

The September 2002 Kolka Glacier Catastrophe in North Ossetia, Russian Federation: Evidence and Analysis

Authors: Kotlyakov, Vladimir M., Rototaeva, O. V., and Nosenko, G. A.

Source: Mountain Research and Development, 24(1) : 78-83

Published By: International Mountain Society

URL: [https://doi.org/10.1659/0276-4741\(2004\)024\[0078:TSKGCI\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1659/0276-4741(2004)024[0078:TSKGCI]2.0.CO;2)

BioOne Complete (complete.BioOne.org) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at www.bioone.org/terms-of-use.

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non - commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

The September 2002 Kolka Glacier Catastrophe in North Ossetia, Russian Federation: Evidence and Analysis

In high mountain regions, a number of natural catastrophes are related to glaciers, eg, outbursts of glacier-dammed lakes or interglacial water reservoirs, glaciers destroyed by volcanic eruptions, and various types of glacier surges. But the glacier catastrophe in 2002 in the North Caucasus was a rare phenomenon resulting from a combination of a glacier surge and a high-speed ice-water-stone mudflow. The latter's scale and particular nature makes it a unique phenomenon worldwide.

The surging Kolka Glacier

On 20 September 2002, a huge natural catastrophe destroyed the Genaldon valley in the Russian Republic of North Ossetia. Enormous masses of ice mixed with water and stone broke loose and rushed down the valley with high velocity, devastating everything along the way and stripping the slopes of forest and loose sediments up to a height of over 100 m. The avalanche was stopped by the Skalistyi mountain range, which runs perpendicular to the valley, but glacial debris flow burst through and brought ruin for the next 17 km. This occurred late in the evening. The next morning, the view of the path of destruction was stunning (Figure 1). The whole floor of the Karmadon depression was buried under a mass of scree 4 km long and up to 130 m deep. The ice also penetrated through the entrance of the narrow Skalistyi Gorge, thus blocking off both entrances of a tunnel on the main road. The village of Nizhnii Karmadon was completely destroyed and several rest homes along the Genaldon river below the gorge were devastated. Over 100 people were killed.



FIGURE 1 View of the Karmadon valley on the morning of 21 September 2002, the day after the natural catastrophe. Completely buried under the mass of ice, stone and water lies the village of Nizhnii Karmadon. (Photo courtesy of the Russian Ministry of Extreme Events, MEE)

The catastrophe was caused by the surging Kolka Glacier located in the Kazbek massif and known for its repeated surges. Surging glaciers distinguish themselves from other glaciers through their instability, which results from their structure, especially their morphology and the characteristics of glacier feeding and ice discharge.

The Kolka Glacier is situated at the head of the Genaldon river (Terek basin). Figure 2 shows its confluence with the Maili Glacier in the west, one of the largest glaciers in North Ossetia. The Maili abruptly moves down from firn fields on the Kazbek, forming a thick icefall midway. During its quiet periods, the Kolka Glacier is about 3100 m long and covers 2.5 km². The tongue lies at about 3000 m and the rear part goes up to 3450 m. The

glacier accumulation is asymmetric. Its southern rocky slope (on the right) is steep, and from a ridge at 4000–4500 m, crevassed firn fields and hanging glaciers move down. Continuously occurring snow avalanches and ice falls feed the Kolka Glacier, ripping fragment material along that covers the gently slanting surface of the glacier. This thick morainic layer prevents the ice from melting. The northern (left) edge of the glacier is bordered by a high morainic terrace that plays an important role in the glacier's life, as it blocks the outflow of the ice coming from the south. The massive tongue of passive ice presses against this barrier, after which there is an abrupt turn of the streamlines and a relatively narrow exit. The permanent damming of the ice, accumulation of surplus ice

and stone masses, and increasing tension from moving forces result in surges.

As a rule, surging glaciers situated in relatively gently sloping valleys like the Kolka Glacier progress gradually, with a velocity of several tens or hundreds of meters per day. The surface breaks into blocks and the tongue moves down a valley over several kilometers. Only when glaciers surge from steep slopes can their tongues be torn off, consequently collapsing as rockfall or mudflow.

Former surges

The Kolka Glacier has been through various scenarios. In 1902, the surge started with a typical advance of the glacier tongue during May–June and ended on 3 July in a catastrophic outburst of ice and water similar to the one in 2002, but on a slightly smaller scale. In a few minutes, the ice spread over 9 km through the valley and destroyed the *aul* (village) of Tmenikau high up on the slope. The ice dammed the river, but the water eventually broke through, creating a mudflow. This catastrophe occurred at the height of a hot summer and after heavy showers (Shteber 1903). A great volume of water had accumulated inside and under the glacier. According to eye-witnesses, the flow reached 60–70 km/h, and on a short section of the break itself it possibly exceeded 100 km/h. In 1902, the total volume of the material moved was estimated at 100–110 million m³.

It is obvious that similar catastrophes happened here in the past. While investigating the geomorphology of the Genaldon valley in the 1970s, we found clear traces of one more glacier surge much older and more massive than that of 1902: the outburst blocked the valley in front of the river outflow into the Karmadon depression, and a heavy mudflow ensued when this dam was broken. In the 19th century literature, a glacier surge is mentioned as

happening around 1835 (Pastukhov 1889). Remarkably, unlike in many other valleys of the Caucasus, all old *auls* in the Karmadon valley are not situated on the valley floor—the most comfortable place for living—but on slopes and ridges. Apparently, the population has known about the threat of local glacier catastrophes for a long time.


Another surge occurred according to the “classic” scheme with a quieter process during the winter of 1969/70, when the basin had almost no meltwater. Active, sometimes slower advances continued from September to early January. For the whole period, the average velocity was 20–50 m/day, with peaks of over 200 m/day. The glacier’s length thus increased by 4 km and descended the valley by 800 m (to 1975 m asl). The volume of dislocated ice was about 80 million m³.

An attempt to predict surges

From 1969 to 1978, the Institute of Geography of the USSR Academy of Sciences carried out observations of the Kolka Glacier both during and after the surge (Rototaev et al 1983). It was calculated that from 1950 to 1960, ie before the 1969 surge, the permanent positive mass balance of the Kolka Glacier produced an annual increment of 1–1.3 million tons. It was predicted that given constant climatic conditions and no anomalous external impacts, accumulation would continue for 60–70 years before attaining a critical level when discharge would be inevitable. Indeed, intervals between the known surges of the Kolka Glacier amounted to about 67 years.

In the 1990s, no special observations of the glacier were carried out. An analysis of aerial photographs made in 1958, 1972, 1981 and 1987 demonstrated that the process of increasing glacier volume advanced approximately at the same rate as predicted. On the space image made 38 days before the 2002 event, one can see that the glacier was not yet ready for a surge: the surface of

FIGURE 2 Photo taken from the International Space Station “Alpha” on 17 October 2002, by cosmonaut F. Yurchikhin. (Photo courtesy of NASA, see www.jsc.nasa.gov/images/eol/2002/kolka.html)



the tongue was not high enough, no crevasse was to be seen, and the glacier end was hidden under the moraine. Unfortunately, this picture does not show the upper part of the glacier, which played an important role in the event.

The 2002 event

The catastrophe of 2002 was unexpected, as it occurred only 30 years after the previous surge. Changed external conditions must have accelerated the process. In 1983, K.P. Rototaev warned:

Under contemporary conditions, a turbulent-viscous glacial mudflow with significant water content, similar to the 1902 outburst, may possibly reach the exit of the Karmadon depression. Such a mudflow might tear off masses from the main glacier and discharge water accumulated behind this mass.... A mudflow of a turbulent, liquid and more rapid type could even reach the Skalistyi Ridge, penetrate the gorge and create large dams. Such a mudflow may produce waves up the slopes at the valley turns, thus enriching itself greatly with detrital rock material from the slopes and valley floor, and finally turning into a highly active mixed mudflow. Such a flow would discharge itself

FIGURE 3 Traces of the “waves” left by the glacial debris flow on the slopes of the Genaldon valley. Some reach a height of 140 m. (Photo by N.I. Osokin)



violently within the boundaries of the Karmadon depression, partly on the Skalistyi Ridge and especially on its Gizel part. (Rototaev et al 1983)

The glacier catastrophe on 20 September 2002 had exactly this scale and followed precisely this scenario.

Possible causes of the 2002 surge

The destructive mudflow raced down the valley at a speed of 180 km/h and threw itself against the Skalistyi Ridge. From the head of the Kolka Gorge down to the Karmadon depression, loose deposits were torn off the slopes in typical waves up to a height of 140 m (Figure 3). The volume that filled the Karmadon depression (see Figure 1) was estimated at 110–120 million m³. Several lakes were formed—a sign that the mass was saturated with water. In a side valley close to the *aul* of Saniba, a lake appeared whose level rose threateningly for about a month

after the glacier outburst, until a runoff path formed through inner channels in the ice body.

Uniqueness of the event

The most unexpected phenomenon was seen in the circus of the Kolka Glacier: the glacier had left its bed completely, revealing the bare rock bed. This meant that it had “left” with great velocity, ie, it must have virtually flown out onto the Maili Glacier, whose tongue turned out to be almost undamaged. Nothing similar has been observed anywhere in the world, especially with a glacier that was not a hanging glacier and whose surface inclination was only about 7–9° (Figure 4).

Seismic activity

Many scientists’ first version of the tragedy was that it was caused by a massive icefall onto the rear right (southern) side of the Kolka Glacier. An ice scarp and the disappearance of the firn ice fields under the ridge (with a total estimated volume of 3–8 million m³) were indeed determined

by investigators from the Russian Ministry of Extreme Events (MEE) during their first aerial survey after the surge. The opinion was voiced that an earthquake could have provoked this fall. But the Regional Seismic Service had recorded no earthquake in the region during the days preceding the event. Significant earthquakes were registered here earlier: one on 14 July (magnitude of 5, epicenter 11 km away), and the second on 22 August (magnitude of 3.5, epicenter 70 km away).

From 28 August to 4 September, a group of mountaineers also observed continuous rock and ice falls in this part of the Kolka Glacier circus, and described the slope as actually changing before their eyes. Photos show that the line of the ice scarp determined by MEE specialists 3 weeks later was almost completely formed. Another tourist group still noted active destruction of this slope at the end of June. It is possible that the falls were first provoked by the earthquakes and the ice scarp on the ridge not formed at one time only. The quakes certainly played a role in preparing the surge, as the mass of falling material significantly increased the load on the glacier. According to the mountaineers, by the beginning of September the surface of the glacier had already risen at the rear up to the edge of the morainic terrace on the left.

Climatic conditions

From the very beginning, we thought it absolutely evident that such an impetuous outburst of the glacier could only have occurred if a particularly large volume of water had accumulated under the glacier, due to anomalous melting of ice and snow in the high-altitude zone of the whole Caucasus area during the previous 4 years. Indeed, the reference Garabashi Glacier on the south slope of the Elbrus lost a 4-times greater mass in 3 years (1998–2001) than it had accumulated during the previous 14 years.

FIGURE 4 View of the mass blocked by the Skalistyi Ridge, and of the rising lake near Saniba. (Photo by Geological Survey of North Ossetia)

The summer of 2002 was especially rainy on the North Caucasus. As a result, a great amount of water must have accumulated in and under the Kolka Glacier.

The calculations made to this purpose proved the importance of the climate factor as one of the causes for the premature surge of the Kolka Glacier. A 100-year series of annual average summer air temperatures on the glacier were retrieved from the closest meteorological stations, and melting of the glacier was calculated. From 1995 to 2002, the summer air temperatures were higher than average, and for the 4 years preceding 2002 glacier melting exceeded the norm by 1.5–2 times. Thus, the firn field located high above the right side of the glacier was included in the runoff. Very intensive melting may have played a role in the formation of the firn and ice falls.

Stinkdamp

Another extremely interesting phenomenon was observed: just after the glacier had left its bed, a strong smell of hydrogen sulfide (stinkdamp) occurred in the rear part of the empty bed. For many days, a cloud of vapor and gas hung over the circus. This and the fact that the slope nearby was continuously eroding, with local spots of ice and snow melting on the cold north wall as well (typical fumaroles, as volcanologists believed), were interpreted as volcanic manifestations of the Kazbek mountain massif. Indeed, the Upper Karmadon thermal springs, with a temperature up to 60°C, are situated not far from the end of the Maili Glacier. Therefore, one can hypothesize the existence of special thermal conditions under the glacier that may have initiated its melting from underneath, thus forming a water “cushion” on its bed. This would have accelerated the glacier surge. It should be noted that during the 9 years of monitoring in the 1970s, no signs of gas emission were observed.



Situation one year later

From June to October 2003, the Institute of Geography of the Russian Academy of Sciences (IGRAS) carried out the first field investigations and GPS surveys in the Kolka and Maili glacier circuses since the Karmadon catastrophe. Geomorphologic signs showed that a great quantity of water fell with the ice and stone mudflow. The character of the breaks on the sides of the valley and on the moraine ridge separating the glaciers indicate that a huge mass of water left from the right side of the Kolka Glacier, sweeping ice fragments over the Maili Glacier and onto the right slope above the Maili's tongue, leaving the hillsides on the right side of the Maili Glacier almost undamaged as the water washed over. This left the surface structure of the Maili Glacier almost unchanged, however with clear marks of the water flowing down (Figure 5). At the same time, the main mass of the

ice and stone mudflow rushed along the normal way, ie, along the Kolka Gorge, splashing high up on the rocks on the left side of the gorge. Between these two flows, part of the moraine with vegetation remained undamaged.

A source of many questions was a rocky bar or riegel revealed on the bare surface of the glacier bed, behind which a lake was formed that constituted a potential threat in case the dam broke. It was believed that the riegel was the threshold of the bedrock, ie, a possible obstacle in the path of the glacier. Specialists even considered blasting it away to prevent future surges. It appeared later, however, that the riegel was a dam consisting of an ice core covered by large detrital material sloping steeply down the valley. The absolute height of its ridge is 3020–3060 m. We believe that the dam was formed by large and heavy remnants of the broken glacier that were blocked by the narrowing and sharp turn of the

FIGURE 5 Forked head of the Genaldon river after the outburst of the Kolka Glacier. To the left is the practically undamaged tongue of the Maili Glacier, to the right is the cirrus and the Kolka glacier bed. (Photo by I.V. Galushkin)



valley at this place. The increase in base inclination here caused a tearing off of the “tail” of the main mass of the mudflow.

In late June 2003, the lake covered an area of 150×50 m, having shrunk to approximately half the size it had been in autumn 2002. Its sides consisted of detrital material with ice outlets. The middle part of the dam rose to a height of only 10–15 m above the level of the lake. The water level decreased gradually, and by the end of September, the lake had disappeared without any catastrophic consequences.

The glacier bed was investigated. Its relief is irregular, and no bedrock is revealed. It is completely covered with different-size fragments. In some places one can see residues of the bottom of the ice mass. Of great interest is the origin of “ant heaps” spread over the whole surface of the glacier bed and partly consisting of ice pellets. The most active part is the downfall cone in the rear part of the cirque.

From June to August 2003, ice and stones were reported as continuously falling from the cliffs and from Mount Dzhimarai-Khokh. By the end of September, the falls stopped.

In the lower part of the empty right-hand side of the glacier are residues of older bottom ice, saturated with detrital material and traces of intensive erosive activity caused by surface water flows that penetrate the thick loose mass near the foot of the glacier. Photos taken immediately after the surge show a whole series of bars and grooves transversal to the total movement of the ice. Their direction, followed by streamlets of melted water, was not understood earlier on.

No gas smell was detected in the cirque, but chemical analysis of the water and snow samples taken in June demonstrated that the sulphate-ion content of the water in the lake and the Kolka river was 400–600 times greater than the concentration measured in the firn basin on the slope.

Possible scenario of the 2002 event

Analysis of all data obtained allows conclusions about the causes and present a possible scenario of the event. The most important circumstance was the unusually unstable dynamics of the Kolka Glacier only 30 years after the previous surge. The premature surge of the glacier and huge scale of the catastrophe were provoked by a whole complex of factors. First, there was the accumulation of great quantities of water in and under the glacier, due to special climatic conditions. This water played the most important role. Another triggering factor was volcanic activity; apparently this caused additional melting of the bottom of the glacier and certainly favored unusually active falls from the right wall above the glacier. Additionally, ice and rock falls overloaded the rear part of the glacier and increased the tension in its body. However, contrary to what was initially hypothesized right after the catastrophe, the surge was not caused by a large one-off collapse from the higher firn fields. The tectonic structure of the region also played an important role: the Kolka valley is situated in a zone of large sub-latitudinal faults where displacements of individual blocks and earthquakes are highly probable.

A direct trigger for the glacier surge might have been just another minor fall, a small earthquake, or simply a destructive process inside the glacier that created a critical tension in its body. It is possible to suppose that the process began in the central part of the cirque with a collapse of domes above internal cavities filled with water. The glacier violently pressed out part of the water from under itself, and this water, together with ice and small detrital fragments, flew over the right moraine onto the Maili Glacier, as well as over individual sections of the left moraine of the Kolka Glacier. The destruction of the main body of the glacier led to destruction of the support for the

glacier's tributaries along the steep walls. In the lower part there was a break-off along crevasse zones. This added mass and energy to the initial motion of the glacier, which by then had lost its integrity. The direction of the movement along the bottom of the glacier can be reconstructed from a system of bars and grooves on residues of the old near-bottom ice oriented along the right slope. The major part of the ice mass rushed down along the main gorge of the Kolka river. These stages were in fact parts of a single rapid process that resulted in a total mass of water, ice and stone mudflow rushing down into the Genaldon valley with greatly increased velocity due to added impulse at the end of the Kolka Gorge, where the inclination exceeds 20°.

The future: no long-term rest

The Kolka Glacier will regain its volume over several decades. The scree and ice in the Karmadon depression will melt much more rapidly and become a stone and mud mass. Water will actively erode and make channels in the obstructing thickness. Inner falls and dams are highly probable—the source of new threatening mudflow floods. Moni-

toring of all these processes will be performed by surface, aerial and space services.

The past few years have been characterized by many catastrophic processes in the region. Weather anomalies were observed simultaneously in Russia, with the most significant extremes in the Northern Caucasus. In the summer of 2000, catastrophic mudflows occurred in the Baksan river basin. They partly destroyed the town of Tyrnyauz. In June 2002, extreme precipitation resulted in activation of landslides everywhere in the Northern Caucasus. This was also the case in August on the shores of the Black Sea. The Karmadon catastrophe in September concluded this black series.

In 1994, the All-Russian Institute of Engineering Geology (VSEGINGEO) made long-term forecasts of landslide and mudflow development in the Northern Caucasus until 2005. They anticipated activation of these phenomena due to anomalies in precipitation in 2002–2003 in the high mountain zone, in particular in North Ossetia (Kononova and Malneva 2003). Forecasts were made that took into account the characteristics of atmospheric circulation. At present, the occurrence of macro-processes

with reduced pressure on the North Pole and accompanied by invading cyclones in the south, in particular in the Mediterranean region, is 4 times greater than the average. Most catastrophic landslides and mudflows are associated with these synchronous situations. A quick change of climate is impossible. Thus, it is highly probable that events similar to the natural process described here will occur in the next few decades.

REFERENCES

- Kononova NK, Malneva IV.** 2003. Occurrence of extreme situations caused by development of dangerous natural processes in North Caucasus and prediction of them for the next decade. In: *Problems of Extreme Situation Prediction* [in Russian]. Moscow, pp 160–166.
- Pastukhov AV.** 1889. Climb up to Kazbek on 29 July 1889 [in Russian]. *Izvestia [News] of the Caucasus Department of the Russian Geographical Society* 10(1):134–145.
- Rototaev KP, Khodakov VG, Krenke AN.** 1983. *Investigation of the Surge-Type Kolka Glacier* [in Russian]. Moscow: Nauka.
- Shteber EA.** 1903. Glacier falls at the head of the Genaldon River [in Russian]. *Tersky Collection of Papers (Vladikavkaz)* 7:72–81.

Vladimir M. Kotlyakov, O. V. Rototaeva, and G. A. Nosenko
Institute of Geography, Russian Academy of Sciences, Staromonetny 29,
Moscow, 119017, Russia.
igras@igras.geonet.ru

“Changing the Guard” at the Mountain Forum—A Retrospective



In the closing months of 2003 the global Mountain Forum (www.mountainforum.org) network underwent a significant period of organizational change largely invisible to the network's wider membership. Since 1995 The Mountain Institute (TMI), USA, has served the network as the principal architect and host of its global information services. In December 2003

TMI transferred these long-held duties to the International Centre for Integrated Mountain Development (ICIMOD), in Nepal. This quiet changing-of-the-guard marks the conclusion of TMI's implementing role in the network—an event TMI celebrates both as an act of capacity building and of service to the wider global mountain community. What follows is a brief account of TMI's role in the Mountain Forum's evolution, and a vote of

confidence in its new stewards at ICIMOD to carry it well into the future.

Soon after mountains gained global recognition at the 1992 Earth Summit in Rio de Janeiro, the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) broadened participation in the official follow-up activities for the Mountain Chapter of Agenda 21 by including NGO representatives in an Ad Hoc Inter-Agency Group. This act paved the





Participants of the MF GISN transfer workshop in October 2003, at TMI's Spruce Knob Mountain Center, Appalachian mountains, West Virginia, USA. Top row, left to right: Layton Montgomery (ICIMOD), Douglas Wandersee (TMI), Sani Malam Karami, Jason Espie (TMI). Bottom row, left to right: Grace Werner (TMI), Agustina Barros (ICIMOD), Elizabeth Byers (TMI), Prashant Sharma (ICIMOD), Dan O'Brien (TMI). (Photo courtesy of TMI)

way for TMI and many other organizations to become more actively involved in networking for sustainable mountain development.

In late 1994 TMI was asked by FAO and the government of Switzerland to organize an *International Consultation on the Mountain Agenda* in Lima, Peru, an event designed to frame policy recommendations for sustainable mountain development. 1994 also saw the formation of regional mountain networks in Asia and Latin America hosted by ICIMOD in Kathmandu and by the International Potato Centre (CIP/CONDESAN) in Lima, Peru. The concept of linking existing and future mountain networks in a global network of networks gained widespread support from participants at the Lima consultation. The enthusiasm for the creation of such a network was borne by the simple but powerful desire

amongst a diverse, often separated group to have a means of remaining connected.

The Lima consultation and subsequent workshops led to the formation of the Mountain Forum—a global communication network for people who support mountain communities, environments, and sustainable development. TMI was honored to accept the mandate to establish this network and began to cooperate with regional mountain networks, recruit global membership, set up web pages and discussion lists, open an online library, maintain a calendar of events, and provide support to mountain events and the United Nations.

The evolution of the Mountain Forum in the mid-to-late 1990s parallels the rise of the Internet. The network was one of the first designed specifically to utilize information communications technologies (ICTs) for development. TMI worked with regional partners to pioneer the Mountain Forum's models and methodologies for conducting global electronic conferences and consultations, often on themes linked to prominent issues at the United Nations.

Despite the Internet's remarkable expansion, the digital divide—limited access to ICTs for many, especially remote and marginalized groups—continues to remain a challenge. The Mountain Forum places great emphasis on the need to design accessible, simply presented but content-rich, low-bandwidth information services, supplemented by printed materials and face-to-face meetings whenever feasible.

In addition to participation by members and subscribers around the world, which has been the lifeblood of the network, many donors and partners have contributed to the success of the network. The key donor throughout the life of the Mountain Forum has been the Swiss Agency for Development and Cooperation. Their commitment to the endeavor has sustained

it from its earliest conceptual stages.

As the Mountain Forum has grown, its needs and services have changed. TMI's original role as incubator of this interesting network evolved gradually into the role of trainer and capacity builder. In 2000 the Mountain Forum Secretariat was established at ICIMOD, and at the May 2003 board meeting of the Mountain Forum, the decision was finalized to fully transfer the global information services to ICIMOD, in order to integrate them into the Secretariat and operate them from a developing country. This decision was promoted and embraced by TMI, which as a development NGO views capacity building and strengthening partnerships as central to its mission.

During the fall of 2003, TMI began the handover of the Mountain Forum's global information services to the newly expanded Mountain Forum Secretariat in Nepal. Layton Montgomery, the new Executive Secretary of the Mountain Forum, and Dr. Gabriel Campbell, Director General of ICIMOD and the Mountain Forum Board Chairman, have recruited an outstanding international team of experts to manage the global information services. This talented new team worked closely with TMI staff in the last three months of 2003 in what thus far has been a seamless transfer. TMI wishes to express our deepest thanks and good wishes to all who have made, and continue to make, the Mountain Forum a success through their participation. A splendid new era of growth and service for the network is at hand!

Jason Espie and Elizabeth Byers

Mountain Forum Global Information Server Node managers, 1995–2003. Communications Manager (J.E.) and Senior Program Officer (E.B.), The Mountain Institute (TMI), 1828 L Street, NW, #725, Washington, DC 20036, USA. E-mails: jespie@mountain.org, ebyers@mountain.org Web site: www.mountain.org, www.mountainforum.org

Water from a Vantage Point

Mountains As Water Towers—2003 Banff Mountain Summit



From 23 to 26 November 2003, the science and splendor of water were explored during the *2003 Banff Mountain Summit—Mountains As Water Towers*. Presented by Mountain Culture at The Banff Centre in Banff, Alberta, Canada, this sold-out event drew over 300 delegates from 18 countries and 5 continents.

Begun in 2000, the Banff Mountain Summit series brings together diverse stakeholders—including scientists, artists and policy-makers—to explore topics of importance to mountain areas. This year's Summit, *Mountains As Water Towers*, addressed the quantity and quality of water flowing in, and from, mountain places.

Dr. Hans Schreier drew attention to the magnitude of processes increasingly affecting mountain watersheds. “[In the European Alps], a 500-year flood followed by the hottest and driest summer in more than 100 years has occurred within a one-year time period... after a year with excessive snowfalls followed by a year with minimal snowfall, and a windstorm that damaged forests in ways not seen or documented in recent history,” he explained. Schreier pointed out that these events were not localized phenomena, citing the hot and dry “summer-of-fire” in western Canada and the United States.

Thanks to the increasing availability of information, said Dr. Isobel Heathcote, the public is paying attention. “Public interest in the environment has grown steadily since the introduction of

environmental science degree programs in the early 1970s. It is no coincidence that [this rise] has paralleled the growth of computer technology, and the increasing availability of information.... [T]here is now ‘striking consensus’ that environmental management is undergoing a fundamental change.”

While the science was sobering, it was Ms. Moleski's grade six class from the Town of Banff Elementary School who garnered a standing ovation from Summit guests. Their performance of “The Climate Change Revue” combined science with musical theatre to educate both students and audience alike about climate change, and how to address it at home. The show was created with assistance from Dr. Don Waite, a Canadian environmental scientist with a passion for music.

Other presentations included the breathtaking endurance of World Hoop Dance Champions, Alex Wells and Lisa Odjig, and Dag Goering's photographic essay *Sacred Water: The Ganges, a Gift from the Himalaya* which illustrates the unique connection between this ancient river and the people who live on it. Authors Maude Barlow and Marq de Villiers also shared their unique perspectives with Summit guests.

The *2003 Banff Mountain Summit—Mountains As Water Towers* was presented by the Walter & Duncan Gordon Foundation. Generous assistance was also provided by the Government of Canada, Petro-Canada, National Geo-



Ms. Moleski's grade VI class from the Town of Banff Elementary School, presenting “The Climate Change Revue,” created with assistance from Don Waite. (Photo by Don Lee, The Banff Centre)

graphic, the Commonwealth Foundation, Bow River Basin Council, International Development Research Council (IDRC/CRDI), Calgary Foundation, TD Friends of the Environment Foundation, Alberta Environment, and the Canadian International Development Agency (CIDA). Cooperative partners included Partners FOR the Saskatchewan River Basin, the Columbia Basin Trust, Alberta EcoTrust, and the Mountain Forum.

Amy Krause

Program Officer, North American Mountain Forum.

The Banff Centre, Mountain Culture, 107 Tunnel Mountain Drive, Box 1020, Banff, Alberta T1L 1H5, Canada.

amy_krause@banffcentre.ca

Advancing the Mountain Women's Agenda: From Research to Action



The International Year of Mountains (IYM 2002) gave a powerful impetus to focus on the needs and experi-

ences of mountain peoples and the challenges they face. Mountain women—over 50% of the popula-

tion—had the opportunity to raise their voice in one international gathering, Celebrating Mountain Women

(CMW), hosted by the International Centre for Integrated Mountain Development (ICIMOD) and held in Paro, Bhutan in October 2002 (see report in *MRD* 22.4). The goal of CMW was to bring together women and men who were mountain people and/or worked on and around mountain issues, individually and as representatives of institutions, and prepare the Thimphu Declaration that was presented at the Bishkek Global Mountain Summit (BGMS) in November 2002. CMW participants explored 5 major themes: natural resources and environment; entrepreneurship; health and well-being; political, legal, and human rights; culture and indigenous knowledge.

These themes were discussed within the frameworks of policy, practice and research, in order to bring out relevant issues, gaps and best practices, and identify the way forward. Several products of the conference are now available, among which are a conference report, conference briefs, a resource kit and a film. The following summary gives a brief insight, showing where important potentials and gaps need to be addressed.

Thematic links and commonalities

CMW revealed how intrinsically linked the 5 lead themes are, thus showing the need for further integrated research. Moreover, discussions showed strong commonalities: in any part of the world, the same problems affect mountain areas and peoples, with differences mainly in degree. Be it globalization, migration, tourism, policies, laws, technologies, discrimination by age, gender, religion, caste, class, or lack of a peaceful environment: no mountain area is immune to change. Mountain communities'—including mountain women's—capacity and opportunities to shape change should be respected and enhanced. Among the main needs identified were the following.

Policies

Policies taking into consideration the changing environment in mountains are seriously lacking. There is also a lack of awareness that indigenous peoples in mountain areas and plain areas need different policies. Moreover, it is necessary to take into account how policies affect women and men, both as separate and linked groups, and to analyze where policies are obstacles to mountain women moving forward, particularly in the fields of health, education and economics. Finally, it is essential that mountain people be more involved in policy making processes.

Research

Most research is not disaggregated, leading to incomplete conclusions: almost all available data reflect national scenarios and do not offer mountain-specific information. Moreover, research done on mountain issues is rarely gender-sensitive. When it focuses on women and their activities, it does not take into consideration the complexity of the environment that women live in, including their male counterparts and the resulting gender relations.

Sustainable tourism

Tourism has become a major income generator for most nations, with mountain areas as a very valuable asset. Besides being sustainable, tourism plans and projects also need to ensure that women and their needs are incorporated into these plans. Additionally, women should be preferentially considered in all aspects of tourism—as initiators and entrepreneurs, as beneficiaries of plans, in designing and implementation of projects, training, networking, awareness raising, etc.

Conservation vs. livelihoods

While environmentalists and policy makers must give prime importance to conserving the environment, it is equally important to ensure sustainable livelihoods for current and future generations of mountain people, both men and women. Much controversy

around conservation measures arises because those directly affected are not consulted, often due to a fear that if people were to be in the know about proposed changes, they would oppose them. But this is not always so. Healthy and more inclusive dialogue needs to be actively promoted to explore alternative livelihood options that could result from national and international conservation efforts. In doing so the rights and well-being of mountain peoples must be respected.

Traditional vs. statutory laws

The traditional and the modern need to co-exist in a non-conflicting way: ignoring one can be a problem for the other. Many mountain communities function according to traditional and customary laws that either benefit or disadvantage women. The same is true for statutory laws. In most areas, women do not have legal community and private property rights, which prevents their equal participation in many economic opportunities and leads to systematic disempowerment. Documenting predominantly patriarchal laws, making them publicly available, and debating their merits and demerits will result in greater understanding and better negotiation and adaptation of these laws.

Decision making

CMW revealed that even in so-called matrilineal mountain communities, women still play subordinate roles and continue to be excluded from apex decision making bodies. Elsewhere and more generally, women are either absent in decision making structures or grossly under-represented, although their participation is essential for sustainable development. From reservations and quotas to meaningful awareness raising, training, and participation, all strategies should be considered to strengthen women's role and participation.

Privatization of resources

Most resources in mountain areas used to be held communally. With

increasing privatization and commercial development, local communities are losing control over their resources. It is critical for new arrangements to be based on consultation, to take into account the interests of individuals, families and communities. Privatization is not entirely negative but analysis is required to see where and how it can benefit local communities and women, in order to increase gender-balanced local negotiation capacity and ensure that women's needs and concerns have been given adequate attention and priority.

Conflict

FAO underlined in 1999 that 23 out of 27 conflicts in the world were located in mountain areas. Many of these areas continue to be plagued by armed conflicts that make it difficult for sustainable development efforts to be implemented and make a difference in the lives of mountain peoples. Men and young boys leave the mountains in search of other economic opportunities or to join armed forces, leaving women to sustain their families. Moreover, the elderly, women and children also often fall prey to retaliation, rape and other forms of violence during armed conflicts in remote mountain areas. The international community and national governments urgently need to make special efforts to ensure peace and security in these areas. Women's perspectives are essential and must be heard to make efforts worthwhile.

Integration of traditional and modern practices

Traditional mountain communities are repositories of knowledge adapted to their harsh environment, eg, on food preservation, survival in remote areas and harsh climates, health strategies, taking care of animals, etc. This oral form of knowledge needs to be documented to prevent its loss. Moreover, research is required to see how this knowledge can be made relevant to the present.

Encourage private enterprise

Women are important partners in private enterprise and need to be included in development of new initiatives, given a chance to participate in decision making, and considered for leadership positions. Moreover, incentives could be given to private companies from the lowlands to preferentially involve women when they develop activities in mountain areas.

Migration

Men and young people leaving mountain areas in search of income in the lowlands and urban areas are a major issue. Sustainable mountain development initiatives must therefore be in a position to offer attractive economic alternatives and stop excessive outmigration. Awareness of the benefits and pitfalls of migration is important for mountain peoples. Among the pitfalls are exposure to AIDS and other emerging epidemics, increased physical and mental burdens on mountain women, and loss of their independence. Societal subordination, discrimination against women, and extreme poverty are issues to be addressed in relation to another, pernicious form of migration that occurs in several mountain regions worldwide: trafficking of women and girls.

Information and communication technologies (ICTs)

ICTs can empower mountain women. Radio, television, print media or Internet can help collect data and exchange information. Previously the world came into the mountains; ICTs can enable a two-way traffic—with mountain people generating their own information and broadcasting it among themselves and out into the world.

Alliances between various stakeholders

Donors, UN agencies, governments, research institutions, NGOs, policy makers, women's organizations and media representatives should coordinate their often isolated activities to avoid working at cross-purposes and benefit from one another's experi-

ence. To this purpose, CMW proposed that a Global Mountain Women's Partnership (GMWP) be launched within the Johannesburg World Summit on Sustainable Development (WSSD 2002) framework of the International Partnership for Sustainable Development in Mountain Regions (IPSDMR).

Raising awareness

Many development efforts fail to reach women because awareness of their roles, responsibilities and needs is lacking. This is partly due to lack of platforms, forums and an environment in which women can speak out. Where such platforms exist, the media can assist by giving more coverage of these issues and the advances that mountain communities are making. School curricula also need to include gender-specific information and underline the benefits of gender-balanced activities.

ICIMOD is committed to continuing providing a much-needed platform for mountain women, especially with the Global Mountain Women's Partnership (GMWP), which ICIMOD conceived as a follow-up mechanism. The GMWP was endorsed by mountain women at CMW 2002, and ICIMOD launched it at the Bishkek Global Mountain Summit in November 2002. ICIMOD is hopeful that all players in the development field will listen to and respect the "Voices" of mountain women, and support its endeavor to advance the mountain women's agenda. Further information on CMW is available at www.mountainwomen.net, where CMW products are listed for downloading or ordering.

Anita Anand

Consultant/CMW 2002 Steering Committee Member for the Asia-Pacific Region.
1 B Mathura Road, Jangpura, New Delhi 110013, India.
anandanita@vsnl.com

Phuntshok Tshering

Coordinator, Gender Mainstreaming Action Initiative.
Culture, Equity, Gender, and Governance Integrated Programme, ICIMOD, PO Box 3226, Kathmandu, Nepal.
ptshering@icimod.org.np