

## Ornithology from the Tree Tops

Author: Bijlsma, Rob G.

Source: *Ardea*, 97(3) : 269-270

Published By: Netherlands Ornithologists' Union

URL: <https://doi.org/10.5253/078.097.0301>

---


BioOne Complete ([complete.BioOne.org](https://complete.BioOne.org)) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at [www.bioone.org/terms-of-use](https://www.bioone.org/terms-of-use).

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non - commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

---

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.



## Ornithology from the tree tops

On 4 June 1930, the participants of the VIIth Ornithological Congress at Amsterdam had the opportunity to visit estate Gooilust near 's-Graveland, where Frans Ernst Blaauw had settled after his marriage with Lady Louise Digna Catharina Six, and had built himself a large private zoo. The extensive lawns, stately trees and ponds were particularly suited for keeping waterfowl. In its heyday, the collection contained hundreds of birds and mammals, all in all some 100 species. Although much smaller than the other internationally renowned private Garden of Eden, *i.e.* the one of Jean Delacour in Normandy (3000 individuals and 500 species; Delacour's first collection in Picardy was utterly destroyed during the Great War; Mayr 1986), it was a fine collection with a large variety of waterbirds, including *Cygnus buccinator*, *C. columbianus*, *C. melancorypha*, *Coscoroba coscoroba*, *Anser canagicus*, *A. caerulescens*, *A. rossii*, all the common *Anser* and *Branta* species, *Branta sandvicensis*, *B. ruficollis*, all *Chloephaga* species, *Neochen jubata* and a plethora of duck species. Blaauw, like Delacour and Peter Scott, was a waterfowl aficionado with the means to purchase and breed rare species in a private collection (Blaauw 1913). In the not yet embanked and unspoiled wetlands of The Netherlands in his days, many fewer waterbird species were breeding, and these were in dire straits. Greylag Geese were all but gone, Garganey and Shoveler already in decline, Mute Swans just gaining a toehold.

At present, the situation is of an entirely different order. Take a summer trip along one of the rivers, the IJssel for example, and the eye meets a bewildering array of waterbirds. Apart from the omnipresence of indigenous Cormorants, herons, White Storks, Mute Swans, Gadwalls and Tufted Ducks (all of them scarce or absent half a century ago), exotic species abound. Indeed, the overwhelming impression is one of being

out-of-place. Large flocks of Egyptian Geese let themselves be heard and seen, Greater Canada Geese roam the grasslands, Bar-headed, Swan, Snow, Greater White-fronted and Upland Geese add to the confusion, Mandarin Ducks weave their way across nearby woodland edges. And what to think of the Greylag Goose, a breeding bird which suffered into oblivion in the early 20th century, habitat loss and hunters having no mercy with this – then – shy wetland species. Substantial efforts were made in the 1960s, to restore Greylag Geese as a breeding bird in The Netherlands. Clipped birds – partly from Danish stock but who knows what else – were released in De Rottige Meenthe in Frisia in the 1960s, and elsewhere. Although it is unclear whether these reintroductions were responsible for the subsequent boom, there can be no doubt that continued releases are a decisive factor in any successful establishment. In fact, for exotic birds this is often the most parsimonious explanation for success (Blackburn *et al.* 2009), but it probably also holds for reintroduced species. Bringing exotic birds to a novel environment is a distinctly non-random event, driven by mundane decisions connected with taxonomic biases in the bird trade industry (waterfowl being a clear favourite), from where birds are extracted, locations where to introduce (affluent societies) and the number of individuals escaped or introduced (and number of repeats after failures). Is this another reason why exotic waterbirds swamped our country from the 1970s onwards? As prosperity increased after several decades of struggle to recoup from the ravages caused by World War II, many people started private aviaries with exotic birds. Formerly a privilege of the rich, nowadays many a farm is adorned with Flamingoes, cranes, geese, ducks, even Wallabies and what not. Inevitably, birds started to escape and propagate in the wild. An important parallel

development, substantially boosting reproductive success, was the steep increase in fertilizer usage (>250 kg manure and fertilizer/ha/year) and the conversion of grassland into cropland with oilseed rape, potatoes, sugarbeets and winter cereals (van Eerden *et al.* 2005). High quality food! The Netherlands has become a huge Garden of Eden for avian herbivores, especially swans and geese. Where formerly private initiatives, like Blaauw's, created small pockets of non-indigenous waterbirds for the happy few, nowadays EU-funded agriculture has created a similar phenomenon on a country-wide scale, for all to see and rejoice in. Who could have foreseen that the combined population of breeding geese in The Netherlands would increase from 200 pairs in 1970 to 40 000 in 2005 (among which 25 000 Greylag Geese and 6000 Barnacle Geese; Voslamber *et al.* 2007)? The change was almost apocalyptic in the experience of Albert Beintema (2007), when he revisited an island in the Naardermeer after an absence of >40 years: trampled and mud-covered vegetation, tens of deserted nests with hundreds of rotting eggs, a scattering of loose eggs, interspecific egg dumping... In his words: "Complete madness. A multicultural orgy of geese." So, rejoice in? No, not really. The organisations and governmental agencies involved in the attempts to bring the Greylag Goose back into the Dutch landscape in the 1960s are at present – although on paper a bit more reserved after public outcries – outdoing themselves to eradicate breeding geese, using shooting, gass (to kill captured geese), nest destruction, outfencing foraging grounds (starving goslings to death)... No lack of imagination here. The double turnaround from eradication to protection/reintroduction to culling makes one wonder about the value of Red Lists and scientific research.

Invasions, especially of exotic species, are generally considered as unwanted, either perceived as a threat to indigenous species, or disrupting local ecosystems and causing considerable damage to economic interests. But many invasions are of indigenous species, which –

in one way or another – have suddenly found conditions suitable to expand and increase. Many such opportunities have been created by mankind, particularly so in the last few centuries when man's impact on the environment has led to loss and fragmentation of pristine habitats in favour of highly productive monocultures. The Barnacle Goose in The Netherlands is a nice example. Another one are the Great-tailed Grackles, which suddenly arrived at a Biological Station in a pristine dry forest reserve in Mexico (see Ian MacGregor-Fors *et al.* in this issue of Ardea). This successful, aggressive non-exotic invader may well prove a nuisance (to say the least) to the bird population of the tropical dry forest. What to do? Remove them? But at what cost? Given the fact that the landscape surrounding the reserve has changed in favour of this species, the chances are that a continuous trickle of grackles will invade the reserve. And is the very presence of a Biological Station within the reserve not an open invitation for intruders in itself? In short, golden opportunities for students interested in invasion ecology.

- Beintema A. 2007. Het begon met het Naardermeer. Fontaine uitgevers, 's-Gravenland.
- Blaauw F.E. 1913. Een en ander over zwanen en ganzen op Gooilust te 's-Graveland. *Ardea* 2: 23–27.
- Blackburn T.M., Lockwood J.L. & Cassey P. 2009. Avian invasions. The ecology and evolution of exotic birds. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Brouwer G.A. 1954. Historische gegevens over onze vroegere ornithologen en over de avifauna van Nederland. *Ardea* 41: 1–225.
- Mayr E. 1986. In Memoriam: Jean (Theodore) Delacour. *Auk* 103: 603–605.
- van Eerden M.R., Drent R.H., Stahl J. & Bakker J.P. 2005. Connecting seas: western Palaearctic continental flyway for waterbirds in the perspective of changing landuse and climate. *Global Change Biol.* 11: 894–908.
- Voslamber B., van der Jeugd H. & Koffijberg K. 2007. Aantallen, trends en verspreiding van overzomerende ganzen in Nederland. *Limosa* 80: 1–17.

Rob G. Bijlsma

# ARDEA

TIJDSCHRIFT DER NEDERLANDSE ORNITHOLOGISCHE UNIE (NOU)

*ARDEA* is the scientific journal of the Netherlands Ornithologists' Union (NOU), published bi-annually in spring and autumn. Next to the regular issues, special issues are produced frequently. The NOU was founded in 1901 as a non-profit ornithological society, composed of persons interested in field ornithology, ecology and biology of birds. All members of the NOU receive *ARDEA* and *LIMOSA* and are invited to attend scientific meetings held two or three times per year.

## NETHERLANDS ORNITHOLOGISTS' UNION (NOU)

**Chairman** – J.M. Tinbergen, Animal Ecology Group, University of Groningen, P.O. Box 14, 9750 AA Haren, The Netherlands

**Secretary** – P.J. van den Hout, Royal Netherlands Institute for Sea Research (NIOZ), P.O. Box 59, 1790 AB Den Burg, Texel, The Netherlands (hout@nioz.nl)

**Treasurer** – E.C. Smith, Ir. van Stuivenbergweg 4, 6644 AB Ewijk, The Netherlands (ekko.diny@planet.nl)

**Further board members** – E. Boerma, G.J. Gerritsen, J. Komdeur, J. Ouwehand, G.L. Ouweneel, J.J. de Vries

**Membership NOU** – The 2010 membership fee for persons with a postal address in The Netherlands is €42 (or €25 for persons <25 years old at the end of the year). Family members (€9 per year) do not receive journals. Foreign membership amounts to €54 (Europe), or €65 (rest of the world). Payments to ING-bank account 285522 in the name of Nederlandse Ornithologische Unie, Sloetmarke 41, 8016 CJ Zwolle, The Netherlands (BIC: INGBNL2A and IBAN: NL36INGB0000285522). Payment by creditcard is possible. Correspondence concerning membership, payment alternatives and change of address should be sent to: Erwin de Visser, Sloetmarke 41, 8016 CJ Zwolle, The Netherlands (nou ledenadmin@gmail.com).

**Research grants** – The NOU supports ornithological research and scientific publications through its Huib Kluijver Fund and the 'Stichting Vogeltekstation'. Applications for grants can be addressed to the NOU Secretary. Donations to either fund are welcomed by the NOU treasurer.

**Internet** – [www.nou.nu](http://www.nou.nu)

## ARDEA

**Editors of ARDEA** – Rob G. Bijlsma, Wapse (Editor in chief); Christiaan Both, Groningen; Niels J. Dingemanse, Groningen; Dik Heg, Bern; Ken Kraaijeveld, Leiden; Jouke Prop, Ezinge (Technical editor); Julia Stahl, Oldenburg; B. Irene Tieleman, Groningen; Yvonne I. Verkuil, Groningen

**Dissertation reviews** – Popko Wiersma, Groningen

**Editorial address** – Jouke Prop, Allersmaweg 56, 9891 TD Ezinge, The Netherlands (ardea.nou@planet.nl)

**Graphics** – Dick Visser, Haren

**Artwork** – Jos Zwarts, Bunnik

**Internet** – [www.ARDEAJournal.nl](http://www.ARDEAJournal.nl)

**Subscription ARDEA** – Separate subscription to *ARDEA* is possible. The 2010 subscription rates are €36 (The Netherlands), €42 (Europe), and €50 (rest of the world). Institutional subscription rates are €53, €69, and €78, respectively). Papers that were published more than five years ago can be freely downloaded as pdf by anyone through *ARDEA*'s website. More recent papers are available only to members of the NOU and subscribers of *ARDEA*-online. Receiving a hard-copy with additional access to *ARDEA*-online costs €55 (The Netherlands and Europe), €70 (rest of the world), or €110 (institutions). Subscriptions to *ARDEA*-online (without receiving a hard copy) cost €40 (individuals worldwide), or €85 (institutions). Payments to ING-bank account 125347, in the name of Nederlandse Ornithologische Unie, Ir. van Stuivenbergweg 4, 6644 AB Ewijk, The Netherlands (BIC: INGBNL2A and IBAN: NL16INGB0000125347). Correspondence concerning subscription, change of address, and orders for back volumes to: Ekko Smith, Ir. van Stuivenbergweg 4, 6644 AB Ewijk, The Netherlands (ekko.diny@planet.nl).

**Exchange of publications** – All periodicals sent in exchange for *ARDEA* should be addressed to: Library of the Netherlands Ornithologists' Union (NOU), c/o Tineke Prins, Institute of Systematics and Population Biology, Zoological Museum, P.O. Box 94766, 1090 GT Amsterdam, The Netherlands.

**Books for review** – should be addressed to: *ARDEA* Secretariat, c/o J. Prop, Animal Ecology Group, Biological Centre, P.O. Box 14, 9750 AA Haren, The Netherlands. After review, the books will be deposited in the NOU Library in Haren.

**NOU Library (journals)** – Mauritskade 57, Amsterdam, Mo–Fr 10:00–16:00 (to check in advance by telephone + 31 20 525 6614).

**NOU Library (books)** – Library Biological Centre, Kerklaan 30, Haren (G.), Mo–Thu 09:00–17:00 (to check at [www.rug.nl/bibliotheek/locaties/bibfwn/index](http://www.rug.nl/bibliotheek/locaties/bibfwn/index)).

© Nederlandse Ornithologische Unie (NOU), 2009

Layout by Dick Visser, Haren, The Netherlands

Printed by Van Denderen, Groningen, The Netherlands, October 2009

Downloaded From: <https://bioone.org/journals/Ardea> on 20 May 2024

Terms of Use: <https://bioone.org/terms-of-use>