



The genera of Lauraceae in Madagascar with nomenclatural novelties in *Cryptocarya*

Author: Werff, Henk van der

Source: *Candollea*, 72(2) : 323-328

Published By: The Conservatory and Botanical Garden of the City of Geneva (CJBG)

URL: <https://doi.org/10.15553/c2017v722a8>

BioOne Complete (complete.BioOne.org) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at www.bioone.org/terms-of-use.

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non - commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

The genera of Lauraceae in Madagascar with nomenclatural novelties in *Cryptocarya*

Henk van der Werff

Abstract

VAN DER WERFF, H. (2017). The genera of Lauraceae in Madagascar with nomenclatural novelties in *Cryptocarya*. *Candollea* 72: 323-328. In English, English and French abstracts. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.15553/c2017v722a8>

Two identification keys to the genera of *Lauraceae* in Madagascar based on or fruiting specimens are provided. For each genus diagnostic characters, estimates of species numbers, recent literature and additional notes are included. Eight species of *Ravensara* Sonn. are transferred to *Cryptocarya* R. Br., resulting in five new combinations and three new names. A lectotype is designated for *Ravensara affinis* Kosterm. (= *Cryptocarya petiolata* van der Werff).

Résumé

VAN DER WERFF, H. (2017). Les genres de Lauraceae à Madagascar, avec des nouveautés nomenclaturales dans le genre *Cryptocarya*. *Candollea* 72: 323-328. En anglais, résumés anglais et français. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.15553/c2017v722a8>

Deux clés d'identification des genres de *Lauraceae* à Madagascar basées sur des spécimens en fleur ou en fruit sont fournies. Pour chaque genre, les caractères diagnostiques, l'estimation de son nombre d'espèces, la littérature récente le concernant et des notes supplémentaires sont fournies. Huit espèces de *Ravensara* Sonn. sont transférées à *Cryptocarya* R. Br., par cinq nouvelles combinaisons et trois noms nouveaux. Un lectotype est désigné pour *Ravensara affinis* Kosterm. (= *Cryptocarya petiolata* van der Werff).

Keywords

LAURACEAE – *Cryptocarya* – *Ravensara* – Madagascar – identification key - new combinations - new names

Address of the author:

Missouri Botanical Garden, P.O. Box 299, St. Louis, MO, 63166. E-mail: henk.vanderwerff@mobot.org

First published online on July 20, 2017.

ISSN: 0373-2967 – Online ISSN: 2235-3658 – *Candollea* 72(2): 323-328 (2017) © CONSERVATOIRE ET JARDIN BOTANIQUES DE GENÈVE 2017

Introduction

Lauraceae form a sizeable family of trees and shrubs best represented in tropical America and tropical Asia. Africa is relatively poor in species, but Madagascar is about as rich in species as the entire African mainland. *Lauraceae* occur predominantly in wet forests and their species numbers diminish rapidly in seasonal dry forests. Our knowledge of *Lauraceae* from Madagascar started with the description of a few isolated species, especially those species used by the Malagasy people. The first species described from Madagascar was *Ravensara aromatica* Sonn. (= *Cryptocarya agathophylla* van der Werff), the leaves of which were widely used as a condiment and for their medicinal properties. The first treatment of *Lauraceae* from Madagascar was published in 1939 (KOSTERMANS, 1939) in which the following genera were recognized: *Apollonias* Nees, *Cassytha* Osbeck, *Cryptocarya* R. Br., *Ocotea* Aubl., *Potameia* Thouars and *Ravensara* Sonn. *Potameia* and *Ravensara* were considered to be endemic to Madagascar. With minor changes this treatment was reissued in 1950 as a fascicle of the *Flore de Madagascar et des Comores* (KOSTERMANS, 1950). The main change was the transfer of the species previously placed in *Apollonias* to *Beilschmiedia*. In 1957 and 1958 Kostermans published a series of articles with the descriptions of new species of *Beilschmiedia* (KOSTERMANS, 1957a), *Cryptocarya* (KOSTERMANS, 1957b), *Ocotea* (KOSTERMANS, 1957c), *Potameia* (KOSTERMANS, 1957d) and *Ravensara* (KOSTERMANS, 1958) and thereby greatly increased the number of known species of *Lauraceae* from Madagascar. Unfortunately, no keys to the species were included and identification of *Lauraceae* remained difficult. The genera *Cryptocarya* and *Ravensara* differed in only one character of the fruit (cotyledons ruminant by septa developing from the exocarp in *Ravensara* or without septa in *Cryptocarya*). Because species of *Cryptocarya* with ruminant cotyledons were also reported from Australia (HYLAND, 1989), VAN DER WERFF (1992) proposed to merge *Cryptocarya* and *Ravensara*. With *Ravensara* being the older name, he also proposed to conserve *Cryptocarya* over *Ravensara* (VAN DER WERFF, 1992), a proposal that was accepted by the Nomenclature Section of the International Association for Plant Taxonomy (BRUMMIT, 1994). ROHWER & RICHTER (1987) established a new Malagasy endemic genus, *Aspidostemon* Rohwer & H.G. Richt., for a number of species previously placed in *Cryptocarya*. *Aspidostemon* differs from *Cryptocarya* in having 3 or 6 stamens (vs 9 stamens in *Cryptocarya*), opposite leaves (vs alternate in *Cryptocarya*) and in characters of the wood and bark.

The floral parts of a typical *Lauraceae* flower are arranged in whorls of three. From the outside toward the center there are two whorls of three tepals each, however the six tepals appear in most species as one whorl with the tepals equal in size and shape. The stamens are arranged in four whorls with Whorl I the outermost and Whorl IV the innermost. Whorl I

is opposite the outer tepals, Whorl II opposite the inner tepals, Whorl III again opposite the outer tepals, Whorl IV opposite the inner tepals. Stamens of whorl IV are nearly always staminodial or lacking. The anthers are generally bi- or quadrilocular, and display valvate dehiscence, each locule formed from a single locellus opening by a valve or flap attached apically. The pistil has a single ovary with one ovule and the fruit is a one-seeded drupe. In a few genera the floral parts are arranged in whorls of two instead of three; flowers of those species have four tepals and six stamens arranged in three whorls of two. Reductions of flower parts occur; sometimes stamens of Whorl III or II become staminodial or their anthers may be unilocular due to the fusion of adjacent locelli. There is also variation in the development of fruits. In some genera the tepals fall off in old flowers and the fruit sits fully exposed on the pedicel. In others the fruit is seated in a shallow or deep cup. Finally, in some genera the fruit is fully enclosed in the accrescent floral tube, which carries small scars of fallen tepals on the tips.

Taxonomy

We provide below two keys for identifications of Malagasy genera of *Lauraceae*, based respectively on flowering and fruiting material. However, a few unusual vegetative characters states are of great help to identify these genera:

- Opposite leaves: while alternate leaves predominate in Lauraceae, all species of *Aspidostemon* have opposite leaves and a few species of *Beilschmiedia* and *Ocotea* also have opposite leaves.
- Presence of domatia: All known species with domatia from Madagascar belong to *Ocotea*.
- Presence of dense, brown, reddish or dark brown pubescence on young leaves and twigs: All known species with this type of pubescence belong to *Cryptocarya*.

Key to genera based on flowering specimens

1. Herbaceous, parasitic vine without leaves..... *Cassytha*
 - 1a. Trees or shrubs with regular leaves..... 2
2. Stamens 4-locular *Ocotea*
 - 2a. Stamens 2-locular, rarely 1-locular..... 3
3. Flowers with 4 tepals 4
 - 3a. Flowers with 6 tepals 5
4. Leaves alternate *Potameia*
 - 4a. Leaves opposite..... *Beilschmiedia* p.p.
5. Stamens 3 or 6; leaves opposite *Aspidostemon*
 - 5a. Stamens 9; leaves alternate, rarely opposite; if opposite, tertiary venation raised..... 6

6. Receptacle tube deep, the ovary enclosed in the tube
 *Cryptocarya*
 6a. Receptacle tube very short, the ovary fully exposed in
 the flower *Beilschmiedia*

Key based on fruiting specimens

1. Herbaceous parasitic vine without leaves *Cassytha*
 1a. Trees or shrubs with regular leaves 2
 2. Fruit seated in a shallow or deep cup-shaped cupule
 *Ocotea*
 2a. Fruit not seated in a cupule 3
 3. Scars of fallen tepals on the top of the fruits 4
 3a. Scars of fallen tepals at the base of the fruits or small,
 persistent tepals present at the base of the fruits 5
 4. Leaves alternate *Cryptocarya*
 4a. Leaves opposite *Aspidostemon*
 5. Fruits with 4 small tepals or 4 scars of fallen tepals at the
 base; leaves alternate *Potameia*
 5a. Fruits with 6 small tepals or 6 scars of fallen tepals at the
 base; leaves alternate or opposite *Beilschmiedia*

Aspidostemon Rohwer & H.G. Richt.

Typus: *Aspidostemon perrieri* (Danguy) Rohwer

Diagnostic characters. – Opposite leaves, trimerous flowers with 3 or 6 two-locular stamens, staminodia of whorl III enlarged and conspicuous. Fruits enclosed in receptacle with scars of fallen tepals on top.

Distribution. – Endemic to Madagascar.

Number of species. – 28.

Recent literature. – ROHWER & RICHTER (1987), VAN DER WERFF (2006).

Notes. – *Aspidostemon* can be recognized in the field by its opposite leaves frequently with acuminate tips and inconspicuous, immersed or slightly raised venation. The bark of *Aspidostemon* is distinctive and has been referred to as platanoid (VAN DER WERFF, 2006), it was described by René Capuron on the labels of several of his collections “rhytidome caduc par plaques [exfoliating in large plates]”. Opposite leaves occur also in a few species of *Beilschmiedia* and *Ocotea*; see the discussion under those genera. Sterile specimens cannot be identified with confidence except for one or two vegetatively distinct species.

Beilschmiedia Nees

Typus: *Beilschmiedia roxburghiana* Nees

Diagnostic characters. – Flowers trimerous with nine bilocular stamens (rarely with dimerous flowers; see notes) and fruits seated on the pedicel, the tepals deciduous or persisting as small bracts at the base of the fruits.

Distribution. – Pantropical.

Number of species in Madagascar. – 9 (all endemic).

Recent literature. – VAN DER WERFF (2003).

Notes. – The *Beilschmiedia* species in Madagascar can be divided in three groups on vegetative and floral characters. The first group has alternate leaves and small flowers with erect tepals; the second one has alternate leaves and larger flowers with spreading tepals and the third group has opposite leaves and flowers with erect tepals. Some specimens have the vegetative characters of the third group but dimerous flowers (with 4 tepals and 6 stamens instead of 6 tepals and 9 stamens) characteristic of *Potameia* and thus combine characters of *Beilschmiedia* and *Potameia*. An analysis of cuticular features (NISHIDA & VAN DER WERFF, 2007) has shown that these specimens all have cuticles characteristic of the third group of *Beilschmiedia* and therefore they are placed in *Beilschmiedia*.

Cassytha Osbeck

Typus: *Cassytha filiformis* L.

Diagnostic characters. – Herbaceous, parasitic vine without leaves.

Distribution. – Pantropical.

Number of species in Madagascar. – 1 (native, non-endemic).

Recent literature. – WEBER (1981).

Notes. – All species of *Cassytha* are leafless, herbaceous and parasitic vines. Largely because of their habit they have been placed in a separate family, *Cassythaceae* but phylogenetic studies (ROHWER, 2000; CHANDERBALI et al. 2001) have shown that *Cassytha* is nested within *Lauraceae*.

Cryptocarya R. Br.

Typus: *Cryptocarya glaucescens* R. Br.

= *Ravensara* Sonn. **Typus:** *Ravensara aromatica* Sonn.
(= *Cryptocarya agathophylla* van der Werff).

Diagnostic characters. – Flowers with nine bilocular stamens, ovary included inside a deep receptacle, fruits enclosed by the receptacle with scars of the fallen tepals on top; leaves alternate.

Distribution. – Pantropical.

Number of species in Madagascar. – c. 40 (c. 39 endemic, one shared with the Comoro Islands)

Recent literature. – VAN DER WERFF (2008, 2013a).

Notes. – *Cryptocarya* is readily recognized by its flowers, with the ovary included in, but not attached to, the receptacle and its fruits, entirely enclosed in the accrescent receptacle. Species previously placed in *Cryptocarya* with a similar receptacle, but with 3 or 6 stamens and opposite leaves are now recognized as *Aspidostemon*. The genus *Ravensara*, formerly treated as endemic to Madagascar, and differing from *Cryptocarya* only in one fruit character: the presence of septa growing from the receptacle wall in to the fruits and making the fruits appear 6-lobed. Because this character also appeared sparingly in *Cryptocarya* species outside Madagascar, *Ravensara* and *Cryptocarya* are now considered synonyms (VAN DER WERFF, 1992) and *Cryptocarya*, although nomenclaturally younger, is conserved over *Ravensara* (VAN DER WERFF, 1992; BRUMMIT, 1994). Several species of *Cryptocarya* have a dense indument consisting of erect, curly hairs entirely covering the lower leaf surface and young twigs. The color of this pubescence varies from brown, reddish brown or dark brown and it wears off on older leaves and twigs. This pubescence seems to be restricted to *Cryptocarya* in Madagascar. Most species of *Lauraceae* in Madagascar have elliptic leaves, but among *Cryptocarya* species oblong leaves are not uncommon. *Cryptocarya* is in need of a complete taxonomic revision.

Since *Ravensara* was sunk in synonymy of *Cryptocarya*, several *Ravensara* species have been transferred to *Cryptocarya* (VAN DER WERFF, 2008, 2013a). Eight species recognized by Kostermans are still in need of a name in *Cryptocarya* and the necessary nomenclatural changes (five new combinations and three new names) are presented below. A lectotype is designated for *Ravensara affinis* Kosterm. (= *Cryptocarya petiolata* van der Werff).

Transfers of Malagasy Ravensara species to Cryptocarya***Cryptocarya canaliculata* van der Werff, nom. nov.**

= *Ravensara impressa* Kosterm. in Not. Syst. (Paris) 8: 109. 1939 [non *Cryptocarya impressa* Miq.].

Typus: MADAGASCAR. **Prov. Toliara:** Morondava, bois près de la riv. Anove, 200 m, Aug. 1912, *Perrier de la Bâthie 10134* (holo- : P [P00853277]!; iso- : P [P00540975] image seen).

***Cryptocarya coriacea* (Kosterm.) van der Werff, comb. nov.**

= *Ravensara coriacea* Kosterm. in Bull. Jard. Bot. Etat Bruxelles 28: 177. 1958.

Typus: MADAGASCAR. **Prov. Toamasina:** Menagisy, Brickaville, 8.XII.1950, fl., *Service Forestier 12356* (holo- : P [P00853276]!; iso- : BO, P [P00540979] image seen).

***Cryptocarya flavescens* (Kosterm.) van der Werff, comb. nov.**

= *Ravensara flavescens* Kosterm. in Not. Syst. (Paris) 8: 108.1939.

Typus: MADAGASCAR. **Prov. Toamasina:** forêts montagneuses de l'Est, fl., *Louvel 233* (holo- : P [P00853278]!).

***Cryptocarya montana* (Kosterm.) van der Werff, comb. nov.**

= *Ravensara montana* Kosterm. in Bull. Jard. Bot. Etat Bruxelles 28: 183. 1958.

Typus: MADAGASCAR. **Prov. Antsiranana:** Massif du Marivorahona, 1900 m, 25.III.1951, fr., *Service Forestier 3061* (holo- : P [P00540969] image seen, iso- : BR [BR0000006251659] image seen, K!, L [L0036893] image seen, [P00853275, P00540970, P00540971] images seen, TEF [TEF000295] image seen).

***Cryptocarya multiflora* van der Werff, nom. nov.**

= *Ravensara floribunda* Baillon in Adansonia 9: 304. 1870 [non *Cryptocarya floribunda* Nees].

Typus: MADAGASCAR: *sine loc.*, fl., *Chapelier s.n.* (holo- : P [P00540976] image seen; iso- : P [P00853273]!).

***Cryptocarya oreophila* van der Werff, nom. nov.**

= *Ravensara macrophylla* Kosterm. in Not. Syst. (Paris) 8: 111. 1939 [non *Cryptocarya macrophylla* Gamble].

Typus: MADAGASCAR. **Prov. Antsiranana:** Tsaratanana, 2000 m, IV.1924, fr., *Perrier de la Bâthie* 16071 (holo-: P [P00853272]!; iso-: P [P00540972] image seen).

***Cryptocarya perareolata* (Kosterm.) van der Werff, comb. nov.**

= *Ravensara perareolata* Kosterm. in Bull. Jard. Bot. Etat Bruxelles 28: 185. 1958.

Typus: MADAGASCAR. **Prov. Fianarantsoa:** Andrambovato-Fologoina-Fort Carnot, 27.I.1953, fl., *Service Forestier* 7076 (holo-: P [P00540960]!; iso-: BO, P [P00853274]!, TEF [TEF000293] image seen).

***Cryptocarya petiolata* van der Werff, nom. nov.**

= *Ravensara affinis* Kosterm. in Not. Syst. (Paris) 8: 104. 1939 [non *Cryptocarya affinis* Merr.].

Lectotypus (designated here): MADAGASCAR. **Prov. Antananarivo:** bois des pentes occidentale, près d'Anjanabonoina bassin inférieur de l'Andrantsay, W. de Betafo, c. 1400 m, [19°57'02»S 46°28'36»E], IX.1921, fl., *Perrier de la Bâthie* 13952 (P [P01991357]!; isolecto-: P [P01991355, P01991356, P01991358] images seen).

Note. – KOSTERMANS (1939: 104) cited two collections under *Ravensara affinis*: *Perrier de la Bâthie* 13952 was listed as the type in the protologue, but specimens of *Perrier de la Bâthie* 10144 cited by Kostermans were annotated as the type in P. I follow the type indication from the protologue and accept *Perrier de la Bâthie* 13952 as the type collection of *Cryptocarya petiolata* with the sheet P01991357 designated here as the lectotype. *Perrier de la Bâthie* 10144 is no more than a paratype.

***Ocotea* Aubl.**

Typus: *Ocotea guianensis* Aubl.

Diagnostic characters. – Flowers with 9 four-locular stamens and fruit seated in a shallow or deep cupule.

Distribution. – Mostly neotropical, but with a smaller number of species in Madagascar and Africa.

Number of species in Madagascar. – 35 (all endemic)

Recent literature. – VAN DER WERFF (2013b).

Notes. – *Ocotea* is easily recognized in Madagascar. It is the only genus which has flowers with quadrilocular stamens and fruits seated in a cupule. Some of its species have domatia, tufts of hairs or pits in the axils of some of the secondary veins. Domatia do not occur in species of the other genera in Madagascar and sterile specimens with domatia can be assigned with confidence to *Ocotea*. Most species have alternate leaves, but a few have opposite or subopposite leaves.

***Potameia* Thouars**

Typus: *Potameia thouarsii* Roem. & Schult.

Diagnostic characters. – The combination of dimerous flowers (flowers with 4 tepals and 6 or fewer stamens) and alternate leaves.

Distribution. – Endemic to Madagascar (but see notes).

Number of species. – Estimated at 25 (all endemic)

Recent literature. – VAN DER WERFF (1991, 1996).

Notes. – *Potameia* is closely related to *Beilschmiedia* and differs from that genus by its dimerous flowers. Both genera have fruits seated on the pedicel with remnants of the tepals at the base, four in *Potameia* and six in *Beilschmiedia*. One species of *Beilschmiedia* (*B. pedicellata* van der Werff) may have dimerous flowers, but differs from *Potameia* in its opposite leaves (all species of *Potameia* have alternate leaves). Most species of *Potameia* have flowers with six bilocular stamens. In a few species the adjacent locelli become fused so the stamens are unilocular. The number of stamens can also be reduced; the extreme is *P. micrantha* van der Werff, a species with flowers with two stamens, each unilocular. Most herbarium specimens of *Potameia* have a light colored, grey bark. While such a bark color may also occur outside *Potameia*, its presence strongly suggests *Potameia*. Several Asian species, mostly from southern China, have been placed in *Potameia* but are now considered to belong to *Syndiclis* Hook.f.. The single Indian species placed in *Potameia*, *P. tirunelvelica* (Manickam, Murugan, Jothi & Sundaresan) M. Gangop., was originally placed in *Beilschmiedia*; the description stated that it has opposite leaves and dimerous flowers. Because the combination of opposite leaves and dimerous flowers also occurs in a *Beilschmiedia* and not in *Potameia*, I consider the Indian species as a *Beilschmiedia* and *Potameia* as a genus endemic to Madagascar. *Potameia* is in need of taxonomic revision.

Introduced taxa

Four species belonging to three genera have been introduced to Madagascar, but are not known to have become naturalized. These are: *Cinnamomum*, with two species. *Cinnamomum* has bisexual flowers with nine 4-locular stamens. *Cinnamomum camphora* (L.) Sieb. has alternate, tripliveined leaves with domatia; *C. verum* J.Presl has opposite, tri-veined leaves without domatia. *Cinnamomum camphora* is the source of camphor; the bark of *C. verum* yields cinnamon.

Litsea, with one species, *L. glutinosa* (Lour.) C.B. Rob. It is dioecious, with its unisexual flowers arranged in an umbel and is the only *Lauraceae* known from Madagascar with unisexual flowers arranged in this way. *Litsea glutinosa* is native to tropical Asia and Australia. In addition to Madagascar, it is also introduced in Africa and South America.

Persea, with one species, *Persea americana* Mill. It has flowers with nine 4-locular stamens and long filaments. Leaves are pinnately veined and lack domatia. It is cultivated for its fruits, the avocado.

References

- BRUMMIT, R.K. (1994). Report of the Committee for the Spermatophyta: 41. *Taxon* 43: 271-277.
- CHANDERBALI, A.S., H. VAN DER WERFF & S. RENNER (2001). Phylogeny and historical biogeography of Lauraceae: Evidence from the chloroplast and nuclear genomes. *Ann. Missouri Bot. Gard.* 88: 104-134.
- HYLAND, B.P.M. (1989). A revision of the Lauraceae in Australia (excluding Cassytha). *Aust. Syst. Bot.* 2: 135-367.
- KOSTERMANS, A.J.G.H. (1939). Enumeratio Lauracearum Madagascariensium et ex Insulis Mascarenis. (Revisio Lauracearum VI). *Notul. Syst. (Paris)* 8: 67-128
- KOSTERMANS, A.J.G.H. (1950). Lauraceae. In: HUMBERT, H. (ed.), *Fl. Madagascar Comores* 81.
- KOSTERMANS, A.J.G.H. (1957a). Le genre Beilschmiedia Nees (Lauracées) au Madagascar. *Commun. Forest Res. Inst.* 56: 1-12.
- KOSTERMANS, A.J.G.H. (1957b). Le genre Cryptocarya R. Br. (Lauracées) à Madagascar. *Bull. Jard. Bot. Etat* 27: 173-188.
- KOSTERMANS, A.J.G.H. (1957c). Le genre Ocotea Aubl. (Lauracées) à Madagascar. *Commun. Forest Res. Inst.* 57: 1-44.
- KOSTERMANS, A.J.G.H. (1957d). Le genre Potameia DuPetit-Thouars (Lauracées) au Madagascar. *Commun. Forest Res. Inst.* 55: 1-35.
- KOSTERMANS, A.J.G.H. (1958). Le genre Ravensara Sonn. (Lauracées) à Madagascar. *Bull. Jard. Bot. État* 28: 173-191.
- NISHIDA, S & H. VAN DER WERFF (2007). Are cuticular characters useful in solving generic relationships of problematic species of Lauraceae? *Taxon* 56: 1229-1237.
- ROHWER, J.G. (2000). Toward a phylogenetic classification of the Lauraceae: Evidence from *matK* sequences. *Syst. Bot.* 25: 60-71.
- ROHWER, J.G. & H.G. RICHTER (1987). Aspidostemon, a new lauraceous genus from Madagascar. *Bot. Jahrb. Syst.* 109: 71-79.
- VAN DER WERFF, H. (1991). Studies in Malagasy Lauraceae 1: Novelties in Potameia. *Bull. Mus. Natl. Hist. Nat., Paris, sér. 4, sect. B*, 13: 173-177.
- VAN DER WERFF, H. (1992). Proposal to conserve 2813 Cryptocarya against Ravensara (Lauraceae). *Taxon* 41: 129-130.
- VAN DER WERFF, H. (1996). Studies in Malagasy Lauraceae II. New Taxa. *Novon* 6: 463-475.
- VAN DER WERFF, H. (2003). A synopsis of the genus Beilschmiedia (Lauraceae) in Madagascar. *Adansonia*, ser. 3, 25: 77-92.
- VAN DER WERFF, H. (2006). A revision of the Malagasy endemic genus Aspidostemon Rohwer & Richter (Lauraceae). *Adansonia* ser. 3, 28: 7-44.
- VAN DER WERFF, H. (2008). A new species and new combinations in Cryptocarya from Madagascar. *Adansonia* ser. 3, 30: 41-46.
- VAN DER WERFF, H. (2013a). Nomenclatural notes on Cryptocarya R. Br. (Lauraceae) from Madagascar. *Candollea* 68: 303-306.
- VAN DER WERFF, H. (2013b). A revision of the genus Ocotea Aubl. (Lauraceae) in Madagascar and the Comoro Islands. *Adansonia* ser. 3, 35: 235-279.
- WEBER, J.Z. (1981). A taxonomic revision of Cassytha (Lauraceae) in Australia. *J. Adelaide Bot. Gard.* 3: 187-262.