BioOne COMPLETE

Comparison of Biology between Helicoverpa zea and Helicoverpa armigera (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) Reared on Artificial Diets

Authors: Barbosa, Tatiane Aparecida Nascimento, Mendes, Simone Martins, Rodrigues, Geovane Teixeira, Ribeiro, Paulo Eduardo de Aquino, Santos, Christiane Almeida dos, et al.

Source: Florida Entomologist, 99(1) : 72-76

Published By: Florida Entomological Society

URL: https://doi.org/10.1653/024.099.0113

BioOne Complete (complete.BioOne.org) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at www.bioone.org/terms-of-use.

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non - commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

Downloaded From: https://bioone.org/journals/Florida-Entomologist on 28 Mar 2024
Terms of Use: https://bioone.org/terms-of-use

Comparison of biology between *Helicoverpa zea* and *Helicoverpa armigera* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) reared on artificial diets

Tatiane Aparecida Nascimento Barbosa[1], Simone Martins Mendes[1,], Geovane Teixeira Rodrigues[1], Paulo Eduardo de Aquino Ribeiro[1], Christiane Almeida dos Santos[1], Fernando Hercos Valicente[1], and Charles Martins de Oliveira[2]*

Abstract

The objective of this study was to compare growth and development of *Helicoverpa zea* Boddie and *Helicoverpa armigera* Hübner (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) larvae that were feeding on an artificial diet. Neonate larvae of *H. zea* and *H. armigera* were collected in maize fields cultivated at the Brazilian Agricultural Research Corporation, Embrapa Maize & Sorghum, in Sete Lagoas (Minas Gerais) and in farmers' cotton fields in Luís Eduardo Magalhães (Bahia), respectively. Bioassays were conducted in the laboratory using individual larvae in 50 mL plastic cups fed a white bean–based artificial diet and maintained at a temperature of 26 ± 2 °C and a relative humidity of 47 ± 10%. The following larval biological parameters were evaluated: number and duration of instars, survival of larval instars and pupae, larval biomass, larval head capsule size, and larval length. An adaptation index was computed for comparison of development of the 2 species. Significant differences were observed between the species for all variables except for the number of instars and pupal survival. Although *H. armigera* larvae developed faster and were smaller than *H. zea* larvae, the diet tested can be considered adequate for rearing both species in the laboratory.

Key Words: adaptation index; corn earworm; Old World bollworm; cotton earworm; bollworm; polyphagous caterpillar

Resumen

El objetivo de este estudio fue comparar el crecimiento y desarrollo de larvas de *Helicoverpa zea* Boddie y *Helicoverpa armigera* Hübner (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) que fueron alimentadas con una dieta artificial. Se recolectaron larvas recién nacidas de *H. zea* y *H. armigera* en campos de maíz cultivadas en la Cooperación Brasileña de Investigación Agropecuaria, Maíz y Sorgo de Embrapa, en Sete Lagoas (Minas Gerais), y en los campos de algodón de los agricultores en Luís Eduardo Magalhães (Bahia), respectivamente. Se realizaron los bioensayos en el laboratorio utilizando larvas individuales en tasas plásticas de 50 mL alimentadas con una dieta artificial a base de frijól blanco y se mantuvieron a una temperatura de 26 ± 2 °C y una humedad relativa de 47 ± 10%. Se evaluaron los siguientes parámetros biológicos larvales: número y duración de los estadios, la sobrevivencia del estadio de larva y de pupa, la biomasa, el tamaño de la cápsula de la cabeza y la longitud de las larvas. Se calculó el índice de adaptación para la comparación del desarrollo de las 2 especies. Se observaron diferencias significativas entre las especies para todas las variables excepto para el número de estadios y la sobrevivencia de la pupa. Aunque las larvas de *H. armigera* se desarrollaron más rápido y fueron más pequeñas que las larvas de *H. zea*, la dieta probada puede considerarse adecuada para la cría de las dos especies en el laboratorio.

Palabras Clave: índice de adaptación; gusano elotero del maíz; gusano bellotero; gusano del algodón; gusano; oruga polífaga

The corn earworm, *Helicoverpa zea* Boddie (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae), is a pest of significant economic importance in agriculture throughout much of the world. In the United States, this species is reported to cause damage to cotton, soybean, sorghum, and tomato, and is a major pest of corn used for human consumption (ICAC Recorder 2011). In Brazil, *H. zea* mainly damages tomatoes and the tips of corn ears (Avila et al. 2013). In addition to direct losses caused by larval consumption of developing kernels, subsequent damage is also significant and includes lack of 2nd ear formation, ovule fertilization failure in late ears, and failure of ears to fill properly (Cruz et al. 2008). In addition, corn earworm damage creates holes through which fungal infection occurs, causing grain rot and mycotoxin production, which leads to qualitative grain loss due to devaluation of the product and a threat to the health of humans and livestock (Luiz & Magro 2007).

Helicoverpa armigera Hübner (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae), sometimes known as Old World bollworm, African bollworm, or cotton bollworm, is a major pest of many crops in Africa, Asia, Europe, and Oceania, but is new to the Western Hemisphere. Until 2013, *H. armigera* was considered an A1 quarantine species and was not been reported in the Americas. However, this species was identified morphologically and molecularly in Brazil in 2013, reportedly causing serious damage to crops such as cotton and soybean (Czepak et al. 2013; Specht et al. 2013). *Helicoverpa armigera* is highly dispersive and has the ability to develop on various crops, both conventional and genetically modified (Liu et al. 2004). The existing damage and potential threats posed by this pest in Brazil have caused various agribusiness sectors to mobilize to develop sustainable management strategies (Avila et al. 2013; Embrapa 2013), but extensive losses to Brazilian agriculture have been experienced (Brasil 2014; Oliveira et al. 2014).

[1]Embrapa Milho e Sorgo, Sete Lagoas, MG, 35702-098, Brazil
[2]Embrapa Cerrados, Planaltina, DF, 73310-970, Brazil
*Corresponding author; E-mail: simone.mendes@embrapa.br

Downloaded From: https://bioone.org/journals/Florida-Entomologist on 28 Mar 2024
Terms of Use: https://bioone.org/terms-of-use

Helicoverpa zea and *H. armigera* are genetically and physiologically closely related species that have mating compatibility under laboratory conditions (Tay et al. 2013). Therefore, the presence of *H. armigera* in Brazilian crop fields during 2 seasons (2011/2012 and 2012/2013) was initially confused with *H. zea*, even though the observed aggressiveness and high degree of resistance to insecticides were not consistent with the expected responses of *H. zea*. The observation of *H. armigera* in South America and its subsequent spread into Central America and the Caribbean have increased the concern about the invasion risk to North America. Previously, the perceived dispersal threat was based on the goods transported into North America from various locations worldwide, including South and Central America and the Caribbean. Currently, there are 2 additional natural dispersal pathways: via the land bridge between North and South America and via island hopping across the Caribbean (Kriticos et al. 2015).

Information on growth rate, instar duration, and survival under certain environmental conditions are important for understanding the population dynamics and competition of these 2 species in the field. Such information can aid in predicting the ability of these species to successfully exploit agroecosystems (Cunningham & Zalucki 2014). Among its basic assumptions, integrated pest management requires an understanding of the biology of the pest complex in the target crop (Kogan 1998). In Brazil, there are few bioecological studies comparing *H. zea* and *H. armigera*. Because such information could be critical for the development of integrated management strategies, a study was initiated to compare selected biological characteristics of *H. zea* and *H. armigera* reared on artificial diet.

Materials and Methods

This experiment was conducted at the Laboratory of Ecotoxicology and Insect Management of Embrapa Maize & Sorghum, Sete Lagoas (Minas Gerais, Brazil), under controlled conditions (26 ± 2 °C, 50 ± 10% RH, 12:12 h L:D photoperiod). The Greene modified standard artificial diet, considered to be the most suitable for development of *H. zea* (Garcia et al. 2006), was used for insect culture. The following biological parameters were assessed: number and duration of instars, larval and pupal survival, and larval biomass, head capsule size, and body length.

INSECT SOURCES AND REARING

Larvae of *H. zea* (approx. 200 individuals) were collected from corn fields at the experimental station of Embrapa Maize & Sorghum. *Helicoverpa armigera* larvae (approx. 300 individuals) were collected from cotton fields in Luís Eduardo Magalhães (Bahia). F1 neonate *H. zea* larvae and F2 neonate *H. armigera* larvae were cultured individually in 50 mL screw-top cups made of transparent autoclavable polypropylene, each containing a 4.5 cm^3 portion of artificial diet (approx. 5 g). The larvae used in these studies were derived from the 2nd generation of laboratory-reared insects.

NUMBER AND DURATION OF INSTARS

To establish the number of instars, the cups (n = 72 per species) were observed daily for the presence of exuviae. At each molt, the exuviae, which are dark brown to black in color, were removed from the cups by using a fine bristle brush. The duration of the 1st instar was considered to be the time between egg hatching and the 1st molt, and the duration of each subsequent instar was the period between 2 molts. Except where noted, for statistical analysis, each insect was considered to be 1 replicate.

SURVIVAL

For survival assessment, the numbers of live and dead individuals were assessed daily throughout the development cycle. For this variable only, each group (n = 18 per species) of 4 individuals was considered to be 1 replicate, to guarantee an adequate number of replicates.

LARVAL DEVELOPMENT

To measure the head capsule size and body biomass and length, 400 individuals of each species were observed. Daily, 10 individuals were removed to evaluate insect biomass, which was measured using a precision scale (Precision = 0.1 mg, Mettler Toledo AB204, Switzerland). Then, larvae were killed in microtubes containing 2 mL of 70% ethanol, and the maximum head capsule width was measured using a stereomicroscope at 25´ magnification (Carl Zeiss, Germany) with an ocular micrometer for the first 3 d of insect life and thereafter at 6´ magnification until the pre-pupa stage. Length of larvae was measured using graph paper.

ADAPTATION INDEX (AI)

The data were used to calculate the adaptation index (AI) to compare the relative development of the 2 species fed an artificial diet under laboratory conditions. AI was calculated according to the method proposed by Boregas et al. (2013) using the formula AI = (LSV * PB) / (PLD), where LSV = larval survival in %, PB = pupal biomass in mg, and PLD = pupal and larval development period in days.

STATISTICAL ANALYSES

Data of the number and duration of instars and larval development times were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA), and the means were compared using the Scott-Knott test. The survival percentages were transformed using $(x + 1)^{1/2}$ to meet the ANOVA normality assumptions. The variables biomass, head capsule size, and body length were subjected to ANOVA and to polynomial regression at a probability level of 5% ($P < 0.05$) using the software SISVAR® (Ferreira 2003). The regression model was used because the linearized model rule (Dyar 1890) is not robust enough to explain the head capsule growth of *H. zea*, according to Ambrosano et al. (1997).

Results

Up to 7 instars were observed for both species of *Helicoverpa*. *Helicoverpa armigera* had 3 to 7 instars; 10% of all individuals progressed to the pupal stage after the 4th instar, 42% after the 5th instar, and 48% after the 6th or 7th instar. *Helicoverpa zea* had 5 to 7 instars; 28% of the individuals moved to the pupal stage after the 5th instar, 63% after the 6th instar, and 9% after the 7th instar.

Larval survival during the 1st and 2nd instars of *H. zea* was lower than that for *H. armigera* (Table 1). In general, higher insect mortality was observed in early instars compared with late instars. A significant difference between the species was observed in the duration of the larval period: the periods of the 2nd, 5th, and 6th instars for *H. zea* (3.9, 5.4, and 6.7 d, respectively) were longer than those for *H. armigera* (Table 1), and the total larval period of the former was longer than that of the latter (17.7 and 12.7 d, respectively).

The biomass accumulation curves also differed between the species; the quadratic equation for *H. zea* was $y = 3.933x^2 - 28.083x$ with $R^2 = 0.9542$ and that for *H. armigera* was $y = 6.2744x^2 - 32.08x$ with $R^2 = 0.9486$. Through the 6th day, there was no difference between the spe-

Downloaded From: https://bioone.org/journals/Florida-Entomologist on 28 Mar 2024
Terms of Use: https://bioone.org/terms-of-use

Table 1. Larval survival (%) and duration (d) by instar for *Helicoverpa zea* and *H. armigera* reared using the same artificial diet and laboratory conditions (26 ± 2 °C, 50 ± 10% RH, 12:12 h L:D photoperiod), Sete Lagoas, Minas Gerais, Brazil.

Species	1st instar	2nd instar	3rd instar	4th instar	5th instar	6th instar	7th instar	Total larval period
				% survival (mean ± SE)				
H. zea	81.9 ± 3.4 b	72.2 ± 8.3 b	72.2 ± 10.9 a	70.8 ± 10.7 a	63.9 ± 10.4 a	16.7 ± 9.0 a	13.9 ± 7.6 a	13.9 ± 7.6 a
H. armigera	97.2 ± 1.9 a	95.8 ± 2.3 a	83.3 ± 7.6 a	76.4 ± 8.7 a	65.3 ± 10.1 a	16.7 ± 9.0 a	14.4 ± 7.9 a	14.4 ± 7.9 a
df	34	34	34	34	34	34	34	34
F	14.942	14.972	1.031	0.320	0.006	0.000	0.001	0.053
P	0.0005	0.0005	0.3171	0.5755	0.9397	0.9975	0.9769	0.8192
				Duration in days (mean ± SE)				
H. zea	2.9 ± 0.2 a	3.9 ± 0.1 a	2.7 ± 0.3 a	3.4 ± 0.4 a	5.4 ± 0.7 a	6.7 ± 1.7 a	6.0 ± 2.0 a	17.7 ± 0.6 a
H. armigera	3.3 ± 0.1 a	1.2 ± 0.1 b	2.6 ± 0.3 a	3.3 ± 0.3 a	3.4 ± 0.4 b	3.0 ± 0.6 a	3.0 ± 0.6 a	12.7 ± 0.3 b
df	128(1)	117(1)	112(1)	101(1)	21(1)	18(1)	4(1)	87(1)
F	3.600	282.430	0.074	0.066	0.133	3.216	3.240	46.614
P	0.0600	0.0001	0.7861	0.7984	0.0000	0.0908	0.1697	0.0000

For each variable, means followed by the same letter in each column did not differ significantly by the Scott-Knott test ($P > 0.05$).

cies; however, beginning on the 7th day, biomass accumulation in *H. armigera* was more rapid than in *H. zea*. Because *H. zea* spent a longer period of time in the larval period, its final biomass was greater than that of *H. armigera* (Fig. 1). Both species lost weight during the pre-pupal period; relative to the last larval period in each species, *H. zea* lost 16.0% of its biomass and *H. armigera* 24.9%. The higher biomass accumulation by *H. zea* resulted in higher pupal biomass (402 mg for *H. zea* and 358 mg for *H. armigera*) (Table 2).

Differences between the species were observed with regard to increases in body length and head capsule size during larval development, with *H. zea* represented by the quadratic equation $y = 0.0099x^2 + 0.0693x$, with $R^2 = 0.9875$, and *H. armigera* represented by $y = 0.0208x^2 + 0.0344x$, with $R^2 = 0.9724$ (Fig. 2). Similar to the biomass accumulation variable, the head capsules of the 2 species presented similar patterns through the 6th day, during which the head capsule size increased, particularly in *H. armigera.* However, as with the other parameters, the final head capsule size was greater in *H. zea* than in *H. armigera*.

The increase in larval body length followed the same pattern as the biomass and head capsule size. In both species, growth could be fitted to quadratic equations: $y = 0.0966x^2 + 0.6944x$ with $R^2 = 0.9866$ for *H. zea* and $y = 0.2507x^2 + 0.4177x$ with $R^2 = 0.9773$ for *H. armigera* (Fig. 3). The body length in the pre-pupal stage of *H. zea* remained constant, 32.6 mm, unlike that for *H. armigera*, in which the body length was 35.2 mm on the 1st day of the pre-pupal stage and 30.36 mm at the end.

Table 2. Pupal survival, pupal biomass, and adaptation index (AI) of *Helicoverpa zea* and *H. armigera* reared using the same artificial diet and laboratory conditions (26 ± 2 °C, 50 ± 10% RH, 12:12 h L:D photoperiod), Sete Lagoas, Minas Gerais, Brazil.

Species	Survival (%) (mean ± SE)	Biomass (mg) (mean ± SE)	AI
H. zea	41.7 ± 9.9 a	402.6 ± 0.8 a	1,757.6
H. armigera	47.2 ± 8.3 a	358.1 ± 0.2 b	1,922.8
df	34(1)	88(1)	
F	0.185	13.693	
P	0.6700	0.0004	

For each variable, means followed by the same letter in a column do not differ significantly by the Scott-Knott test ($P > 0.05$).




Fig. 1. Cumulative daily mass of *Helicoverpa zea* and *H. armigera* reared using the same artificial diet and laboratory conditions (26 ± 2 °C, 50 ± 10% RH, 12:12 h L:D photoperiod), Sete Lagoas, Minas Gerais, Brazil.




Fig. 2. Cumulative daily size of head capsule of *Helicoverpa zea* and *H. armigera* reared using the same artificial diet and laboratory conditions (26 ± 2 °C, 50 ± 10% RH, 12:12 h L:D photoperiod), Sete Lagoas, Minas Gerais, Brazil.

Downloaded From: https://bioone.org/journals/Florida-Entomologist on 28 Mar 2024
Terms of Use: https://bioone.org/terms-of-use




Fig. 3. Cumulative daily larval body length of *Helicoverpa zea* and *H. armigera* reared using the same artificial diet and laboratory conditions (26 ± 2 °C, 50 ± 10% RH, 12:12 h L:D photoperiod), Sete Lagoas, Minas Gerais, Brazil.

The adaptation index (AI) to the diet was higher for *H. armigera* than for *H. zea*. This difference was mainly due to higher survival and shorter duration of the insect development period in *H. armigera* (Table 2).

Discussion

The number of instars may change with the larval food source. Kumar et al. (2009) observed 5 instars of *H. armigera*, whereas Jha et al. (2014) observed 6 instars on an artificial diet, and Liu et al. (2004) reported up to 7 instars depending on the host. Jha et al. (2014) also reported variation in the number of instars for *H. armigera* fed natural diets, with 6 for larvae reared on corn and 7 for those reared on asparagus. The number of instars that we obtained for *H. armigera* differs from the reports of Avila et al. (2013) and Czepak et al. (2013), who described the existence of 5 to 6 instars for larval development of this species. The number of instars tends to vary for most lepidopteran species, and this variation may be a function of factors including the environment as well as food (Parra 1999).

In this study, *H. armigera* survival rates were relatively high during the initial stages of development, which has also been observed in the field (Avila et al. 2013). Moreover, the herein determined biomass values are consistent with those found for *H. armigera* by Liu et al. (2010) using an artificial diet (350 mg) and (in part) by Storer et al. (2001) for *H. zea* (between 450 and 500 mg). The head capsule values are similar to those observed for *H. zea* by Zuniga et al. (2011), who found differences in values for *H. zea* populations from different regions of Chile, with the last instar ranging from 3.02 to 3.33 mm; in our study, we observed a mean head capsule width of 3.27 mm for the last instar.

The development period values of *H. zea* larvae are comparable to those found in another study using an artificial diet (Giolo et al. 2006). *Helicoverpa armigera* total larval development times were less than those found by Liu et al. (2004) for this species using different hosts. Feeding an artificial diet can provide adequate nutritional parameters and may accelerate the development of the insect. The larval growth parameters were differentiated only after the 6th day, whereas differences in survival and development period between the species were observed beginning at the 1st and 2nd instars, respectively. These results may explain the greater ability of *H. armigera* to cause economic damage on various hosts in the production system in Brazil (Avila et al. 2013; Embrapa 2013).

Introduced exotic insects cause extensive annual losses to Brazilian agriculture (Oliveira et al. 2013), and since its introduction in Brazil, *H. armigera* has caused substantial economic losses to several crops (Avila et al. 2013; Brasil 2014; Oliveira et al. 2014). The higher adaptation index obtained in the present study may explain the higher potential for damage by *H. armigera* compared with *H. zea*. Theoretically, because it is an introduced species, this pest may present competitive advantages over congeners—such as *H. zea,* which was already established in Brazil—including a smaller number of natural enemies. Furthermore, these results indicate that *H. armigera* has a shorter life cycle (approx. 28% faster) than *H. zea*, which suggests a greater number of generations per year and faster population growth. More generations of *H. armigera* may also suggest a greater likelihood of developing insecticide resistance, mainly in response to the inappropriate use of insecticides.

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to the Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de Minas Gerais (FAPEMIG), to Daniel Ricardo Sosa Gomez for confirmation of the species *H. armigera* using the RFLP technique, and to editors.

References Cited

Ambrosano GMB, Igue T, Lourenção AL. 1997. Determinação do número de ínstares de insetos utilizando modelo de regressão segmentado. Anais da Sociedade Entomológica do Brasil 26: 371–374.

Avila CJ, Vivan LM, Tomquelski GV. 2013. Ocorrência, aspectos biológicos, danos e estratégias de manejo de *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hübner) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) e nos sistemas de produção agrícolas. Circular Técnica 23, Embrapa Agropecuária Oeste, Dourados, Brazil.

Boregas KGB, Mendes SM, Waquil JM, Fernandes GAW. 2013. Estádio de adaptação de *Spodoptera frugiperda* (J. E. Smith) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) em hospedeiros alternativos. Bragantia 72: 61–70.

Brasil. 2014. Portal Brasil. Brasil aposta em melhoramento preventivo para enfrentar pragas, http://www.brasil.gov.br/economia-e-emprego/2014/02/brasil-aposta-em-melhoramento-preventivo-para-enfrentar-pragas (last accessed 4 Jun 2014).

Cruz JC, Karam D, Monteiro MAR, Magalhães PC. 2008. A cultura do milho. Embrapa Milho e Sorgo, Sete Lagoas, Brazil.

Cunningham JP, Zalucki MP. 2014. Understanding heliothine (Lepidoptera: Heliothinae) pests: What is a host plant? Journal of Economic Entomology 107: 881–896.

Czepak C, Albernaz KC, Vivan LM, Guimarães HO, Carvalhais T. 2013. Primeiro registro de ocorrência de *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hübner) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) no Brasil. Pesquisa Agropecuária Tropical 43: 110–113.

Dyar HG. 1890. The number of molts of lepidopterous larvae. Psyche. 5: 420–422.

Embrapa. 2013. Ações emergenciais propostas pela Embrapa para o manejo integrado de *Helicoverpa* spp. em áreas agrícolas, http://www.embrapa.br/alerta-helicoverpa/Manejo-Helicoverpa.pdf (last accessed 4 Oct 2013).

Ferreira DF. 2003. SISVAR versão 4.2. DEX/UFLA.

Garcia MS, Busato GR, Giolo FP, Manzoni C, Bernardi O, Zart M, Nunes, AM. 2006. Tabela de vida de fertilidade de *Helicoverpa zea* (Boddie, 1850) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) em duas dietas artificiais. Revista Brasileira de Agrociência 12: 51–55.

Giolo FP, Busato GR, Garcia MS, Manzini C, Bernardi O, Zart M. 2006. Biologia de *Helicoverpa zea* (Boddie, 1850) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) em duas dietas artificiais. Revista Brasileira de Agrociência 12: 167–171.

ICAC Recorder. 2011. The need for insecticide applications on dual-gene insect-resistant biotech cotton in the U.S. production system. International Cotton Advisory Committee Recorder 29: 4–9.

Jha RK, Tuan SJ, Chi H, Tang LC. 2014. Life table and consumption capacity of corn earworm, *Helicoverpa armigera*, fed asparagus, *Asparagus officinalis*. Journal of Insect Science 14: 1–17.

Kogan M. 1998. Integrated pest management: historical perspectives and contemporary developments. Annual Review of Entomology 43: 243–270.

Downloaded From: https://bioone.org/journals/Florida-Entomologist on 28 Mar 2024
Terms of Use: https://bioone.org/terms-of-use

Kriticos DJ, Ota N, Hutchison WD, Beddow J, Walsh T, Tay WT, Borchert D, Paula-Moraes SV, Czepak C, Zalucki MP. 2015. The potential distribution of invading *Helicoverpa armigera* in North America: Is it just a matter of time? PLoS One 10: e0119618.

Kumar M, Gupta GP, Rajam MV. 2009. Silencing of acetylcholinesterase gene of *Helicoverpa armigera* by siRNA affects larval growth and its life cycle. Journal of Insect Physiology 55: 273–278.

Liu Z, Li D, Gong, P, Wu K. 2004. Life table studies of the cotton bollworm, *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hübner) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae), on different host plants. Environmental Entomology 33: 1570–1576.

Liu Z, Gong P, Li D, Wei W. 2010. Pupal diapause of *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hübner) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) mediated by larval host plants: pupal weight is important. Journal of Insect Physiology 56: 1863–1870.

Luiz CBF, Magro SR. 2007. Controle biológico das pragas da espiga, sobre parâmetros qualitativos e quantitativos na cultura do milho de safrinha em Ubiratã/PR. Campo Digital 2: 13–21.

Oliveira CM, Auad AM, Mendes SM, Frizzas MR. 2013. Economic impact of exotic insect pests in Brazilian agriculture. Journal of Applied Entomology 137: 1–15.

Oliveira CM, Auad AM, Mendes SM, Frizzas MR. 2014. Crop losses and the economic impact of insect pests on Brazilian agriculture. Crop Protection 56: 50–54.

Parra JRP. 1999. Técnicas de criação de insetos para programação de controle biológico. Fealq/Fapesp, São Paulo, Brazil.

Specht A, Gomez DRS, Paula-Moraes SV. 2013. Identificação morfológica e molecular de *Helicoverpa armigera* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) e ampliação de seu registro de ocorrência no Brasil. Pesquisa Agropecuária Brasileira 48: 689–692.

Storer NP, Van Duyn JW, Kennedy GG. 2001. Life history traits of *Helicoverpa zea* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) on non-*Bt* and *Bt* transgenic corn hybrids in eastern North Carolina. Journal of Economic Entomology 94: 1268–1279.

Tay WT, Soria MF, Walsh T, Thomazoni D, Silvie P, Behere GT, Anderson C, Downes S. 2013. A brave new world for an Old World pest: *Helicoverpa armigera* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) in Brazil. PLoS One 8: e80134.

Zuniga A, Angulo A, Rebolledo R, Navarro ME. 2011. Comparación de estadios larvales de *Helicoverpa zea* (Boddie) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) mediante longitud de cápsula cefálica y distancia entre setas frontales. Idesia 29: 83–86.

Downloaded From: https://bioone.org/journals/Florida-Entomologist on 28 Mar 2024
Terms of Use: https://bioone.org/terms-of-use