, however, are difficult to calculate because of continuous temporal and

### Table 1. Habitat composition expressed as mean proportion of clear-cut areas (SD) within 1.5 km from the nest-boxes of Tengmalm’s owls in sparsely (low) and widely (high) clear-cut territories. All habitat types outside clear-cut areas are included.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase of vole cycle</th>
<th>Low Proportion (%) of clear-cut areas within territory</th>
<th>Mann-Whitney U-test</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of territories</td>
<td>Low High</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pine forest</td>
<td>38 (9) 40 (16)</td>
<td>121.0</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spruce forest</td>
<td>33 (10) 31 (10)</td>
<td>102.0</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deciduous forest</td>
<td>2 (6) 2 (5)</td>
<td>102.0</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peatland bog</td>
<td>27 (15) 27 (11)</td>
<td>110.5</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2. Number of Tengmalm’s owl nests in sparsely (low) and widely (high) clear-cut territories in the three phases of the vole cycle.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase of vole cycle</th>
<th>Proportion of clear-cut areas within territory</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Mean annual breeding percentage in nest-boxes (SD), mean laying date (SD; 1 = 1 April), mean clutch size (SD) and mean fledgling production (SD) in sparsely (low) and widely (high) clear-cut territories of Tengmalm’s owls. Statistical tests were performed by Student’s T-test and Mann-Whitney U-test (two-tailed). N = number of territories.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proportion of clear-cut areas within territory</th>
<th>Low N</th>
<th>High N</th>
<th>Test value</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Breeding percentage</td>
<td>15 (9)</td>
<td>17 (15)</td>
<td>U = 139.0</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laying date</td>
<td>1.41 (19.44)</td>
<td>1.10 (21.98)</td>
<td>T = 0.04</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clutch size</td>
<td>5.43 (0.88)</td>
<td>5.20 (1.26)</td>
<td>T = 0.54</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of fledglings</td>
<td>2.45 (1.26)</td>
<td>3.55 (1.39)</td>
<td>T = 2.06</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Spatial changes in forest landscape caused by loggings. This forced us to use only rough classifications of the proportion of clear-cut areas within owl territories (sparsely vs widely clear-cut territories).

The nests were checked as many times as necessary to determine the final clutch size and fledging production (for further details see Korpimäki 1981, 1988a,b). The laying date of the first egg was usually back-dated from the known hatching date of the young (Korpimäki 1981, Carlsson & Hörnfeldt 1994). All parent owls were trapped and measured (body mass to the nearest 1 g, and wing length to the nearest 1 mm) at the same stage of the breeding cycle, i.e. 1-2 weeks after hatching, in order to reduce variation in the measurements. Parent owls were aged as 1, 2, 3, 4, and >4 years old by using moult pattern of primary feathers (Glutz von Blotzheim & Bauer 1980).

Snap-trappings

The abundance of Microtus voles in 27 different clear-cut areas (mean = 4.7 ha, SD = 4.4 ha) was investigated in Ikaalinen (ca 62° N, 23° E) in the 'increase' vole year 1994 in late summer using the small quadrat method (Myllymäki et al. 1971). Three quadrats including 12 snap-traps were located in each clear-cut area for two days. The traps were baited with a piece of dried apple. Trapped animals were identified every morning. We selected only clearly grassed clear-cut areas with similar habitat features (e.g. proportion and height of saplings and grass about the same among clear-cuts) for snap-trappings to minimise the effect of habitat variation in different clear-cuts. Furthermore, within each clear-cut area the trap-quadrats were spaced to the most grassed areas which seemed to be favourable for Microtus voles. Bank voles were not included in the analyses because of scanty data.

Results

The number of owl breeding attempts during a 10-year period did not differ between the sparsely and widely clear-cut territory groups (Tables 2 and 3). In addition, territory related means of clutch size and laying date of Tengmalm’s owls were similar in the two different clear-cut territory categories (see Table 3). Owl territories with large clear-cut areas, however, produced more fledglings.

Table 4. Wing length (mm), body mass (g) and age (years) of breeding male and female Tengmalm’s owls in the sparsely (low) and widely (high) clear-cut territories. Figures in parentheses indicate SD and N the number of owls. Statistical tests were performed by Student’s T-test and Mann-Whitney U-test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proportion of clear-cut areas within territory</th>
<th>Low</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>Test value</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wing length, mm</td>
<td>179.80 (2.71)</td>
<td>N = 13</td>
<td>180.38 (2.20)</td>
<td>N = 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass, g</td>
<td>164.01 (11.43)</td>
<td>N = 13</td>
<td>163.08 (17.03)</td>
<td>N = 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>1.91 (0.72)</td>
<td>N = 13</td>
<td>2.55 (1.26)</td>
<td>N = 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wing length, mm</td>
<td>172.58 (2.12)</td>
<td>N = 12</td>
<td>173.9 (3.60)</td>
<td>N = 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass, g</td>
<td>109.42 (10.64)</td>
<td>N = 12</td>
<td>110.61 (8.12)</td>
<td>N = 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>2.50 (1.38)</td>
<td>N = 12</td>
<td>2.84 (1.33)</td>
<td>N = 10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Results suggest that large clear-cut areas may sustain denser Microtus vole populations than small clear-cut areas.

Accordingly, fledgling production of Tengmalm’s owls seemed to be high in widely clear-cut territories. In addition, fledgling production in intensively clear-cut territories (mean 3.6; see Table 3) represents exceptionally good values for fledgling production of Tengmalm’s owls (see Table 1 in Korpimäki & Hakkarainen 1991), which suggests that loggings may enhance reproductive success of Tengmalm’s owls in forested habitats. Furthermore, in Tengmalm’s owl, lifetime reproductive success (LRS) is highly linked with the number of fledglings produced in each reproductive event (Korpimäki 1992). At present, LRS is the best known indicator of fitness (Clutton-Brock 1988, Newton 1989). Survival is another important indicator of fitness (Endler 1986). Unfortunately, our data was insufficient to perform survival analyses (Pradel & Lebreton 1993) on males living in sparsely and widely clear-cut territories, respectively. The data we have compiled so far (as calculated for males that have been recruited into the breeding population by 1992; H. Hakkarainen & E. Korpimäki, unpubl. data), however, suggest that in widely clear-cut territories the mean breeding life span of males tend to be higher than in sparsely clear-cut territories (means: 2.0 vs 1.2 years). This implies that large proportions of clear-cut areas within owl territories have no adverse effects on breeding life span and winter survival of male Tengmalm’s owls. If anything, even positive effects may be expected. Therefore, males may achieve beneficial fitness when breeding on widely clear-cut territories. In addition, the proportion of nesting failures was not related to the proportion of clear-cut areas within territories, suggesting that nest predation by pine marten Martes martes (see Korpimäki 1981) was not affected by the proportion of clear-cut areas in our study area.

In contrast, Sonerud (1985) showed that nest predation rate of Tengmalm’s owl was lower in open forest landscapes than in mature spruce forests due to pine marten preference on closed mature spruce forests in Norway. In accordance with this, Tengmalm’s owls preferred to breed in nest-boxes put up in clear-cuts, and avoided those put up in old forests (Sonerud 1985). Therefore, open forest landscapes with clear-cuts may provide safe breeding habitats for Tengmalm’s owls.

It seems that the increasing amount of sapling and clear-cut areas during the last three decades (Järvinen et al. 1977) has created new suitable grass habitats for field voles (Henttonen 1989). In sunny clear-cut areas, different grass species grow fast, and grass-eating field voles may colonise them successfully for about 10 years (Hansson 1978). Possibly, loggings also take place in the most productive forest areas and this may further increase the productivity of new clear-cuts. In such areas, the breeding density of field voles may be much higher than the

Discussion

Our results imply that removing the forest by clear-cutting on about half the area of a Tengmalm’s owl territory does not harm this species. Instead, breeding Tengmalm’s owls seemed to benefit to some extent from the increasing amount of clear-cut areas, as our snap-trapping results suggest that large clear-cut areas may sustain denser Microtus vole populations than small clear-cut areas.

Figure 1. Microtus vole density expressed as the number snap-trapped per 100 trap-nights in relation to clear-cut areas (N = 27) in the 'increase' vole year 1994. Jitter-option (Sygraph drawing program) was used to separate overlapping datapoints.
bank vole density of the same area before logging, because the territory size of field voles is smaller than that of bank voles (Henttonen 1987). In contrast to bank voles, female field voles also allow their female offspring to reproduce within their own territory (Myllymäki 1977), which also increases the population density of field voles compared to that of bank voles. Therefore, large clear-cut areas may sustain high densities of field voles, which are the most preferred prey of Tengmalm’s owls (Koivunen et al. 1996). The diversity of prey populations may also be higher at edges of forests and clear-cut areas, as both field voles and bank voles exist in this zone. Therefore, in such areas both main prey species are available to the Tengmalm’s owl. However, bank vole densities seem to be low close to forest edges, probably because of high predation risk from hunting Tengmalm’s owls (Hansson 1994). Radio-tracking data also imply that Tengmalm’s owls mainly hunt at the edges of forests (Sonerud 1986b). Therefore, Tengmalm’s owls may benefit from large clear-cut areas, if saplings are high enough (about 2 m) to serve as hunting perches (Bye et al. 1992, our own unpublished radio-tracking data).

Bird densities are also found to peak at the edges of forests (Hansson 1983, 1994, Helle 1984), and many passerine birds increase in numbers with forest fragmentation (Haila et al. 1989). Therefore, clear-cuttings may increase the amount of alternative prey (small birds) for Tengmalm’s owls in poor vole years, when voles are scarce (Korpimäki 1981, 1988a). Especially, the breeding densities of chaffinches Fringilla coelebs which form the main avian prey of Tengmalm’s owls (Korpimäki 1981), peak at the edges of forests (Hansson 1994).

Although it seems that prey abundance and fledgling production even increased with forest fragmentation, it is sure, however, that increased forest fragmentation also reduces the number of suitable natural cavities for breeding Tengmalm’s owls. This is attributable to the fact that large trees with nesting cavities of the black woodpecker Dryocopus martius are becoming scarce due to loggings. This creates a need to save natural cavities and to erect nest-boxes for Tengmalm’s owls. In the long run, the establishment of snags and patches of old mature forests with large trees, dense enough for hole-nesting black woodpeckers, will provide a natural way of establishing new nesting cavities for Tengmalm’s owls.

In accordance with our preliminary expectations, loggings had positive effects on the fledgling production of Tengmalm’s owls, while no negative effects were found. This implies that species may also respond positively to forest fragmentation (Rosenberg & Raphael 1986, Hansen et al. 1991). Especially the number of vole-eating generalist and specialist predators may increase in logged forests enhancing predation pressure on vole populations (e.g. Henttonen 1989, Angelstam 1992).
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