



Errata

Source: Florida Entomologist, 98(2) : 818

Published By: Florida Entomological Society

URL: <https://doi.org/10.1653/024.098.0269>

BioOne Complete (complete.BioOne.org) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at www.bioone.org/terms-of-use.

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non - commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

ERRATA

There is an error in the running head of the article of Florida Entomologist 97(4): 1458–1468, i.e., Mengoni Goñalons, Carolina, Laura Varone, Guillermo Logarzo, Mariel Guala, Marcela Rodruiguero, Stephen D. Hight and James E. Carpenter—Geographical Range and Laboratory Studies on *Apanteles opuntiarum* (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) in Argentina, a Candidate for Biological Control of *Cactoblastis cactorum* (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae) in North America. The editor deeply regrets his failure to correct this error.

The running head should be: Mengoni Goñalons et al.: *Apanteles opuntiarum* in Argentina.

The graphic of Fig. 5 is incorrect in the following article of Florida Entomologist 98(1): 193–199, i.e., Wu, Pengxiang, Xiaofei Xiong, Zhen Li, Shuo Yan, Xiaoxia Liu and Qingwen Zhanga—Developmental continuity between larval and adult leg patternings in *Coccinella septempunctata* (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae). The editor deeply regrets his failure to correct this error. The correct figure is as follows:




Fig. 5. Ratios (%) of lengths of regenerated legs to contralateral legs (means \pm SE) after either partial or complete regeneration when ablation of larval legs was as follows: **A.** Half ablation at 3 thoracic locations (fore-, mid-, and hind legs); and **B.** Half ablation in the 2nd, 3rd, and 4th instar. **C.** Half ablation showing lengths of regenerated coxa, femur, tibia, tarsus, and entire leg. **D.** Complete ablation at 3 thoracic locations (fore-, mid-, and hind leg); and **E.** Complete ablation in 3 instars (2nd, 3rd, 4th). **F.** Complete ablation showing length of regenerated coxa, femur, tibia, tarsus, and entire leg. Different letters indicate significant differences (Tukey's HSD, $P < 0.05$) among the regenerated patternings within 1 segment or leg.