

# Isolation and Characterization of Microsatellite Markers for the Cleistogamous Species Lamium amplexicaule (Lamiaceae)

Authors: Stojanova, Bojana, Dubois, Marie-Pierre, Maurice, Sandrine,

and Cheptou, Pierre-Olivier

Source: Applications in Plant Sciences, 1(2)

Published By: Botanical Society of America

URL: https://doi.org/10.3732/apps.1200259

BioOne Complete (complete.BioOne.org) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at <a href="https://www.bioone.org/terms-of-use">www.bioone.org/terms-of-use</a>.

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non - commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.



PRIMER NOTE

## Isolation and characterization of microsatellite markers for the cleistogamous species *Lamium*\*\*AMPLEXICAULE (Lamiaceae)<sup>1</sup>

Bojana Stojanova<sup>2,3,4</sup>, Marie-Pierre Dubois<sup>2</sup>, Sandrine Maurice<sup>3</sup>, and Pierre-Olivier Cheptou<sup>2</sup>

<sup>2</sup>Centre d'Ecologie Fonctionnelle et Evolutive (CEFE), UMR 5175 (Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique [CNRS], Université Montpellier 2), 1919 route de Mende, 34293 Montpellier CEDEX 5, France; and <sup>3</sup>Institut des Sciences de l'Evolution–Montpellier (ISE-M), UMR 5554 (CNRS, Université Montpellier 2), Place Eugène Bataillon, 34095 Montpellier CEDEX 5, France

- Premise of the study: Lamium amplexicaule is a cleistogamous plant that produces both closed flowers (obligately self-pollinated) and open flowers (potentially outcrossed). The conditions for the maintenance of such a mating system depend on the outcrossing rate of the open flowers, which can be estimated using neutral microsatellite markers.
- *Methods and Results:* Forty primer pairs corresponding to microsatellite motifs obtained by coupling multiplex microsatellite enrichment and next-generation sequencing were tested. Thirteen primers amplified with satisfying results. The polymorphism of these markers was studied in four French populations. Allele number varied from one to eight per locus and per population. Heterozygosity levels were significantly lower than those expected under Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium.
- Conclusions: Our results are consistent with a partial self-fertilization pattern. These markers will be used to estimate the outcrossing rate as well as population differentiation in L. amplexicaule.

Key words: cleistogamy; Lamiaceae; Lamium amplexicaule; microsatellite markers; outcrossing rate.

Cleistogamy is the coexistence of both closed flowers that are obligately self-pollinating and open flowers that are potentially outcrossing on the same individual. It has been generally considered as an example of a mixed mating system, and its evolutionary stability is still enigmatic (Goodwillie et al., 2005). Lamium amplexicaule L. is an annual cleistogamous weed of the mint family (Lamiaceae) native to Europe and Asia. The species has been introduced to all other continents where it has become invasive (USDA-ARS, 2003). It has been documented as both a winter annual (seeds dormant through autumn and winter, flowering in spring) and a summer annual (seeds dormant through spring and summer, flowering in autumn [Baskin and Baskin, 1981]). Even though nectar production and pollinators' visits of open flowers have been documented for this species (Orueta and Viejo, 1999), the species is considered as predominantly self-pollinating (Fryxell, 1957). The percentage of open flowers produced during the flowering season never exceeds 50% and can vary in response to environmental cues such as

<sup>1</sup>Manuscript received 25 May 2012; revision accepted 5 August 2012.

The authors thank the staff from Service des Marqueurs Génétiques en Ecologie (SMGE) of the CNRS-CEFE and from the "plateforme génotypage-séquençage" of SFR MEB (Montpellier Environnement Biodiversité). Funding was received from the AIP BioRessources 'EcoMicro' grant from the Institut National de la Recherche Agronomique, the Conseil Scientifique of the Université Montpellier 2, the ADEPOL (FRR) project, and the R&D budget of Genoscreen (Lille, France).

<sup>4</sup>Author for correspondence: bojana.stojanova@gmail.com

doi:10.3732/apps.1200259

photoperiod and temperature, being as low as zero when plants are exposed to short, cold days (Lord, 1982).

Lord (1982) suggested that such a plastic production of open flowers is an adaptation to long, warm days, i.e., spring season, when pollinators are abundant. Likewise, when pollinators are scarce in the short, cold, autumn days, the production of closed flowers providing autonomous self-fertilization is favored. Such an adaptation assumes that open flowers are able to outcross substantially, thus increasing individual fitness by avoiding the deleterious effects of inbreeding (Charlesworth and Willis, 2009). To analyze the mating system of this species, we developed 13 microsatellite markers that will be used to estimate the outcrossing rate of *L. amplexicaule*.

### METHODS AND RESULTS

Plant DNA was extracted from fresh plant tissue using the DNeasy Plant Mini Kit (QIAGEN, Courtaboeuf, France) following the manufacturer's protocol. An enriched DNA library was obtained by Genoscreen (Lille, France) by coupling multiplex microsatellite enrichment and next-generation sequencing on 454 GS-FLX Titanium platforms according to the method described in Malausa et al. (2011). Noncompound sequences containing microsatellite motifs longer than five repeats were retained. A total of 211 markers were returned, out of which the 40 markers with the longest repeat sequences were further tested for amplification. PCR amplification was performed in a final volume of  $10\,\mu L$  containing 5  $\mu L$  of Multiplex PCR Master Mix (QIAGEN), 2  $\mu M$  of each primer (Eurogentec, Angers, France), 2 µL of pure water, and 1 µL of DNA extraction solution (approximate concentration 50 ng/µL). PCR conditions were as follows: 15 min activation of the HotStart Taq DNA polymerase at 95°C, 30 cycles including 60 s initial denaturation at 94°C, 90 s at annealing temperature (Table 1), and 60 s extension at 72°C, followed by 30 min final extension at 60°C. Amplification of a DNA fragment of the expected size was

Table 1. General characteristics of the amplified loci for Lamium amplexicaule.

| Locus     |    | Primer sequences (5′–3′)                     | Repeat motif        | Size range (bp)                         | T <sub>a</sub> (°C) | Dye    | Multiplex  | GenBank accession no. |
|-----------|----|--|---------------------|---|---------------------|--------|------------|-----------------------|
| LA-Di02   |    | CATATAACCTACATACCAAACCCTC                    | (CA) <sub>13</sub>  | 200–204                                 | 58                  | PET    | 1          | JX050158              |
| 5:05      | R: |  | (077)               | 107 110                                 | <b>7</b> 0          | DEM.   |            | **********            |
| LA-Di05   |    | ATTCAATTTTAGGGGGTCGG                         | $(GT)_{13}$         | 105–118                                 | 58                  | PET    | I          | JX050160              |
|           | R: | GTGATTCATTCCTTACAACTTTACC                    | (CC ATTOTA)         | 04 104                                  | 70                  | NED    |            | 137050161             |
| LA-He02   |    | AGTTTCTCCACCGCAAACC                          | $(CCATCT)_7$        | 94–124                                  | 58                  | NED    | I          | JX050161              |
| T A II 02 |    | ATCCCATCCACATCCATCAC                         | (1.10100)           | 00 121                                  | 50                  | E434   | 1          | 13/05/01/0            |
| LA-He03   | F: | CGAAAGATGGACTGTTGTTCTG                       | $(AAGAGG)_8$        | 89–131                                  | 58                  | FAM    | I          | JX050162              |
| LA-Tri02  | R: | GGAGGCTAACAATTGCCATT<br>AGACAGAAGGCAAAGCTGGA | (CTT)               | 154–172                                 | 58                  | VIC    | 1          | JX050166              |
| LA-11102  |    | ATTCCCTCGTATTCCAACCC                         | $(CTT)_{11}$        | 134-172                                 | 36                  | VIC    | 1          | JA030100              |
| LA-Tri07  | F: | CTGGGGTGAAGGAATGAAT                          | (CTT) <sub>17</sub> | 139-203                                 | 58                  | FAM    | 1          | JX050168              |
| LA-III07  | R: |  | (C11) <sub>17</sub> | 139-203                                 | 36                  | 17AIVI | 1          | JA050108              |
| LA-Te04   | F: | TGAGAACAATGTAATGCCAGAAA                      | (ATGT) <sub>8</sub> | 155-204                                 | 58                  | FAM    | 2          | JX050163              |
| E/1 1004  | R: | GGCACTTCTCCGACAAACTC                         | (/11/01)8           | 133 204                                 | 30                  | 171111 | 2          | 371030103             |
| LA-Te05   | F: | GGGTTTTTCCCGATCTGAAT                         | (TACA) <sub>9</sub> | 96-134                                  | 58                  | NED    | 2          | JX050164              |
| 2.1 1000  | R: | CTCTGTCCCATAAAAATATGTTCAGA                   | (111011)9           | , | 20                  | 1,22   | _          | 011000101             |
| LA-Tri05  | F: |  | $(CTT)_{12}$        | 137-163                                 | 58                  | VIC    | 2          | JX050167              |
|           | R: | TCTGCGAATTCACCCTTTCT                         | (==-)12             |   |                     |        |            |                       |
| LA-Te07   | F: | CTAATTGGGGATGTGAGATAAA                       | $(ATAC)_{11}$       | 175-199                                 | 55                  | VIC    | 3          | JX050165              |
|           | R: | CTCAACATTCGTTTCACCCA                         | , ,,,,              |   |                     |        |            |                       |
| LA-Tri08  | F: | AAGCAAGAAGTGGCCAAGTTA                        | $(TGT)_{19}$        | 243-278                                 | 55                  | VIC    | 3          | JX050169              |
|           | R: | TGGTCTTAAATAGATTTCTTGT                       |                     |   |                     |        |            |                       |
| LA-Tri11  | F: | CAAAATCTACATAAACCCGAGA                       | $(TGA)_{23}$        | 85-123                                  | 55                  | FAM    | 3          | JX050170              |
|           | R: | AGGAAGGATGCATACCATGC                         |                     |   |                     |        |            |                       |
| LA-Di03   | F: | TTAGTCGTCTGACCTTGGG                          | $(GA)_{13}$         | 162-189                                 | 52                  | PET    | 3 post PCR | JX050159              |
|           | R: | AGTTGAGAGTTAAAACACTTAGTAAG                   |                     |   |                     |        |            |                       |

*Note*:  $T_a$  = annealing temperature.

obtained for 14 primer pairs. The forward primers of the 14 loci were labeled with a FAM, VIC, PET, or NED fluorescent dye (Applied Biosystems, Life Technologies SAS, Courtaboeuf, France). Using the same PCR protocol as above, each primer pair was tested on five individuals originating from five different locations in France. Out of the five individuals tested, three came from the populations M, C, and E used for the detailed polymorphism study below (GPS coordinates in Table 2), and two came from populations that were not used for further analysis (individual A1, coordinates: 43°38′19.86″N, 3°51′52.84″E; and individual B1, coordinates: 49°19′03.28″N, 05°04′24.34″E). PCR products were then diluted in water. Dilution varied from 1/50 to 1/200 according to the concentration of the PCR product. Three microliters of diluted PCR product were pooled in 15 μL of deionized formamide (Applied Biosystems) and 0.2 μL of 500 LIZ GeneScan size standard (Applied Biosystems).

PCR products prepared this way were sized using an ABI PRISM 3100 sequencer (Applied Biosystems) and the software GeneMapper version 4.1. Thirteen primer pairs out of the 14 tested were polymorphic on the five individuals and had easily readable chromatograms (Table 1). These primer pairs were combined into three multiplexes for further PCR: mix 1 contained LA-Di02, LA-Di05, LA-He02, LA-He03, LA-Tri02, and LA-Tri07; mix 2 contained LA-Te04, LA-Te05, and LA-Tri05; and mix 3 contained LA-Te07, La-Tri08, LA-Tri11, and LA-Di03. Locus LA-Di03 was added in mix 3 after PCR amplification (Table 1).

A more detailed study of polymorphism was performed on individuals from four different populations of *L. amplexicaule* in France—two large populations with several hundred individuals each (population E around Montpellier, and population M around Dijon) and two small populations with fewer than 40 individuals

TABLE 2. Results of initial primer screening in four French populations<sup>a</sup> of *Lamium amplexicaule*.

| Locus |   | Population  | n C $(N = 19)$   |             | Population E $(N = 40)$ |             |                  | Population M ( $N = 36$ ) |   |             |                  | Population P $(N = 19)$ |                |             |                  |             |
|-------|---|-------------|------------------|-------------|-------------------------|-------------|------------------|---------------------------|---|-------------|------------------|-------------------------|----------------|-------------|------------------|-------------|
|       | Ā | $H_{\rm o}$ | $H_{\mathrm{e}}$ | $A_{\rm p}$ | Ā                       | $H_{\rm o}$ | $H_{\mathrm{e}}$ | $A_{\rm p}$               | Ā | $H_{\rm o}$ | $H_{\mathrm{e}}$ | $A_{\rm p}$             | $\overline{A}$ | $H_{\rm o}$ | $H_{\mathrm{e}}$ | $A_{\rm p}$ |
| Di02  | 1 | _           | _                |             | 2                       | 0.051       | 0.097*           | 1                         | 1 | _           | _                |                         | 1              | _           | _                | 1           |
| Di05  | 1 | _           |                  |             | 3                       | 0.026       | 0.370*           | 1                         | 2 | 0.000       | 0.475*           |                         | 2              | 0.333       | 0.500            |             |
| He02  | 1 |             |                  |             | 2                       | 0.000       | 0.142*           | 2                         | 2 | 0.000       | 0.056*           | 1                       | 1              |             |                  |             |
| He03  | 1 |             |                  |             | 3                       | 0.075       | 0.388*           | 2                         | 3 | 0.028       | 0.344*           | 1                       | 1              |             |                  | 1           |
| Tri02 | 1 | _           | _                |             | 2                       | 0.026       | 0.204*           | 1                         | 2 | 0.000       | 0.375*           | 1                       | 1              | _           | _                | 1           |
| Tri07 | 3 | 0.056       | 0.156*           | 2           | 7                       | 0.231       | 0.681*           | 4                         | 9 | 0.029       | 0.741*           | 4                       | 1              | _           | _                |             |
| Te04  | 1 | _           | _                |             | 2                       | 0.053       | 0.051            | 1                         | 1 | _           | _                |                         | 2              | 0.053       | 0.051            | 2           |
| Te05  | 1 |             |                  |             | 3                       | 0.200       | 0.301*           | 3                         | 1 |             |                  |                         | 1              |             |                  |             |
| Tri05 | 1 |             |                  |             | 3                       | 0.075       | 0.119*           | 1                         | 1 |             |                  |                         | 1              |             |                  |             |
| Te07  | 1 |             |                  |             | 2                       | 0.077       | 0.163*           |                           | 2 | 0.000       | 0.490*           |                         | 2              | 0.000       | 0.198*           |             |
| Tri08 | 1 |             |                  |             | 3                       | 0.075       | 0.423*           | 2                         | 1 |             |                  |                         | 0              | NA          | NA               |             |
| Tri11 | 2 | 0.059       | 0.057*           | 1           | 4                       | 0.077       | 0.334*           |                           | 2 | 0.000       | 0.424*           | 1                       | 0              | NA          | NA               |             |
| Di03  | 1 | _           | _                |             | 2                       | 0.086       | 0.082            |                           | 2 | 0.000       | 0.312*           | 1                       | 0              | NA          | NA               |             |

Note: A = number of alleles;  $A_p = \text{number of private alleles}$ ;  $H_e = \text{expected heterozygosity}$ ;  $H_o = \text{observed heterozygosity}$ ; N = number of plants sampled; NA = no amplification.

http://www.bioone.org/loi/apps 2 of 3

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Populations: C = Dijon, small population (47°16′37″N, 5°03′43″E); E = Montpellier, large population (43°44′56″N, 3°51′06″E); M = Dijon, large population (47°15′58″N, 4°59′11″E); P = Montpellier, small population (43°46′16″N, 3°47′28″E).

<sup>\*</sup>Significant deviation from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium after Bonferonni correction.

(population P around Montpellier, and population C around Dijon). GPS coordinates of the four populations are in Table 2. For each of the four populations a voucher has been deposited in the Botanical Institute of Montpellier herbarium collection (voucher accession numbers: population C, MPU000543; population E, MPU000544; population M, MPU000545; and population P, MPU000546). Nineteen to 40 individuals were sampled per population (Table 2). Total DNA was extracted from dried plant tissue using the DNeasy96 Plant Kit (QIAGEN) following the manufacturer's protocol for dry plant tissue, with an additional lysis step of incubation at 65°C for 90 min. Multiplex PCR amplification was performed with the same protocol as cited above.

The proportion of amplified individuals was high for most of the loci. In population P, loci LA-Di05, LA-Di03, LA-Tri08, and LA-Tri11 amplified poorly or not at all probably because of a substitution in the primer sequence. In the other three populations, the missing results were found mainly in one or two individuals that did not amplify throughout most of the loci. When the poorly amplified loci in population P were excluded, the mean proportion of individuals successfully amplified was 0.9 or higher for all populations. Expected heterozygosity and Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium tests were performed using GenAlEx (Peakall and Smouse, 2006). Number of alleles for each locus in the four populations, heterozygosity levels, and private alleles are shown in Table 2.

## **CONCLUSIONS**

These newly developed microsatellite markers showed high amplification success even though their polymorphism levels within populations are not very high, especially in the small populations. Expected heterozygosity for polymorphic loci was generally low, and most of the polymorphic loci showed a significant deficit in observed heterozygotes. On the other hand, there are important differences in allelic patterns between populations. These observations are consistent with partially self-pollinating populations. Markers developed in this study can thus be used to (1) study structure and differentiation within populations and (2) calculate estimates of the outcrossing rate of open flowers in the large populations of *L. amplexicaule*. Estimating the outcrossing rate of open flowers will allow the

relationship between cleistogamy rate and individual outcrossing rate to be determined and will thus relate the plasticity of cleistogamy to mating system plasticity.

### LITERATURE CITED

- BASKIN, J. M., AND C. C. BASKIN. 1981. Seasonal changes in the germination response of buried *Lamium amplexicaule* seeds. Weed Research 21: 299–306.
- CHARLESWORTH, D., AND J. H. WILLIS. 2009. Fundamental concepts in genetics: The genetics of inbreeding depression. *Nature Reviews. Genetics* 10: 783–796.
- Fryxell, P. A. 1957. Mode of reproduction of higher plants. *Botanical Review* 23: 135–233.
- Goodwillie, C., S. Kalisz, and C. G. Eckert. 2005. The evolutionary enigma of mixed mating systems in plants: Occurrence, theoretical explanations, and empirical evidence. *Annual Review of Ecology Evolution and Systematics* 36: 47–79.
- LORD, E. M. 1982. Effect of daylength on open flower production in the cleistogamous species *Lamium amplexicaule* L. *Annals of Botany* 49: 261–263.
- Malausa, T., A. Gilles, E. Meglécz, H. Blanquart, S. Duthoy, C. Costedoat, V. Dubut, et al. 2011. High-throughput microsatellite isolation through 454 GS-FLX Titanium pyrosequencing of enriched DNA libraries. *Molecular Ecology Resources* 11: 638–644.
- ORUETA, D., AND J. L. VIEJO. 1999. Floral biology in the Lamiaceae family: Diurnal nectar production, nectar standing-crop, and insect visits in *Lamium amplexicaule* Linnaeus (1753) and *Salvia verbenaca* Linnaeus (1753). *Boletin de la Real Sociedad Espanola de Historia Natural. Seccion Biologica* 95: 107–114.
- Peakall, R., and P. E. Smouse. 2006. GenAlEx 6: Genetic analysis in Excel. Population genetic software for teaching and research. *Molecular Ecology Notes* 6: 288–295.
- USDA-ARS. 2003. Germplasm Resources Information Network (GRIN) [Online Database]. National Germplasm Resources Laboratory, Beltsville, Maryland, USA. Website http://www.ars-grin.gov/cgi-bin/npgs/html/tax\_search.pl [accessed 16 January 2013].

http://www.bioone.org/loi/apps 3 of 3