

## **CORRIGENDUM**

Authors: Carvalho, W.D., Rosalino, L.M., Dalponte, J.C., Santos, B., Adania, C.H., et al.

Source: Tropical Conservation Science, 9(1) : 564

Published By: SAGE Publishing

URL: <https://doi.org/10.1177/194008291600900130>

---

BioOne Complete ([complete.BioOne.org](https://complete.BioOne.org)) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at [www.bioone.org/terms-of-use](https://www.bioone.org/terms-of-use).

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non - commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

---

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

## CORRIGENDUM

Carvalho, W.D., Rosalino, L.M., Dalponte, J.C., Santos, B., Adania, C.H., Esbérard, C.E.L

Carvalho, W.D., Rosalino, L.M., Dalponte, J.C., Santos, B., Adania, C.H., Esbérard, C.E.L, 2015, Can footprints of small and medium sized felids be distinguished in the field? Evidences from Brazil's Atlantic Forest. *Tropical Conservation Science* 8, 760-777.

First of all we would like to thank the authors of the "Letter to the Editor" (Giovane Ambrosio Ferreira, Eduardo Nakano-Oliveira and Gelson Genaro) for their thorough analysis of our paper and for their comments. Throughout our paper we cited their study ([36] Ferreira, G.A., Nakano-Oliveira, E. and Genaro, G. 2014. Domestic cat predation on neotropical species in an insular Atlantic Forest remnant in southeastern Brazil. *Wildlife Biology* 20:167-175.) twice. One in the Introduction session, as an example of studies that relied on field guides for footprint identification since they have mentioned that: "..., the presence of footprints and scarifications and other indications that would help to characterize the excrements were also recorded and identified based on comparisons made using identification guides for species of wild felines of Brazil (Oliveira and Cassaro 2005)". The second was in the discussion section where we again cite it as an example of studies that used "footprint identification from different field identification guides", which can be derived from the sentence we mentioned above that is included in their study.

Having clarified this, and after thoroughly analyzing the entire paper again, we have to admit that one of the sentence included in the discussion may led readers to interpret what was mentioned inaccurately. Thus, in the discussion section, after giving some example of studies that used foot prints metrics available in field guides as criteria for felids identification, it should be written "However, some of the studies [33-34, 55-56] have not used other complementary approaches to confirm their results..." instead of what was stated (i.e. "However, none of the above-mentioned studies have used other complementary approaches to confirm their results...").

We apologize to Ferreira and colleagues for a less accurate reference to their study, but we assure to them, to the editor and to the journal that this was a situation exceptional and not repeatable. Moreover, we can guarantee that the robustness of the data collected and presented, it analytical procedure and data interpretation are above question.

Sincerely yours

William Douglas de Carvalho<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Luís Miguel Rosalino<sup>3</sup>, Júlio Cesar Dalponte<sup>4</sup>, Bárbara Santos<sup>1</sup>, Cristina Harumi Adania<sup>1</sup> and Carlos Eduardo Lustosa Esbérard<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Centro Brasileiro para Conservação dos Felinos Neotropicais, Associação Mata Ciliar, Jundiaí, São Paulo, Brasil.

<sup>2</sup>Laboratório de Diversidade de Morcegos, Instituto de Biologia, UFRuralRJ, Seropédica, Rio de Janeiro, Brasil.

<sup>3</sup>Centre for Ecology, Evolution and Environmental Changes (Ce3C), Faculdade de Ciências, Universidade de Lisboa, Lisboa, Portugal

<sup>4</sup>Instituto para a Conservação dos Carnívoros Neotropicais (PRÓ-CARNÍVOROS), Atibaia, São Paulo, Brasil.