

# Development and Characterization of Chloroplast Microsatellite Markers in a Fine-Leaved Fescue, Festuca rubra (Poaceae)

Authors: Cräutlein, Maria von, Korpelainen, Helena, Helander, Marjo,

Väre, Henry, and Saikkonen, Kari

Source: Applications in Plant Sciences, 2(12)

Published By: Botanical Society of America

URL: https://doi.org/10.3732/apps.1400094

BioOne Complete (complete.BioOne.org) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at <a href="https://www.bioone.org/terms-of-use">www.bioone.org/terms-of-use</a>.

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non - commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.



PRIMER NOTE

## Development and characterization of chloroplast microsatellite markers in a fine-leaved fescue, $Festuca\ rubra\ (Poaceae)^1$

Maria von Cräutlein<sup>2,6</sup>, Helena Korpelainen<sup>3</sup>, Marjo Helander<sup>2,4</sup>, Henry Väre<sup>5</sup>, and Kari Saikkonen<sup>2</sup>

<sup>2</sup>MTT Agrifood Research Finland, Plant Production Research, 31600 Jokioinen, Finland; <sup>3</sup>Department of Agricultural Sciences, University of Helsinki, FI-00014 University of Helsinki, Finland; <sup>4</sup>Department of Biology, University of Turku, 20014 Turku, Finland; and <sup>5</sup>Botany Unit, Finnish Museum of Natural History, FI-00014 University of Helsinki, Finland

- Premise of the study: Chloroplast microsatellite markers were developed for Festuca rubra to examine its population genetic characteristics, taxonomy, and coevolution with its endophyte Epichloë festucae.
- Methods and Results: Thirteen polymorphic markers were identified from the chloroplast genome of a F. ovina accession and
  intergenic chloroplast sequences of F. rubra accessions. They amplified a total of 65 alleles in a sample of 93 individuals of
  F. rubra originating from six different populations located in the Faroe Islands, Finland, Greenland, Norway, and Spain.
- Conclusions: The developed microsatellite primer pairs can be used by researchers in population genetic and taxonomic studies, and by plant breeders in breeding programs on grasses.

**Key words:** agriculture; breeding; *Epichloë festucae*; *Festuca rubra*; pasture grass; Poaceae; population genetics; taxonomy.

Festuca rubra L. (red fescue) belongs to fine-leaved Festuca sect. Aulaxyper s.l. clade (F. rubra group, family Poaceae). Festuca rubra is perennial, rhizomatous, and highly interfertile with multiple ploidy levels (Dirihan et al., 2013). It is one of the agriculturally most important turfgrasses, widely cultivated in temperate regions (Gould and Shaw, 1983), with a number of commercial cultivars. The genus Festuca L. contains by recent estimates from 450 to more than 500 species with nearly global distribution (Lu et al., 2006; Darbyshire and Pavlick, 2007). The taxonomy of the genus Festuca is problematic and contentious (Darbyshire and Pavlick, 2007). The International Plant Names Index (IPNI) catalogues worldwide 37 subspecies, 36 varieties, and 19 forms of F. rubra. It has encountered a wide and rapid inter- and postglacial expansion around the world, and occupies a diverse range of ecological conditions (Inda et al., 2008). Consequently, F. rubra is morphologically highly variable, and plants falling into morphologically distinguishable categories are often inconsistently classified as both species and subspecies. The success of F. rubra is often linked with the systemic and vertically transmitted endophyte, Epichloë festucae Leuchtm., Schardl & Siegel,

 $^{\rm I}$  Manuscript received 29 September 2014; revision accepted 20 October 2014.

The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Union Seventh Framework Program (FP7/2007-2013) under grant agreement no. 262693 (INTERACT).

<sup>6</sup>Author for correspondence: maria.voncrautlein@helsinki.fi

doi:10.3732/apps.1400094

because the endophyte infection has been demonstrated to provide a selective advantage or disadvantage to the host plant depending on prevailing selection pressures (Wäli et al., 2009; Saikkonen et al., 2010).

Chloroplast microsatellites or simple sequence repeats (cpSSR) are used as effective tools in evolutionary, population genetic, and phylogeographic studies (Provan et al., 2001; Ebert and Peakall, 2009). In grasses, chloroplast microsatellite markers have been previously developed for *Lolium perenne* L. and tested also in fine-leaved F. rubra, resulting in five amplifiable polymorphic markers in F. rubra with low levels of intraspecific variation (McGrath et al., 2006). In fine-leaved fescues, crossamplification problems of the chloroplast markers designed for other grass taxa can be caused by the smaller plastid genome size of fine-leaved Festuca due to a larger number of deletions within the intergenic regions compared to other grasses (Hand et al., 2013). However, no species-specific cpSSR markers have been developed for fine-leaved Festuca so far. In our study, we needed a greater number of polymorphic chloroplast markers to address our study aims, such as population genetic characteristics and the coevolution patterns of F. rubra with its endophyte E. festucae.

### METHODS AND RESULTS

Chloroplast DNA is conserved, nonrecombinant, uniparentally inherited, and effectively haploid, and it generally lacks heteroplasmy, thus being ideal for marker development, as the flanking regions are typically conserved (Provan et al., 2001; Hand et al., 2013). In our study, the starting point of the cpSSR marker development for *F. rubra* was the plastome sequence of taxonomically

Applications in Plant Sciences 2014 2(12): 1400094; http://www.bioone.org/loi/apps © 2014 Cräutlein et al. Published by the Botanical Society of America. This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution License (CC-BY-NC-SA).

Table 1. Characteristics of 14 intergenic chloroplast microsatellite markers developed for the grass Festuca rubra.<sup>a</sup>

Locus		Primer sequences (5′–3′)	Repeat motif	Allele size range (bp) <sup>b</sup>	Position	GenBank accession no.
FR15cpSSR	F:	CCATCTCCCCGTTCCAAA	$(T)_{11}C(T)_3,(T)_6$	203-212	trnS-GCU/psbD	JX871940
	R:	TTGTCTCTCGGCCAATATTGA				
FR16cpSSR	$_{\mathrm{F}}$ :	AGCGCACTATTGTAAATCGAAGT	$(TAT)T(TAT)_4,(T)_8$	229-234	trnS-GCU/psbD	JX871940
	R:	AGTTTGCCAGGGGTACAACT				
FR17cpSSR	$_{\mathtt{F}}$ :	GCCGCATCAATCGAGGATAC	$(A)_8C(A)_{13}$	217-222	ycf3/trnS-GGA	JX871940
	R:	TCCGACAACCTCAGGAGAAA				
FR19cpSSR	F:	TAAGCAAGCGGTGTCTCTCA	$(A)_{12}$	174–180	trnT-UGU/trnL-UAA	JX871940
	R:	ACAATCAAGTCCGTAGCGTC				
FR20cpSSR	$_{\mathrm{F}}$ :	TCCTCGTGTCACCAGTTCAA	$(A)_7(TA)_3,(A)_7$	245–257	trnF-GAA/ndhJ	JX871940
	R:	AGCCTAATCTCACCTCCTTCTG				
FR21cpSSR	F:	AGGACTAATCTCTGCAGTATAATGAGA	$(A)_9G(A)_9,(GA)_4,(T)_7$	246-260	ndhC/trnV-UAC	JX871940
	R:	TCCATCTTGCGAATTACTACCTTG				
FR23cpSSR	F:	TCCACTTTCTTTTACGCTTCTGT	$(A)_{13},(T)_{7}$	182	psbE/petL	JX871940
	R:	AGCAGCCAGTAGAAAACCGA				
FR24cpSSR	F:	CCGTCTTATATAGGGGATAGGCT	$(AT)_5, (AT)_6, (AT)_3, (AT)_3, (AT)_3, (AT)_7$	292-301	ndhF/rpl32	JX871940
	R:	TGCCGCAAATAAATCCTTCTTTC				
FR26cpSSR	F:	AGTCCCCTTAGTGGTCCCTA	$(T)_{12},(T)_6$	186–190	atp1/atpH	JX871940
	R:	TCCGTAACCGTGCATGAATT				
FR27cpSSR	F:	GGAGGAATTGCGGGTTTTCT	$(T)_7C(T)_6$ , $(TTC)_4$	198-201	petA/psbJ	JX871940
	R:	TACCTCGCCTGAACCTAAGC				
FR28cpSSR	F:	AGGAGAACACAGAGTCATAGCA	$(A)_{11}$	122-124	trnT/trnL	EF585096
	R:	CTCTCCCCGCCCTACTTTAT				
FR29cpSSR	F:	TCAATTTGATATGGCTCAGAGGA	$(AT)A(AT)_5$	191–200	trnT/trnL	DQ336857.1
	R:	TGCTATGACTCTGTGTTCTCCT				
FR30cpSSR	F:	CAGCAATAGTGTCCTTGCCC	$(T)_4C(T)_9CA(T)_4$	226–231	rps8/rpl14	HM173006
	R:	GATTGCCGAGGAATTGAGAGA				
FR31cpSSR	F:	TGACAAAGGAGTGCGAAGAG	$(C)_9$	250–254	trnL/trnF	EF593001
	R:	CTTGTGCATCATCCTAGTAGAGT				

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Annealing temperature = 56°C.

closely related F. ovina L. (Inda et al., 2008), which is the only available complete fine-leaved Festuca plastome sequence (Hand et al., 2013). The chloroplast genome sequence of F. ovina (GenBank accession no. JX871940, length 133,165 bp) was downloaded from GenBank and searched for ≥7 mononucleotide repeats and ≥3 di-, tri-, and tetranucleotide repeats using MSATFINDER version 2.0.9 (Thurston and Field, 2005). A total of 569 repeat motifs were identified in the chloroplast genome, among which the most frequent types were mononucleotide (44%) and dinucleotide (46%) repeats, while tri- (8%) and tetranucleotide (1%) repeats were rare. In addition, the GenBank accessions of F. rubra intergenic chloroplast sequences were downloaded and similarly searched for mono-, di-, and trinucleotide repeats. The sequences containing repetitive motifs were aligned among accessions to reveal variation among individuals. The selection of the regions with repetitive motifs for primer design was based on the repeat length being as long as possible, the region located within an intergenic region and being a known mutational hot spot region (Hand et al., 2013), the alignment of sequences showing variation among individuals within the repeat motif, and the flanking sequences allowing primer design. The criteria for the primer design were as follows: primer length of 18-27 bp, GC content 40-60%, annealing temperature 55-58°C, and the expected amplicon size of 100-300 bp. Primer pairs homologous to the flanking regions were designed for 16 cpSSR loci using Primer3 software (Rozen and Skaletsky, 2000). The primers were obtained from Oligomer Oy (Helsinki, Finland). The forward primers were labeled with fluorescent dyes for automated electrophoresis.

Genomic ĎNA of *F. rubra* was extracted from fresh leaves using the E.Z.N.A. Plant DNA Kit (Omega Bio-Tek, Norcross, Georgia, USA). The yield and purity of DNA were measured using a NanoDrop Lite spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Willmington, Delaware, USA). PCR amplifications were performed in a final volume of 10 μL, containing 5–10 ng genomic DNA, 1× GoTaq Flexi Buffer, 1.0 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub> solution, 0.2 mM of each dNTP, 0.2 μM of each primer, and 1.25 units GoTaq G2 HotStart Polymerase (Promega Corporation, Madison, Wisconsin, USA). The PCR reactions were performed as follows:

an initial denaturation at 95°C for 2 min; followed by 30 cycles of 30 s at 95°C, 30 s at 56°C, and 30 s at 73°C; and a final extension for 5 min at 73°C, using a C1000 Thermal Cycler (Bio-Rad, Applied Biosystems, Foster City, California, USA). Each microsatellite marker was amplified singly. A set of PCR products, 4 µL per PCR reaction, were checked for amplification success using 1.5% agarose gels (SeaKem LE Agarose; Lonza, Rockland, Maine, USA). The PCR products were run on an ABI 3130xl DNA Sequencer using the GeneScan 500 ROX Size Standard (Applied Biosystems) at the Institute of Biotechnology, University of Helsinki, Finland, and the amplified fragment lengths were assigned to allelic sizes with Peak Scanner version 1 software (Applied Biosystems).

Characteristics of the 16 markers were initially tested by multiplexing markers with different fluorescent labels and expected fragment sizes, and including four samples originating from different geographic regions (Appendix 1). All primer pairs produced bands that matched the expected sizes. The 16 markers were arranged in multiplex sets for genotyping. Markers were screened for polymorphism using 93 samples originating from six different populations located in a wide geographic region, including Finland, Greenland, the Faroe Islands, Norway, and two locations in Spain (Appendix 1). The resulting genotyping data were analyzed using GenAlEx version 6.5 (Peakall and Smouse, 2006, 2012) to estimate the number of alleles per locus and unbiased haploid diversity.

Thirteen out of 16 markers were polymorphic, one marker was monomorphic, and two markers amplified unreliably (Table 1). Thirteen polymorphic markers amplified a total of 65 alleles in a sample of 93 individuals of *F. rubra* originating from the six different populations. The number of alleles per polymorphic locus varied from two to eight at the species level and from one to six at the population level, and the unbiased haploid diversity per locus varied from 0.104 to 0.795 at the species level and from 0.000 to 0.824 at the population level (Table 2). The southern populations from Spain possessed a greater number of alleles and higher haploid diversity compared to the northern populations.

http://www.bioone.org/loi/apps 2 of 4

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Size ranges are based on 93 samples representing European populations located in Finland, the Faroe Islands, Greenland, Norway, and Spain (n = 12-18 for each population); see Appendix 1 for population information.

Characteristics of 13 polymorphic chloroplast microsatellite loci in six populations of Festuca rubra within a wide geographic region.<sup>a</sup> رi

	BERG	BERG $(n = 15)$	FAS2	FAS2 $(n = 15)$	GL1 (	GL1 (n = 12)	HA1 (	HA1 $(n = 15)$	SPGD	SPGD (n = 18)	SPPOR	SPPOR $(n = 18)$	All (	All $(n = 93)$
	A	h	A	h	A	h	A	h	A	h	A	h	A	h
115cpSSR	1	0.000	1	0.000	1	0.000	2	0.343	1	0.000	3	0.216	4	0.104
R16cpSSR	2	0.514	2	0.248	1	0.000	2	0.133	4	0.471	4	0.542	4	0.370
R17cpSSR	2	0.514	2	0.514	2	0.167	2	0.133	3	0.582	3	0.386	5	0.609
R19cpSSR	3	0.590	2	0.133	2	0.167	1	0.000	2	0.294	2	0.425	4	0.471
R20cpSSR	3	0.676	3	0.590	2	0.167	5	0.733	S	0.824	4	0.778	8	0.795
R21cpSSR	2	0.533	3	0.257	1	0.000	3	0.257	S	0.693	9	0.784	7	0.596
R24cpSSR	3	0.600	3	0.590	_	0.000	2	0.533	9	0.797	9	0.824	7	0.722
R26cpSSR	2	0.514	2	0.514	2	0.167	2	0.133	4	0.732	4	0.725	5	0.601
R27cpSSR	2	0.514	1	0.000	1	0.000	1	0.000	2	0.294	-	0.000	2	0.177
R28cpSSR	2	0.248	3	0.705	2	0.167		0.000	3	0.582	3	0.582	3	0.571
R29cpSSR	_	0.000	_	0.000	_	0.000	_	0.000	3	0.601	4	0.608	4	0.300
R30cpSSR	_	0.000	_	0.000	2	0.167	2	0.133	3	0.529	3	0.060	4	0.320
R31cpSSR	_	0.000	3	0.648	_	0.000	2	0.133	4	0.686	3	0.582	5	0.455
	1.9	0.362	2.1	0.323	1.5	0.077	2.0	0.195	3.5	0.545	3.5	0.547	8.4	0.469

*Note:* A = number of alleles per locus; h = unbiased haploid diversity; n = sample size.<sup>1</sup> Population information is provided in Appendix 1.

## **CONCLUSIONS**

Thirteen novel polymorphic chloroplast microsatellite markers designed for fine-leaved fescues showed a considerable amount of genetic variation within *F. rubra* populations. This set of novel polymorphic cpSSR markers provides a valuable tool for grass breeders, taxonomists, and population geneticists investigating fine-leaved *Festuca* taxa, which presumably crossamplify, especially within the *F. ovina* and *F. rubra* groups (Inda et al., 2008).

### LITERATURE CITED

- Darbyshire, S. J., and L. E. Pavlick. 2007. *Festuca* L. *In* M. E. Barkworth, K. M. Capels, S. Long, L. K. Anderton, and M. B. Piep [eds.], Flora of North America, vol. 24. Magnoliophyta: Commelinidae (in part): Poaceae, part 1, 389–443. Oxford University Press, New York, New York, USA.
- DIRIHAN, S., P. TERHO, M. HELANDER, AND K. SAIKKONEN. 2013. Efficient analysis of ploidy levels in plant evolutionary ecology. Caryologia: International Journal of Cytology, Cytosystematics and Cytogenetics 66: 251–256.
- EBERT, D., AND R. PEAKALL. 2009. Chloroplast simple sequence repeats (cpSSRs): Technical resources and recommendations for expanding cpSSR discovery and applications to a wide array of plant species. *Molecular Ecology Resources* 9: 673–690.
- GOULD, F. W., AND R. B. SHAW. 1983. Grass systematics, 2nd ed. Texas A&M University Press, College Station, Texas, USA.
- HAND, M. L., G. C. SPANGENBERG, J. W. FORSTER, AND N. O. I. COGAN. 2013. Plastome sequence determination and comparative analysis for members of the *Lolium-Festuca* grass species complex. G3: Genes|Genomes|Genetics 3: 607–616.
- INDA, L. A., J. G. SEGARRA-MORAGUES, J. MULLER, P. M. PETERSON, AND P. CATALAN. 2008. Dated historical biogeography of the temperate Loliinae (Poaceae, Pooideae) grasses in the northern and southern hemispheres. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 46: 932–957.
- LU, S.-L., X. CHEN, AND S. G. AIKEN. 2006. Festuca Linnaeus. In Z. Y. Wu, P. H. Raven, and D. Y. Hong [eds.], Flora of China, vol. 22, 225–242. Science Press, Beijing, China, and Missouri Botanical Garden Press, St. Louis, Missouri, USA.
- McGrath, S., T. R. Hodkinson, N. Salamin, and S. Barth. 2006. Development and testing of novel chloroplast microsatellite markers for *Lolium perenne* and other grasses (Poaceae) from de novo sequencing and in silico sequences. *Molecular Ecology Notes* 6: 449–454.
- Peakall, R., and P. E. Smouse. 2006. GenAlEx 6: Genetic analysis in Excel. Population genetic software for teaching and research. *Molecular Ecology Notes* 6: 288–295.
- Peakall, R., and P. E. Smouse. 2012. GenAlEx 6.5: Genetic analysis in Excel. Population genetic software for teaching and research—an update. *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)* 28: 2537–2539.
- Provan, J., W. Powell, and M. Hollingsworth. 2001. Chloroplast microsatellites: New tool for studies in plant ecology and evolution. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 16: 142–147.
- ROZEN, S., AND H. J. ŠKALETSKY. 2000. Primer3 on the WWW for general users and for biologist programmers. *In* S. Misener and S. A. Krawetz [eds.], Methods in molecular biology, vol. 132: Bioinformatics methods and protocols, 365–386. Humana Press, Totowa, New Jersey, USA.
- SAIKKONEN, K., P. R. WÄLI, AND M. HELANDER. 2010. Genetic compatibility determines endophyte-grass combinations. *PLoS ONE* 5: e11395.
- THURSTON, M. I., AND D. FIELD. 2005. MSATFINDER: Detection and characterisation of microsatellites. Website http://www.genomics.ceh.ac.uk/msatfinder/[accessed 8 April 2013].
- WÄLI, P. R., M. HELANDER, I. SALONIEMI, J. AHLHOLM, AND K. SAIKKONEN. 2009. Variable effects of endophytic fungus on seedling establishment of fine fescues. *Oecologia* 159: 49–57.

http://www.bioone.org/loi/apps 3 of 4

APPENDIX 1. Voucher information for Festuca rubra specimens used in this study.

Taxon	Population code	Locality	Geographic coordinates	Altitude (m)	Habitat	Voucher specimen <sup>a</sup>
Festuca rubra s.l.	BERG	Kinsarvik, Norway	60°22′43″N, 6°43′32″E	0	Seashore meadow	H1761060
Festuca rubra subsp. rubra	FAS2	Vidoy, Faroe Islands	62°22′3.4″N, 6°32′31.8″W	148	Meadow	H1762440
Festuca rubra subsp. arctica	GL1	Disko, Greenland	69°14′59″N, 53°31′15″W	1	Sandy seashore	H1757969
Festuca rubra subsp. rubra	HA1	Hanko, Finland	59°50′27″N, 23°13′15″E	1	Seashore meadow	H1762441
Festuca cf. rubra	SPGD	Cáceres, Spain	40°12′1.12″N, 5°45′11.03″W	768	Xerophytic forest	H1762442
Festuca rubra subsp. rothmaleri <sup>b</sup>	SPPOR	Salamanca, Spain	40°58′24.28″N, 5°57′33.69″W	812	Grassland "dehasa"	H1762443

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Vouchers deposited at the Botanical Museum (H), University of Helsinki.

http://www.bioone.org/loi/apps 4 of 4

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>This taxon is also treated as the species Festuca rothmaleri.