

Development of SSR Markers for the Genus Patellifolia (Chenopodiaceae)

Authors: Nachtigall, Marion, Bülow, Lorenz, Schubert, Jörg, and Frese,

Lothar

Source: Applications in Plant Sciences, 4(8)

Published By: Botanical Society of America

URL: https://doi.org/10.3732/apps.1600040

The BioOne Digital Library (https://bioone.org/) provides worldwide distribution for more than 580 journals and eBooks from BioOne's community of over 150 nonprofit societies, research institutions, and university presses in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences. The BioOne Digital Library encompasses the flagship aggregation BioOne Complete (https://bioone.org/subscribe), the BioOne Complete Archive (https://bioone.org/archive), and the BioOne eBooks program offerings ESA eBook Collection (https://bioone.org/esa-ebooks) and CSIRO Publishing BioSelect Collection (https://bioone.org/esa-ebooks) and CSIRO Publishing BioSelect Collection (https://bioone.org/csiro-ebooks).

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Digital Library, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at www.bioone.org/terms-of-use.

Usage of BioOne Digital Library content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non-commmercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne is an innovative nonprofit that sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.



PRIMER NOTE

DEVELOPMENT OF SSR MARKERS FOR THE GENUS PATELLIFOLIA (CHENOPODIACEAE)¹

MARION NACHTIGALL², LORENZ BÜLOW², JÖRG SCHUBERT³, AND LOTHAR FRESE^{2,4}

²Institute for Breeding Research on Agricultural Crops, Julius Kühn-Institut, Federal Research Centre for Cultivated Plants, Erwin-Baur-Str. 27, 06484 Quedlinburg, Germany; and ³Institute for Biosafety in Plant Biotechnology, Julius Kühn-Institut, Federal Research Centre for Cultivated Plants, Erwin-Baur-Str. 27, 06484 Quedlinburg, Germany

- Premise of the study: Microsatellite primers were developed to promote studies on the patterns of genetic diversity within Patellifolia patellaris (Chenopodiaceae) and the relationship between the three species of the genus Patellifolia.
- Methods and Results: The genomic sequence from P. procumbens was screened for simple sequence repeats (SSRs), and 3648 SSRs were identified. A subset of 53 SSR markers was validated, of which 25 proved to be polymorphic in the three species except for the P. webbiana—specific marker JKIPat16. The number of alleles ranged from 85 in P. patellaris, 187 in P. procumbens, and 202 in P. webbiana.
- Conclusions: The set of 25 new markers will facilitate studies of the relationships between the three Patellifolia species and of
 the spatial and temporal distribution of genetic diversity within the species.

Key words: Chenopodiaceae; genetic diversity; microsatellite marker; Patellifolia; polymorphism.

The genus *Patellifolia* A. J. Scott, Ford-Lloyd & J. T. Williams (Chenopodiaceae) is considered a valuable source of resistance traits for sugar beet breeding (Frese, 2002). It is composed of the tetraploid self-fertile species P. patellaris (Moq.) A. J. Scott, Ford-Lloyd & J. T. Williams and the two diploid self-sterile species P. procumbens (Chr. Sm.) A. J. Scott, Ford-Lloyd & J. T. Williams and P. webbiana (Moq.) A. J. Scott, Ford-Lloyd & J. T. Williams. Szota (1964, 1971; cited in Jassem, 1992) observed that the diploid species hybridize spontaneously, form fertile offspring, and should be considered distinct variants of the same species. Despite later attempts at clarification, this taxonomic question still remains unresolved. Patellifolia species are found primarily on the Canary Islands, Madeira, Cape Verde, Morocco, and the Iberian Peninsula. The species occur in dynamic habitats such as roadsides or abandoned agricultural fields. Their natural habitats and populations seem to be threatened (El Bahloul et al., 2009; Monteiro et al., 2013), which may cause loss of genetic diversity. Assessing genetic diversity and the extent of genetic erosion within species is essential for planning and implementation of effective conservation management and utilization programs.

Molecular markers like simple sequence repeats (SSRs) or microsatellites often exhibit a high allelic diversity and are able to detect polymorphisms (Wan et al., 2004), even between

¹Manuscript received 31 March 2016; revision accepted 23 May 2016. The authors thank A. Minoche, J. Dohm, and H. Himmelbauer for providing access to the unpublished genome assembly Papro-1.0 from *Patellifolia procumbens*; L. Duarte, I. Ferrando, P. P. Ferrer Gallego, M. L. Rubio Teso, and A. Santos Guerra for kindly providing samples of *P. patellaris* and *P. webbiana*; and the European Cooperative Program for Plant Genetic Resources (ECPGR; Rome, Italy) for co-funding.

⁴Author for correspondence: lothar.frese@julius-kuehn.de

doi:10.3732/apps.1600040

individuals (Jarne and Lagoda, 1996). SSRs represent sets of repeated small sequences found throughout the genome (Morgante and Olivieri, 1993). SSR markers developed in *Beta vulgaris* L. (McGrath et al., 2007) proved to be unsuitable for genetic diversity studies in *Patellifolia*. Furthermore, in our analysis of six SSR markers (Bv2, Bv3, Bv6, Bv7, BvMS67, and BvMS86) provided by El Bahloul and Gaboun (2013), we found that only two (Bv3, BvMS86) produced polymorphic PCR products in *Patellifolia*. Therefore, it was necessary to develop a larger set of new SSR markers to investigate the distribution of genetic diversity in the genus *Patellifolia*.

METHODS AND RESULTS

Microsatellite marker development-Five hundred forty-three mega base pairs representing 72,453 single sequences with an average size of 7499 nucleotides of the unpublished genome assembly Papro-1.0 from the P. procumbens accession BGRC 35335 (renamed by the genebank of the Institute of Plant Genetics and Crop Plant Research [IPK], Gatersleben, Germany, as BETA 951) were screened for SSRs using SciRoKo version 3.4 software (Kofler et al., 2007) and default search parameters. A study of barley sequences revealed a positive correlation between the length of di-, tri-, and tetranucleotide perfect repeats and degree of polymorphism (Thiel et al., 2003). Therefore, a Perl script was developed to filter SSRs for di-, tri-, and tetranucleotide perfect repeats and for SSRs of minimum lengths (18 nucleotides for di-, 21 nucleotides for tri-, 24 nucleotides for tetranucleotide repeats). Replication slippage events are the major cause of SSR mutations, and because a higher GC content favors replication slippage (Zhou et al., 2011), GC-rich SSRs may exhibit a higher degree of polymorphism. On the other hand, a high GC content can make PCR amplification difficult, so SSRs composed of solely A/T or G/C nucleotides were removed from the set of SSRs using the same Perl script, resulting in a total of 3648 SSRs. SciRoKo was used to extract the 200 nucleotides upstream and downstream flanking genomic sequences of the SSRs, and corresponding primers were designed with Primerfox (http://www.primerfox.com/) and Primer3 (Rozen and Skaletsky, 1999). Primers were 20 nucleotides in length, had a fairly high melting temperature of 60°C, and the size of the PCR products was approximately 200 bp (Table 1). Validation of 53 SSRs was conducted using a capillary

Applications in Plant Sciences 2016 4(8): 1600040; http://www.bioone.org/loi/apps © 2016 Nachtigall et al. Published by the Botanical Society of America.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution License (CC-BY-NC-SA).

Table 1. Characteristics of 25 polymorphic microsatellite markers developed from Patellifolia procumbens genomic sequences.

Locus		Primer sequences (5′–3′)	Repeat motif ^a	Allele size range (bp)	T_a (°C) ^b	GenBank accession no.
JKIPat01	F:	AGAGTACCTTGGAGGAATGG	$(GA)_8$	170–191	50	KU888809
	R:	CTTTAATAGATAGGGCCGCG	*			
JKIPat02	F:	AACGTCAACAAGCCCAATCG	$(CA)_8$	196–227	50	KU888810
	R:	AGGGAAAGTTGTAGTCCTGC				
JKIPat03		TTGCTTCATTCAACAGCCGC	$(TG)_{19}$	198–231	52	KU888811
		AAGTTCCATCATCCTGCAGG				
JKIPat04		TCTCTATTGGCCGGAAATGG	$(CT)_8$	216–228	52	KU888812
HZID (05		AGAAAGAAAGCAGAGCAGGG	(CT) (CA)	191 222	50	1711000012
JKIPat05		TTCTATCCTGCTGCTTCTGG	$(GT)_8(GA)_{16}$	181–232	50	KU888813
JKIPat06		GCTCAAAGTCTGCATTTCCC	(ΛG)	161–197	48	KU888814
JKIFatoo		AAGAAGAGAGAGCAGACAGC TCTCTGGTCCTCAAACAACC	$(AG)_{24}$	101–197	40	KU000014
JKIPat07		CTTCTTGCCTCTCATCTTGG	(TC) ₈	173–179	48	KU888815
JIXII ato /		GGGTACACATTCATGTGTCC	(10)8	173 177	40	KC000013
JKIPat08		TCGAAATTGGGAAAGGGTGG	(AG) ₈	183–193	48	KU888816
		CGGTCTCTGAAAGTTCATCC	(/8			
JKIPat10		ACCATGTTGGAGTTTCGAGG	$(CA)_6$	164–169	52	KU888817
		AGAACCCTTGTTTGGGAACG	. , ,			
JKIPat11	F:	CTCTTCTCACTTCTCACACG	$(TC)_{11}$	157–184	54	KU888818
	R:	TTTGGTTGATGTGGTTGGGC				
JKIPat12		GCAAGGAATTTGCAGTGAGG	$(AG)_9$	165–191	54	KU888819
		CGGCAAACAAACTCAATCCG				
JKIPat13		TACCTTGTGGTGACTTCTGG	$(CA)_2(GA)_8$	151–179	48	KU888820
HZID +1.4		ACAAGTATTCAGCAGGCAGC	(40)	210, 222	5.4	1711000001
JKIPat14		TTTCCTTGCTCATGTGTGGC	$(AC)_8$	219–223	54	KU888821
JKIPat15		AAACGCTTGGCATGACTTGC	(CT)	174–192	52	KU888822
JKIFati3		GACCATGTGACGTCTAAACC TTGCCTCAATCATCACCACC	$(GT)_{10}$	174–192	32	KU000022
JKIPat16		TTATACACACACACACGCGC	(CA) ₂₉	224–256	48	KU888823
JIXII di 10		CTTACTGGCGTTCTCTTTCC	(C11)29	224 230	40	KC000023
JKIPat17		TCCTCATTAACAAAGCCGC	$(CT)_{14}$	188–202	48	KU888824
		AGTTCAGCTACTTCATGCCG	(- /14			
JKIPat18		CTGGCAAGGTTAACGTTACC	$(TG)_8(AG)_4$	177–191	48	KU888825
	R:	GGATCAGCATTAGTCAACGG				
JKIPat19	F:	AACGCAAGCATAGTCAGTGG	$(GGAT)_8$	203-258	52	KU888826
	R:	TGCGAATTGCGTTGTTCAGC				
JKIPat20		TGTCTTAATCCGCTTGTCCG	$(TCTT)_9$	231–256	50	KU888827
		ATCAGTCAATCAGGATGCCG	(00.11)	177 107	50	*****
JKIPat21		GCTGAAGCACTAATTTGGGC	$(GGAA)_7$	175–195	50	KU888828
HZID 422		ATGCAACCTCACTCTTCTCG	((() (() () () () () () () () () () ()	120 140	50	1211000000
JKIPat22		AATGGAAGAAGTTGAGGGCC	$(GAAA)_3$	130–148	50	KU888829
JKIPat23		GTCTTCTTCTCCTCTCTCCC AAAGATAACGACACGTGGCG	$(TA)_8(GATA)_5$	185–224	50	KU888830
JIXII at25		CAATGAATGGTGGAAGGAGG	(1A)8(GA1A)5	103-224	30	K0000030
JKIPat24		TGCTCAGCAAATCACTGAGG	(ATTC) ₇	182–214	48	KU888831
		GGTATTCAGACTCAACCTGG	(10)/		.0	300007
JKIPat25		TTTGAAATCCTGGTTCCGCC	(GTGA) ₉	179–195	50	KU888832
		AGTCCAACCACCTTAGTACC	` ''			
JKIPat26		GTAGTCTGGTTCAAGACTCG	$(GA)_9(TAGA)_3$	159–191	48	KU888833
JMPat20	R:	GGAGGCTTCTTTGAAGATCC	•			

Note: T_a = annealing temperature.

electrophoresis Genome Laboratory GeXP Genetic Analysis System (Beckman Coulter, Brea, California, USA), resulting in 25 polymorphic markers, as well as by cloning and resequencing of the PCR products (Table 1).

Plant material and PCR protocol—Three P. patellaris populations originating from Murcia (AZO), Balerma (BAL), and Alicante (MOR), as well as one population each of P. procumbens (Tenerife) and P. webbiana (Gran Canaria), were included within the analysis (Appendix 1). The collectors photographed the plants of the five occurrences for documentation, collected voucher specimens of the three P. patellaris populations, sampled a maximum of 1 g of fresh leaf material from 20 to 40 individuals per species (Appendix 1), desiccated the material using silica gel within 24 h until brittle (Chase and Hills, 1991), and

stored it at room temperature before further processing. Genomic DNA was prepared from dried (20 mg) leaf material after vigorous homogenization in a mixer-mill disruptor according to a modified cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) protocol (Saghai-Maroof et al., 1984). DNA amplification was carried out in a total volume of $10\,\mu$ L. The PCR mix contained 25 ng of template DNA, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, $200\,\mu$ M of each dNTP, $0.25\,\mu$ M of each primer, and 0.5 units Taq DNA polymerase. A touchdown PCR profile was generally used (Table 1).

Microsatellite marker data analysis—Numbers of SSR alleles, polymorphism information content (PIC), observed heterozygosity (H_o), and gene diversity or expected heterozygosity (H_c) were calculated using the ALLELE procedure of SAS (version 9.3; SAS Institute, Cary, North Carolina, USA).

http://www.bioone.org/loi/apps 2 of 4

^aRefers to the resequenced PCR products from genomic *P. procumbens* (BETA 951) DNA (except JKIPat16: resequenced from genomic *P. webbiana* DNA).

^bTouchdown PCR profile: 5 min at 94°C; followed by 12 cycles of 30 s at 94°C, 45 s at 60–54°C (decreasing by 0.5°C/cycle), 45 s at 72°C; followed by 30 cycles of 30 s at 94°C, 45 s at 54°C, 45 s at 72°C; followed by a final extension at 72°C for 10 min.

TABLE 2. Genetic key data of newly developed SSR markers in three different Patellifolia patellaris populations.

	BAL2104150900 (n = 40)				MOR0903151000 (n = 20)				AZO2403151630 (n = 24)			
Locus	\overline{A}	PIC	$H_{\rm o}$	H_{e}	A	PIC	$H_{\rm o}$	H_{e}	A	PIC	$H_{\rm o}$	H_{e}
JKIPat01	3	0.174	0.000	0.184	2	0.091	0.000	0.095	1	0.000	0.000	0.000
JKIPat02	5	0.445	0.975	0.548	1	0.000	0.000	0.000	4	0.653	1.000	0.707
JKIPat03	3	0.371	0.725	0.471	6	0.697	0.700	0.733	2	0.364	0.792	0.478
JKIPat04	4	0.593	0.950	0.654	3	0.442	0.950	0.546	2	0.375	1.000	0.500
JKIPat05	3	0.411	0.975	0.524	1	0.000	0.000	0.000	1	0.000	0.000	0.000
JKIPat06	5	0.428	0.975	0.536	3	0.442	0.800	0.545	1	0.000	0.000	0.000
JKIPat07	6	0.446	0.975	0.548	2	0.091	0.000	0.095	1	0.000	0.000	0.000
JKIPat08	3	0.416	0.000	0.501	1	0.000	0.000	0.000	1	0.000	0.000	0.000
JKIPat10	4	0.368	0.000	0.423	2	0.223	0.000	0.255	1	0.000	0.000	0.000
JKIPat11	2	0.048	0.000	0.049	5	0.703	0.750	0.744	6	0.725	0.083	0.761
JKIPat12	4	0.428	0.975	0.536	2	0.375	1.000	0.500	3	0.525	1.000	0.603
JKIPat13	2	0.375	1.000	0.500	4	0.554	1.000	0.628	4	0.570	0.958	0.636
JKIPat14	4	0.475	0.925	0.569	1	0.000	0.000	0.000	2	0.328	0.000	0.413
JKIPat15	4	0.677	1.000	0.728	5	0.530	1.000	0.608	6	0.730	1.000	0.766
JKIPat17	2	0.129	0.000	0.139	2	0.091	0.000	0.095	2	0.239	0.250	0.278
JKIPat18	2	0.048	0.000	0.049	2	0.091	0.000	0.095	4	0.219	0.042	0.228
JKIPat19	5	0.618	1.000	0.677	5	0.644	0.950	0.696	3	0.525	1.000	0.603
JKIPat20	2	0.091	0.000	0.095	1	0.000	0.000	0.000	1	0.000	0.000	0.000
JKIPat21	7	0.524	0.950	0.603	2	0.375	1.000	0.500	2	0.375	1.000	0.500
JKIPat22	2	0.180	0.225	0.200	3	0.347	0.400	0.395	2	0.359	0.667	0.469
JKIPat23	4	0.280	0.000	0.303	1	0.000	0.000	0.000	1	0.000	0.000	0.000
JKIPat24	2	0.375	1.000	0.500	2	0.223	0.000	0.255	1	0.000	0.000	0.000
JKIPat25	3	0.421	0.675	0.508	2	0.269	0.400	0.320	1	0.000	0.000	0.000
JKIPat26	4	0.476	1.000	0.569	4	0.525	0.900	0.606	3	0.525	1.000	0.603
Total	85				62				55			

 $Note: A = number of observed alleles; H_c = expected heterozygosity; H_o = observed heterozygosity; n = number of individuals sampled; PIC = polymorphism information content.$

Altogether, the 25 polymorphic SSR loci yielded 85, 187, and 202 alleles in *P. patellaris*, *P. procumbens*, and *P. webbiana*, respectively. Most of the 25 SSR markers showed polymorphism in all three species. JKIPat16 constituted an exception as it amplified specifically in *P. webbiana* (Appendix S1). The number of alleles per locus within a species ranged from one to seven (*P. patellaris*), two to 15 (*P. procumbens*), and two to 14 (*P. webbiana*) (Table 2, Appendix S1). Of the individuals examined in the tetraploid species *P. patellaris*, each proved to carry a maximum of two alleles per SSR, possibly indicating allotetraploidy of this species

The PIC values were lowest in *P. patellaris* (0–0.730), followed by *P. webbiana* (0.040–0.878), and highest in *P. procumbens* (0.317–0.883). $H_{\rm o}$ and $H_{\rm e}$ were lowest in *P. patellaris* ($H_{\rm o}=0.000-1.000$, $H_{\rm e}=0.000-0.766$), slightly higher in *P. webbiana* ($H_{\rm o}=0.042-0.917$, $H_{\rm e}=0.041-0.888$), and highest in *P. procumbens* ($H_{\rm o}=0.208-0.958$, $H_{\rm e}=0.353-0.893$) (Table 2, Appendix S1).

Apart from phenotypic variation due to environmental effects, the three *P. patellaris* populations showed no apparent morphological differences. However, at the genetic level (Table 2), population BAL showed the highest genetic diversity with a total of 85 different alleles and all markers exhibiting polymorphisms, followed by population MOR (62 alleles) and population AZO (55 alleles). All markers except one (JKIPat17) yielded different numbers of alleles in the three populations (Table 2), reflecting high resolution of the marker set and its suitability for the analysis of genetic variation within and between *Patellifolia* populations.

CONCLUSIONS

Since the second half of the 19th century, taxonomists and geneticists have worked on the small genus *Patellifolia*. However, a reliable key to the species still does not exist and information on the evolutionary relationships between the three species is scarce. The new set of highly polymorphic SSR markers may prove useful to fill existing knowledge gaps. For instance, the 25 SSRs reported here may be used for studying the large-scale spatial distribution pattern of genetic diversity within the genus *Patellifolia*, the pattern of fine-scale spatial genetic structure at the population level, and evolutionary relationships

among the three species, and may also be useful for investigations of the species' mating systems and seed dispersal mechanisms.

The data presented here underline the field observations. The plant stand of *P. procumbens* sampled at Punta del Hidalgo showed large morphological variation that cannot be solely explained by a higher phenotypic plasticity or environmental factors. The high phenotypic variation at the natural site corresponds well with the high genetic diversity observed in *P. procumbens*. Self-fertile *P. patellaris* used in this study showed less SSR marker variation than the self-sterile species *P. procumbens*, which is likely due to a limited gene flow between occurrences of a self-fertile species that, in addition, is distributed in spatially isolated patches. These observations need to be investigated in detail in further studies.

LITERATURE CITED

CHASE, M. W., AND H. H. HILLS. 1991. Silica gel: An ideal material for field preservation of leaf samples for DNA studies. *Taxon* 40: 215–220.

- EL BAHLOUL, Y., P. VAN CUTSEM, AND M. SADIKI. 2009. Survey of wild *Beta* genetic resources in Morocco, 105–106. *In* L. Frese, L. Maggioni, and E. Lipman [eds.], Report of a Working Group on *Beta* and the World *Beta* Network. Third Joint Meeting, 8–11 March 2006, Puerto de la Cruz, Tenerife, Spain. Bioversity International, Rome, Italy.
- EL BAHLOUL, Y., AND F. GABOUN. 2013. Genetic structure analysis for Moroccan wild beet germplasm, 5. *In* L. Maggioni, L. Frese, and E. Lipman [eds.], Report of a Working Group on *Beta* and the World *Beta* Network. Fourth Joint Meeting, 20–22 June 2012, Cappelle-en-Pévèle, France. Bioversity International, Rome, Italy.

FRESE, L. 2002. Combining static and dynamic management of PGR: A case study of *Beta* genetic resources, 133–148. *In J. M. M. Engels*, V. R. Rao, A. H. D. Brown, and M. T. Jackson [eds.], Managing plant genetic diversity. CAB International, Wallingford, United Kingdom.

http://www.bioone.org/loi/apps 3 of 4

- JARNE, P., AND P. J. LAGODA. 1996. Microsatellites, from molecules to populations and back. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 11: 424–429.
- JASSEM, B. 1992. Species relationship in the genus *Beta* as revealed by crossing experiments, 55–61. *In* L. Frese [ed.], International *Beta* Genetic Resources Network. A report on the 2nd International *Beta* Genetic Resources Workshop held at the Institute for Crop Science and Plant Breeding, Braunschweig, Germany, 24–28 June 1991. International Crop Network Series No. 7. International Board for Plant Genetic Resources, Rome, Italy.
- Kofler, R., C. Schlötterer, and T. Lelley. 2007. SciRoKo: A new tool for whole genome microsatellite search and investigation. *Bioinformatics* (Oxford, England) 23: 1683–1685.
- McGrath, J. M., D. Trebbi, A. Fenwick, L. Panella, B. Schulz, V. Laurent, S. Barnes, and S. C. Murray. 2007. An open-source first-generation molecular genetic map from a sugarbeet × table beet cross and its extension to physical mapping. *Crop Science* 47(S1): S-27–S-44.
- Monteiro, F., M. M. Romeiras, D. Batista, and M. C. Duarte. 2013. Biodiversity assessment of sugar beet species and its wild relatives: Linking ecological data with new genetic approaches. *American Journal of Plant Sciences* 4: 21–34.

- MORGANTE, M., AND A. M. OLIVIERI. 1993. PCR-amplified microsatellites as markers in plant genetics. *Plant Journal* 3: 175–182.
- ROZEN, S., AND H. SKALETSKY. 1999. Primer3 on the WWW for general users and for biologist programmers. *In* S. Misener and S. A. Krawetz [eds.], Methods in molecular biology, vol. 132: Bioinformatics methods and protocols, 365–386. Humana Press, Totowa, New Jersey, USA.
- SAGHAI-MAROOF, M. A., K. M. SOLIMAN, R. A. JORGENSEN, AND R. W. ALLARD. 1984. Ribosomal DNA spacer-length polymorphisms in barley: Mendelian inheritance, chromosomal location, and population dynamics. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, USA 81: 8014–8018.
- THIEL, T., W. MICHALEK, R. K. VARSHNEY, AND A. GRANER. 2003. Exploiting EST databases for the development and characterization of gene-derived SSR-markers in barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.). Theoretical and Applied Genetics 106: 411–422.
- Wan, Q. H., H. Wu, T. Fujihara, and S. G. Fang. 2004. Which genetic marker for which conservation genetics issue? *Electrophoresis* 25: 2165–2176.
- ZHOU, Y., J. LIU, L. HAN, Z. G. LI, AND Z. ZHANG. 2011. Comprehensive analysis of tandem amino acid repeats from ten angiosperm genomes. *BMC Genomics* 12: 632.

APPENDIX 1. Voucher information for *Patellifolia* species used in this study.

Species	Population ID	Voucher specimen accession no. ^a	Collection locality	Collector	Geographic coordinates	n
P. patellaris (Moq.) A. J. Scott, Ford-Lloyd & J. T. Williams	BAL2104150900	GeDiPa-project-17, GeDiPa-project-18	Balerma, Playa de Balerma, Spain	Maria Luisa Rubio Teso & Linney Duarte	36.723517°N, 2.88011°W	40
P. patellaris	MOR090315100	GeDiPa-project-1, GeDiPa-project-2, GeDiPa-project-3	Alicante, Cap de Moraira, Cova de les Cendres, Spain	P. Pablo Ferrer Gallego & Inmaculada Ferrando	38.68559°N, 0.152064°E	20
P. patellaris	AZO2403151630	GeDiPa-project-12	Murcia, La Azohia, Playa de la Azohia, Spain	Maria Luisa Rubio Teso	37.557442°N, 1.168407°W	24
P. procumbens (Chr. Sm.) A. J. Scott, Ford-Lloyd & J. T. Williams	TPH0604151144	_	Tenerife, Punta del Hidalgo, Spain	Lothar Frese	28.573109°N, 16.318080°W	7 24
P. webbiana (Moq.) A. J. Scott, Ford-Lloyd & J. T. Williams	Graisl1	_	La Isleta, Gran Canaria, Spain	Arnoldo Santos Guerra	28.165702°N, 15.437437°W	7 24

Note: n = number of individuals.

http://www.bioone.org/loi/apps 4 of 4

^aVouchers deposited at the Herbarium of the Instituto de Investigação Científica Tropical (LISC), Lisbon, Portugal. For TPH0604151144, several plants were photographed to document the phenotypic variation. For Graisl1, *P. webbiana* is a highly endangered species; a photo was taken.