

Responses Under Pressure

Author: Beardsley, Timothy M.

Source: BioScience, 60(3): 167

Published By: American Institute of Biological Sciences

URL: https://doi.org/10.1525/bio.2010.60.3.1

BioOne Complete (complete.BioOne.org) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at www.bioone.org/terms-of-use.

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non - commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

PUBLISHER Richard T. O'Grady

EDITOR IN CHIEF Timothy M. Beardsley

MANAGING EDITOR

PEER REVIEW / EXTERNAL RELATIONS Jennifer A. Williams

> EDITOR James Verdier

Editors: Eye on Education: Cathy Lundmark (educationoffice@aibs.org); Feature articles: Cathy Lundmark (features@aibs.org); Washington Watch: Robert E. Gropp (publicpolicy@aibs.org). Editorial Board: Agriculture: Sonny Ramaswamy; Animal Behavior: Janice Moore; Animal Develop-ment: Paula Mabee; Botany: Kathleen Donohue; Cell Biology: Randy Wayne; Ecology: Scott Collins, Daniel Simberloff; Ecotoxicology: Judith S. Weis; Education: Gordon E. Uno; Environmental Policy: Gordon Brown, J. Michael Scott; Evolutionary Biology: James Mallet; Genetics and Evolution: Martin Tracey; History and Philosophy: Richard M. Burian; Invertebrate Biology: Kirk Fitzhugh; Landscape Ecology: Monica Turner; Microbiology: Edna S. Kaneshiro; Molecular Biology: David Hillis; Molecular Evolution and Genomics: David Rand; Neurobiology: Cole Gilbert; Plant Development: Cynthia S. Jones; Policy Forum: Eric A. Fischer; Population Biology: Ben Pierce; Professional Biologist: Jean Wyld; Sensing and Computation: Geoffrey M. Henebry; Statistics: Kent E. Holsinger; Vertebrate Biology: Harvey B. Lillywhite. BioScience (ISSN 0006-3568; e-ISSN 1525-3244) is published 11 times a year (July/August combined) by the American Institute of Biological Sciences, 1444 I Street, NW, Suite 200, Washington, DC 20005, in collaboration with the University of California Press. Periodicals postage paid at Berkeley, CA, and additional mailing offices.

POSTMASTER: Send address changes to BioScience, University of California Press, Journals and Digital Publishing, 2000 Center Street, Suite 303, Berkeley, CA 94704-1223, or e-mail customerser-

vice@ucpressjournals.com.

Membership and subscription: Individual members, go to www.aibs.org/individual-membership for benefits and services, membership rates, and back issue claims. Subscription renewal month is shown in the four-digit year-month code in the upper right corner of the mailing label. Institutional subscribers, go to www.ucpressjournals.com or e-mail customerservice@ucpressjournals.com. Out-of-print issues and volumes are available from Periodicals Service Company, 11 Main Street, Germantown, NY 12526-5635; telephone: 518-537-4700; fax: 518-537-5899; Web site: www.periodicals.com.

Advertising: For information about display and online advertisements and deadlines, e-mail adsales@ucpressjournals.com. For information about classified placements and deadlines, contact Jennifer A. Williams, AIBS (jwilliams@aibs.org).

Copying and permissions notice: Authorization to copy article content beyond fair use (as specified in sections 107 and 108 of the US Copyright Law) for internal or personal use, or the internal or personal use of specific clients, is granted by the Regents of the University of California on behalf of AIBS for libraries and other users, provided that they are registered with and pay the specified fee through the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC), www.copyright.com. To reach the CCC's Customer Service Department, call 1-978-750-8400 or e-mail info@copyright.com. For permission to distribute electronically, republish, resell, or repurpose material, and to purchase article offprints, use the CCC's Rightslink service on Caliber at http://caliber.ucpress.net. Submit all other permissions and licensing inquiries through the University of California Press's Rights and Permissions Web site, www.ucpressjournals.com/reprintlnfo.asp, or e-mail journalspermissions@ucpress.edu.

Abstracting and indexing: For complete abstracting and indexing information, please visit www.ucpress journals.com.

© 2010 American Institute of Biological Sciences. All rights reserved. Printed at Allen Press, Inc.

BioScience_®

Organisms from Molecules to the Environment

American Institute of Biological Sciences

Responses Under Pressure

As some of the broader impacts of the cultivation of biofuel feedstocks have become more apparent—not just the direct effects on greenhouse gas emissions but also indirect effects triggered by changes in the supply of agricultural commodities—so has the need to accurately estimate them. The assessment of the greenhouse gas emissions expected to flow from such induced land-use change (e.g., when farmers in Central America cut down forests to grow crops to replace the reduction in maize availability) has become a policy battleground.

The stakes are high: The 2007 Energy Independence and Security Act mandates a steep increase in the production of biofuels over the next dozen years, and requires estimates of life-cycle emissions of greenhouse gases from biofuels to be considered when establishing crucial definitions used for enforcement. The Environmental Protection Agency is currently wrestling with these definitions for a final rulemaking on new renewable fuel standards. Moreover, the California Air Resources Board has set rules that explicitly require the effects of indirect land-use change to be considered in establishing changes to its renewable fuel standards.

Because ethanol from maize accounts for almost all US biofuel production, assessments of its life-cycle greenhouse gas emissions drive the maelstrom. Tensions were only exacerbated by Timothy Searchinger and colleagues' publication in 2008 of a calculation suggesting that, once global indirect land-use changes are counted, production of corn ethanol led to greenhouse gas emissions twice as large as those from burning gasoline; using switchgrass as a feedstock instead still increased total emissions. So much for green biofuels.

The Forum article by Thomas W. Hertel and coauthors that starts on p. 223 attempts to refine estimates of net greenhouse gas emissions resulting from the mandated increase in biofuel production. Its methodology, based on an equilibrium economic model that estimates market responses to changes in crop availability in different regions of the world, yields results only somewhat less alarming than those of Searchinger. The new estimate should be little comfort to ethanol manufacturers, or to anyone who would use prime agricultural land for fuel production. Hertel and colleagues write that their estimate is "enough to cancel out the benefits that corn ethanol has on global warming."

The article is a valiant attempt at cross-fertilizing biology and economics to get the measure of a globally important effect of US policy, and as such deserves widespread attention. Hertel and his coauthors do their best to test the stability of their assessment, and it seems likely that others will build on their approach. It suggests a possible route for ecologists to get a grip on human responses to other sorts of environmental pressure.

Yet the article also reminds the reader of the numerous uncertainties surrounding attempts to model human behavior, and the difficulty of framing the real-world boundaries of such an analysis—obstacles Hertel and his coauthors acknowledge. The unexpected can be expected, and when it arrives, econometric studies will have to be redone. Still, a combination of biology and economics seems a more reliable guide to the future than would be either discipline alone.

TIMOTHY M. BEARDSLEY

Editor in Chief

doi:10.1525/bio.2010.60.3.1