

Influence of Grazing Season, Residual Herbage, and Precipitation on Rumen Extrusa Diet Quality✩**,**✩✩

Authors: Waterman, Richard C., Vermeire, Lance T., Reinhart, Kurt O., and Rinella, Matthew J.

Source: Rangeland Ecology and Management, 78(1) : 117-126

Published By: Society for Range Management

URL: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rama.2021.06.004

BioOne Complete (complete.BioOne.org) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at www.bioone.org/terms-of-use.

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non - commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](http://www.ScienceDirect.com)

Rangeland Ecology & Management

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/rama

Influence of Grazing Season, Residual Herbage, and Precipitation on Rumen Extrusa Diet Quality ☆ ☆☆☆

Richard C. Waterman[∗] , Lance T. Vermeire, Kurt O. Reinhart, Matthew J. Rinella

Authors are from the US Department of Agriculture-Agricultural Research Services Fort Keogh Livestock and Range Research Laboratory, Miles City, MT 59301-4016, USA

a r t i c l e i n f o

Article history: Received 12 January 2021 Revised 25 May 2021 Accepted 9 June 2021

Key Words: fall grazing fiber grassland micromineral protein ruminant

a b s t r a c t

While considerable research has quantified effects of grazing management and precipitation on plant communities, less is known about how seasonal effects influence extrusa diet quality selected by grazing ruminants. We tested effects of cattle grazing to two residual herbages (600 kg [moderate] or 300 kg [low] animal unit mo [AUM]/ha) and two grazing seasons (summer and fall) on 20 indicators of ruminant extrusa diet quality over a 6-yr period. We found no effect of residual herbage on 13 indicators of diet extrusa quality ($P \ge 0.13$) and no effect of season on 4 indicators of extrusa diet quality ($P \ge 0.0773$). We did, however, detect effects of yr on extrusa diet quality metrics and nutrients, likely due to variation in annual precipitation, which ranged from 63.9% to 138.6% of the long-term average. Most noticeably, the mineral Mn in extrusa was substantially lower in yrs with higher precipitation (*P* < 0.0001). While grazing intensity had divergent effects on forage quality in the summer versus fall, annual precipitation was often important. Crude protein was lowest in fall of 2013 (6.5%) and 2018 (7.78%), two high-precipitation yrs, but it was also low in fall of 2015 (7.30%), a low-precipitation yr (*P* < 0.0001). Forage extrusa Cu, an important catalyst in ruminant metabolism, exhibited a $yr \times$ season interaction. Copper in fall of 2018 was greater compared with all other yrs and seasons in the study (*P* < 0.0001), 35.7% greater than summer of 2018, a high-precipitation yr, and 82.9% and 43.5% greater than summer and fall of 2013, another highprecipitation yr. Seasonal variation in diet nutrients and quality indicators were complex and complicated by yr effects, which sometimes related to precipitation amounts.

Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of The Society for Range Management. This is an open access article under the CC BY license [\(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/\)](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

Introduction

Precipitation is the greatest controlling factor for rangeland productivity in terms of both short-term fluctuation (weather) or long-term shifts (climate). Short-term weather and its interacting effects with management are of significant interest because these relatively short pulses of precipitation cause rapid changes in rangeland [productivity](#page-9-0) and are experienced most often (Lauenroth and Sala 1992). More specific to the northern Great Plains, about 90% of annual primary [productivity](#page-10-0) occurs by 1 July (Vermeire et al. 2009) and biomass production is strongly correlated with to-

tal precipitation occurring in April and May [\(Heitschmidt](#page-9-0) and Vermeire 2005). Regionally, 80% of the wet or dry periods $(\pm 25\%)$ of the median) are short duration and last 1 or 2 consecutive yr (Vermeire, *unpublished data*). During the past 80 yr, the longest period of consecutive spring drought was 4 yr (1949−1952: *unpublished data*). Recently, concern about long-term climate change has increased. Shifts in precipitation along with more extreme weather events (e.g., deluge, drought) are [projected](#page-10-0) (Shafer et al. 2014; [Derner](#page-9-0) et al. 2018). Scientists have also observed that growing seasons have [lengthened](#page-9-0) over the past 100 yr (Dunnell and Travers 2011). A concern is that recent historical records of weather and rangeland production data are not enough to accurately assess plant and animal responses to long-term changes in precipitation.

Rangeland management practices may also influence the quantity and quality of grazeable forages. Most research on grazing effects has focused on periods of plant growth, partially because this may be when plants are most sensitive to grazing. Less is known about effects of grazing while rangeland plants are dormant [\(McGeough](#page-10-0) et al. 2017; [Wyffels](#page-10-0) et al. 2019). Smart et al. (2012) tested effects of clipping during the growing and dormant seasons and observed clipping in winter versus summer did not

1550-7424/Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of The Society for Range Management. This is an open access article under the CC BY license [\(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/\)](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

 ϕ Mention of any trade name or proprietary product does not constitute a guarantee or warranty by the authors or USDA-ARS, nor does it imply the approval of these products to the exclusion of others.

^{✩✩} The USDA-ARS, Plains Area, is an equal opportunity/affirmative action employer, and all agency services are available without discrimination.

[∗] Correspondence: Richard C. Waterman, US Department of Agriculture−Agricultural Research Services Fort Keogh Livestock and Range Research Laboratory, 243 Ft Keogh Rd, Miles City, MT 59301-4016, USA, 406-874-8200

E-mail addresses: [richard.waterman@usda.gov,](mailto:richard.waterman@usda.gov) richard.waterman@ars.usda.gov (R.C. Waterman).

affect plant species composition, suggesting that land managers and livestock producers may improve harvest efficiency (utilization of available biomass) by grazing during the dormant season. However, the quality of forages ingested by ruminants tends to be greater when plants are actively growing than when senescent [\(Adams](#page-9-0) and Short 1988; [Johnson](#page-9-0) et al. 1998; [Grings](#page-9-0) et al. 2005). Senescent vegetation is less susceptible to defoliation by livestock due to increased lignification [\(Vallentine](#page-10-0) 1990). While much is known about how to sustainably manage rangelands during the growing season, research is needed to better understand how to use rangelands during seasons of dormancy.

Developing strategies for greater dormant-season utilization demands identifying maximum thresholds for the plant communities and how those thresholds may be affected by interactions with short-term and long-term changes in precipitation. Cattle growth varies dramatically from yr to yr, and factors responsible for this variation are not fully [elucidated](#page-9-0) [\(Nardone](#page-10-0) et al. 2010; Derner et al. 2018). Data describing how weather (or climate) interacts with management practices and other disturbances are essential to fully understand these interactions. Some climate change forecasts predict improved livestock productivity in northern latitudes resulting from increased quantity and quality of primary production with increases in both temperature and precipitation [\(Baker](#page-9-0) et al. 1993; Bolortsetseg and [Tuvaansuren](#page-9-0) 1996), while others have detected decreases in forage quality [\(Craine](#page-9-0) et al. 2010; [Craine](#page-9-0) et al. 2017; [Brookshire](#page-9-0) et al. 2020).

To better understand the effects of grazing management and precipitation on indicators of forage quality and animal productivity, we conducted a 6-yr field experiment (2013−2018). The experiment tested the effects of grazing to leave residual herbage of 600 kg/ha (moderate) or 300 kg/ha (low) and season (June [summer], October/November [fall]) on diet extrusa nutrient composition (defined as extrusa diet quality at the time of turnout with five treatment replications). We hypothesized that extrusa diet quality would be greater in summer than fall and greater in wet compared to dry yr. The low residual biomass treatment may increase grazing-tolerant plant species and possibly increase the abundance of forages that are less susceptible to defoliation by ruminants or may just increase herbaceous consumption of present vegetation. However, less is known about whether stocking effects lead to [compositional](#page-10-0) shifts with divergent forage quality (Porensky et al. 2017).

Methods

Study area

This study was conducted August 2013 through October 2018 at the Fort Keogh Livestock and Range Research Laboratory located approximately 1.6 km west of Miles City, Montana (46°22N, ´ $105°5W$). The lab's Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee approved all animal handling and experimental procedures used in the present study (22719-5). The experimental site was a calcareous grassland consisting of Pinehill loam soil (Fine, montmorillonitic Typic Eutroboralfs). The most abundant graminoids were needle-and-thread (*Hesperostipa comata* [Trin. & Rupr.] Barkworth, 31%); western wheatgrass (*Pascopyrum smithii* [Rydb.] A. Löve, 17%); blue grama (*Bouteloua gracilis* [Willd. ex Kunth] Lag. ex Griffiths, 12%); and [threadleaf](#page-10-0) sedge (*Carex filifolia* Nutt, 12%; Reinhart et al. [2019]). Fringed sage (*Artemisia frigida* Willd) and pricklypear cactus (*Opuntia polyacantha* Haw) were frequent. Fringed sage comprised $<$ 3% of the biomass. Forbs generally comprised $<$ 8% of total biomass.

Average temperatures range from -10°C in January to 24°C in July. Average 81-yr annual precipitation is 33.75 cm with most of the precipitation occurring from April through September [\(Fig.](#page-3-0) 1).

Experimental design and analysis

The experiment consisted of two residual herbage treatments (moderate, low) factorially combined with two grazing seasons (June [summer], October/November [fall]) arranged in a randomized complete block design with five replications (2 grazing residuals \times 2 grazing seasons \times 5 replications). Each paddock was 60 \times 30 m (20 total paddocks). Ruminally cannulated cows *(Bos taurus)* with calves in June and without calves in October/November grazed paddocks at moderate (1 AUM/ha) and low (1.5 AUM/ha) residual herbages, until 600 and 300 kg/ha of standing biomass remained, respectively.

To estimate extrusa diet quality, extrusa samples were obtained from ruminally cannulated cows (two per paddock). Samples were collected on 9 August and 28 October 2013, 20 June and 24 October 2014, 22 June and 2 November 2015, 20 June and 24 October 2016, 16 June and 27 October 2017, and 25 June and 15 October 2018 on the first day of grazing.

On the day of extrusa sampling, ruminally cannulated cows were gathered and rumen contents were evacuated and stored in 208-L plastic containers. Ruminal walls were sponge-dried to remove any residual moisture as described by [Lesperance](#page-10-0) et al. (1960). Cows were then released into paddocks and allowed to graze for 45–60 min. After the grazing bout, extrusa was removed from the rumen and thoroughly mixed. An aliquot (filled gallonsized Ziplock bag) was saved for analysis, and original ruminal contents were replaced back into the rumen.

Extrusa samples were frozen at −20°C, lyophilized, ground to pass a 2-mm screen in a Willey Mill, and stored until analysis for dry matter (DM), organic matter (OM: [\[AOAC](#page-9-0) 1990]), and neutral detergent fiber (NDF [\[Goering](#page-9-0) and Van Soest 1970]). Subsamples of ground extrusa were analyzed by an independent laboratory (Midwest Laboratories, Omaha, NE) to estimate crude protein (CP), acid detergent fiber (ADF), total digestible nutrients (TDN), net energy (MJ/kg) for lactation (NEl), maintenance (NEm), and growth (NEg), along with macromineral (Ca, K, Mg, Na, P, and S) and micromineral (Cu, Mn, and Zn) content.

To estimate diet digestibility, ground extrusa samples (5 g) were placed in duplicate Dacron bags (10 \times 20 cm; pore size = 53 \pm 10 μm; Ankom Technology Corp., Fairport, NY). Duplicate bags containing ground extrusa and empty sealed Dacron bags (i.e., blanks) were placed into 60×60 cm zippered laundry bags with an attached cord in two separate rumen cannulated cows grazing a common crested wheatgrass (*Agropyron cristatum* [L.] Gaertn) pasture. Dacron bags (4/cow) containing ground extrusa samples and a blank empty bag (1/cow) were placed into the rumen at specific times to allow for 96, 48, 24, and 0 h of incubation. Amount of residue in the blank Dacron bag was subtracted from each sample bag collected at the same incubation time to correct for influx of particles during incubation. Upon removal from the rumen, at 0 h, bags were subjected to an initial rinse by submerging bags three times in a 19-L container. The 19-L container was filled with cold water to stop fermentation (0-h bags were not inserted into the rumen but were subjected to the rinsing in the 19-L container) and stored in plastic zippered bags before being frozen at −20°C for further analysis. Once thawed, bags were individually rinsed in cold tap water until the effluent was clear, after which bags were frozen (−20°C), lyophilized, and weighed. Residue remaining in the bag was analyzed for DM, OM, and NDF, and in-situ NDF disappearance (ISNDFD) was calculated.

Statistical analysis

Forage nutritional composition and mineral data were analyzed using the MIXED procedure of SAS [\(Littell](#page-10-0) et al. 2006) with paddock as the experimental unit. Residual herbage (moderate and

Fig. 1. Monthly temperature (**a,**°C) and precipitation (**b,** cm) from January 2012 to December 2018 and their corresponding 81-yr averages for Miles City, Montana. Annual precipitation was 15.65, 43.46, 33.76, 21.59, 36.96, 16.08, and 46.76 cm, respectively for 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017, and 2018 with a 81-yr average of 33.75 cm. Weather data were obtained from Western Regional Climate Center [\(WRCC](#page-10-0) 2020).

low, 600 and 300 kg/ha of postgrazing residual standing biomass, respectively); season of sampling (summer or fall); yr of study (2013−2018), which also corresponds to the interannual fluctuations in precipitation; and their interactions were included in the model. The REPEATED statement included yr, with paddock within season as the subject, and compound symmetry was used as the covariance structure. When significant ($P \leq 0.05$), main effect means were separated using LSMEANS. Mean separations were carried out using PDMIX800 [\(Saxton](#page-10-0) 1998).

Results

Precipitation variation

Annual precipitation was below the 81-yr average of 33.75 cm, the yr preceding (2012; 46.4% of average) and for 2 yr during the experiment (2015 and 2017; 63.9% and 46.8% of average, respectively). Precipitation was at or slightly above average for 2 yr (2014 and 2016; 100.8% and 109.5% of average, respectively) and above average for 2 yr (2013 and 2018; 128.8% and 138.6% of average, respectively) with only slight deviations from average temperature across all study yr (see Fig. 1).

Residual herbage, season, and year main effects

We found no main effects of residual herbage ($P \geq 0.15$) on extrusa diet quality for 13 indicators [\(Table](#page-4-0) 1). In addition, there was no detection that grazing season influence ($P \geq 0.0773$) for four indicators of extrusa diet quality [\(Table](#page-4-0) 2), thereby suggesting that some extrusa diet quality properties do not vary consistently, at least for initial turn out diet selection by livestock, in summer versus fall. Three indicators of extrusa diet quality were influenced by yr. The first indicator was 48 h DM ISNDFD, which was greater in 2017, a yr with low precipitation, and lowest in 2014, a yr with average precipitation, with all other yrs being intermediate $(P = 0.0001$; [Table](#page-5-0) 3). Likewise, 48 h OM ISNDFD was greater in 2016, an average-precipitation yr, and did not differ for all other yrs. The micromineral Mn was greatly reduced in highprecipitation yrs (2013 and 2018) by 185.6% and 180.8% compared with 2017, a low-precipitation yr $(P < 0.0001$; see [Table](#page-5-0) 3).

Interactive effects on forage quality properties

We detected a significant (α = 0.05) interactive effect of yr and season on 12 metrics of extrusa quality [\(Table](#page-5-0) 4). Effects including yr can be complex. Specifically, forage extrusa DM was lowest, first yr of the study, in 2013 when precipitation was above-average precipitation for both summer and fall ($yr \times$ season; $P < 0.0001$). The highest DM occurred in fall of 2015, and DM was intermediate for both summer and fall in 2017, both in a low-precipitation yr. Forage extrusa OM was lowest for summer 2014, an averageprecipitation yr, compared with summer 2017, a low-precipitation yr, that yielded the highest percent OM and contributed to the $yr \times$ season differences observed ($P < 0.0001$), whereas OM was intermediate for fall 2013, a high-precipitation yr. Livestock production is reliant on both quality and quantity of available forage. More important is the ability of livestock to capture and use the available energy for growth, maintenance, and ultimately reproduction. Typically, rangeland forages increase in NDF during growth and disappearance of that fiber decreases as forages senesce. Changes in forage extrusa NDF on both a DM and OM were greater for summer and fall measures in 2015. Compared with summer and fall measures observed in 2017, this decrease in NDF was 22.1% and 22.5%, respectively, for DM and OM (*P* < 0.0001; see [Table](#page-5-0) 4).

Forage extrusa ADF resulted in a $yr \times$ season interaction with fall having greater ADF in 2014, an average-precipitation yr, and lowest in summer of 2017, a low-precipitation yr. Also, ADF in the fall of 2014 was greater than any ADF measured in the summer across all yrs $(P = 0.0029$; see [Table](#page-5-0) 4).

A residual herbage \times season \times yr interaction for 24-h ISNDFD on a dry matter basis ($P = 0.0407$) and on an organic matter basis $(P=0.0534;$ [Fig.](#page-6-0) 2) was observed. The first 24 h for ISNDFD is typically the most rapid period for NDF disappearance. Differences in 24 h ISNDFD, on dry matter basis, occurred between 2014, an average yr for precipitation, and 2017, a low-precipitation yr, where

Least square means (\pm standard error of the mean [SEM]) for extrusa diet quality indicators of rumen extrusa samples by residual herbage.

	Residual herbage ²			
Extrusa diet quality metric ¹	Moderate	Low	SEM	P value
DM, %	93.23	93.27	0.06	0.6197
OM, %	87.25	87.53	0.22	0.3786
ADF, % DM	38.01	38.13	0.28	0.7505
NDF, % DM	61.99	62.91	0.55	0.2357
NDF, % OM	62.57	63.54	0.57	0.2320
0h ISNDFD, % DM	13.64	14.02	0.50	0.5892
0h ISNDFD, %OM	18.47	18.48	0.54	0.9873
24h ISNDFD, % DM	50.81	53.41	0.86	0.0346
24h ISNDFD, %OM	51.14	53.52	0.95	0.0786
48h ISNDFD, % DM	67.71	69.37	0.78	0.1325
48h ISNDFD, % OM	67.85	69.44	0.84	0.1835
96h ISNDFD, % DM	77.82	78.44	0.50	0.3834
96h ISNDFD, % OM	77.07	78.21	0.56	0.1535
CP, %	9.58	9.76	0.15	0.3656
TDN. %	56.81	56.65	0.31	0.7235
NEI, MJ/kg	5.34	5.33	0.033	0.6785
NEm, MJ/kg	5.10	5.08	0.035	0.7230
NEg, MJ/kg	2.93	2.92	0.026	0.8352

 1 DM indicates dry matter; OM, organic matter; ADF, acid detergent fiber; NDF, neutral detergent fiber; ISNDFD, in-situ neutral detergent fiber disappearance; CP, crude protein; TDN, total digestible nutrients; Nel, net energy for lactation; NEm, net energy for maintenance; MJ, megajoule; Neg, net energy for gain.

² Grazed paddocks at moderate 600 kg/ha and low 300 kg/ha residual herbages.

Table 2

Least square means (\pm standard error of the mean) for extrusa diet quality metrics and nutrients from rumen extrusa samples by season of collection.

 1 DM indicates dry matter; OM, organic matter; ADF, acid detergent fiber; NDF, neutral detergent fiber; ISNDFD, in-situ neutral detergent fiber disappearance; CP, crude protein; TDN, total digestible nutrients; Nel, net energy for lactation; MJ, megajoule; NEm, net energy for maintenance; Neg, net energy for gain.

24-h ISNDFD was higher for 2017 summer low and moderate residual herbages and fall low residual herbage compared with 2014 summer moderate and fall moderate and low residual herbages (see [Fig.](#page-6-0) 2a). A difference in 24-h ISNDFD, on an organic matter basis, was measured between 2015, a low-precipitation yr, and 2016, an average-precipitation yr, where in 2015 24-h ISNDFD for fall moderate residual herbage was 33.82% lower than 2016 fall

low residual herbage (see [Fig.](#page-6-0) 2b). Furthermore, 2016 summer low residual herbage and 2018 fall moderate residual herbage were also lower in 24-h ISNDFD compared with 2017 fall low residual herbage.

A 96-h ISNDFD residual herbage \times season effect indicated that for moderate residual herbage paddocks, extent of disappearance for 96-h ISNDFD decreased from summer to fall, whereas

Table 3

Least square means (± standard error of the mean) for extrusa diet quality metrics and nutrients of rumen extrusa samples by yr. Row means with different letters differ $(P < 0.05)$.

	Yr^2							
Extrusa diet quality metric ¹	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	SEM	P value
DM, %	90.55c	94.03a	94.26a	93.80a	92.67b	94.18a	0.10	< 0.0001
OM, %	87.12c	82.57d	89.15ab	87.75bc	89.81a	87.94abc	0.004	< 0.0001
ADF, %dm	39.65ab	40.58a	39.95a	37.47bc	34.17d	36.59c	0.49	< 0.0001
NDF, %dm	65.97ab	61.61bc	68.16a	61.14c	58.42c	59.40c	0.95	< 0.0001
NDF, %om	65.96ab	62.26bc	68.55a	62.36bc	58.86c	60.34c	0.99	< 0.0001
0h ISNDFD, % DM	16.07aab	11.94bc	11.17c	14.78abc	10.86c	18.20a	0.87	< 0.0001
0h ISNDFD, % OM	16.08b	18.64b	11.57c	28.07a		18.02b	0.84	< 0.0001
24h ISNDFD, % DM	50.90b	43.33c	50.04bc	51.04bc	62.24a	55.11ab	1.49	< 0.0001
24h ISNDFD, % OM	50.85ab	48.35b	49.71b	49.71b		55.23ab	1.50	0.0001
48h ISNDFD, % DM	67.11b	58.51c	68.63b	71.02b	77.90a	68.08b	1.38	0.0001
48h ISNDFD, % OM	67.32b	63.41b	68.45b	75.25a		68.78b	1.35	< 0.0001
96h ISNDFD, % DM	77.18b	75.51b	79.66b	79.68b	87.06a	69.67c	0.89	< 0.0001
96h ISNDFD, % OM	76.94b	78.57b	79.63ab	82.93a		70.12c	0.90	< 0.0001
CP, %	6.85c	9.78b	9.40b	11.59a	11.66a	8.73b	0.24	< 0.0001
TDN, %	47.65d	57.45bc	57.00c	59.83b	63.59a	54.85c	0.54	< 0.0001
NEI, MJ/kg	4.44d	5.39bc	5.36c	5.64b	6.03a	5.16c	0.06	< 0.0001
NEm, MJ/kg	4.19d	5.15bc	5.10c	5.42b	5.83a	4.94c	0.06	< 0.0001
NEg, MJ/kg	2.34d	2.97bc	2.94bc	3.11 _b	3.44a	2.79c	0.05	< 0.0001
Ca, %	0.5195bc	0.6913ab	0.5340bc	0.5908bc	0.4695c	0.8285a	0.0424	< 0.0001
K, %	0.7235d	1.1385ab	0.8778cd	1.3000a	1.0678abc	1.0073bc	0.0530	< 0.0001
Mg, %	0.1315b	0.1590b	0.1183b	0.1555b	0.1358b	0.2333a	0.0096	< 0.0001
Na, %	1.1568b	1.6408a	1.6835a	1.6218a	1.8605a	1.6088a	0.0554	< 0.0001
P, %	0.2222c	0.3695a	0.3478ab	0.3178b	0.3275ab	0.3540ab	0.0097	< 0.0001
S, %	0.1033c	0.1395b	0.1520ab	0.1603a	0.1395b	0.1450ab	0.0032	< 0.001
Fe, ppm	252.9	452.81	351.45	454.55	421.35	247.43	99.49	0.4724
Cu, ppm	37.98b	3.94c	3.94c	4.13c	3.81c	61.00a	1.53	< 0.0001
Mn, ppm	2.86c	65.09ab	52.94b	61.04ab	76.47a	3.85c	3.69	< 0.0001
Zn, ppm	17.18a	21.19ab	23.89a	23.32a	24.01a	19.15ab	1.03	< 0.0001

¹ DM indicates dry matter; OM, organic matter; ADF, acid detergent fiber; NDF, neutral detergent fiber; ISNDFD, in-situ neutral detergent fiber disappearance; CP, crude protein; TDN, total digestible nutrients; Nel, net energy for lactation; MJ, megajoule; NEm, net energy for maintenance; Neg, net energy for gain.

² Organic matter was not determined in 2017 for ISNDFD.

Table 4 Least square means \pm standard error of the mean for forage characteristics from rumen extrusa samples by yr \times season. Characteristic with different letters differ (P < 0.05).

¹DM indicates dry matter; OM, organic matter; ADF, acid detergent fiber; NDF, neutral detergent fiber disappearance; meutral detergent fiber disappearance; CP, crude protein; TDN, total digestible nutrients; Nel, net energy for lactation; MJ, megajoule; NEm, net energy for maintenance; Neg, net energy for gain.

² Organic matter was not determined in 2017.

Fig. 2. Least square means \pm standard error of the mean for 24-h in-situ neutral detergent fiber disappearance (ISNDFD) on a dry matter basis (A, $P=0.0407 \pm 2.97$) and on an organic matter basis (B, $P = 0.0534 \pm 2.99$) from rumen extrusa samples by yr \times season \times residual herbage. Year also refers to low, average (Avg) or high annual precipitation. Disappearance percentages with different letters differ (*P* < 0.05). Organic matter was not determined in 2017.

Fig. 3. Least square means \pm standard error of the mean for 96h DM in-situ neutral detergent fiber disappearance (ISNDFD; $P = 0.0268 \pm 0.7192$) from rumen extrusa samples by season \times residual herbage.

disappearance increased from summer to fall in low residual herbage paddocks ($P = 0.0268$; Fig. 3). A tendency ($P = 0.0964$) for a yr \times residual herbage effect at 96 h (i.e., extent) ISNDFD suggests that prolonged prescribed grazing may have slight effects on fiber disappearance. However, precipitation may influence plant structure and how fiber is broken down in the rumen. In 2017, when precipitation was below normal, which may relate to slower plant growth and fiber development, fiber may have disappeared more readily compared with 2018, when precipitation was above normal

and plant growth most likely went into stem growth and fiber disappearance was diminished [\(Table](#page-7-0) 5).

 \mathbf{A}

 \overline{B}

In addition, a $yr \times$ season effect for 96-h DM ISNDFD was greater in 2017 than 2018, which corresponded with low- and high-precipitation yrs, respectively. This indicated that plants accumulate fiber differently depending on available precipitation. For fall 96-h DM ISNDFD in 2016, a yr with average precipitation, disappearance of NDF was 20.8% greater than fall of 2018, a highprecipitation yr $(P = 0.0013$; see [Table](#page-5-0) 4).

Forage extrusa CP was influenced by a $yr \times$ season interaction with greater CP concentrations in the summer 2014, 2015, 2016, and 2017 and fall of 2016 and 2017 (*P* < 0.0001; see [Table](#page-5-0) 4) compared with other summer of 2018 and fall of 2013, 2014, 2015, and 2018 concentrations of CP. Lowest measures of CP were in fall of 2013 and 2018, both of which were high-precipitation yrs, and in fall of 2015, which was a low-precipitation yr. Forage extrusa TDN was greatest in summer of 2017 and like concentrations observed in summer of 2016 ($P = 0.0025$; see [Table](#page-5-0) 4). A 34% difference between summer 2017 and fall of 2013 was observed for TDN concentrations.

Interactive effects on forage minerals

There were 10 indicators from extrusa samples that interacted with measured minerals. A residual herbage \times season \times yr interaction for extrusa P concentrations was observed $(P = 0.0086)$; see [Fig.](#page-7-0) 4). In 2013, a high-precipitation yr, extrusa P con-

Table 5

Least square means \pm standard error of the mean (SEM) for forage in-situ neutral detergent fiber disappearance (ISNDFD) from rumen extrusa samples by $yr \times$ residual herbage. Characteristic with different letters differ ($P < 0.10$).

¹ Grazed paddocks at moderate 600 kg/ha and low 300 kg/ha residual herbages.

Fig. 4. Least square means \pm standard error of the mean for macromineral P concentration ($P = 0.0086 \pm 0.019$) from rumen extrusa samples by yr × season × residual herbage. Year also refers to low, average (Avg), or high annual precipitation. Mineral percentages with different letters differ (*P* < 0.05).

Table 6

Least square means \pm standard error of the mean (SEM) for forage macrominerals and microminerals from rumen extrusa samples by $yr \times$ season. Mineral characteristics with different letters differ ($P < 0.05$).

sistently remained low regardless of residual herbage or season compared with other yrs, but in 2018, another highprecipitation yr, P did not follow a similar trend. In 2015, a lowprecipitation yr, P had the greatest concentration in the summer moderate-grazed treatment and was 34.7% greater than the summer moderate-grazed treatment in 2013, a high-precipitation yr.

Forage extrusa S was 57.1% greater in summer 2015, a lowprecipitation yr, than the lowest S in 2013 for both summer and fall $(P < 0.0001$; Table 6). Forage extrusa K was 116.6% greater in summer of 2016, an average-precipitation yr, than K in the fall of 2015, a low-precipitation yr. Across all yrs, K decreased from summer to fall each yr except in 2017, a low-precipitation yr, where concentrations remained did not differ between summer and fall. Forage extrusa Mg was 105.3% greater in fall 2018, a highprecipitation yr, than fall of 2015, a low-precipitation yr, while Mg concentrations did not differ across all other yrs and seasons (*P* < 0.0001; see Table 6).

Forage extrusa Ca was 75.5% greater in fall 2018, a highprecipitation yr, than the lowest Ca that occurred in the fall of 2017, a low-precipitation yr, which did not differ from all other yrs and season Ca concentrations (*P* < 0.0001; see Table 6). Forage extrusa Na was 52.1% greater in summer of 2016, an averageprecipitation yr, than summer and fall of 2013, a high-precipitation yr (*P* < 0.0001; see Table 6).

Micromineral forage extrusa Cu was 35.7% greater in fall 2018 than for summer of 2018, a high-precipitation yr, and 82.9 and 43.5% greater than summer and fall of 2013, another highprecipitation yr. Furthermore, both high-precipitation yrs (2013 and 2018) had greater Cu concentrations compared with all other yrs and seasons in the study and increased from summer to fall (*P* < 0.0001; see Table 6). Forage extrusa Zn was 62.8% greater in summer 2015, a low-precipitation yr, than summer of 2013, a highprecipitation yr $(P < 0.0001$; see Table 6).

No main effects (*P* > 0.05) of residual herbage were observed for S, K, Ca, Na, Fe, Cu, Mn, and Zn [\(Table](#page-8-0) 7). However, extrusa Mg

Table 7

Least square means \pm standard error of the mean (SEM) for forage macrominerals and microminerals of rumen extrusa samples by residual herbage.

	Residual herbage ¹				
Extrusa diet quality metric	Moderate	Low	SEM	P value	
S, %	0.1391	0.1407	0.0018	0.5192	
K, %	1.0416	0.9967	0.0306	0.3023	
Mg, %	0.1647	0.1463	0.0056	0.0210	
Ca, %	0.6226	0.5886	0.0245	0.3287	
P, %	0.3206	0.3257	0.0056	0.5229	
Na, %	1.6002	1.5905	0.032	0.8314	
Fe, ppm	370.81	356.02	57.44	0.8560	
Cu, ppm	19.7583	18.5083	0.88	0.3192	
Mn, ppm	44.2358	43.1792	2.13	0.7266	
Zn, ppm	21.8858	21.0242	0.59	0.3060	

 1 Grazed paddocks at moderate (1 animal unit day [AUD]/ha) and low (1.5 AUD/ha) residual herbages, until a 600 kg/ha and 300 kg/ha of postgrazing residual standing biomass was achieved, respectively.

was 11.8% greater for moderate-grazed treatments compared with low-grazed treatments $(P = 0.0210)$.

Discussion

Rangelands in the northern Great Plains are considered to be resilient, and they have the ability to adapt to herbivory, fire, and drought [\(Hoover](#page-9-0) et al. 2014; [Vermeire](#page-10-0) et al. 2014; Sanderson et al. 2015; [Arterburn](#page-9-0) et al. 2018; [Reeves](#page-10-0) et al. 2020). The [interannual](#page-10-0) variability of precipitation that occurs in the northern Great Plains rangelands is a major factor behind forage quality and quantity. Livestock producers must constantly adjust in their management strategies to best accommodate current conditions. We reported 97.6% difference in ambient annual precipitation between the highest and lowest precipitation yrs within the current study with a mean of 33.1 ± 4.94 cm (range 16.07−46.76 cm).

Rangelands in the northern Great Plains are physiologically adapted to maximize water-use efficiency and adapt to variations in precipitation [\(Smoliak](#page-10-0) 1986; Sala et al. [1988;](#page-10-0) Lauenroth and Sala 1992; [Ponce-Campos](#page-10-0) et al. 2013; [Stuart-Haëntjens](#page-9-0) et al. 2018). In addition, forages in the northern Great Plains are responsive to soil moisture, especially when temperatures are suitable for growth [\(Patton](#page-10-0) et al. 2007). There is evidence of southern Great Plains rangelands experiencing a reduction in perennial grass production resulting from decreases in precipitation and increasing temperatures as well [\(McIntosh](#page-10-0) et al. 2019).

Impacts of grazing on rangelands can influence forage availability and species composition and in long-term studies have shown that a high level of herbivory can reduce forage production, yet low and moderate levels can enhance forage production compared with nongrazed [enclosures](#page-10-0) [\(Patton](#page-10-0) et al. 2007; Porensky et al. 2017). Also, timing or season of herbivory can influence forage production on the northern Great Plains. While there is a plethora of research evaluating grazing impacts during the growing season in the northern Great Plains, there is limited research evaluating dormant-season grazing.

Fiber disappearance had a disappearance of 40% or greater after 24 hours of incubation regardless of season. Fiber disappearance is a measure of available energy for rumen microbial synthesis and ultimately the energy source for the host ruminant. After 24 hours no differences in NDF disappearance between seasons or residual herbages were observed, but the greatest disappearance occurred in a yr with the lowest annual precipitation. This was also observed in the extent of disappearance (96-h) ISNDFD for $yr \times$ residual herbage, indicating that yrs of lower precipitation generate highly digestible forage of higher quality, though forage

quantity is lower. Typically, as forages senesce, NDF disappearance declines, resulting in lower energy available to meet grazing ruminant requirements [\(Johnson](#page-9-0) et al. 1998; [Waterman](#page-10-0) et al. 2007).

It is well documented that the factors that determine range livestock productivity include nutrients available in diets being consumed, the quantity of forage available, and how well the nutrient [requirements](#page-9-0) of the herbivore are being met (Adams and Short 1988). As forages senesce, the fiber portion of the forage becomes more lignified and microbial degradation of fiber slows. Range livestock managers, in order to optimize utilization of the fiber, often supplement protein to enhance microbial breakdown of the fiber and capture as much of the energy in the forage as possible.

In the current study CP concentrations met or exceeded range livestock requirements in most yrs of low to average ambient precipitation (NRC [2016\)](#page-10-0); however, in yrs of above-average precipitation, CP concentration marginally met or did not meet requirements of range livestock. This phenomenon is not novel and is often observed in forages with rapid active growth and put a lot of nutrients toward shoot growth, which is often recognized as the nitrogen dilution effect [\(Grant](#page-9-0) et al. 2014). CP concentrations in the present study were similar to those previously reported for the northern Great Plains [\(Adams](#page-9-0) and Short 1988; [Johnson](#page-9-0) et al. 1998; [Waterman](#page-10-0) et al. 2007; [Waterman](#page-10-0) and Vermeire 2011).

While fiber (energy) and CP are two of the most common nutrients evaluated in rumen diets, macrominerals and microminerals can become deficient and reduce animal performance (Grings et al. 1996). Nutrient [concentrations](#page-9-0) in forages can fluctuate depending on soil fertility, plant species composition, plant growth stage, and water availability [\(Greene](#page-9-0) et al. 1987; [Schlegel](#page-10-0) et al. 2016).

Here, P concentrations generally met or exceeded requirements required by grazing ruminants (NRC [2016\)](#page-10-0) with the exception of summer of 2013, when concentrations were below 0.20%. Phosphorus concentrations changed depending on residual herbage, season, and yr but were similar to those reported previously for clipped plant material [\(Grings](#page-9-0) et al. 1996). A targeted 1.5 to 2.0:1 Ca:P is ideal for cattle grazing rangelands (NRC [2016\)](#page-10-0), and Ca:P averaged approximately 1.8:1 in the current study. From summer to fall, Ca increased in wet yrs (2013 and 2018) and decreased in drier yrs (2014−2017).

Sodium concentrations exceeded (NRC [2016\)](#page-10-0) the recommended requirements for grazing livestock (0.08%) and may have included some salivary Na from the collecting cannulated cow contributing to these values. [Pinchak](#page-10-0) et al. (1990) cautions that esophageal extrusa may contain salivary Na and thereby overestimate Na coming from the forage. As Na concentrations in the present study were 20 \times greater than the recommended requirements, we believe

salivary contamination occurred, especially as previously measured Na concentrations have been considerably less in our system (Grings et al. 1996).

Potassium concentrations were similar to those reported previously in the northern Great Plains (Grings et al. 1996). Potassium concentrations were more sporadic across yrs but consistently decreased from summer to fall in extrusa samples. Similarly, extrusa S concentrations differed across yrs and tended to be more similar between seasons.

The maximum tolerable concentration for Fe is 500 ppm, and the requirement is 50 ppm (NRC [2016\)](#page-10-0). In the current study extrusa concentrations averaged 300 ppm but were not influenced by residual herbage, season, or yr.

Copper (Cu) and manganese (Mn) tend to vary by yr and precipitation amount. Interestingly, extrusa Cu concentrations increased 16-fold in yrs (2013 and 2018) with above-average precipitation and increased from summer to fall and exceeded ruminant requirements of 10 ppm (NRC [2016\)](#page-10-0). In drier yrs (2014−2017) Cu concentrations were similar to previous reports (Grings et al. 1996) and extrusa Cu was below the recommended requirement for ruminants (NRC [2016\)](#page-10-0). This suggests that in yrs with above-average precipitation, changes in soil moisture alter the plants' ability to take up Cu, making it more available in forages to livestock. Conversely, extrusa Mn was lowered by 19-fold in yrs (2013 and 2018) with above-average precipitation compared with all other yrs. The nutritional requirement for Mn is 20 ppm and yrs of low or average precipitation extrusa met this requirement. However, yrs of above-average precipitation were substantially below nutritional requirements. The mechanisms that allow for more Cu uptake and less Mn in yrs of above-average precipitation are not fully understood. Extrusa zinc concentrations were more consistent across yrs and seasons and had no grazing treatment effects. However, zinc concentrations at no time met the nutritional requirement for range cattle (NRC [2016\)](#page-10-0) and were similar to concentrations previously reported (Grings et al. 1996).

In conclusion, the present research over 6 yr of differing ambient precipitation nutritional composition of diets were altered by season of grazing and grazing pressure imposed on paddocks used in the study. The authors caution that findings may not directly correlate to larger pasture setting as these were 60×30 m paddocks under high-intensity, short-duration grazing management. This study focused on longer-term residual herbage effects along with repeated seasonal grazing on diet extrusa quality. Rumen cannulated animals were collected on the first day that grazing occurred and likely consumed the most favorable diet available in each paddock. Effects of residual herbage are likely more strongly expressed at the end of grazing periods.

Management Implications

Interannual variation in precipitation, annual primary forage production, residual herbage, and season of herbivory are all factors considered by land managers and livestock producers when developing grazing plans. Results from this study imply that precipitation can influence plant characteristics such as energy, protein, and mineral values of rangeland forages and that season of use and grazing pressure also contribute to forage nutritive quality. Although studies have shown the N depletion affects forages when above-average precipitation occurs, this study identifies that supplementation of protein to meet animal requirements may still be necessary when there is an abundance of grazable forage with a lot of shoot mass. Furthermore, mineral incorporation into plant biomass is greatly influenced by precipitation. Mineral infiltrations to translocate minerals to roots requires moisture, and the abundance of or inadequate precipitation influences the availability of soil minerals to be used by range plants. This was especially observed for microminerals such as Cu and Mn, which have an important role in metabolism of range livestock and are important in reproductive performance.

Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors report no declarations of interest.

Acknowledgments

The authors appreciate Susan Reil, Dustin Strong, Whisper Kelly, Brad Eik, Bern Garber, Lindsey Voigt Cook, Brooke Shipp, Emily Gates, Jeremiah Jansen, Jackcee Idland, Amanda Williams, Cole Ryan, Megan Markeson, Megan VanEmon, Doug Armstrong, Dana Dale Jansen, Hayden Nelson Vandenber, Aly Christians Robins, Kailey Toderoff Smidt, Alexis Shipp, Gavin Herzog, Tory Ogelin, Merina Sweet, Katy Stoecklein, Abby Zezeski, Susan Bellows, Amber Eskew Rath, Elise Anderson, Jennifer Muscha, Lindsey Miller, Scott Crevier, Beau Huft, Tom Kunesh, Mike Landers, Dennis Logan, Tom Mott, and Riley Messman for their assistance with treatment implementation, cattle handling/hauling, and data collection.

References

- [Adams,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0001) D.C., [Short,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0001) R.E., 1988. The role of animal nutrition on productivity in a range [environment.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0001) In: White, R.S., Short, R.E. (Eds.), Achieving efficient use of rangeland resources. Fort Keogh Research Symposium, Miles City, MT, USA, pp. 37–45.
- AOAC, 1990. Official methods of analysis, 15th ed. [Association](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0002) of Official Analytical Chemists, Arlington, VA, USA.
- [Arterburn,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0003) J.R., [Twidwell,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0003) D., [Schacht,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0003) W.H., [Wonkka,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0003) C.L., [Wedin,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0003) D.A., 2018. Resilience of sandhills grassland to wildfire during drought. Rangeland Ecology & [Management](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0003) 71, 53–57.
- [Baker,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0004) B.B., [Hanson,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0004) J.D., [Bourdon,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0004) R.M., [Eckert,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0004) J.B., 1993. The potential effects of climate change on ecosystem processes and cattle production on U.S. [rangelands.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0004) Climatic Change 25, 97–117.
- [Bolortsetseg,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0005) B., [Tuvaansuren,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0005) G., 1996. The potential impacts of climate change on pasture and cattle production in Mongolia. Water, Air, and Soil Pollution 92, 95–105.
- [Brookshire,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0006) E.N.J., [Stoy,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0006) P.C., [Currey,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0006) B., [Finney,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0006) B., 2020. The greening of the Northern Great Plains and its [biogeochemical](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0006) precursors. Global Change Biology 26, 5404–5413.
- [Craine,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0007) J.M., [Elmore,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0007) A., [Angerer,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0007) J.P., 2017. Long-term declines in dietary nutritional quality for North American cattle. [Environmental](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0007) Research Letters 12, 044019.
- [Craine,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0008) J.M., [Elmore,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0008) A.J., [Olson,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0008) K.C., [Tolleson,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0008) D., 2010. Climate change and cattle nutritional stress. Global Change Biology 16, [2901–2911.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0008)
- [Derner,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0009) J., [Briske,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0009) D., [Reeves,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0009) M., [Brown-Brandl,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0009) T., [Meehan,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0009) M., [Blumenthal,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0009) D., [Travis,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0009) W., [Augustine,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0009) D., [Wilmer,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0009) H., [Scasta,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0009) D., [Hendrickson,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0009) J., [Volesky,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0009) J., Edwards, L., [Peck,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0009) D., 2018. Vulnerability of grazing and confined livestock in the Northern Great Plains to projected mid- and [late-twenty-first](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0009) century climate. Climatic Change 146, 19–32.
- [Dunnell,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0010) K.L., [Travers,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0010) S.E., 2011. Shifts in the flowering [phenology](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0010) of the northern Great Plains: patterns over 100 years. Am J Bot 98, 935–945.
- [Goering,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0011) H.K., Van [Soest,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0011) P.J., 1970. Forage fiber analyses (apparatus, reagents, procedures, and some [applications\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0011) Agricultural Handbook No. 379. USDA-ARS, Washington, DC, USA, pp. 1–20.
- [Grant,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0012) K., [Kreyling,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0012) J., [Dienstbach,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0012) L.F.H., [Beierkuhnlein,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0012) C., [Jentsch,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0012) A., 2014. Water stress due to increased intra-annual precipitation variability reduced forage yield but raised forage quality of a temperate grassland. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment 186, 11–22.
- [Greene,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0013) L.W., [Pinchak,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0013) W.E., [Heitschmidt,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0013) R.K., 1987. Seasonal dynamics of minerals in forages at the Texas [Experimental](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0013) Ranch. Journal of Range Management 40, 502–506.
- [Grings,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0014) E.E., [Haferkamp,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0014) M.R., [Heitschmidt,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0014) R.K., [Karl,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0014) M.G, 1996. Mineral dynamics in forages of the Northern Great Plains. Journal of Range [Management](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0014) 49 (3), 234 –230.
- [Grings,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0015) E.E., [Short,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0015) R.E., [Klement,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0015) K.D., [Geary,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0015) T.W., [MacNeil,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0015) M.D., [Haferkamp,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0015) M.R., [Heitschmidt,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0015) R.K., 2005. Calving system and weaning age effects on cow and preweaning calf [performance](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0015) in the Northern Great Plains. Journal of Animal Science 83, 2671–2683.
- [Heitschmidt,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0016) R.K., [Vermeire,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0016) L.T., 2005, An ecological and economic risk avoidance drought [management](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0016) decision support system. In: Milne, J.A. (Ed.), July 2005. XXth International Grasslands Congress, Dublin, Ireland, p. 178.
- [Hoover,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0017) D.L., [Knapp,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0017) A.K., [Smith,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0017) M.D., 2014. Resistance and resilience of a grassland ecosystem to climate extremes. Ecology 95, [2646–2656.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0017)
- [Johnson,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0018) J.A., [Caton,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0018) J.S., [Poland,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0018) W., [Kirby,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0018) D.R., [Dhuyvetter,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0018) D.V., 1998. Influence of season on dietary [composition,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0018) intake, and digestion by beef steers grazing mixed-grass prairie in the Northern Great Plains. Journal of Animal Science 76, 1682–1690.

[Lauenroth,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0019) W.K., [Sala,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0019) O.E., 1992. Long-term forage production of North American shortgrass steppe. Ecological [Applications](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0019) 2, 397–403.

[Lesperance,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0020) A.L., [Bohman,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0020) V.R., [Marble,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0020) D.W., 1960. [Development](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0020) of techniques for evaluating grazed forage. Journal of Dairy Science 43, 682–689.

- [Littell,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0021) R.C., [Milliken,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0021) G.A., [Stroup,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0021) W.W., [Wolfinger,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0021) R.D., [Schabengerger,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0021) O., 2006. SAS for mixed models, 2nd ed. SAS Institute Inc, Cary, NC, USA.
- [McGeough,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0022) E.J., [Cattani,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0022) D.J., [Koscielny,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0022) Z., [Hewitt,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0022) B., [Ominski,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0022) K.H., 2017. Annual and perennial forages for fall/winter grazing in western Canada. Canadian Journal of Plant Science 98, 247–254.
- [McIntosh,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0023) M.M., [Holechek,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0023) J.L., [Spiegal,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0023) S.A., [Cibils,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0023) A.F., [Estell,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0023) R.E., 2019. Long-term declining trends in Chihuahuan Desert forage production in relation to precipitation and ambient temperature. Rangeland Ecology & [Management](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0023) 72, 976–987.
- [Nardone,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0024) A., [Ronchi,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0024) B., [Lacetera,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0024) N., [Ranieri,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0024) M.S., [Bernabucci,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0024) U., 2010. Effects of climate changes on animal production and [sustainability](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0024) of livestock systems. Livestock Science 130, 57–69.
- NRC, 2016. National Academies of Sciences, [Engineering,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0025) and Medicine. Nutrient requirements of beef cattle, 8h revised ed The National Academies Press, Washington, DC.
- [Patton,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0026) B., [Dong,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0026) X., [Nyren,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0026) P., Nyren, A.C., 2007. Effects of grazing intensity, precipitation, and [temperature](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0026) on forage production. Rangeland Ecology & Management 60, 656-665
- [Pinchak,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0027) W.E., [Greene,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0027) L.W., [Hunt,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0027) L.J., 1990. Biases in relative estimates of dietary mineral status from esophageal extrusa. Journal of Range [Management](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0027) 43, 136–138.
- [Ponce-Campos,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0028) G.E., [Moran,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0028) M.S., [Huete,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0028) A., [Zhang,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0028) Y., [Bresloff,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0028) C., [Huxman,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0028) T.E., [Eamus,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0028) D., [Bosch,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0028) D.D., [Buda,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0028) A.R., [Gunter,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0028) S.A., [Scalley,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0028) T.H., [Kitchen,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0028) S.G., [McClaran,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0028) M.P., [McNab,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0028) W.H., [Montoya,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0028) D.S., [Morgan,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0028) J.A., [Peters,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0028) D.P.C., [Sadler,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0028) E.J., [Seyfried,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0028) M.S., [Starks,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0028) P.J., 2013. Ecosystem resilience despite large-scale altered [hydroclimatic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0028) conditions. Nature 494, 349–352.
- [Porensky,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0029) L.M., [Derner,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0029) J.D., [Augustine,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0029) D.J., [Milchunas,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0029) D.G., 2017. Plant community composition after 75 yr of sustained grazing intensity treatments in shortgrass steppe. Rangeland Ecology & [Management](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0029) 70, 456–464.
- [Reeves,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0030) M.C., [Hanberry,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0030) B.B., [Wilmer,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0030) H., [Kaplan,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0030) N.E., [Lauenroth,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0030) W.K., 2020. An assessment of production trends on the Great Plains from 1984 to 2017. Rangeland Ecology & [Management](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0030) in Press.
- [Reinhart,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0031) K.O., [Rinella,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0031) M.J., [Waterman,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0031) R.C., [Petersen,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0031) M.K., [Vermeire,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0031) L.T., 2019. Testing rangeland health theory in the Northern Great Plains. Journal of Applied Ecology 56, 319–329.
- [Sala,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0032) O.E., [Parton,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0032) W.J., [Joyce,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0032) L.A., [Lauenroth,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0032) W.K., 1988. Primary [production](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0032) of the central grassland region of the United States. Ecology 69, 40–45.
- [Sanderson,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0033) M.A., [Liebig,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0033) M.A., [Hendrickson,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0033) J.R., [Kronberg,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0033) S.L., [Toledo,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0033) D., [Derner,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0033) J.D., [Reeves,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0033) J.L., 2015. Long-term [agroecosystem](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0033) research on northern Great Plains mixed-grass prairie near Mandan, North Dakota. Canadian Journal of Plant Science 95, 1101–1116.
- [Saxton,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0034) A.M., 1998. A macro for converting mean separation output to letter groupings in Proc Mixed. In: Proceedings of the 23rd SAS Users Group [International](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0034) SAS Institute Inc, Cary, NC, USA, pp. 1243–1246.
- [Schlegel,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0035) P., [Wyss,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0035) U., [Arrigo,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0035) Y., [Hess,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0035) H.D., 2016. Mineral [concentrations](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0035) of fresh herbage from mixed grassland as influenced by botanical composition, harvest time and growth stage. Animal Feed Science and Technology 219, 226–233.
- Shafer, M., Ojima, D., Antle, J.M., Kluck, D., McPherson, R.A., Petersen, S., Scanlon, B., Sherman, K., 2014. Ch. 19: Great Plains. In: Melillo, J.M., Richmond, T.C., Yohe, G.W. (Eds.), Climate change impacts in the United States: The Third National Climate Assessment. US Global Change Research Program, pp. 441–461 [http://nca2014.globalchange.gov/report/regions/great-plains.](http://nca2014.globalchange.gov/report/regions/great-plains)
- [Smart,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0037) A.J., [Gates,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0037) R.N., [Johnson,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0037) P.S., [Schafer,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0037) R., 2012. Summer and winter defoliation impacts on mixed-grass rangeland. Rangeland Ecology & [Management](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0037) 65, 506–515.
- [Smoliak,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0038) S., 1986. Influence of climatic conditions on production of [Stipa-Bouteloua](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0038) Prairie over a 50-year period. Journal of Range Management 39, 100–103.
- [Stuart-Haëntjens,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0039) E., De [Boeck,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0039) H.J., [Lemoine,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0039) N.P., [Mänd,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0039) P., [Kröel-Dulay,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0039) G., [Schmidt,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0039) I.K., [Jentsch,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0039) A., [Stampfli,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0039) A., [Anderegg,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0039) W.R.L., [Bahn,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0039) M., [Kreyling,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0039) J., [Wohlgemuth,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0039) T., [Lloret,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0039) F., [Classen,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0039) A.T., [Gough,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0039) C.M., [Smith,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0039) M.D., 2018. Mean annual precipitation predicts primary production resistance and resilience to extreme drought. Science of the Total Environment 636, 360–366.
- [Vallentine,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0040) J.F., 1990. Grazing [management.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0040) Academic Press, Inc, San Diego, CA, USA, pp. 395–398.
- [Vermeire,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0041) L.T., [Crowder,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0041) J.L., [Wester,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0041) D.B., 2014. Semiarid rangeland is resilient to summer fire and postfire grazing utilization. Rangeland Ecology & [Management](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0041) 67, 52–60.
- [Vermeire,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0042) L.T., [Heitschmidt,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0042) R.K., [Rinella,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0042) M.J., 2009. Primary productivity and pre[cipitation-use](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0042) efficiency in mixed-grass prairie: a comparison of northern and southern US sites. Rangeland Ecology & Management 62, 230–239.
- [Waterman,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0043) R.C., [Grings,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0043) E.E., [Geary,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0043) T.W., [Roberts,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0043) A.J., [Alexander,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0043) L.J., [MacNeil,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0043) M.D., 2007. Influence of seasonal forage quality on glucose kinetics of young beef cows. Journal of Animal Science 85, [2582–2595.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0043)
- [Waterman,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0044) R.C., [Vermeire,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0044) L.T., 2011. Grazing deferment effects on forage diet quality and ewe performance following summer rangeland fire. Rangeland Ecology & [Management](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0044) 64, 18–27.
- WRCC, 2020. [WRCC] Western Regional Climate Center. Western US climate historical summaries.). Available at <http://www.wrcc.dri.edu/climsum.html> . Accessed August 26, 2020.
- [Wyffels,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0046) S.A., [Petersen,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0046) M.K., [Boss,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0046) D.L., [Sowell,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0046) B.F., [Bowman,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0046) J.G.P., [McNew,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0046) L.B., 2019. Dormant season grazing: effect of [supplementation](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1550-7424(21)00072-5/sbref0046) strategies on heifer resource utilization and vegetation use. Rangeland Ecology & Management 72, 878–887.