

Conservation Letter: Effects of Global Climate Change on Raptors¹

Authors: Martínez-Ruiz, Marisela, Dykstra, Cheryl R., Booms, Travis L., and Henderson, Michael T.

Source: Journal of Raptor Research, 57(1) : 92-105

Published By: Raptor Research Foundation

URL: <https://doi.org/10.3356/JRR-22-75>

BioOne Complete (complete.BioOne.org) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at www.bioone.org/terms-of-use.

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non - commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

CONSERVATION LETTERS

J. Raptor Res. 57(1):92–105

DOI: 10.3356/JRR-22-75

© 2023 The Raptor Research Foundation, Inc.

CONSERVATION LETTER: EFFECTS OF GLOBAL CLIMATE CHANGE ON RAPTORS¹

MARISELA MARTÍNEZ-RUIZ

Escuela Nacional de Estudios Superiores, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Tablaje Catastral 6998, Carretera Mérida-Tetiz Km 4.5, Ucu 9735, Mérida, México

CHERYL R. DYKSTRA²

Raptor Environmental, 7280 Susan Springs Drive, West Chester, OH 45069 USA

TRAVIS L. BOOMS

Alaska Department of Fish and Game, 1300 College Road, Fairbanks, AK 99709 USA

MICHAEL T. HENDERSON

The Peregrine Fund, 5668 West Flying Hawk Lane, Boise, ID 83709 USA

KEY WORDS: *bird conservation; birds of prey; climate change; extreme temperatures; extreme weather events; global warming.*

INTRODUCTION

Global climate change is an ongoing pervasive global conservation concern, with significant negative impacts for many species and populations. This Conservation Letter provides a scientific review of the effects of global climate change on raptors and concludes by highlighting potential mitigations and research needs. This letter is not intended as an exhaustive literature review. Rather, the intent of the Raptor Research Foundation (RRF) is to provide readers with enough evidence-based examples that they can appreciate the scope and prevalence of climate change impacts, understand their effects on raptor species and populations, and recognize some of the challenges associated with addressing climate change's effects on raptors across regions.

Climate change is caused by the release of atmospheric greenhouse gases (primarily carbon dioxide) resulting in changes in global climate-related parameters, mainly temperature and precipitation. In this scenario, the trend of increasing global temperatures is predicted to continue (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [IPCC] 2021), influencing other climatic parameters and events. Increasing temperatures can impact raptors directly (e.g.,

Jaffré et al 2013, Dykstra et al. 2021b) and indirectly by driving disruptions to water cycles ranging from more frequent heavy precipitation events (Trenberth et al. 2003, Min et al. 2011, Anctil et al. 2014) to more severe drought (Cook et al. 2018, Smith et al. 2020). Further, the nature of climate events is also changing, encompassing more severe hurricanes and tropical cyclones (Emanuel 2005, 2013, Holland and Bruyère 2014), a poleward expansion of tropical cyclones (Studholme et al. 2022), and shifts in precipitation temporal trends (Dunning et al. 2018), exposing raptors to stochastic events. Climatic changes also alter the distributions of primary producers (Sturm et al. 2001, Tape et al. 2006) creating bottom-up effects that alter ecosystem function (i.e., “regime shifts”; Rodionov 2004, Ripple et al. 2014). Moreover, the risk of wildlife extinctions is substantially accelerated by climate change (Urban 2015), and climate warming is related to the recent extinctions of at least one raptor (Sergio et al. 2021). This suggests there may be major negative effects of climate change for raptors (McClure et al 2018).

Raptors are valuable and important study systems for investigating the effects of climate change because raptors are widespread, perform important ecological functions and can serve as flagship species for biodiversity (Donazar et al. 2016). As long-lived top predators holding large home ranges and preying on a wide variety of vertebrates and invertebrates, raptors are influenced by the effects of environmental change on lower trophic levels (Meserve et al. 2003, Schmidt et al. 2018) and can serve as biotic multipliers of climate change (Urban et al. 2017). Raptors have been the focus of multiple long-running studies on

¹ The editorial processing and review of this paper were handled by Associate Editor James F. Dwyer.

² Corresponding author: cheryldykstra@gmail.com

migration (e.g., Sullivan et al. 2016, Therrien et al. 2017) and breeding rate (e.g., Fasce et al. 2011, Jiménez-Franco et al. 2020, Maciorowski et al. 2021), which provide valuable long-term data sets that allow assessment of change (e.g., Lee et al. 2020). Additionally, raptors with specialized habitat or feeding strategies are likely to be disproportionately affected by climate change because of their narrow ecological niche and lack of plasticity (Gilg et al. 2012, Hof et al. 2012). Understanding the threats posed by climate change and identifying priority areas and species is critical for raptor conservation.

EFFECTS OF CLIMATE CHANGE ON RAPTORS

Climate change affects raptors in various ways, including changes to distributional ranges, disease and parasite ecology, breeding phenology, migration, abundance, population dynamics, communities, and morphology, physiology, and behavior (Møller 2013, Dunn and Møller 2019). We here provide a brief overview of some of these effects on raptor species and raptor populations.

Distributional Range. A raptor's geographic range is governed largely by the overlap between the spatial distribution of their thermal niche, preferred prey species, and appropriate nesting substrate. In response to changing climate, species could alter their physiological tolerance via evolutionary processes, but given the rapid pace of climate change and mobility of raptors, range shifts that track their thermal niches are likely to predominate (Gilg et al. 2012). Range shifts are well documented in a variety of taxa (e.g., Parmesan et al. 1999, Vors and Boyce 2009, Zuckerberg et al. 2009, Huang et al. 2017), including raptors (Paprocki et al. 2014, McCaslin and Heath 2020), and at different time frames (Parmesan 2006, Tingley et al. 2009, Saupe et al. 2019). The predominant trend for range shift is poleward and increased elevation, but the direction and magnitude of range shifts can vary by species, life history, dietary habits, and habitat, with notable differences between wintering and breeding ranges (see Migration section below; Reside et al. 2010, Hovick et al. 2016, Curley et al. 2020), although many raptors display a propensity for northward shifts (Paprocki et al. 2014, McCaslin and Heath 2020). Tropical raptors are a notable exception to this trend because their range is predicted to shift multidirectionally (Sutton et al. 2020, 2022), tracking fluctuations in precipitation (rather than temperature); precipitation being the primary driver of reproductive success in this region (Pearce-Higgins and Green 2014).

Raptor range shifts are likely to facilitate changes to ecosystem structure with important consequences for conservation. Novel assemblages created by shifting ranges may alter ecosystem functions (top-down regulation of prey and competition for resources) with consequences that are difficult to predict because of the many variables and interactions involved (Gilman et al. 2010). Altered community dynamics are likely to disproportionately impact

specialist (Hof et al. 2012, Lurgi et al. 2012) and range-restricted species (particularly polar and mountain-top species) because of range contractions (e.g., the tundra biome may contract up to 34% [Boonman et al. 2022]). For example, Peregrine Falcons (*Falco peregrinus*) preferentially select warmer habitats within Nunavut, Canada (Peck et al. 2018) likely due to higher survival and recruitment (Bruggeman et al. 2015). It is reasonable to expect the range of Peregrine Falcons to shift northward resulting in increased competitive pressure on the more specialized Gyrfalcon (see Population Dynamics section). Defining current and future raptor ranges is useful to assess the effectiveness of priority or protected areas (Paprocki et al. 2014, Kassara et al. 2017) and to contextualize population parameters, as traditional monitoring efforts (within a stationary study area) are typically unable to differentiate emigration from population declines (Viverette et al. 1996, Paprocki et al. 2015). Further, shifts in thermal niches should be considered within the context of life history and other critical habitat requirements (e.g., prey and nesting substrate), because temperature alone is insufficient to accurately predict range shifts.

Diseases and Parasites. Climatic change is also facilitating the mostly northward movement of diseases, parasites, and ectoparasites (McFadzen et al. 1996, Bradley et al. 2005, Hemert et al. 2014), disrupting host-pathogen dynamics (Merino 2019) and changing local disease ecology. Greater cross-species viral transmission and infection of naïve populations can result from disease range expansions and the development of novel assemblages (Kafle et al. 2020, Carlson et al. 2022). This can have drastic implications for naïve populations (e.g., populations responding to avian malaria [*Plasmodium* spp.]; Atkinson and Lapointe 2009) because virulence varies based on a species' historical exposure to the disease (Lapointe et al. 2012, Ings and Denk 2022), as exemplified by the Gyrfalcon's greater sensitivity to malaria compared to the Peregrine Falcon (Kingston et al. 1976). Similarly, novel ectoparasites (e.g., poultry bugs [*Haematosiphon inodorus*]) can elicit significant negative effects on raptors including decreased nestling body condition and survival (Dudek et al. 2021), aid in vector-mediated disease transmission (Leighton et al. 2012), and facilitate indirect effects of weather changes (Lamarre et al. 2018). Further, prey can transmit diseases to raptors (Dudek et al. 2018); thus changes in diet composition can facilitate the transmission of novel pathogens to predators. Under environmental change, raptors can switch from primarily resident prey species to migratory prey (Heath et al. 2021), which may be problematic because migratory species serve as important reservoirs for diseases (e.g., avian influenza) and provide a conduit for disease to travel vast distances to span seemingly disconnected systems (Seekings et al. 2021, Tanikawa et al. 2021). Lastly, novel diseases and parasites can impact lower trophic levels and elicit bottom-up effects for raptor populations. Changing disease ecology as a result of climate change is a central conservation concern for

raptors and likely provides the strongest probability of direct effects, including increased mortality and rapid population declines.

Breeding Phenology. Many bird species exhibit earlier annual breeding dates (advanced phenology) as a result of climate change, and that shift influences diet and reproductive rate (Dunn 2019, Dunn and Møller 2019). Advanced breeding phenology may have positive effects on reproduction, as early breeders often produce more young (Franks et al. 2018). Conversely, if a bird species fails to advance its breeding phenology, there may be a temporal mismatch between the species' nestling rearing period and the peak abundance of its primary prey. The mismatch could potentially lead to lower survival of young (Dunn 2019) and other demographic and evolutionary changes (Miller-Rushing et al. 2010, Visser and Gienapp 2019) that can have important conservation implications.

Species in several groups of raptors are exhibiting advancing phenology, including falcons (Steenhof and Peterson 2009, Burnham and Burnham 2011, Carrière and Matthews 2013, Smith et al. 2017, Taylor et al. 2021, Callery et al. 2022a), accipiters (Lehikoinen et al. 2010, Rosenfield et al. 2017), buteos (Lehikoinen et al. 2009, Terraube et al. 2014), and others (Sergio 2003, Moreno-Rueda et al. 2019). Yet an approximately equal number of studies found no trend, and a few found delayed breeding, including some of the same species studied in different locations, and several species of owls (see compiled table in Dykstra et al. 2021a, Supplementary Material Table S1 for more information; also Lehikoinen et al. 2013, Callery et al. 2022a).

Whether a raptor species advances its breeding phenology may be influenced by dietary preferences and trophic level (Dunn and Møller 2014, Dunn 2019). Many species with advancing trends consume primarily birds or insects, whereas those lacking trends are mostly generalists or mammal-specialists (Dykstra et al. 2021a). This suggests that ornithophilous raptors may track the shifting hatching dates of their avian prey, which themselves may be tracking insect hatching, promoting a better match of peak food availability to nestlings' energy requirements (Bretagnolle and Terraube 2019). However, one study revealed advancement of three trophic levels (oak trees, caterpillars, and passerines) but a lack of response by the secondary consumer (Eurasian Sparrowhawk [*Accipiter nisus*]), which specializes on juvenile songbird species that hatch sequentially, providing an extended period of available prey (Both et al. 2009). Overall, it is unclear how diet influences a raptor's ability to adapt to climate change. A recent review concluded that generalist predators were no more buffered from the effects of climate change than were specialists (Bretagnolle and Terraube 2019), but more research is needed.

Raptors nesting at high latitudes, where effects of global climate change are more significant (Bekryaev et al. 2010), more often exhibit advanced phenology, compared to raptors in more temperate regions. Peregrine Falcons nesting in the Arctic advanced their phenology (Carrière and Matthews 2013) whereas those in Spain did not

(Zuberogoitia et al. 2018). Mammal-specialist buteos breeding at high latitudes also shifted their breeding dates (Lehikoinen et al. 2009, Terraube et al. 2014). The advancing breeding dates of high-latitude raptors are likely driven by the shorter window of breeding opportunity compared to their temperate counterparts, or possibly intra-species competition; hence, high-latitude species have a greater incentive (and less room for error) to track advancing temperature to ensure breeding success.

Among a variety of avian species, advancing egg-laying dates are associated with larger clutch sizes (Dunn and Møller 2014) and greater reproductive success (McLean et al. 2016, Dunn 2019). However, evidence is limited for raptors, and the relationship between advancing phenology and reproduction apparently varies among species and locations. In several species, no trends in reproductive rates were documented despite advanced phenology (Sergio 2003, Lehikoinen et al. 2009, Steenhof and Peterson 2009, Rosenfield et al. 2017, Taylor et al. 2021). However, advanced phenology was linked to larger clutch sizes in Montagu's Harriers (*Circus pygargus*; Moreno-Rueda et al. 2019), but decreased reproductive success in Rough-legged Hawks (*Buteo lagopus*; Terraube et al. 2014). Among American Kestrels (*Falco sparverius*) nesting in the western USA, breeding dates advanced and early nesters experienced both greater reproductive success and higher adult survival. Conversely, in an eastern population, breeding dates showed no trend and early nesters had greater reproductive success but lower adult survival, suggesting that a trade-off between reproduction and survival may limit eastern kestrels' ability to adjust their breeding dates (Callery et al. 2022a). Thus, the influence of phenology change on reproduction is apparently variable or limited for raptors, though data are sparse.

Migration. The long-term, standardized study of raptor migration has provided valuable databases to investigate migration phenology and raptor abundance (Sullivan et al. 2016, Therrien et al. 2017). Overall, raptors have delayed their autumn migration (Therrien et al. 2017) and simultaneously advanced their spring migration (Sullivan et al. 2016); however, parsing the data by migration strategy reveals important differences between short-distance and long-distance (trans-equatorial) migrants. In eastern North America, short-distance migrants delayed their autumn departure, whereas long-distance migrants did not (Therrien et al. 2017); yet long-distance migrants in the same study areas advanced their spring migration the most (Sullivan et al. 2016). Similarly, European short-distance migrants both delayed their autumn migration and advanced their spring migration with warmer climate conditions (Jaffré et al. 2013). At one watch site in the Pyrenees in France, some short-distance migrants delayed, but most long-distance migrants advanced their autumn migration dates (Filippi-Codaccioni et al. 2010). Delayed autumn migration is the likely cause of delayed arrival to the wintering grounds (Harris et al. 2013), but this is understudied.

Migratory short-stopping (i.e., making a shorter autumn migration, resulting in a wintering range nearer to the breeding range) has been documented for many raptor species (Goodrich et al. 2012, Heath et al. 2012, Martin et al. 2014, 2019, Morrison and Baird 2016, Condro et al. 2022). Some partial migrants are less likely to migrate than they were in past decades (e.g., Common Kestrel [*Falco tinnunculus*], Holte et al. 2016; Eurasian Buzzard [*Buteo buteo*], Holte et al. 2017; Red-tailed Hawk [*Buteo jamaicensis*], Paprocki et al. 2017). Further, short-distance migrants appear more predisposed to shift their range compared to long-distance migrants (Hovick et al. 2016, McCaslin and Heath 2020), potentially driven by a greater ability to respond to supplemental cues, which could encourage resident behavior in partially migratory populations (Paprocki et al. 2017).

Migratory behavior is influenced by environmental conditions, and changes in raptor migration vary according to the extent of environmental change and the migration strategy of the species. Arctic-nesting raptors progressively follow snowmelt as they migrate north in spring, though the degree of their responsiveness to snowmelt differs (Curk et al. 2020). Movements of Rough-legged Hawks were closely associated with snowmelt across the landscape and this species tended to be at places where snow cover was moderate and melting was at its peak (Curk et al. 2020). Snowy Owls (*Bubo scandiacus*) migrated just ahead of the north-moving progression of snowmelt whereas Peregrine Falcons migrated just behind it (Curk et al. 2020). Snow cover delays spring arrival dates of American Kestrels throughout their range (Powers et al. 2021) and decreases the availability of small mammals (Naughton 2012); both snowmelt patterns and prey availability may be expected to change with global climate change. Species with flexible or irruptive migration strategies such as the Snowy Owl and Rough-legged Hawk will likely adjust more easily to changing conditions than those with more regular migration such as the Peregrine Falcon (Curk et al. 2020). For species with variable migration strategies, short-distance migrants are more likely to adjust to temperature variation than are long-distance migrants (Powers et al. 2021).

Changes in wind patterns and atmospheric conditions attributable to global climate change can potentially reduce the suitability of traditional migration routes (Nourani and Yamaguchi 2017, Nourani et al. 2017), resulting in changing migratory behaviors. Soaring raptors are particularly sensitive to conditions that influence thermals (Duerr et al. 2015); for example, diminished thermal updrafts or increased precipitation can compel Turkey Vultures (*Cathartes aura*) to make stopovers and keep them from resuming migration (Mallon et al. 2021).

Extreme weather events also influence migratory behavior. An increase in the number of hurricanes and large storms might be expected to influence raptor migration strategies and success, though this is understudied. For example, global weather conditions (as indexed by the North Atlantic Oscillation [NAO]) during autumnal

migration were correlated with survival of Arctic-breeding Peregrine Falcons; positive NAOs, which indicated conditions likely to spawn hurricanes, were associated with greater survival. Researchers attributed this unexpected result to the stronger Northeast Trade Winds associated with positive NAOs, which may have made it easier for the falcons to cross the Gulf of Mexico on their southward journey (Franke et al. 2011).

Populations. *Abundance.* Raptors, like other birds, exhibit changes in abundance and/or density as a consequence of gradual environmental change (shifts in precipitation regime, rising temperatures) or extreme weather events (major hurricanes, severe drought) associated with climate change. Both modeling and empirical studies demonstrate variable responses of raptor abundance to climate change, with endangered, endemic, and range-restricted species being the most vulnerable to such changes.

Abundance models for endangered raptor species suggest climate change may cause important population declines. Niche modeling for the endangered Sokoke Scops-Owl (*Otus irenae*) predicts decreasing abundance of owls and their range area (Monadjem et al. 2013) with higher CO₂ emissions. Similarly, simulated precipitation changes (i.e., decreased mean annual precipitation and increased interannual variation) predict dramatic reductions of Tawny Eagle (*Aquila rapax*) populations in African savannas (Wichmann et al. 2003). Empirical studies demonstrate that extreme weather events mostly affect bird populations indirectly via habitat destruction (Wunderle et al. 1992). Raptor populations show variable responses to extreme weather events, although most decline in abundance. Declines were observed in 25% of raptor populations after a major hurricane (Wauer and Wunderle 1992), and the abundance of specific species (Ferruginous Pygmy-Owl [*Glaucidium brasilianum*], Grenada Hook-billed Kite [*Chondrohierax uncinatus mirus*]) declined significantly after hurricane disturbance (Lynch 1991, Thorstrom and McQueen 2008). In contrast, numbers of open-area raptors (American Kestrel, Roadside Hawk [*Rupornis magnirostris*]) remained unchanged after hurricane disturbance (Lynch 1991, Wauer and Wunderle 1992), as did the mean number of territorial pairs of Mediterranean raptors after storms with heavy snowfall, extremely low temperatures, and winds with steady speeds >100 km/hr (Martínez et al. 2013). Raptors' abundance can decrease or increase in response to climate change, but more research is needed to elucidate patterns of response among different raptor species or groups (tropical, temperate, specialist, generalist).

Changes in abundance of raptors among habitat types following extreme weather events suggest between-habitat movement after disturbance. The abundance of Turkey Vultures and Black Vultures (*Coragyps atratus*) increased with greater cover of wetlands in areas affected by a major hurricane (Martínez-Ruiz et al. 2021). Moreover, vulture abundance was higher in the first months following

hurricane landfall; such responses were likely explained by the rapid resource pulse in habitats like wetlands (Martínez-Ruiz et al. 2021), which can ameliorate the effects of disturbance for some species. Unfortunately, few studies have examined effects of other extreme events (e.g., floods) on raptors, which may impact raptor species differently (Hruska 2016).

Population dynamics. Climate change influences raptor population dynamics directly through precipitation or temperature reducing raptor productivity and survival, or indirectly through altered prey population dynamics (including changing herbivore cycles [Ims et al. 2008, Kausrud et al. 2008, Cornulier et al. 2013]). Mechanisms can include destabilizing pressures that affect population fluctuations, alter wavelengths, or halt cycles entirely. Gilg et al. (2009) and Schmidt et al. (2012) found a climate-change-induced collapse in collared lemming (*Dicrostonyx groenlandicus*) cycles caused a concurrent collapse of Snowy Owl population cycles. This led to a 98% reduction in owl productivity and local extirpations. Similarly, climate-change-induced dampening of vole cycles substantially reduced the breeding probability of Tawny Owls (*Strix aluco*) and may drive the local population in the United Kingdom to extirpation (Millon et al. 2014). Though direct effects of weather on mortality rates may be easier to document, effects of altered predator-prey dynamics are typically considered more consequential (Millon et al. 2014, Ockendon et al. 2014, Terraube et al. 2014).

Extreme weather events and changing weather patterns can dramatically alter population dynamics of raptors (and their prey). In Greenland, an extreme weather event (high snowfall and late snowmelt) led to an ecosystem-wide reproductive collapse in an area previously characterized by decades of regular lemming-based predator-prey population cycles (Schmidt et al. 2018). Precipitation events negatively impacted productivity of Arctic Peregrine Falcons in Canada (Ancitil et al. 2014, Robinson et al. 2017, Lamarre et al. 2018) and Arctic-nesting Rough-legged Hawks (Pokrovsky et al. 2012). Similar effects, including reduced adult survival, have also been documented at more temperate locations (McDonald et al. 2004, Fisher et al. 2015). Sarasola et al. (2005) reported direct mortality of individuals of six raptor species, as well as 14 other raptors with severe injuries, after a single hailstorm in central Argentina. Following major hurricanes, the endangered Puerto Rican Sharp-shinned Hawk (*Accipiter striatus venator*) population decreased from 75 to 19 individuals (75% decrease) according to post-hurricane counts (McClure et al. 2023). At lower latitudes, extreme heat events also cause direct mortality; Catry et al. (2011) found nestling mortality increased substantially during anomalous heat events and predicted that climate-change-induced extreme heat could reduce the Lesser Kestrel (*Falco naumanni*) population size by as much as 7% annually. Mass mortality associated with extreme weather events may have direct consequences on the local abundance of raptors (Sarasola et al. 2005), and direct mortality can have broader negative effects for

endangered populations (e.g., Puerto Rican Sharp-shinned Hawk). Reduced precipitation in arid regions can negatively impact raptor population dynamics by reducing the probability of population persistence (Wichmann et al. 2003). Higher precipitation levels or changing average temperatures during the breeding season (as predicted in current and future climate scenarios) have been correlated with lower raptor productivity (Mearns and Newton 1988, Bradley et al. 1997, Lehtikoinen et al. 2009), with the potential to influence raptors' demographics over longer periods of time.

Raptor Communities. There is scarce information on raptor-community responses to climate change, but available evidence shows species-specific reductions leading to community changes, reductions in community parameters, and among-habitat movements reflecting shifting precipitation regimes and extreme weather events. Raptor density was significantly lower in tropical dry forests impacted by a major hurricane compared to unaffected nearby forests (Martínez-Ruiz and Renton 2018). Concurrently, species richness and evenness were significantly higher in wetlands located within the area of maximum hurricane winds, suggesting raptor species' movement among habitats and use of wetlands as refugia after hurricane disturbance (Martínez-Ruiz and Renton 2018). The occupancy probability for Accipitridae and Falconidae declined significantly more than that of other bird families in response to a long-term reduction in precipitation attributable to climate change in the Mojave Desert (Iknayan and Beissinger 2018); individual raptor species (American Kestrel, Prairie Falcon [*Falco mexicanus*], Turkey Vulture, Sharp-shinned Hawk [*Accipiter striatus*]) showed significant declines in occupancy, causing decreases in species richness of the overall bird community (Iknayan and Beissinger 2018). Similarly, species richness of avian scavengers and occasional scavengers (including Bald Eagle [*Haliaeetus leucocephalus*], Barred Owl [*Strix varia*], Black Vulture, Cooper's Hawk [*Accipiter cooperii*], Golden Eagle [*Aquila chrysaetos*], Great Horned Owl [*Bubo virginianus*], Red-shouldered Hawk [*Buteo lineatus*], Red-tailed Hawk, Rough-legged Hawk, and Turkey Vulture) is predicted to decrease up to 80% over the next 50 yr, as a response to the predicted warmer climate for the eastern USA (Marneweck et al. 2021).

Shifts in the rainfall regime can influence raptor communities via shifts in prey abundance occurring after heavy rainfall in arid systems. In Australia, raptor richness increased after extreme rainfall events and an associated rodent-irruption, with increases mainly driven by increases in generalist raptors (Pavey and Nano 2013). Variation in system productivity of arid systems as a result of climate change may strongly influence raptors, as raptor richness increases with productivity of land, but decreases with the proportion of deserts in arid-system assemblages (Anadón et al. 2010). Other raptor communities may respond differently to changes in primary productivity, and effects may be influenced by community composition.

Although evidence of raptor community responses to climate change is still limited, we can expect increases in species richness, indirectly favored by resource pulses and prey irruption associated with some extreme events (e.g., higher precipitation), as well as reductions in species richness in arid systems warming because of climate change. The magnitude of effects of climate change in raptor communities across the globe will also depend on the available pool of regional species, a concern in some areas where raptor communities have been described as depauperate.

Morphology, Physiology, and Behavior. Changing conditions can promote rapid change in morphological and physiological traits via phenotypic plasticity or microevolutionary processes (Millien et al. 2006, Karell et al. 2011, del Mar Delgado et al. 2019). Phenotypic plasticity more commonly facilitates a response to climate change, although distinguishing between plasticity and microevolution is difficult and understudied (Teplitsky and Charmantier 2019).

Generally decreasing body sizes have been documented across multiple avian taxa (Yom-Tov and Yom-Tov 2006, Van Buskirk et al. 2010, Gardner et al. 2014, Tornberg et al. 2014, McKechnie 2019) but causes have not been confirmed. Decreasing body size has been proposed as a third “universal” response to climate change (together with distributional and phenological shifts; Gardner et al. 2011), although recent reviews show more inconsistent trends (Teplitsky and Millien 2014, Fiedler 2021). Migrating American Kestrels declined in size and mass at most but not all of seven North American sites, concurrent with declining abundance of migrating kestrels; thus, the smaller size may be attributable to lower food availability, climate change, or other factors (Ely et al. 2018). An inverse relationship between body size and temperature aligns with Bergmann’s rule, though the specific mechanisms promoting this rule are debated (Gardner et al. 2014, Brammer and Humphries 2015).

For polymorphic species, climate change can influence the proportion of the color morphs in a population through selective pressure on this highly heritable trait. For example, survival of brown morph Tawny Owls in Finland is inversely related to snow depth, but as mean snow depth declined over time as a consequence of climate change, selection pressure eased and survival improved. As a result, brown morphs composed an increasing proportion of the population over the study period (Karell et al. 2011).

Physiological and behavioral mechanisms for coping with heat are relatively plastic; thus, large impacts of climate change may be expected where species are already near their physiological limits, such as in deserts with high environmental temperatures and limited water supply (Iknayan and Beissinger 2018, McKechnie 2019). Acute consequences — hyperthermia and dehydration — can occur quickly, especially in small species (McKechnie 2019). Heat and drought can also generate longer-term

consequences including chronic mass loss, which can be attributed to heat-dissipating behaviors (e.g., panting; du Plessis et al. 2012) or indirect effects on the prey base (Cruz-McDonnell and Wolf 2016). Temperature extremes can reduce nestling growth and survival rates (Cunningham et al. 2013, Cruz-McDonnell and Wolf 2016, McKechnie 2019), which may have carryover effects on population dynamics. For example, body mass declines of adult and nestling Burrowing Owls (*Athene cunicularia*), along with delayed breeding, reduced reproductive rate, and declining population, were linked to drought conditions in arid New Mexico (Cruz-McDonnell and Wolf 2016). Raptors may be more vulnerable to climate change than smaller bird species in the Mojave Desert, though their declines were more likely related to lower prey availability than to direct physiological constraints (Iknayan and Beissinger 2018).

Raptor behavior may also change in response to the pressures of global climate change. For example, late-nesting kestrel males begin incubation sooner after nest initiation, which advances the hatch date of the first eggs, reducing the amount of phenological mismatch. The resulting increased asynchrony of the brood also helps reduce peak energetic demands (Callery et al. 2022b). Overall, the effects of global climate change on raptor morphology, physiology and behavior have received limited study and warrant further attention.

RESEARCH NEEDS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Raptors have been and will continue to be affected by the environmental pressures of climate change, resulting in changes in their phenologies and dynamics. Given the predicted acceleration of climate change (IPCC 2021), some raptor species will become more vulnerable to the higher variation in climate conditions and more extreme weather patterns.

Identifying populations or species most likely to be severely affected by climate change is critically important, as is designing actions that can maintain and increase resilience of these species. High-altitude raptors and those in hot arid zones are likely at greater risk because of the severity and rate of local climate change. Species with low population sizes or inherent limiting factors (e.g., island species, dietary specialists), and those facing anthropogenic threats (e.g., habitat loss, persecution) might also be particularly vulnerable to the compounding effects of environmental pressures. It is probable that the effects of climate change on many raptors are still unknown because of a lack of basic biological and ecological information on some species, including tropical raptors. We must continue assessing which species are at higher risk and how high priority species will respond spatially to climate change to adequately inform conservation actions and management of vulnerable species (Moritz and Agudo 2013).

Predicting future distributional shifts is useful for species conservation, as is monitoring populations' shifting distributions and/or changing phenologies. As with other birds, this information should be used for designing effective conservation strategies, identifying potential conflicts with human developments (Marini et al. 2009), and identifying priority areas for protection that preserve biodiversity under predicted distributional changes (Virkalá et al. 2014). Importantly, recent shifts in migration behavior, phenology of migration, flight paths, and weather patterns might affect detectability and timing of raptors passing migration-monitoring sites, and we need to be able to incorporate such changes within the framework of migration monitoring. We recommend sharing data on this topic among researchers (e.g., via repositories) and taking the time to curate long term data; these actions will promote a better understanding of raptor responses to global climate change and support the development of a well-founded framework of potential actions to conserve raptors worldwide.

Novel interactions as a result of distributional changes may have greater implications for specialist raptors interacting with a new assemblage of species, pathogens, or anthropogenic threats, or intra-guild competitors with similar niches (Oliver and Morecroft 2014). It is important to consider such interactions involving raptors in both their breeding and nonbreeding ranges, if these differ. Monitoring projects that involve trapping raptors should incorporate the collection of samples for examination of pathogens and disease to better track such threats for raptor species and populations. There is also an urgent need for better models incorporating climate change into predictive models of population dynamics (Sæther et al. 2019) and ecological niche models (Zurell and Engler 2019) for raptors. Additionally, all species-specific and community-level studies should be prioritized to elucidate causal mechanisms that influence raptors under the climate change scenario.

Existing long-term breeding phenology datasets should be analyzed to assess trends across a wider variety of raptors. A raptor-specific meta-analysis would give insight into patterns and a better understanding of which species and populations are most vulnerable. Such an analysis could also improve predictions of how trends in breeding phenology influence reproduction and other population parameters. Because long-term datasets often rely on older data derived from "low-tech" methods (e.g., banding/ringing, citizen-science breeding season and nonbreeding season counting, migration counts), these efforts should be continued to maintain the continuity and usefulness of the data into the future (Ambrosini et al. 2019). However, these need to be combined with more modern research methods.

Studies on the effects of single extreme weather events on raptors are still scarce, but the available evidence of direct mortality and significant population reduction of endangered raptors indicates that more attention should be directed to this topic. In addition, there is little information on the effects of other extreme weather events

such as severe wildfires (e.g., Australia's wildfire season of 2020), which cause mortality of different animals, but evidence is still scarce for raptors.

As more extreme weather events are expected with climate change, it is important to evaluate how different raptor species (and populations and communities) cope with such events, and to identify species-specific traits (diet, size, nest type, phenology) that are associated with species' resilience. Additionally, identifying the most vulnerable populations located at sites that are expected to be severely affected by extreme weather events will help prioritize management efforts (restoring of vegetation, refugia [Sumasgutner et al. 2020]) to mitigate the direct impacts of weather.

Some effects of climate change may be partially mitigated by conservation efforts that provide critical resources for raptors. Provision of nest boxes for some species such as Peregrine Falcons can buffer the negative effects of weather variables including extreme weather events (Sumasgutner et al. 2020). Similarly, provision of artificial water sources in desert zones may help species minimize dehydration risk exacerbated by higher temperatures, though care should be taken in the siting of such resources, and it is unclear how they might affect predation risk for species visiting them (McKechnie et al. 2019). Overall, monitoring, assessing data, and mitigation actions may not be enough for the maintenance of raptor populations; these actions must be accompanied by global actions to reduce climate change.

As a leading professional society for raptor researchers and raptor conservationists, the RRF is dedicated to the accumulation and dissemination of scientific information about raptors, and to resolving raptor conservation concerns (RRF 2021). Effects of climate change on raptors are of conservation concern, presenting a global threat to raptor populations. Based on the science summarized here, we conclude that a world-wide reduction in carbon emissions is necessary to allow long-term co-existence of raptors with human populations, but that some conservation efforts can help mitigate the effects of climate change on raptors.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank J. F. Dwyer for inviting us to write this Conservation Letter and for comments on previous versions. We also thank two anonymous reviewers and the RRF's Board of Directors for their thoughtful suggestions.

LITERATURE CITED

- Ambrosini, R., A. Romano, and N. Saino (2019). Changes in migration, carry-over effects, and migratory connectivity. In *Effects of Climate Change on Birds*, Second ed. (P. O. Dunn and A. P. Møller, Editors). Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK. pp. 93–107.
- Anadón, J. D., J. A. Sánchez-Zapata, M. Carrete, J. A. Donázar, and F. Hiraldo (2010). Large-scale human

- effects on an African raptor community. *Animal Conservation* 13:495–504.
- Ancil, A., A. Franke, and J. Bêty (2014). Heavy rainfall increases nestling mortality of an arctic top predator: Experimental evidence and long-term trend in Peregrine Falcons. *Oecologia* 174:1033–1043.
- Atkinson, C. T., and D. A. Lapointe (2009). Introduced avian diseases, climate change, and the future of Hawaiian honeycreepers. *Journal of Avian Medicine and Surgery* 23:53–63.
- Bekryaev, R. V., I. V. Polyakov, and V. A. Alexeev (2010). Role of polar amplification in long-term surface air temperature variations and modern arctic warming. *Journal of Climate* 23:3888–3906.
- Boonman, C. C. F., M. A. J. Huijbregts, A. Benítez-López, A. M. Schipper, W. Thuiller, and L. Santini (2022). Trait-based projections of climate change effects on global biome distributions. *Diversity and Distributions* 28:25–37.
- Both, C., M. van Asch, R. G. Bijlsma, A. B. van den Burg, and M. E. Visser (2009). Climate change and unequal phenological changes across four trophic levels: Constraints or adaptations? *Journal of Animal Ecology* 78:73–83.
- Bradley, M., R. Johnstone, G. Court, and T. Duncan (1997). Influence of weather on breeding success of Peregrine Falcons in the Arctic. *The Auk* 114:786–791.
- Bradley, M. J., S. J. Kutz, E. Jenkins, and T. M. O'Hara (2005). The potential impact of climate change on infectious diseases of Arctic fauna. *International Journal of Circumpolar Health* 64:468–477.
- Brammer, J. R., and M. M. Humphries (2015). Mammal ecology. In *Climate Change: Observed Impacts on Planet Earth*, Second Ed. (T. M. Letcher, Editor). Elsevier, Oxford, UK. pp. 135–151.
- Bretagnolle, V., and J. Terraube (2019). Predator-prey interactions and climate change. In *Effects of Climate Change on Birds*, Second ed. (P. O. Dunn and A. P. Møller, Editors). Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK. pp. 199–220.
- Bruggeman, J. E., T. Swem, D. E. Andersen, P. L. Kennedy, and D. Nigro (2015). Multi-season occupancy models identify biotic and abiotic factors influencing a recovering Arctic Peregrine Falcon *Falco peregrinus tundrius* population. *Ibis* 158:61–74.
- Burnham, K. K., and W. A. Burnham (2011). Ecology and biology of Gyrfalcons in Greenland. In *Gyrfalcons and Ptarmigan in a Changing World* (R. T. Watson, T. J. Cade, M. Fuller, G. Hunt, and E. Potapov, Editors). The Peregrine Fund, Boise, ID, USA. pp. 1–20.
- Callery, K. R., S. E. Schulwitz, A. R. Hunt, J. M. Winiarski, C. J. W. McClure, R. A. Fischer, and J. A. Heath (2022b). Phenology effects on productivity and hatching-asynchrony of American Kestrels (*Falco sparverius*) across a continent. *Global Ecology and Conservation* 36:e02124. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gecco.2022.e02124>.
- Callery, K. R., J. A. Smallwood, A. R. Hunt, E. R. Snyder, and J. A. Heath (2022a). Seasonal trends in adult apparent survival and reproductive trade-offs reveal potential constraints to earlier nesting in a migratory bird. *Oecologia* 199:91–102.
- Carlson, C. J., G. F. Albery, C. Merow, C. H. Trisos, C. M. Zipfel, E. A. Eskew, K. J. Olival, N. Ross, and S. Bansal (2022). Climate change increases cross-species viral transmission risk. *Nature* 607:555–562.
- Carrière, S., and S. Matthews (2013). Peregrine Falcon Surveys along the Mackenzie River, Northwest Territories, Canada. File Report No. 140. Environment and Natural Resources, Government of Northwest Territories, Canada.
- Catry, I., M. A. Franco, and W. J. Sutherland (2011). Adapting conservation efforts to face climate change: Modifying nest-site provisioning for Lesser Kestrels. *Biological Conservation* 144:1111–1119.
- Condro, A. A., Syartinilia, H. Higuchi, Y. A. Mulyani, R. Raffiudin, L. Rusniarsyah, Y. Setiawan, and L. B. Prasetyo (2022). Climate change leads to range contraction for Japanese population of the Oriental Honey-Buzzards: Implications for future conservation strategies. *Global Ecology and Conservation* 34:e02044. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gecco.2022.e02044>
- Cook, B. I., J. S. Mankin, and K. J. Anchukaitis (2018). Climate change and drought: From past to future. *Current Climate Change Reports* 4:164–179.
- Cornulier, T., N. G. Yoccoz, V. Bretagnolle, J. E. Brommer, A. Butet, F. Ecke, D. A. Elston, E. Framstad, H. Henttonen, B. Hornfeldt, O. Huitu, et al. (2013). Europe-wide dampening of population cycles in key-stone herbivores. *Science* 6128:63–66.
- Cruz-McDonnell, K. K., and B. O. Wolf (2016). Rapid warming and drought negatively impact population size and reproductive dynamics of an avian predator in the arid southwest. *Global Change Biology* 22:237–253.
- Cunningham, S. J., R. O. Martin, C. L. Hojem, and P. A. R. Hocky (2013). Temperatures in excess of critical thresholds threaten nestling growth and survival in a rapidly-warming arid savanna: A study of Common Fiscals. *PLoS ONE* 8(9):e74613. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0074613.
- Curk, T., I. Pokrovsky, N. Lecomte, T. Aarvak, K. Burnham, A. Dietz, A. Franke, G. Gauthier, K.-O. Jacobsen, J. Kidd, S. B. Lewis, et al. (2020). Arctic avian predators synchronise their spring migration with the northern progression of snowmelt. *Scientific Reports* 10:7220. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-63312-0>.
- Curley, S. R., L. L. Manne, and R. R. Veit (2020). Differential winter and breeding range shifts: Implications for avian migration distances. *Diversity and Distributions* 26:415–425.
- del Mar Delgado, M., C. Bettega, J. Martens, and M. Päckert (2019). Ecotypic changes of alpine birds to climate change. *Scientific Reports* 9:16082. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-52483-0>.

- Donázar, J. A., A. Cortés-Avizanda, J. A. Fargallo, A. Margalida, M. Moleón, Z. Morales-Reyes, R. Moreno-Opo, J. M. Pérez-García, J. A. Sánchez-Zapata, I. Zuberogoitia, and D. Serrano (2016). Roles of raptors in a changing world: From flagships to providers of key ecosystem services. *Ardeola* 63:181–234.
- Dudek, B. M., M. T. Henderson, S. F. Hudson, E. J. Hayden, and J. A. Heath (2021). Haematophagous ectoparasites lower survival of and have detrimental physiological effects on Golden Eagle nestlings. *Conservation Physiology* 9:coab060. <https://doi.org/10.1093/conphys/coab060>.
- Dudek, B. M., M. N. Kochert, J. G. Barnes, P. H. Bloom, J. M. Papp, R. W. Gerhold, K. E. Purple, K. V. Jacobson, C. R. Preston, C. R. Vennum, and J. A. Heath (2018). Prevalence and risk factors of *Trichomonas gallinae* and trichomonosis in Golden Eagle (*Aquila chrysaetos*) nestlings in western North America. *Journal of Wildlife Diseases* 54:755–764.
- Duerr, A. E., T. A. Miller, M. Lanzone, D. Brandes, J. Cooper, K. O'Malley, C. Maisonneuve, J. A. Tremblay, and T. Katzner (2015). Flight response of slope-soaring birds to seasonal variation in thermal generation. *Functional Ecology* 29:779–790.
- Dunn, P. O. (2019). Changes in timing of breeding and reproductive success in birds. In *Effects of Climate Change on Birds*, Second ed. (P. O. Dunn and A. P. Møller, Editors). Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK. pp. 108–119.
- Dunn, P. O., and A. P. Møller (2014). Changes in breeding phenology and population size of birds. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 83:729–739.
- Dunn, P. O., and A. P. Møller (Editors) (2019). *Effects of Climate Change on Birds*, Second ed. Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK.
- Dunning, C. M., E. Black, and R. P. Allan (2018). Later wet seasons with more intense rainfall over Africa under future climate change. *Journal of Climate* 31:9719–9738.
- du Plessis, K. L., R. O. Martin, P. A. R. Hockey, S. J. Cunningham, and A. R. Ridley (2012). The costs of keeping cool in a warming world: Implications of high temperatures for foraging, thermoregulation and body condition of an arid-zone bird. *Global Change Biology* 18:3063–3070. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2012.02778.x.
- Dykstra, C. R., J. L. Hays, M. M. Simon, and A. R. Wegman (2021a). Breeding phenology of Red-shouldered Hawks (*Buteo lineatus*) is related to snow cover and air temperature during the pre-laying period. *Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution* 9:658390. doi: 10.3389/fevo.2021.658390.
- Dykstra, C. R., J. L. Hays, M. M. Simon, A. R. Wegman, L. R. Dykstra, and K. A. Williams (2021b). Habitat and weather conditions influence reproductive rates of suburban and rural Red-shouldered Hawks *Buteo lineatus*. *Ibis* 163:623–640.
- Ely, T. E., C. W. Briggs, S. E. Hawks, G. S. Kaltenecker, D. L. Evans, F. J. Nicoletti, J.-F. Therrien, O. Allen, and J. P. DeLong (2018). Morphological changes in American Kestrels (*Falco sparverius*) at continental migration sites. *Global Ecology and Conservation* 15:e00400. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gecco.2018.e00400>.
- Emanuel, K. (2005). Increasing destructiveness of tropical cyclones over the past 30 years. *Nature* 436:686–688. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature03906>.
- Emanuel, K. (2013). Downscaling CMIP5 climate models shows increased tropical cyclone activity over the 21st century. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 110:12219–12224. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1301293110>.
- Fasce, P., L. Fasce, A. Villers, F. Bergese, and V. Bretagnolle (2011). Long-term breeding demography and density dependence in an increasing population of Golden Eagles *Aquila chrysaetos*. *Ibis* 153:581–591.
- Fiedler, W. (2021). Bird ecology. In *Climate Change: Observed Impacts on Planet Earth*, Third ed. (T. M. Letcher, Editor). Elsevier, Oxford, UK. pp. 373–388.
- Filippi-Codaccioni, O., J.-P. Moussus, J.-P. Urcum, and F. Jiguet (2010). Advanced departure dates in long-distance migratory raptors. *Journal of Ornithology* 151:687–694.
- Fisher, R. J., T. I. Welcome, E. M. Bayne, R. G. Poulin, L. D. Todd, and A. T. Ford (2015). Extreme precipitation reduces reproductive output of an endangered raptor. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 52:1500–1508.
- Franke, A., J.-F. Therrien, S. Descamps, and J. Bêty (2011). Climatic conditions during outward migration affect apparent survival of an Arctic top predator, the Peregrine Falcon *Falco peregrinus*. *Journal of Avian Biology* 42:544–551.
- Franks, S. E., J. W. Pearce-Higgins, S. Atkinson, J. R. Bell, M. S. Botham, T. M. Brereton, R. Harrington, and D. I. Leech (2018). The sensitivity of breeding songbirds to changes in seasonal timing is linked to population change but cannot be directly attributed to the effects of trophic asynchrony on productivity. *Global Change Biology* 24:957–971.
- Gardner, J. L., T. Amano, P. R. Y. Backwell, K. Ikin, W. J. Sutherland, and A. Peters (2014). Temporal patterns of avian body size reflect linear size responses to broad-scale environmental change over the last 50 years. *Journal of Avian Biology* 45:529–535.
- Gilg, O., K. M. Kovacs, J. Aars, J. Fort, G. Gauthier, D. Grémillet, R. A. Ims, H. Meltofte, J. Moreau, E. Post, N. M. Schmidt, et al. (2012). Climate change and the ecology and evolution of Arctic vertebrates. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* 1249:166–190.
- Gilg, O., B. Sittler, and I. Hanski (2009). Climate change and cyclic predator–prey population dynamics in the high Arctic. *Global Change Biology* 15:2634–2652.
- Gilman, S. E., M. C. Urban, J. Tewksbury, G. W. Gilchrist, and R. D. Holt (2010). A framework for community

- interactions under climate change. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 25:325–331.
- Goodrich, L. J., C. J. Farmer, D. R. Barber, and K. L. Bildstein (2012). What banding tells us about the movement ecology of raptors. *Journal of Raptor Research* 46:27–35.
- Harris, J. B. C., D. Li Yong, N. S. Sodhi, R. Subaraj, D. A. Fordham, and B. W. Brook (2013). Changes in autumn arrival of long-distance migratory birds in Southeast Asia. *Climate Research* 57:133–141.
- Heath, J. A., M. N. Kochert, and K. Steenhof (2021). Golden Eagle dietary shifts following wildfire and shrub loss have negative consequences for nestling survivorship. *Ornithological Applications* 123:1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ornithapp/duab034>.
- Heath, J. A., K. Steenhof, and M. A. Foster (2012). Shorter migration distances associated with higher winter temperatures suggest a mechanism for advancing nesting phenology of American Kestrels *Falco sparverius*. *Journal of Avian Biology* 43:376–384.
- Hemert, C. Van, J. M. Pearce, and C. M. Handel (2014). Wildlife health in a rapidly changing North: Focus on avian disease. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment* 12:548–556.
- Hof, A. R., R. Jansson, and C. Nilsson (2012). Future climate change will favour non-specialist mammals in the (sub)Arctic. *PLoS ONE* 7(12): e52574. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0052574>.
- Holland, G., and C. L. Bruyère (2014). Recent intense hurricane response to global climate change. *Climate Dynamics* 42:617–627.
- Holte, D., U. Köppen, and A. Schmitz-Ornés (2016). Partial migration in a central European raptor species: An analysis of ring re-encounter data of Common Kestrels *Falco tinnunculus*. *Acta Ornithologica* 51:39–54.
- Holte, D., U. Köppen, and A. Schmitz-Ornés (2017). A comparison of migratory strategies of partial migratory raptors from Germany. *Journal of Ornithology* 158:579–592.
- Hovick, T. J., B. W. Allred, D. A. McGranahan, M. W. Palmer, R. Dwayne Elmore, and S. D. Fuhlendorf (2016). Informing conservation by identifying range shift patterns across breeding habitats and migration strategies. *Biodiversity and Conservation* 25:345–356.
- Hruska, R. M. (2016). Reproductive success and population stability of seven raptor species following the great Colorado flood of 2013. MS thesis, Evergreen State College, Olympia, WA, USA.
- Huang, Q., J. R. Sauer, and R. O. Dubayah (2017). Multidirectional abundance shifts among North American birds and the relative influence of multifaceted climate factors. *Global Change Biology* 23:3610–3622.
- Iknyan, K. J., and S. R. Beissinger (2018). Collapse of a desert bird community over the past century driven by climate change. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 115:8597–8602.
- Imms, R. A., J. A. Henden, and S. T. Killengreen (2008). Collapsing population cycles. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 23:79–86.
- Ings, K., and D. Denk (2022). Avian malaria in penguins: Diagnostics and future direction in the context of climate change. *Animals (Basel)* 12(5):600. doi: 10.3390/ani12050600.
- Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) (2021). Summary for policymakers. In *Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change* (V. Masson-Delmotte, P. Zhai, A. Pirani, S. L. Connors, C. Péan, S. Berger, N. Caud, Y. Chen, L. Goldfarb, M. I. Gomis, M. Huang, K. Leitzell, E. Lonnoy, J. B. R. Matthews, T. K. Maycock, T. Waterfield, O. Yelekçi, R. Yu, and B. Zhou, Editors). Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.
- Jaffré, M., G. Beaugrand, É. Goberville, F. Jiguet, N. Kjellén, G. Troost, P. J. Dubois, A. Leprêtre, and C. Luczak (2013). Long-term phenological shifts in raptor migration and climate. *PLoS ONE* 8(11):e79112. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0079112.
- Jiménez-Franco, M. V., J. E. Martínez, I. Pagán, and J. F. Calvo (2020). Long-term population monitoring of a territorial forest raptor species. *Scientific Data* 7:166. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-020-0503-x>.
- Kafle, P., P. Peller, A. Massolo, E. Hoberg, L. M. Leclerc, M. Tomaselli, and S. Kutz (2020). Range expansion of muskox lungworms track rapid arctic warming: Implications for geographic colonization under climate forcing. *Scientific Reports* 10:17323. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-74358-5>.
- Karell, P., K. Ahola, T. Karstinen, J. Valkama, and J. E. Brommer (2011). Climate change drives microevolution in a wild bird. *Nature Communications* 2:208 doi:10.1038/ncomms1213.
- Kassara, C., L. Gangoso, U. Mellone, G. Piasevoli, T. G. Hadjikyriakou, N. Tsiopelas, S. Giokas, P. López-López, V. Urios, J. Figuerola, R. Silva, et al. (2017). Current and future suitability of wintering grounds for a long-distance migratory raptor. *Scientific Reports* 7:8798. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-08753-w>
- Kausrud, K., A. Mysterud, H. Steen, J. O. Vik, E. Østbye, B. Cazelles, E. Framstad, A. M. Eikeset, I. Mysterud, T. Solhøy, and N. C. Stenseth (2008). Linking climate change to lemming cycles. *Nature* 456:93–97.
- Kingston, N., W. Remple, W. Burnham, R. M. Stabler, and R. B. McGhee (1976). Malaria in a captive-produced F1 Gyrfalcon and in two F1 Peregrine Falcons. *Journal of Wildlife Diseases* 12:562–565.
- Lamarre, V., P. Legagneux, A. Franke, N. Casajus, D. Currie, D. Berteaux, and J. Bély (2018). Precipitation and ectoparasitism reduce reproductive success in an Arctic-nesting top-predator. *Scientific Reports* 8:8530. doi:10.1038/s41598-018-26131-y.

- Lapointe, D. A., C. T. Atkinson, and M. D. Samuel (2012). Ecology and conservation biology of avian malaria. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* 1249:211–226.
- Lee, A. T. K., P. Barnard, M. Fraser, C. Lennard, B. Smit, and H.-D. Oschadleus (2020). Body mass and condition of a fynbos bird community: Investigating impacts of time, weather and raptor abundance from long-term citizen-science datasets. *Ostrich* 91:142–157. doi: 10.2989/00306525.2019.1683093.
- Lehikoinen, A., P. Byholm, E. Ranta, P. Saurola, J. Valkama, E. Korpimäki, H. Pietiäinen, and H. Henttonen (2009). Reproduction of the Common Buzzard at its northern range margin under climate change. *Oikos* 118:829–836.
- Lehikoinen, A., A. Lindén, P. Byholm, E. Ranta, P. Saurola, J. Valkama, V. Kaitala, and H. Lindén (2013). Impact of climate changes and prey abundance on nesting success of a top predator, the Goshawk. *Oecologia* 171:283–293.
- Lehikoinen, A., P. Saurola, P. Byholm, A. Lindén, and J. Valkama (2010). Life history events of the Eurasian Sparrowhawk *Accipiter nisus* in a changing climate. *Journal of Avian Biology* 41:627–636.
- Leighton, P. A., J. K. Koffi, Y. Pelcat, L. R. Lindsay, and N. H. Ogden (2012). Predicting the speed of tick invasion: An empirical model of range expansion for the Lyme disease vector *Ixodes scapularis* in Canada. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 49:457–464.
- Lurgi, M., B. C. López, and J. M. Montoya (2012). Novel communities from climate change. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 367:2913–2922.
- Lynch, J. F. (1991). Effects of Hurricane Gilbert on birds in a dry tropical forest in the Yucatan Peninsula. *Biotropica* 23:488–496.
- Maciorowski, G., P. Zduniak, M. Bocheński, M. Urbańska, P. Król, and M. Polakowski (2021). Breeding habitats and long-term population numbers of two sympatric raptors—Red Kite *Milvus milvus* and Black Kite *M. migrans*—in the mosaic-like landscape of western Poland. *Journal of Ornithology* 162:125–134.
- Mallon, J. M., K. L. Bildstein, and W. F. Fagan (2021). Inclement weather forces stopovers and prevents migratory progress for obligate soaring migrants. *Movement Ecology* 9:39. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40462-021-00274-6>.
- Marini, M. A., M. Barbet-Massin, L. E. Lopes, and F. Jiguet (2009). Predicted climate-driven bird distribution changes and forecasted conservation conflicts in a Neotropical savanna. *Conservation Biology* 23:1558–1567.
- Marneweck, C. J., T. E. Katzner, and D. S. Jachowski (2021). Predicted climate-induced reductions in scavenging in eastern North America. *Global Change Biology* 27:3382–3394.
- Martín, B., A. Onrubia, and M. A. Ferrer (2014). Effects of climate change on the migratory behavior of the Common Buzzard *Buteo buteo*. *Climate Research* 60:187–197.
- Martín, B., C. A. Torralvo, G. Elias, J. Tomás, A. Onrubia, and M. Ferrer (2019). Are western European Ospreys (*Pandion haliaetus*) shortening their migration distances? Evidence from trends of the wintering population in the Iberian Peninsula. *European Journal of Wildlife Research* 65:72. doi: 10.1007/s10344-019-1311-5.
- Martínez, J. E., M. V. Jiménez-Franco, I. Zuberogoitia, M. León-Ortega, and J. F. Calvo (2013). Assessing the short-term effects of an extreme storm on Mediterranean forest raptors. *Acta Oecologica* 48:47–53.
- Martínez-Ruiz, M., and K. Renton (2018). Habitat heterogeneity facilitates resilience of diurnal raptor communities to hurricane disturbance. *Forest Ecology and Management* 426:134–144.
- Martínez-Ruiz, M., R. Rueda-Hernández, and K. Renton (2021). Vulture abundance and habitat association following major hurricane disturbance in the tropical dry forests of western Mexico. *Journal of Raptor Research* 55:413–424.
- McCaslin, H. M., and J. A. Heath (2020). Patterns and mechanisms of heterogeneous breeding distribution shifts of North American migratory birds. *Journal of Avian Biology* 51:e02237. doi:10.1111/jav.02237.
- McClure, C. J. W., H. C. Weaver, M. Murillo, J. Gallardo, and R. Thorstrom (2023). Breakeven points in nest management of an endangered island endemic raptor. *Journal of Raptor Research* 57:44–51. doi: 10.3356/JRR-22-39.
- McClure, C. J. W., J. R. S. Westrip, J. A. Johnson, S. E. Schulwitz, M. Z. Virani, R. Davies, A. Symes, H. Wheatley, R. Thorstrom, A. Amar, R. Buij, et al. (2018). State of the world's raptors: Distributions, threats, and conservation recommendations. *Biological Conservation* 227:390–402.
- McDonald, P. G., P. D. Olsen, and A. Cockburn (2004). Weather dictates reproductive success and survival in the Australian Brown Falcon (*Falco berigora*). *Journal of Animal Ecology* 73:683–692.
- McFadzen, M. E., M. S. Vekasy, T. Y. Morishita, and J. H. Greve (1996). Northern range extension for *Haematosiphon inodorus* (Duges) (Hemiptera: Cimicidae). *Pan Pacific Entomologist* 72:41–42.
- McKechnie, A. (2019). Physiological and morphological effects of climate change. In *Effects of Climate Change on Birds*, Second ed. (P. O. Dunn and A. P. Møller, Editors). Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK. pp. 120–133.
- McLean, N., C. R. Lawson, D. I. Leech, and M. van de Pol (2016). Predicting when climate-driven phenotypic change affects population dynamics. *Ecology Letters* 19:595–608.
- Mearns, G., and I. Newton (1988). Factors affecting breeding success of peregrines in South Scotland. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 57:903–916.

- Merino, S. (2019). Host-parasite interactions and climate change. In *Effects of Climate Change on Birds*, Second ed. (P. O. Dunn and A. P. Møller, Editors). Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK. pp. 187–198.
- Meserve, P. L., D. A. Kelt, W. B. Milstead, and J. R. Gutiérrez (2003). Thirteen years of shifting top-down and bottom-up control. *BioScience* 53:633–646.
- Miller-Rushing, A. J., R. B. Primack, and C. H. Sekercioglu (2010). Conservation consequences of climate change for birds. In *Effects of Climate Change on Birds* (A. P. Møller, W. Fiedler, and P. Perthold, Editors). Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK. pp. 295–309.
- Millien, V., S. K. Lyons, L. Olson, F. A. Smith, A. B. Wilson, and Y. Yom-Tov (2006). Ecotypic variation in the context of global climate change: Revisiting the rules. *Ecology Letters* 9:853–869.
- Millon, A., S. Petty, B. Little, O. Gimenez, T. Cornulier, and X. Lambin (2014). Dampening prey cycle overrides the impact of climate change on predator population dynamics: A long-term demographic study on Tawny Owls. *Global Change Biology* 20:1770–1781. doi: 10.1111/gcb.12546.
- Min, S. K., X. Zhang, F. W. Zwiers, and G. C. Hegerl (2011). Human contribution to more-intense precipitation extremes. *Nature* 470:378–381.
- Møller, A. P. (2013). Biological consequences of global change for birds. *Integrative Zoology* 8:136–144.
- Monadjem, A., M. Z. Virani, C. Jackson, and A. Reside (2013). Rapid decline and shift in the future distribution predicted for the endangered Sokoke Scops Owl *Otus ireneae* due to climate change. *Bird Conservation International* 23:247–258.
- Moreno-Rueda, G., P. Lopezosa, and J. M. Rivas (2019). Breeding biology of Montagu's Harrier *Circus pygargus* in southeastern Spain. *Ardeola* 66:3–11.
- Morrison, J. L., and J. M. Baird (2016). Using banding and encounter data to investigate movements of Red-tailed Hawks in the Northeast. *Journal of Raptor Research* 50:161–175.
- Moritz, C., and R. Agudo (2013). The future of species under climate change: Resilience or decline? *Science* 341:504–508.
- Naughton, D. (2012). *The Natural History of Canadian Mammals*. Canadian Museum of Nature and University of Toronto Press, Toronto, ON, Canada, Buffalo, NY, USA, and London, UK.
- Nourani, E., and N. M. Yamaguchi (2017). The effects of atmospheric currents on the migratory behavior of soaring birds: A review. *Ornithological Science* 16:5–15.
- Nourani, E., N. M. Yamaguchi, and H. Higuchi (2017). Climate change alters the optimal wind-dependent flight routes of an avian migrant. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B* 284: 20170149. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2017.0149>.
- Ockendon, N., D. J. Baker, J. A. Carr, E. C. White, R. E. A. Almond, T. Amano, E. Bertram, R. B. Bradbury, C. Bradley, S. H. M. Butchart, N. Doswald, et al. (2014). Mechanisms underpinning climatic impacts on natural populations: Altered species interactions are more important than direct effects. *Global Change Biology* 20:2221–2229.
- Oliver, T. H., and M. D. Morecroft (2014). Interactions between climate change and land use change on biodiversity: Attribution problems, risks, and opportunities. *WIREs Climate Change* 5:317–335.
- Paprocki, N., N. F. Glenn, E. C. Atkinson, K. M. Strickler, C. Watson, and J. A. Heath (2015). Changing habitat use associated with distributional shifts of wintering raptors. *Journal of Wildlife Management* 79:402–412.
- Paprocki, N., J. A. Heath, and S. J. Novak (2014). Regional distribution shifts help explain local changes in wintering raptor abundance: Implications for interpreting population trends. *PLoS ONE* 9(1): e86814. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0086814.
- Paprocki, N., D. Oleyar, D. Brandes, L. Goodrich, T. Crewe, and S. W. Hoffman (2017). Combining migration and wintering counts to enhance understanding of population change in a generalist raptor species, the North American Red-tailed Hawk. *The Condor: Ornithological Applications* 119:98–107.
- Parnesan, C. (2006). Ecological and evolutionary responses to recent climate change. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics* 37:637–669.
- Parnesan, C., N. Ryrholm, C. Stefanescu, J. K. Hill, C. D. Thomas, H. Descimon, B. Huntley, L. Kaila, J. Kullberg, T. Tammaru, W. J. Tennent, et al. (1999). Poleward shifts in geographical ranges of butterfly species associated with regional warming. *Nature* 399:579–583.
- Pavey, C. R., and C. E. M. Nano (2013). Changes in richness and abundance of rodents and native predators in response to extreme rainfall in arid Australia. *Austral Ecology* 38:777–785.
- Pearce-Higgins, J. W., and R. E. Green (2014). *Birds and Climate Change: Impacts and Conservation Responses*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.
- Peck, K., A. Franke, N. Lecomte, and J. Bêty (2018). Nesting habitat selection and distribution of an avian top predator in the Canadian Arctic. *Arctic Science* 4:499–512.
- Pokrovsky, I. G., O. Kulikova, D. Ehrlich, R. A. Ims, N. Lecomte, and N. G. Yoccoz (2012). Assessing the causes of breeding failure among the Rough-legged Buzzard (*Buteo lagopus*) during the nestling period. *Polar Research* 31:17294. doi:10.3402/polar.v31i0.17294.
- Powers, B. F., J. M. Winiarski, J. M. Requena-Mullor, and J. A. Heath (2021). Intra-specific variation in migration phenology of American Kestrels (*Falco sparverius*) in response to spring temperatures. *Ibis* 163:1448–1456.
- Raptor Research Foundation (RRF) (2021). About us. <https://raptorresearchfoundation.org/about/history/>.
- Reside, A. E., J. J. Vanderwal, A. S. Kutt, and G. C. Perkins (2010). Weather, not climate, defines distributions of vagile bird species. *PLoS ONE* 5(10): e13569. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0013569>.

- Ripple, W. J., J. A. Estes, R. L. Beschta, C. C. Wilmers, E. G. Ritchie, M. Hebblewhite, J. Berger, B. Elmhagen, M. Letnic, M. P. Nelson, O. J. Schmitz, et al. (2014). Status and ecological effects of the world's largest carnivores. *Science* 343:1241484. doi: 10.1126/science.1241484.
- Robinson, B. G., A. Franke, and A. E. Derocher (2017). Weather-mediated decline in prey delivery rates causes food-limitation in a top avian predator. *Journal of Avian Biology* 48:748–758.
- Rodionov, S. N. (2004). A sequential algorithm for testing climate regime shifts. *Geophysical Research Letters* 31:2–5.
- Rosenfield, R. N., M. G. Hardin, J. Bielefeldt, and E. R. Keyel (2017). Are life history events of a northern breeding population of Cooper's Hawks influenced by changing climate? *Ecology and Evolution* 7:399–408.
- Sæther, B.-E., S. Engen, M. Gamelon, and V. Grøtan (2019). Predicting the effects of climate change on bird population dynamics. In *Effects of Climate Change on Birds*, Second ed. (P. O. Dunn and A. P. Møller, Editors). Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK. pp. 74–90.
- Sarasola, J. H., J. J. Negro, V. Salvador, and J. J. Maceda (2005). Hailstorms as a cause of mass mortality of Swainson's Hawks in their wintering grounds. *Journal of Wildlife Diseases* 41:643–646.
- Saupe, E. E., A. Farnsworth, D. J. Lunt, N. Sagoo, K. V. Pham, and D. J. Field (2019). Climatic shifts drove major contractions in avian latitudinal distributions throughout the Cenozoic. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 116:12895–12900.
- Schmidt, J. H., C. L. McIntyre, C. A. Roland, M. C. MacCluskie, M. J. Flamme (2018). Bottom-up processes drive reproductive success in an apex predator. *Ecology and Evolution* 8:1833–1841.
- Schmidt, N. M., I. Rolf, T. T. Høye, O. Gilg, L. H. Hansen, J. Hansen, M. Lund, E. Fuglei, M. C. Forchhammer, and S. Benoit (2012). Response of an arctic predator guild to collapsing lemming cycles. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B* 279:4417–4422.
- Seekings, A. H., C. J. Warren, S. S. Thomas, S. Mahmood, J. James, A. M. P. Byrne, S. Watson, C. Bianco, A. Nunez, I. H. Brown, S. M. Brookes, et al. (2021). Highly pathogenic avian influenza virus H5N6 (clade 2.3.4.4b) has a preferable host tropism for waterfowl reflected in its inefficient transmission to terrestrial poultry. *Virology* 559:74–85.
- Sergio, F. (2003). Relationship between laying dates of Black Kites *Milvus migrans* and spring temperatures in Italy: Rapid response to climate change? *Journal of Avian Biology* 34:144–149.
- Sergio, F., J. Blas, A. Tanferna, and F. Hiraldo (2021). Protected areas enter a new era of uncertain challenges: extinction of a non-exigent falcon in Doñana National Park. *Animal Conservation* 25:480–491.
- Smith, J. P., C. M. Lenihan, and J. A. Zirpoli (2020). Golden Eagle breeding response to utility-scale solar development and prolonged drought in California. *Journal of Raptor Research* 54:154–165.
- Smith, S. H., K. Steenhof, C. J. W. McClure, and J. A. Heath (2017). Earlier nesting by generalist predatory bird is associated with human responses to climate change. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 86:98–107.
- Steenhof, K., and B. E. Peterson (2009). American Kestrel reproduction in southwestern Idaho: Annual variation and long-term trends. *Journal of Raptor Research* 43:283–290.
- Studholme, J., A. V. Fedorov, S. K. Gulev, K. Emanuel, and K. Hodges (2022). Poleward expansion of tropical cyclone latitudes in warming climates. *Nature Geoscience* 15:14–28.
- Sturm, M., C. Racine, and K. Tape (2001). Increasing shrub abundance in the Arctic. *Nature* 411:546–547.
- Sullivan, A. R., D. J. Flaspohler, R. E. Froese, and D. Ford (2016). Climate variability and the timing of spring raptor migration in eastern North America. *Journal of Avian Biology* 47:208–218.
- Sumasgutner, P., A. Jenkins, A. Amar, and R. Altwegg (2020). Nest boxes buffer the effects of climate on breeding performance in an African urban raptor. *PLoS ONE* 15:e0234503. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0234503>.
- Sutton, L. J., D. L. Anderson, M. Franco, C. J. W. McClure, E. B. P. Miranda, F. H. Vargas, J. d. J. Vargas González, and R. Puschendorf (2022). Reduced range size and Important Bird and Biodiversity Area coverage for the Harpy Eagle (*Harpyia harpyja*) predicted from multiple climate change scenarios. *Ibis* 164:649–666. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ibi.13046>.
- Sutton, L. J., C. J. W. McClure, S. Kini, and G. Leonardi (2020). Climatic constraints on Laggar Falcon (*Falco jugger*) distribution predicts multidirectional range movements under future climate change scenarios. *Journal of Raptor Research* 54:1–17.
- Tanikawa, T., S. Sakuma, E. Yoshida, R. Tsunekuni, and M. Nakayama (2021). Comparative susceptibility of the common teal (*Anas crecca*) to infection with high pathogenic avian influenza virus strains isolated in Japan in 2004–2017. *Veterinary Microbiology* 263:109266. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2021.109266>.
- Tape, K., M. Sturm, and C. Racine (2006). The evidence for shrub expansion in northern Alaska and the pan-Arctic. *Global Change Biology* 12:686–702.
- Taylor, J., M. A. C. Nicoll, E. Black, C. M. Wainwright, C. G. Jones, V. Tatayah, P. L. Vidale, and K. Norris (2021). Phenological tracking of a seasonal climate window in a recovering tropical island bird species. *Climatic Change* 164:41. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-021-02971-y>.
- Teplitsky, C. and A. Charmantier (2019). Evolutionary consequences of climate change in birds. In *Effects of Climate Change on Birds*, Second ed. (P. O. Dunn and

- A. P. Møller, Editors). Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK. pp. 134–146.
- Teplitsky, C., and V. Millien (2014). Climate warming and Bergmann's rule through time: Is there any evidence? *Evolutionary Applications* 7:156–168.
- Terraube, J., A. Villers, L. Ruffino, L. Iso-Iivari, H. Henttonen, T. Oksanen, and E. Korpimäki (2014). Coping with fast climate change in northern ecosystems: Mechanisms underlying the population-level response of a specialist avian predator. *Ecography* 38:690–699.
- Therrien, J.-F., N. Lecomte, T. Zgirski, M. Jaffré, A. Beardsell, L. J. Goodrich, J. Bêty, A. Franke, E. Zlonis, and K. L. Bildstein (2017). Long-term phenological shifts in migration and breeding-area residency in eastern North American raptors. *The Auk: Ornithological Advances* 134:871–881.
- Thorstrom, R., and D. McQueen (2008). Breeding and status of the Grenada Hook-Billed Kite (*Chondrohierax uncinatus mirus*). *Ornitología Neotropical* 19:221–228.
- Tingley, M. W., W. B. Monahan, S. R. Beissinger, and C. Moritz (2009). Birds track their Grinnellian niche through a century of climate change. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 106:19637–19643.
- Tornberg, R., L. Liushka, S. Rytönen, M. Mutanen, and P. Välimäki (2014). Diet shift induced rapid evolution of size and function in a predatory bird. *Oecologia* 176:781–788.
- Trenberth, K. E., A. Dai, R. M. Rasmussen, and D. B. Parsons (2003). The changing character of precipitation. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society* 84:1205–1218.
- Urban, M. (2015). Accelerating extinction risk from climate change. *Science* 348:571–573.
- Urban, M., Zarnetske, and P. Lehmann D. Skelly (2017). Searching for biotic multipliers of climate change. *Integrative and Comparative Biology* 57:134–147.
- Van Buskirk, J., R. S. Mulvihill, and R. C. Leberman (2010). Declining body sizes in North American birds associated with climate change. *Oikos* 119:1047–1055.
- Virkkala, R., J. Pöyry, R. K. Heikkinen, A. Lehikoinen, and J. Valkama (2014). Protected areas alleviate climate change effects on northern bird species of conservation concern. *Ecology and Evolution* 4:2991–3003.
- Visser, M. E., and P. Gienapp (2019). Evolutionary and demographic consequences of phenological mismatches. *Nature Ecology and Evolution* 3:879–885.
- Viverette, C. B., S. Struve, L. J. Goodrich, and K. L. Bildstein (1996). Decreases in migrating Sharp-shinned Hawks (*Accipiter striatus*) at traditional raptor-migration watch sites in Eastern North America. *The Auk* 113:32–40.
- Vors, L. S., and M. S. Boyce (2009). Global declines of caribou and reindeer. *Global Change Biology* 15:2626–2633.
- Wauer, R. H., and J. M. Wunderle (1992). The effects of Hurricane Hugo on bird populations on St. Croix, US Virgin Islands. *Wilson Bulletin* 104:656–673.
- Wichmann, M. C., F. Jeltsch, W. R. J. Dean, K. A. Moloney, and C. Wissel (2003). Implication of climate change for the persistence of raptors in arid savanna. *Oikos* 102:186–202.
- Wunderle, J. M., Jr., D. J. Lodge, and R. B. Waide (1992). Short-term effects of Hurricane Gilbert on terrestrial bird populations in Jamaica. *Auk* 109:148–166.
- Yom-Tov, Y., and S. Yom-Tov (2006). Decrease in body size of Danish goshawks during the twentieth century. *Journal of Ornithology* 147:644–647.
- Zuberogoitia, I., J. Morant, I. Castillo, J. E. Martínez, G. Burgos, J. Zuberogoitia, A. Azkona, Guijarro, and J. Ruiz J. A. González-Oreja (2018). Population trends of Peregrine Falcon in northern Spain – Results of a long-term monitoring project. *Ornis Hungarica* 26:51–68.
- Zuckerberg, B., A. M. Woods, and W. F. Porter (2009). Poleward shifts in breeding bird distributions in New York State. *Global Change Biology* 15:1866–1883.
- Zurell, D., and J. O. Engler (2019). Ecological niche modelling. In *Effects of Climate Change on Birds*, Second ed. (P. O. Dunn and A. P. Møller, Editors). Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK. pp. 60–73.

Received 2 June 2022; accepted 3 October 2022

Associate Editor: James F. Dwyer

