

Parasitic and Phoretic Arthropods of the Elephant-Eared and the Santa Cruz Kangaroo Rats

Authors: Thomas, Howard H., Best, Troy L., and Lydeard, Charles

Source: Journal of Wildlife Diseases, 27(2): 358-360

Published By: Wildlife Disease Association

URL: https://doi.org/10.7589/0090-3558-27.2.358

BioOne Complete (complete.BioOne.org) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at www.bioone.org/terms-of-use.

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non - commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

Parasitic and Phoretic Arthropods of the Elephant-Eared and the Santa Cruz Kangaroo Rats

Howard H. Thomas, 'Troy L. Best,' and Charles Lydeard,' Department of Biology, Fitchburg State College, Fitchburg, Massachusetts 01420, USA; Department of Zoology and Wildlife Science, 331 Funchess Hall, Auburn University, Alabama 36849, USA

ABSTRACT: The parasitic and phoretic arthropods of the elephant-eared kangaroo rat (Dipodomus elephantinus) and Santa Cruz kangaroo rat (D. venustus) are reported; most of these represent new host records. Thirteen of 14 (93%) of D. elephantinus and 11 of 12 (92%) of D. venustus had 11 and nine arthropod species. respectively. Larval and nymphal stages of the tick Dermacentor occidentalis were the most prevalent parasite (77%) on D. elephantinus whereas the mesostigmatid mite Androlaelaps fahrenholzi was the most prevalent (56%) on D. venustus. The arthropod fauna of these two closely related rodents were similar with seven of the 14 arthropod species occurring on both host species. Two species of the host specific listrophorid mite of the genus Geomylichus were found on both hosts.

Key words: Parasitic arthropods, phoretic arthropods, kangaroo rats, ticks, mites, Dipodomys elephantinus, Dipodomys venustus, survey.

Little is known regarding the parasitic and phoretic arthropods of the elephanteared kangaroo rat (Dipodomys elephantinus) and the Santa Cruz kangaroo rat (D. venustus) (Whitaker, 1991). These two closely related endemic species inhabit the coastal ranges between San Francisco and Los Angeles, California (USA). As a result of the field collections reported herein, Fain et al. (1988) reported a new species of astigmatid mite (Geomylichus californicus) that was collected from both host species. In addition, G. texanus described by Fain et al. (1978), also was reported from both hosts. Prior to this record, the tick *Ixodes* jellisoni reported by Furman and Loomis (1984) and flea Meringis cummingi (Eads et al., 1987) were the only parasitic arthropods reported from D. elephantinus (Whitaker, 1991).

Whitaker (1991) listed five species of chiggers, one species of tick, and seven

species of fleas from *D. venustus*. The purposes of our study were to (1) present further information on the arthropod fauna for these two species of kangaroo rats and (2) determine similarities of the arthropod faunas between these two closely related host species.

During 13 to 22 June 1984 the following host specimens were collected in California (USA): 14 specimens of D. elephantinus from 1.6 km N Pinnacles, San Benito County (approximately 36°29'N, 120°-11'W); one specimen of D. venustus from Hastings Natural History Reservation, 22.4 km SE Carmel Valley, Monterey County (approximately 36°26'N, 121°42'W; and 11 specimens of D. venustus from 1.9 km SE Felton, Santa Cruz County (approximately 36°59′N, 122°01′W). Host specimens were collected in Sherman live and Victor snapback kill traps. Rodents collected alive, along with their external parasites, were placed in individual paper bags and killed using chloroform. Snap-trapped hosts were placed in individual paper bags at the capture site and also exposed to chloroform to kill the arthropods. Both the host and paper bag were examined and arthropods were collected using a dissecting microscope then placed in 70% isopropyl alcohol. Subsequently, the alcohol was removed and replaced with Nesbitt's acid fuchsin stain. The arthropods remained in the stain for 7 to 14 days and then were mounted on microscope slides using Hoyer's mounting medium. Hosts were preserved as standard museum specimens and deposited in the University of New Mexico Museum of Southwestern Biology (Albuquerque, New Mexico 87131, USA); accession numbers TLB 10123-10126, 10129, 10149-10156 for D. elephantinus; 10292,

TABLE 1. Arthropods of Dipodomys elephantinus and D. venustus.

Arthropods	D. elephantinus			D. venustus		
	Num- ber•	Intensity	Preva- lence	Num- ber•	Intensity ^b	Preva- lence
SIPHONAPTERA				- -		
Hystrichopsyllidae						
Meringis cummingi male	7	1.8 ± 0.3	31%	4	1.3 ± 0.3	33%
female	3	1.0 ± 0.0	23%	5	1.6 ± 0.3	44%
ANOPLURA						
Hoplopleuridae (larvae)	1	1.0	8%			
ACARINA						
Ixodidae						
Dermacentor occidentalis						
Larvae	45	7.5 ± 4.5	46%	13	4.3 ± 1.2	27%
Nymph	13	1.9 ± 0.7	54%			
Ixodes pacificus nymph				1	1.0	9%
Ameroseiidae						
Sertitympanum contiguum	21	10.5 ± 9.6	15%	3	1.5 ± 0.5	18%
Trombiculidae						
Euschoengastia decipiens	3	3.0	8%			
Listrophoridae						
Geomylichus californicus	6	2.0 ± 0.6	23%	23	4.6 ± 2.2	46%
G. texanus	15	3.8 ± 2.5	39%	3	1.5 ± 0.5	18%
Laelapidae						
Androlaelaps fahrenholzi	11	5.5 ± 4.6	15%	27	5.4 ± 4.0	55%
Eubrachylaelaps circularis		2.2 _ 2.0		1	1.0	9%
Ischyropoda armatus				ì	1.0	9%
Echinonyssus sp.	6	2.9 ± 2.0	23%			
E. incomptis	6	2.0 ± 1.0	23%	1	1.0	9%
E. triacanthus	2	2.0	8%			

^{*} Number of individual parasites collected.

10312–10315, 10327–10329, 10336–10339 for *D. venustus*). Representative specimens of the arthropods recovered from both hosts in this study are deposited in the U.S. National Parasite Collection, Bethesda, Maryland 20708, USA; accession numbers 81370–81382.

Eleven species of arthropods were recovered from 13 of 14 (93%) individuals of D. elephantinus (Table 1). There was 2.7 ± 0.4 species/host with a maximum of six species on a single host. With the exception of Meringis cummingi, all arthropods found on D. elephantinus represent new host records. The report of Sertitympanum contiguum represents a new state record having been previously reported from D. californicus in Oregon (El-

sen and Whitaker, 1985). Nine species of arthropods were collected from 11 of 12 (92%) individuals of *D. venustus* (Table 1). There were 2.4 ± 0.3 species/host with a maximum of five on a single host. With the exception of *Dermacentor occidentalis*, *Geomylichus californicus*, *G. texanus*, and *Meringis cummingi*, the arthropods reported from *D. venustus* represent new host records.

The arthropod faunas of the two hosts exhibited similar prevalences, and seven of the 14 (50%) arthropods were found on both hosts. Three species of parasites, an unidentified larval hoplopleurid louse, the trombiculid mite Euschoengastia decipiens and the laelapid mite Echinonyssus triacanthus were recovered from D. ele-

^b Mean intensity ± SE.

[°] Prevalence (%).

phantinus, but not from D. venustus. Conversely, three species (21%) represented by two laelapid mites (Eubrachylaelaps circularis and Ischyropoda armatus) and the tick Ixodes pacificus were recovered only from D. venustus. Whitaker (1990) indicated that there was considerable host specificity among species of the listrophorid mite genus Geomylichus and that there may be a strong coevolutionary link between the rodent family Heteromyidae and the genus Geomylichus. Therefore, the co-occurrence of both Geomylichus californicus and G. texanus on both host species supports a close taxonomic relationship between the two host species.

We thank H. Bacon for permission to collect on his ranch, personnel at the Hastings Natural History Reserve for granting permission for us to collect specimens, and the California Department of Fish and Game for providing a collecting permit. Additionally, J. O. Whitaker, Jr., and A. Fain, R. E. Lewis, and W. Wrenn confirmed specimens of mites, fleas, and chiggers, respectively. Melissa J. Barrette typed various copies of the manuscript. This project was supported, in part, by a Profes-

sional Development Grant, Fitchburg State College. This is journal article number 15.892335 of the Alabama Agricultural Experiment Station.

LITERATURE CITED

- EADS, R. B., E. G. CAMPOS, AND G. O. MAUPIN. 1987.
 A review of the genus *Meringis* (Siphonaptera: Hystrichopsyllidae). Journal of Medical Entomology 24: 467-476.
- ELSEN, P., AND J. O. WHITAKER, JR. 1985. Sertitympanum, a new genus of Ameroseiidae (Acarina: Mesostigmata) taken from rodents in the United States: Including descriptions of three new species in the genus. Acarologia 26: 117-122.
- FAIN, A., J. O. WHITAKER, JR., T. G. SCHWAN, AND F. S. LUKOSCHUS. 1978. Notes on the genus Geomylichus Fain, 1970 (Astigmata, Listrophoridae) and description of six new species. International Journal of Acarology 4: 101-114.
- , ——, AND H. H. THOMAS. 1988. Two new species of *Geomylichus* Fain, 1970 (Acari, Listrophoridae) from California, U.S.A. International Journal of Acarology 14: 121-125.
- FURMAN, D. P., AND E. C. LOOMIS. 1984. The ticks of California (Acari: Ixodida). Bulletin of the California Insect Survey 25: 1–239.
- WHITAKER, J. O., JR. 1991. Parasites of heteromyids. In Biology of the Heteromyidae, H. H. Genoways and J. H. Brown (eds.). Special Publication, American Society of Mammalogists. In press.

Received for publication 12 December 1988.