BioOne COMPLETE

The Influence of Natural and Anthropic Environmental Variables on the Structure and Spatial Distribution Along Longitudinal Gradient of Macroinvertebrate Communities in Southern Brazilian Streams

Authors: Salvarrey, Andrea Vanessa Batalla, Kotzian, Carla Bender, Spies, Márcia Regina, and Braun, Bruna

Source: Journal of Insect Science, 14(13) : 1-23

Published By: Entomological Society of America

URL: https://doi.org/10.1673/031.014.13

BioOne Complete (complete.BioOne.org) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at www.bioone.org/terms-of-use.

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non - commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

Downloaded From: https://bioone.org/journals/Journal-of-Insect-Science on 28 Mar 2024
Terms of Use: https://bioone.org/terms-of-use

Journal of Insect Science
www.insectscience.org

The influence of natural and anthropic environmental variables on the structure and spatial distribution along longitudinal gradient of macroinvertebrate communities in southern Brazilian streams

Andrea Vanessa Batalla Salvarrey[1b], Carla Bender Kotzian[2b], Márcia Regina Spies[3c], Bruna Braun[4a]

[1]Programa de Pós-Graduação em Biodiversidade Animal, Centro de Ciências Naturais e Exatas, Universidade Federal de Santa Maria. Faixa de Camobi km 9, 97105-900, Santa Maria, RS, Brazil
[2]Departamento de Biologia e PPG Biodiversidade Animal, Centro de Ciências Naturais e Exatas, Universidade Federal de Santa Maria. Faixa de Camobi km 9, 97105-900, Santa Maria, RS, Brazil
[3]Universidade Federal do Pampa, Av. Antônio Trilha, 1847, 97300-000, São Gabriel – RS, Brazil
[4]Curso de Graduação em Ciências Biológicas, Centro de Ciências Naturais e Exatas, Universidade Federal de Santa Maria. Faixa de Camobi km 9, 97105-900, Santa Maria, RS, Brazil

Abstract

Southern Brazilian rivers and streams have been intensively affected by human activities, especially agriculture and the release of untreated domestic sewage. However, data about the aquatic macroinvertebrates in these streams are scarce and limited to only certain groups. In addition, studies focusing on the structure and spatial distribution of these communities are lacking. This study analyzed the effects of natural and anthropic variables on the community structure of macroinvertebrates along a longitudinal gradient in three microbasins located in a region of landscape transition in the state of Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil. Sampling was conducted in the Vacacaí-Mirim River (August 2008) and in the Ibicuí-Mirim and Tororaipí rivers (August 2009) following an environmental gradient including 1st, 2nd, 3rd, and 4th order segments. Local natural factors that were analyzed include water temperature, pH, electrical conductivity, dissolved oxygen, substrate granulometry, and the presence of aquatic vegetation. Anthropic variables that were analyzed include including bank erosion, land use, urbanization, riparian deforestation, and fine sediments input. A total of 42 families and 129 taxa were found, with predominance of environmentally tolerant taxa. Geological context (landscape transition and large hydrographic basins) tended to influence natural environmental factors along the rivers' longitudinal gradients. However, changes in anthropic variables were not affected by these geological differences and therefore did not correlate with patterns of spatial distribution in macroinvertebrate communities. Only 1st order stream segments showed a community composition with high richness of taxa intolerant to anthropic disturbance. Richness as a whole tended to be higher in 3rd to 4th order set of segments, but this trend was a result of local anthropic environmental disturbances. Future inventories conducted in similar landscape transition regions of Brazil, for conservation purposes, must consider stream segments of different orders, microbasins, and major basins in order to obtain data that faithfully reflect the regional diversity. Additionally, it is necessary to consider envi-

Downloaded From: https://bioone.org/journals/Journal-of-Insect-Science on 28 Mar 2024
Terms of Use: https://bioone.org/terms-of-use

ronmental gradients of land use and anthropic impacts in order to suggest appropriate strategies for conserving the environmental integrity of streams.

Keywords: aquatic insects, landscape, multiple scales, Neotropical region, river order
Abbreviations: DO, dissolved oxygen; **EC**, electrical conductivity; **IMR**, Ibicuí-Mirim River; **RD**, riparian deforestation; **TRR**, Tororaipí River; **VMR**, Vacacaí-Mirim River
Correspondence: [a] deiacastilla@yahoo.com.br, [b] modrizralok@hotmail.com, [c] marciaspies@gmail.com, [d] brubraun@gmail.com, *Corresponding author
Received: 30 September 2011 **Accepted:** 18 September 2013 **Published:** 26 January 2014
Copyright: This is an open access paper. We use the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 license that permits unrestricted use, provided that the paper is properly attributed.
ISSN: 1536-2442 | Vol. 14, Number 13

Cite this paper as:
Salvarrey AVB, Kotzian CB, Spies MR, Braun B. 2014. The influence of natural and anthropic environmental variables on the structure and spatial distribution along longitudinal gradient of macroinvertebrate communities in southern Brazilian streams. *Journal of Insect Science* 14:13. Available online: http://www.insectscience.org/14.13

Introduction

Macroinvertebrates play important ecological roles in maintaining the integrity of biological communities in rivers and streams. They are important elements in the trophic chain, constituting prey for vertebrates and participating in nutrient cycling and energy flow (Cummins 1979; Vannote et al. 1980). Macroinvertebrate occurrence is intimately related to river environmental characteristics (Hynes 1970; Sullivan et al. 2004). Environmental impacts of human activities on rivers and streams affect their macroinvertebrate communities by decreasing richness and altering the composition of stream fauna and flora as a whole (e.g., Rosenberg and Resh 1993; Moore and Palmer 2005).

The distribution of macroinvertebrate communities in lotic environments is influenced by a wide range of local (altitude, pH, electrical conductivity, substratum, dissolved oxygen, temperature, and aquatic and riparian vegetation), landscape (land use and cover, basin, stream order, surficial geology), and regional (ecoregion) natural environmental factors, including biogeographical factors (see references in Li et al. 2001; Feld and Hering 2007; Tomanova et al. 2007). Anthropic factors, such as deforestation, agriculture, pasture, and urbanization, can also affect macroinvertebrate communities because they alter flow regimes, thermal stability, nutrient release, allochthonous or solar energy flux, and cause soil erosion (Delong and Brusven 1998; Cuffney et al. 2000; Miserendino et al. 2011). Many environmental variables, including those generated by human activities, are interdependent because rivers are hierarchically structured aquatic systems (Frissell et al. 1986; Hawkins et al. 1993), functioning as a 'nested' design (Allen and Starr 1982). Riverine faunal assemblages at a particular site can be considered as the product of a series of spatially organized filters, ranging from large (continental, regional, basin, etc.) to small (reach, riffle, stone) scales (Tonn 1990; Poff 1997). The River Continuum Concept (Vannote et al. 1980) is the classical means of understanding this process.

Changes in macroinvertebrate communities from upstream to downstream are well known. These changes are related to the influence of altitude on some important natural environmental drivers such as water temperature (Finn and Poff 2005, Friberg et al. 2009), velocity (Gagneur 1994), and granulometry (Allan and Castillo 2007), which co-varies

Downloaded From: https://bioone.org/journals/Journal-of-Insect-Science on 28 Mar 2024
Terms of Use: https://bioone.org/terms-of-use

along the course of a river. Stream order also influences abiotic factors along the longitudinal gradient, such as input of detritus, shading due to the presence of riparian vegetation, and habitat available due to changes in substrate size (Vannote et al. 1980; Rosgen 1994). Altitude and stream order also co-vary because they are indissociable. Anthropic factors, although being spatially localized, commonly affect macroinvertebrate communities downstream. Deforestation of riparian vegetation and water pollution due to human activities can alter the structure of these communities by modifying their abundance, composition, and richness (Lenat 1994 Miserendino et al. 2011).

The annual precipitation of around 1,200 mm in southern Brazil (Pereira et al. 1989) allows the existence of a rich hydrographic network with numerous perennial streams and rivers. However, historical and geomorphological contexts have led to intense use of the region's hydrological resources (Lima et al. 2006). In addition, the rapid rate at which lowland rivers and streams have become degraded, especially by damming (Nunes 2010) and deforestation due to agricultural activities (Rodrigues 2001), has not been accompanied by corresponding increases in the knowledge of their faunas, especially aquatic macroinvertebrates. Even simple inventories are scarce, and studies of riverine macroinvertebrate assemblages began only in 2000 (Stenert et al. 2002; Bueno et al. 2003). Such studies have become more numerous recently, but many have been conducted at family level (Milesi et al. 2009; Biasi and Restello 2010; Hepp et al. 2010) or have focused on certain taxa, particularly specific insect orders (Neri et al. 2005; Spies et al. 2006; Siegloch et al. 2008; Pires et al. 2011). Only three studies have investigated macroinvertebrate assemblages as a whole, including taxa identified above the family level (Piedras et al. 2006; Melo 2009; Hepp et al. 2013).

This combination of rapid environmental degradation of southern Brazilian streams and rivers and the inadequate knowledge of their macroinvertebrate communities calls for an urgent need to understand their diversity and spatial distribution patterns, as well as how natural and anthropic environmental variables influence these patterns. Impacts on the integrity of running waters of the region will not be understood if their inhabitants are not studied.

This study analyzed the composition and spatial distribution of macroinvertebrate communities of three microbasins along a landscape gradient in a region of transitional relief strongly influenced by agriculture and urban land use in extreme southern Brazil. Our hypothesis is that the structure of macroinvertebrate species will be influenced more strongly by environmental variation in different stream orders than by environmental variation in different microbasins. However, this pattern is likely to be impacted by anthropic factors. The influence of local natural and anthropic environmental variables was investigated in order to suggest some requirements for the conservation of macroinvertebrate communities.

Materials and Methods

Study area

The three studied microbasins are located in the central region of the state of Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil, and are about 30 km from each other (Figure 1). The Vacacaí-Mirim River (VMR) is located in the Municipality of Santa Maria, which has ca. 270,000 inhabitants. The river is 6th order, has a drainage area of ca. 1136 km^2 (Marchesan et al. 2007), and belongs to the Jacuí River basin (drainage area

Downloaded From: https://bioone.org/journals/Journal-of-Insect-Science on 28 Mar 2024
Terms of Use: https://bioone.org/terms-of-use




Figure 1. Map showing the locations of the Vacacaí-Mirim, Ibicuí-Mirim, and Tororaipí river microbasins, Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil. High quality figures are available online.

of 71,600 km^2) (Figure 1). The watershed area is primarily given over to farming and ranching (Cargnin 2010). The Ibicuí-Mirim River (IMR) and the Tororaipí River (TRR) belong to the Ibicuí River basin (drainage area of 47,740 km^2; Paiva et al. 2000). The IMR is 5th order, drains an area of 33.1 km^2 (Sampaio et al. 2010), and is also located in Santa Maria Municipality, but far from the urban area. The TRR is 4th order, has a drainage area of 0.15 km^2, and is located in Mata Municipality, which has ca. 6,000 inhabitants. The three microbasins drain agricultural landscapes, however their headwaters suffer less influence from agriculture.

These three microbasins are located in a region of landscape transition, in the foothills of a slope that delimits two geomorphological compartments, the Planalto (uplands) and the Depressão Central (lowlands). The rocks in the slope are formed by the basalts of the Serra Geral Formation, which cover the sandstones of the Botucatu and Caturrita formations (Robaina et al. 2010). These basalts crop out along the slope and also on the riverbanks. In the Planalto, the altitude reaches ca. 500 m a.s.l. Originally, the Planalto and its slope were covered by the floresta estacional semidecídua (semideciduous seasonal forest, a vegetation subtype of the Atlantic Forest) (*sensu* Prado 2000). Currently, only a few fragments of this vegetation can be found, and the riparian vegetation is especially sparse (Quadros and Pillar 2002). In the lowlands of the Depressão Central, the altitude reaches ca. 70 m a.s.l. This region was originally covered by Cerrado (savannah) vegetation (Quadros and Pillar 2002), but at present most of the natural vegetation along streams and rivers has been replaced by rice plantations. The lowland floodplains of the study area are formed by sands deposited by rivers and streams (Rodrigues and Werlang 2011). Some of the headwater springs in these microbasins are located in the foothills of the Planalto slope, and some longer stretches are located in the lowlands of the Depressão Central. The climate of Rio Grande do Sul is "Cfa" according to the Köppen classification, with a mean annual relative humidity of 82% (Isaía 1992), mean annual temperature of 19.2° C, and mean annual precipitation of 1,708 mm (Maluf 2000).

In each microbasin, four sampling sites at least 1 km distance from each other and representing a longitudinal gradient (segments of 1st, 2nd, 3rd, and 4th orders) were studied (Figure 1). Sites of the same order of magnitude were chosen in order to show similar environmental conditions (Table 1). Consequently, sites in low-order segments (1st and 2nd) were generally located in the foothills of the Planalto slope and had coarser (gravelly) substrates and more well-preserved riparian vegetation than middle-order segments (3rd and 4th), which were located in the lowlands of the Depressão Central (Table 1). In the lowlands, the VMR also drains an urban area.

Downloaded From: https://bioone.org/journals/Journal-of-Insect-Science on 28 Mar 2024
Terms of Use: https://bioone.org/terms-of-use

Sampling

Sampling was conducted in August 2008 (VMR) and August 2009 (IMR and TRR), with a Surber sampler (mesh = 0.25 mm; area = 0.1 m^2). At each site, three subsamples were taken only once, one near each bank and one in the middle of the streambed. The samples were stored in plastic tubes and fixed with 10% formaldehyde. In the laboratory, they were stained with Rose Bengal, washed in sieves with meshes of 500 and 250 µm, and fixed in 70% ethanol. The specimens were sorted under a stereomicroscope, identified to the lowest taxonomic level possible according to specific references (Angrisano and Korob 2001; Benetti et al. 2006; Domínguez et al. 2006; Lecci and Froehlich 2007; Passos et al 2007; Manzo and Archangelsky 2008; Domínguez and Fernández 2009; Marchese 2009), and confirmed with the help of experts (see Acknowledgements).

For each sampling site, the following natural environmental variables were analyzed only once: air temperature (between 09:00 and 16:00), altitude, pH, electrical conductivity (EC), dissolved oxygen (DO), percentage of coarse sediments, and percentage of aquatic vegetation in stream bed. Additionally, the following anthropic environmental variables were analytically estimated in terms of percentage based on local observations and confirmed by the Google Earth (www.google.com/earth) satellite images when necessary: bank erosion, % land use, riparian deforestation (RD), fine sediments increase due to bank erosion, and % urbanization (urban).

The monthly means of air temperature and precipitation during the study period and the occurrence of heavy rains prior to sampling were obtained from the Meteorological Station of the Sector of Fitotecnia, Universidade Federal de Santa Maria.

Data analyses

The values for taxa richness were compared among the three microbasins and among the four segments of different stream orders using the rarefaction method by sample, rescaled by abundance (1,000 permutations; Simberloff 1972). The samples of macroinvertebrate communities were organized in two blocks (or treatments) in order to study the two proposed approaches: microbasins (VMR, IMR, and TRR) and stream orders (1^{st}, 2^{nd}, 3^{rd}, and 4^{th}). The comparison of richness was conducted at the highest comparable abundance level among communities (1,700 specimens for microbasin; 2,100 specimens for segments of different orders) (Gotelli and Entsminger 2001). The curves were generated based on 1,000 randomizations by the program EcoSim 700 (Gotelli and Entsminger 2001).

The biotic data matrix used in the statistical analyses was based on the lowest taxonomic unit possible, down to genus level. Taxa with fewer than 10 specimens were disregarded because they introduced a large number of zeros into the analysis, obscuring the patterns and increasing the total inertia of the taxon data (ter Braak and Šmilauer 2002; Titeux et al. 2004). The three subsamples (Surber units) obtained in each site were combined in one sample. Additionally, the matrix was Hellinger transformed to reduce double zero influence (Legendre and Gallagher 2001).

The similarity in the structure of the samples of macroinvertebrate communities was evaluated using the chord distance and was posteriorly ordinated by non-metric multidimensional scaling ordination (Kruskal and Wish 1978). The similarity analysis (ANOSIM) of two-way crossed factors (with no replicates) was used to test the difference

Downloaded From: https://bioone.org/journals/Journal-of-Insect-Science on 28 Mar 2024
Terms of Use: https://bioone.org/terms-of-use

between two factors: i) samples from segments of different orders, and ii) samples from different microbasins. In the first factor, four levels were included (1st, 2nd, 3rd, and 4th order segments), and in the second factor, three levels (the VMR, IMR, and TRR microbasins). The analyses were performed in the program Primer E (Clarke and Warwick 2001).

Redundancy analysis (van den Wollenberg 1977) was used to evaluate the influence of environmental variables on the spatial distribution of the macroinvertebrate communities in the three rivers. This analysis was selected due to the short gradient (SD < 3) shown by the data for macroinvertebrate community composition (*sensu* ter Braak and Šmilauer 2002). The gradient length estimates the beta diversity among the communities, i.e., the species turnover. A detrended correspondence analysis was performed to evaluate the length of the gradient (present data: SD = 2.24 on axis I).

In sampling designs showing a spatial structure, a relationship can occur among samples obtained along a geographical space, i.e., spatial autocorrelation (Legendre and Legendre 1998). This relation was evaluated through the construction of a geographical-distance matrix based on the coordinates of the sampling sites. The correlation of the geographical distance matrix with the similarity of the macroinvertebrate community structure was determined by the Mantel test (Manly 2000) using 5,000 permutations generated using the program NTSYSpc 2.10S (Rohlf 2000). In this study, no spatial autocorrelation was detected by the Mantel test ($r = 0.14$, $p = 0.14$).

In the redundancy analysis, the natural and anthropic environmental variables considered were tested to add in the model through the manual forward stepwise selection procedure ($p < 0.05$ by the permutation test of Monte Carlo with 999 randomizations). Therefore, only altitude and electrical EC were used in the model to represent the natural variables, and RD, land use, and urbanization degrees were used to represent the anthropic variables. This method was also efficient in removing the multi-colinearity among the explanatory variables, since none of them showed a high inflation factor (*sensu* ter Braak and Šmilauer 2002). Additionally, the Monte Carlo test (999 randomizations) was used to test the significance of the canonical axis and the correlation among taxa and environmental variables (ter Braak and Šmilauer 2002). The biotic matrix data was Helinger-transformed, the environmental percentage variables were arcsine-transformed, and the other environmental variables were square root-transformed and standardized by standard deviation. The transformations were performed to normalize and render the data homoscedastic (Sokal and Rohlf 1995). The environmental data were standardized because of the differences in scale units of the environmental variables (e.g., µS/cm for EC and mg/L for DO) measured (Clarke and Gorley 2006).

The percentage of explicability of each set of environmental variables on the structure of macroinvertebrate communities was assessed through variation partitioning as proposed by Borcard et al. (1992) using redundancy analysis and partial redundancy analysis. In this way, the variation of macroinvertebrate communities was partitioned in four components: i) anthropic environmental variation; ii) natural environmental variation; iii) natural and anthropic intersect variation; and iv) unexplained variation.

Downloaded From: https://bioone.org/journals/Journal-of-Insect-Science on 28 Mar 2024
Terms of Use: https://bioone.org/terms-of-use

Results

Environmental variables

Little climatic variation was detected between the two consecutive years of sampling. The mean monthly temperature was 14.3° C in August 2008 and 16.4° C in August 2009. The annual and monthly precipitation in 2008 and 2009 was 1,476 mm and 99.8 mm, and 2,123 mm and 164.5 mm, respectively. No heavy rains were recorded in the week prior to sampling in either year. In general, natural environmental variables also showed little variation among the microbasins and orders studied. However, the VMR presented higher values of pH, EC, and DO and coarser sediments than the IMR and TRR. Small streams exhibited coarser sediments and more well-oxygenated waters than the larger streams and rivers due to their positions in higher altitudes (Table 1). However, anthropic environmental variables tended to vary highly according to stream order in all microbasins (Table 1). With some exceptions (lower RD in 4th order segment of the TRR and similar percentages of RD and land use in almost all segments of the IMR), most anthropic variables tended to increase downstream (Table 1).

Structure of macroinvertebrate communities

In total, 10,985 macroinvertebrates were collected, distributed in 42 families and 129 taxa. The dominant taxa were Simuliidae (14%), Naididae (13%), *Cricotopus* sp. 1 (13%), *Cricotopus* sp. 2 (Chironomidae) (8%), *Paragripopteryx* (Gripopterygidae) (5%), and *Americabaetis* (Baetidae) (5%), which together represented 58% of the total collected. In general, the dominant taxa were the same in all microbasins with differences in dominance rankings. Naididae was more dominant in the VMR, while taxa of Chironomidae were more dominant in the IMR and TRR. The ranking of dominance also varied according to stream order. Naididae was dominant in 4th order segments, while some *Cricotopus* taxa dominated 3rd order segments, and Simuliidae dominated 2nd order segments. In 1st order segments, *Cricotopus* taxa and Simuliidae were dominant, but were not as abundant as they were in the larger order segments. Thirty-nine taxa were shared by all three microbasins.Sixty-two were exclusive, occurring only in one microbasin.

No significant differences in richness were recorded among the microbasins at family and lower taxonomic classification levels (TRR: 30 families and 84 lower classifications; VMR and IMR: both 28 families, and 81 and 70 lower classifications respectively). However, the rarefaction test showed higher estimated richness for the TRR and IMR microbasins (there was wide overlapping between confidence intervals), for a comparable sample, i.e.,




Figure 2. Comparison of estimated richness of benthic macroinvertebrates among (A) microbasins (for a subsample of 1,700 individuals) and (B) different stream order segments (for a subsample of 2,100 individuals) studied in 1st, 2nd, 3rd, and 4th order segments of the Vacacaí-Mirim (August 2008), Ibicuí-Mirim, and Tororaipí (August 2009) river microbasins, Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil. The whiskers show the variation around the mean. High quality figures are available online.

Downloaded From: https://bioone.org/journals/Journal-of-Insect-Science on 28 Mar 2024
Terms of Use: https://bioone.org/terms-of-use




Figure 3. Ordination diagram non-metric multidimensional scaling of the river segments sampled (Vacacaí-Mirim, Ibicuí-Mirim, and Tororaipí) of 1st, 2nd, 3rd, and 4th orders and B) of the microbasins sampled (VMR = Vacacaí-Mirim, IMR = Ibicuí-Mirim, and TRR = Tororaipí), in August 2008 (VMR) and August 2009 (IMR, TRR), Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil. High quality figures are available online.

there was higher richness in the TRR and IMR microbasins than the VMR microbasin (Figure 2A). The rarefaction method also determined that 3rd and 4th order segments showed higher richness (25 and 28 families, and 72 and 75 lower taxonomic classifications, respectively) than the 1st and 2nd order segments (31 and 22 families, and 63 and 67 lower taxonomic classifications, respectively) (Figure 2B).

The non-metric multidimensional scaling ordination depicted the same result as the rarefaction method, confirming a trend toward segregation among macroinvertebrate communities of the low orders from the higher ones (Figure 3). The ANOSIM test presented no significant result for both approaches (microbasins: R = 0, p = 0.42; order: R = 0.295, p = 0.14).

Influence of natural and anthropic environmental variables on macroinvertebrate communities

The redundancy analysis axes were significantly different (F = 2.17, $p < 0.001$). The first two axes together summarized 40.4% of the variability existing in the abundance data of the macroinvertebrate communities and explained 62.8% of their relationship with the environmental variables (Table 2). The first redundancy analysis axis showed stronger positive correlation with urbanization and land use and a negative correlation with altitude (Table 3, Figure 4). The second axis showed a stronger negative correlation with EC (Table 3, Figure 4). In general, the first axis segregated samples from 3rd and 4th order segments of the VMR and TRR from samples from the IMR microbasin and 1st and 2nd order segments of the VMR and TRR (Figure 4). This segregation was determined especially by urbanization, land use, and RD (Figure 4).




Figure 4. Ordination diagram of samples and taxa within macroinvertebrate communities used in redundancy analysis constrained by natural and anthropic environmental variables of Vacacaí-Mirim River (VMR), August 2008, and Ibicuí-Mirim (IMR) and Tororaipí (TRR) rivers, August 2009, Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil. Nem: Nematoda, All: Alluroididae, Enc: Enchytraeidae, Nai: Naididae, Tuf: Tubificidae, Hir: Hirudinea, Ame: *Americabaetis*, Apo: *Apobaetis*, Cam: *Camelobaetidius*, Par: *Paracloeodes*, Far: *Farrodes*, Hag: *Hagenulopsis*, Tod: *Tricorythodes*, Top: *Tricorythopsis*, Cae: *Caenis*, Gri: *Gripopteryx*, Pgr: *Paragripopteryx*, Tup: *Tupiperla*, Pro: *Progomphus*, Her: *Heterelmis*, Mic: *Microcylloepus*, Neo: *Neoelmis*, Hex: *Hexacylloepus*, Pse: *Psephenidae*, Sim: Simullidae, Cer: Ceratopogonidae, Bea: *Beardius*, Cal: *Caladomyia*, Chi: *Chironomus*, Dic: *Dicrotendipes*, Kie: *Kiefferulus*, Pch: *Parachironomus*, Pol: *Polypedilum*, Rhe: *Rheotanytarsus*, , Abl: *Ablabesmyia*, Lar: *Larsia*, Pen: *Pentaneura*, Tny: *Tanypus*, Car: *Cardiocladius*, Cry: *Corynoneura*, Cto: *Cricotopus*, Lop: *Lopescladius*, Nan: *Nanocladius*, Pcl: *Paracladius*, Pme: *Parameotricnemus*, Rho: *Rheocricotopus*, Thi: *Thienemanniella*, GnD: Gen et sp. indet. D, Tab: Tabanidae, Smi: *Smicridea*, Aeg: *Aegla*. High quality figures are available online.

Downloaded From: https://bioone.org/journals/Journal-of-Insect-Science on 28 Mar 2024
Terms of Use: https://bioone.org/terms-of-use

However, the second axis segregated two groups: 1) samples of the 3rd order of IMR and TRR microbasins, and 2) samples of the VMR and 1st, 2nd and 4th orders of the IMR microbasin (Figure 4). This segregation was established by the higher EC, RD, and altitude recorded in group 2. Additionally, segregation was detected among samples from 1st and 2nd order segments and samples from 3rd and 4th order segments of the VMR, which was related to higher EC, RD, and urbanization recorded in 4th order (Figure 4). On the second axis, segregation also occurred between the sample from the 4th order segment of the TRR, the other samples from this river, and the samples from the IMR. The clustering of these samples was related to the lower EC found in the samples of the cluster, while the segregation of the 4th order segment of the TRR was related to the muddy substrate (finer granulometry) that was present only at this site (Figure 4).

Macroinvertebrate taxa could be classified into five groups based on the environmental variables investigated (Figure 4): i) taxa showing close association with high EC, RD, and urbanization, such as Naididae, Tubificidae (Oligochaeta), Hirudinea, *Dicrotendipes*, *Rheocricotopus*, *Thienemanniella*, *Kiefferulus*, and Gen et sp. indet. D (Chironomidae); ii) taxa present in sites with heavy land use, such as *Ablabesmyia*, *Chironomus*, *Larsia*, *Nanocladius*, and *Parachironomus* (Chironomidae); iii) taxa present in lower altitudes, such as *Caladomyia*, *Pentaneura*, *Tanypus* (Chironomidae), Ceratopogonidae, *Paracloeodes, Apobaetis*, *Tricorythodes* (Ephemeroptera), Alluroididae, and Enchytraeidae (Oligochaeta); iv) taxa present in higher altitudes, such as *Camelobaetidius* and *Tricorythopsis* (Ephemeroptera), *Heterelmis*, *Microcylloepus*, and Psephenidae (Coleoptera), *Smicridea* (Trichoptera), *Lopescladius* (Chironomidae), and Tabanidae; and v) sensible taxa, which were present in sites with low impacts of urbanization and land use, such as *Gripopteryx*, *Paragripopteryx*, and *Tupiperla* (Plecoptera), *Americabaetis*, *Caenis*, and *Farrodes* (Ephemeroptera), *Neoelmis* (Coleoptera), *Beardius*, *Cardiocladius*, and *Parametriocnemus* (Chironomidae), Simuliidae, and *Aegla* (Aeglidae).

The partitioning of the variance summarized in redundancy analysis revealed that 29.1% was explained by purely anthropic variables (land use, RD, and urbanization), 18.8% by purely natural variables (altitude and EC), and 16.4% by intersect of the natural and anthropic variables. 35.4% of the variance remained unexplained.

Discussion

Environmental variables

The similarities among the natural environmental factors of the three microbasins studied were determined by their geomorphological context. All the microbasins were located in a region of landscape transition, among the Planalto (uplands) and the Depressão Central (lowlands). Small streams located in higher altitudes have coarser sediment and more highly-oxygenated water. Coarser sediments can promote water oxygenation by creating turbulence (Maier 1978). The VMR showed higher values of pH, EC, and DO than the TRR and IMR microbasins, possibly due to differences in surficial geology. Many headwaters of the VMR originated over the basalts of the Serra Geral Formation (Ferreira et al. 2009), while headwaters of the other microbasins originated over the sandstones of the Botucatu and Caturrita formations (Rodrigues and Werlang 2011). Electrical conductivity can be influenced by geological differences (Melo 2009), although

Downloaded From: https://bioone.org/journals/Journal-of-Insect-Science on 28 Mar 2024
Terms of Use: https://bioone.org/terms-of-use

anthropic activities can also determine EC values (e.g., Strieder et al. 2006a; Allan and Castillo 2007).

Landscape similarities among the microbasins resulted in little variation of natural factors along the longitudinal gradient as stream order changed. However, some anthropic factors did not follow this gradient. In general, bank erosion, the consequent artificial input of fine sediments into the stream beds, and urbanization tend to increase downstream, in lowlands where human activities are more numerous (Vischetti et al. 2008). This trend was also observed in the study area. However, land use and RD did not follow this gradient. Agriculture and grazing occurred in the slope of the Planalto in the form of rice and soybean plantations and farming of sheep and cattle (Samuel-Rosa et al. 2011) where natural vegetation cover would otherwise be present. Surprisingly, the best-preserved riparian vegetation was found in the 4th order segment of the TTR. Brazilian federal laws for protecting the riparian vegetation, especially along large order segments, prevent riparian deforestation, explaining the lack of a positive correlation between RD and land use in this area. This condition was also observed in other studies (Tate and Heiny 1995; Henriques-Oliveira and Nessimian 2010; Aristi et al. 2012). However, these laws are not always followed, and RD did not show a gradient.

Structure of macroinvertebrate communities in the study area

The total richness (129 taxa) registered was relatively high and comparable to richness registered in studies of other streams of the world, in which macroinvertebrates assemblages were investigated at the genus level (Ortiz and Puig 2007; Oliveira and Callisto 2010; Miserendino et al. 2011; Egler et al. 2012). High macroinvertebrate richness has been related to many factors, including environmental heterogeneity (Beisel et al. 2000; Voelz and McArthur 2000), coarse granulometry (Heino 2000; Wu and Legg 2007), presence of aquatic vegetation (Souza-Franco et al. 2009), middle-order rivers (Minshall et al. 1985; Callisto et al. 2004), and also to areas of relief transition (Statzner and Higler 1986; Floss et al. 2012). Additionally, many studies have shown that macroinvertebrate richness is negatively related to human activities, such as logging (Martel et al. 2007), plant crops and pasture (Jacobsen and Marín 2008), domestic-sewage discharge (Cortezzi et al. 2009), agriculture (Delong and Brusven 1998; Roque et al. 2003), and land erosion (Larsen et al. 2009). Thus, the richness recorded in this study suggests that the transitional landscape in which the microbasins were located is capable of compensating for the negative influences that anthropic activities can cause in the structure of macroinvertebrate communities.

The high abundance of Simuliidae, Naididae, Chironomidae (*Cricotopus*), and Baetidae (*Americabaetis*), as well as the low abundance and richness of other families of Ephemeroptera, and of taxa of Trichoptera, shows that the microbasins analyzed suffered from anthropic environmental impacts. Naididae are known as tolerant and are related to anthropic activity (Alves and Lucca 2000; Alves et al. 2006). Simuliidae is one of the most abundant families of macroinvertebrates in rivers with high current velocity (Gaona and Andrade 1999; Bolaño et al. 2003) and organic-matter content (Vuori and Joensuu 1996; Strieder et al. 2006b). In many cases, increased presence of organic-matter originates from sewage discharge, logging of riparian vegetation, and erosion due to agricultural activities. The family Baetidae is considered somewhat sensitive to biotic indices (Hilsenhoff 1988) and has

Downloaded From: https://bioone.org/journals/Journal-of-Insect-Science on 28 Mar 2024
Terms of Use: https://bioone.org/terms-of-use

been found in urban streams (Moyo and Phiri 2002). Some baetid species are frequent in sites contaminated by heavy metals (Márques et al. 2001). *Americabaetis* is one of the most common genera of Baetidae and has plastic environmental requirements, occurring in most habitats (Domínguez et al. 2006). *Cricotopus* has been associated with eroded areas (Sanseverino and Nessimian 2001) with no riparian vegetation (Siqueira and Trivinho-Strixino 2005), urbanization (Jones and Clark 1987; Rae 1989), and metal contamination (Yasuno et al. 1985).

The interaction of natural variation and local antropic influence in each microbasin with its longitudinal gradient is reflected in different levels of dominance in taxa and in a large number of exclusive taxa in each microbasin. In general, taxa such as *Chironomus,* Tubificidae, and Naididae dominate in larger streams under the influence of human activities (Marchese 1987; Alves and Lucca 2000; Siqueira and Trivinho-Strixino 2005), while Elmidae, Tipulidae, Psichodidae, *Cricotopus*, *Paragripopteryx* and *Gripopteryx* predominate in more well-preserved small order streams (Brown 1987; Froehlich 1999). In the study area, anthropic influence did not follow a longitudinal gradient, resulting in a lack of patterning of spatial distribution of the communities between microbasins, as demonstrated by the ANOSIM. Only lower stream order communities were segregated, as shown by the non-metric multidimensional scaling. The higher richness of Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera, and Trichoptera found in low-order segments (see Supplementary Data) suggests that these segments conserved some of the original conditions of the streams, since high richness of these taxa has been related to low-order streams with clean and well oxygenated waters (Rosenberg and Resh 1993; Crisci-Bispo et al. 2007; Dinakaran and Anbalagan 2007). Therefore, in spite of the high abundance of tolerant organisms in all the stream segments, the presence of EPT in low-order sites suggests that these segments had better and more natural environmental conditions than those of the larger segments.

Richness registered in each microbasin and stream order reflected variations in natural and anthropic factors more adequately than the assessment of dominant or exclusive taxa. For example, the 3rd and 4th order segments showed higher richness than 1st and 2nd order segments, as observed previously (Minshall et al. 1985; Callisto et al. 2004). However, if segments were analyzed separately, the highest richness was found in segments with a medium degree of impact, such as the 2nd order of the VMR, 4th order of the IMR, and 1st and 4th order segments of the TRR (see Supplementary Data). Hence, the highest richness recorded in these segments could be explained by the intermediate disturbance hypothesis (Connell 1978), which suggests that moderately impacted sites allow the coexistence of the highest numbers of both sensitive and tolerant species (Townsend et al. 1997; Roxburgh et al. 2004).

Influence of natural and anthropic variables on spatial distribution of communities

In the study area, anthropic factors were shown to be as important as natural factors in explaining the spatial distribution of communities and their taxa. The degree of urbanization and land use tended to change along the longitudinal gradient of the streams studied, which is ultimately a result of the change in altitude. The redundancy analysis shows that as altitude decreased, the level of urbanization and land use increased As previously discussed, the level of urbanization and land use are higher in larger-order streams. Riparian deforestation is also important in ex-

Downloaded From: https://bioone.org/journals/Journal-of-Insect-Science on 28 Mar 2024
Terms of Use: https://bioone.org/terms-of-use

plaining our results but it does not correlate with altitude. RD can determine changes in macroinvertebrate communities related to composition, abundance, and richness (Lenat and Crawford 1994; Miserendino et al. 2011). Many studies have demonstrated that riparian vegetation provides organic matter and nutrients necessary for high richness of macroinvertebrates in aquatic ecosystems (e.g., Bunn et al. 1999; Cummins 1974; Cummins et al. 1989; Rios and Bailey 2006;). Impoverished and homogeneous macroinvertebrate communities are found in streams with less riparian vegetation (Kay et al. 2001; Corbi and Trivinho-Strixino 2008).

EC also plays an important role in explaining the distribution of macroinvertebrate taxa. It has been considered one of the most important environmental variables affecting the community structure of benthic macroinvertebrates (Allan and Castillo 2007; Melo 2009). Differences in the geology of the drainage areas of the microbasins could explain the higher EC values throughout the VMR sites, since many of its headwaters arose in and flowed over basalts, while the headwaters of the other rivers flowed over sandstone. Rainfall can also affect EC values because high precipitation carries ions into the river basins, increasing their EC (Esteves 1998). However, precipitation in the month prior to the sampling in the TRR and IMR microbasins was higher (164.5 mm) than in the VMR basin (99.8 mm). Therefore, the EC showed a contrary pattern to what would be expected, which reinforces the hypothesis of a geological origin for differences in the EC values among microbasins. Levels of EC did not show a longitudinal gradient.

Interactions between natural and anthropic factors also explain the results obtained in the present study. In general, high EC values are related to sites impacted by urbanization, i.e, untreated industrial and domestic sewage, extensive agriculture, or both (Cuffney et al. 2000; Strieder et al. 2006a; Allan and Castillo 2007). Some of the VMR tributaries, mainly in the lowlands, drained the urban area of Santa Maria Municipality, consequently receiving domestic-sewage discharge. These areas were surrounded by intensive agricultural land use along the river's banks. Thus, higher EC values may reflect the manifold impacts of geological origins and of an urban area, since EC values were higher in the segments downstream of the city (3rd and 4th orders).

In this context, anthropic and natural factors, as well as interactions between these factors, influenced some macroinvertebrate taxa. High values of EC, RD, and urbanization are related to the occurrence of Naididae, Tubificidae (Oligochaeta), Hirudinea, *Dicrotendipes*, *Rheocricotopus*, *Thienemanniella*, *Kiefferulus* and Gen et sp. indet. D (Chironomidae). Naididae are found in many aquatic habitats, showing especially high abundance at sites with stony substrates and rich organic content (Alves and Lucca 2000). Tubificidae are found in sediments with abundant organic matter (Montanholi-Martins and Takeda 1998). They also possess respiratory pigments, which improve respiration in environments with low levels of DO (Miserendino 1995). *Dicrotendipes* are typical of calm water with low current velocity, inhabit fine sediment, and are resistant to certain types of environmental degradation, such as the absence of riparian forest (Pinder and Reiss 1983; Epler 2001). High land use is correlated with *Ablabesmyia*, *Chironomus*, *Larsia*, *Nanocladius*, and *Parachironomus* (Chironomidae). *Ablabesmyia* are typical of lentic environments and sandy substrates. Together with *Chironomus* and *Larsia*, *Ablabesmyia* are also resistant to environmen-

Downloaded From: https://bioone.org/journals/Journal-of-Insect-Science on 28 Mar 2024
Terms of Use: https://bioone.org/terms-of-use

tal degradation, such as the absence of riparian forest (Pinder and Reiss 1983; Epler 2001). In lower altitudes, *Caladomyia*, *Paracladius*, *Pentaneura*, *Tanypus*, *Tanytarsus* (Chironomidae), Ceratopogonidae, *Apobaetis*, *Tricorythodes* (Ephemeroptera), and Enchytraeidae (Oligochaeta) were more abundant. *Caladomyia* is characteristic of lentic environments associated with litter, higher temperatures, and the presence of macrophytes (Epler 2001). *Tanypus* and *Paracladius* have also been found in lentic environments with fine sediments and low current velocity (Pinder and Reiss 1983; Epler 2001). Hepp et al. (2013) discovered an association of *Apobaetis* with higher pH values and lower DO levels. In higher altitudes, *Camelobaetidius* and *Tricorythopsis* (Ephemeroptera), *Heterelmis*, *Microcylloepus* and Psephenidae (Coleoptera), *Smicridea* (Trichoptera), *Lopescladius* (Chironomidae) and Tabanidae were common. *Camelobaetidius* is sensitive to pollution (Buss and Salles 2007). *Heterelmis, Microcylloepus,* and *Smicridea* are reportedly related to gravelly substrates, well-oxygenated waters, and environmental integrity (Spies et al. 2006; Passos et al. 2007). Sensible taxa were found in sites with low impacts of urbanization and land use. Sensible taxa, defined as those present in sites with low impacts of urbanization and land use, were *Gripopteryx*, *Paragripopteryx* and *Tupiperla* (Plecoptera), *Americabaetis*, *Caenis* and *Farrodes* (Ephemeroptera), *Neoelmis* (Coleoptera), *Beardius*, *Cardiocladius* and *Parametriocnemus* (Chironomidae), Simuliidae and *Aegla* (Aeglidae). *Gripopteryx* and *Paragripopteryx* are sensitive to pollution, being associated with low human disturbance and high water flow (Roque et al. 2003; Hepp et al. 2013). *Tupiperla* and *Caenis* are found in colder and well-oxygenated waters (Hepp et al. 2013). Simuliidae have been related to environmental integrity because they also need well-oxygenated waters (Strieder et al. 2006b). Thus, various taxa identified in the VMR, IMR, and TRR support previous findings regarding preferences for certain habitats and their environmental quality.

Final Remarks

The macroinvertebrate communities of the three microbasins showed high diversity, with a predominance of tolerant taxa. The structure of the communities showed differences among the microbasins, revealing the importance of landscape factors. These differences were influenced by differences in geology and land use in the microbasins, which affected abiotic factors such as EC and substrate granulometry. The longitudinal gradient played an important role, as predicted by the river continuum concept. However, local anthropic changes, which were not perfectly correlated with the longitudinal gradient, also affected the composition and richness of the communities, showing the importance of the intermediate disturbance hypothesis in explaining the structure of the macroinvertebrate communities.

Future inventories of riverine macroinvertebrate communities and their spatial distributions must be carried out using sampling designs that account for differences in stream order, microbasins, and basins' locations in order to obtain data that faithfully reflect regional diversity. This consideration is especially important with respect to landscape environmental gradients, such as those of the transition from the Planalto to the Depressão Central in southern Brazil, in order to account for fauna associated with different geomorphological compartments. Additionally, it is necessary to document the macroinvertebrate communities along environmental gradients of land uses and anthropic impacts in order to suggest appropriate strategies for conserving

Downloaded From: https://bioone.org/journals/Journal-of-Insect-Science on 28 Mar 2024
Terms of Use: https://bioone.org/terms-of-use

environmental integrity. The need for such documentation is illustrated by the distinct differences in macroinvetebrate community structures observed in the stream segments evaluated in the present study.

Acknowledgements

We thank Dr. Ana Emília Siegloch and Dr. Edélti Albertoni for their suggestions offered regarding the initial manuscript. Dr. A. E. Siegloch helped with the identification of Ephemeroptera and Plecoptera; Melissa Ottoboni with the identification of Coleoptera; Dr. Roberto da Gama Alves with the identification of Oligochaeta; and Elzira Floss and Elisangela Secretti with the identification of Chironomidae. We are also in debt to Elzira Floss, Alcemar Martello, Mateus Marques Pires, Roger Sá, Elisangela Secretti, Sarah Lemes, and Nicolas Figueiredo for their help during the field trips.

References

Allan JD, Castillo MM. 2007. *Stream ecology*: *structure and function of running waters*, 2nd edition. Springer.

Allen TFH, Starr TB. 1982. *Hierarchy: Perspectives in Ecological Complexity*. University of Chicago Press.

Alves RG, Lucca JV. 2000. Oligochaeta (Annelida: Clitellata) como indicador de poluição orgânica em dois córregos pertencentes à Bacia do Ribeirão do Ouro Araraquara (São Paulo-Brasil). *Brazilian Journal of Ecology* 4(1-2): 112–117.

Alves RG, Marchese MR, Escarpinati SC. 2006. Oligochaeta (Annelida: Clitelata) in lotic environments in the state of São Paulo (Brazil). *Iheringia, Série Zoologia* 96(4): 431–435.

Angrisano EB, Korob PG. 2001. Trichoptera. In: Fernández HR, Domínguez E, Editors. *Guía para Determinación de los Artrópodos Bentónicos Sudamericanos*. pp. 5–92. Universidad Nacional de Tucumán, Faculdad de Ciencias Naturales and Instituto M. Lillo.

Aristi I, Díez JR, Larrañaga A, Navarro-Ortega A, Barceló D, Elosegi A. 2012. Assessing the effects of multiple stressors on the functioning of Mediterranean rivers using poplar wood breakdown. *Science of the Total Environment* 440: 272–279.

Beisel JN, Polatera PU, Moreteau JC. 2000. The spatial heterogeneity of a river bottom: a key factor determining macroinvertebrate communities. *Hydrobiologia* 422-423: 163–171.

Benetti CJ, Fiorentin GL, Cueto JAR, Neiss UG. 2006. Chaves de identificação para famílias de coleópteros aquáticos ocorrentes no Rio Grande do Sul, Brasil. *Neotropical Biology and Conservation* 1(1): 24–28.

Biasi C, Restello RM. 2010. Incidência de deformidades morfológicas em larvas de Chironomidae (Insecta: Diptera) como ferramenta de avaliação da qualidade de água em riachos de Erechim- RS. *Vivências* 6(9): 1809–1636.

Bolaño GF, Hernández MA, Padilla NN. 2003. Los macroinvertebrados bentónicos de Pozo Azul (cuenca del Rio Gaira, Colombia) y su relación con la calidad del agua. *Acta Biológica Colombiana* 8(2): 43–55.

Downloaded From: https://bioone.org/journals/Journal-of-Insect-Science on 28 Mar 2024
Terms of Use: https://bioone.org/terms-of-use

Borcard D, Legendre P, Drapeau P. 1992. Partialling out the spatial component of ecological variation. *Ecology* 73: 1045–1055.

Brown HP. 1987. Biology of riffle beetles. *Annual Review of Entomology* 32: 253–273.
Bueno AP, Bond-Buckup G, Ferreira BDP. 2003. Estrutura da comunidade de invertebrados bentônicos em dois cursos d'água do Rio Grande do Sul, Brasil. *Revista Brasileira de Zoologia* 20(1): 115–125.

Bunn SE, Davies PM, Mosisch TD. 1999. Ecosystem measures of river health and their responses to riparian and catchment degradation. *Freshwater Biology* 41: 333–345.

Buss DF, Salles FF. 2007. Using Baetidae species as biological indicators of environmental degradation in a Brazilian river Basin. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment* 130: 365–372.

Callisto M, Goulart M, Medeiros AO, Moreno P, Rosa CA. 2004. Diversity assessment of benthic macroinvertebrates, yeasts, and microbiological indicators along a longitudinal gradientin Serra do Cipó, Brazil. *Brazilian Journal Biology* 64(4): 743–755.

Cargnin GAP. 2010. *Atlas socioeconômico do Rio Grande do Sul*. Available online: http://www.scp.rs.gov.br/atlas/atlas.asp?menu=545

Clarke KR, Warwick RM. 2001. *Change in marine communities: an approach to statistical analysis and interpretation*, 2nd edition. PRIMER-E Ltd, Plymouth Marine Laboratory.

Clarke KR, Gorley RN. 2006. *Primer v.6*: *User Manual/Tutorial*. PRIMER-E.

Connell JH. 1978. Diversity in tropical rain forest and coral reefs. *Science* 199: 1302–1310.

Corbi JJ, Trivinho-Strixino S. 2008. Effects of land use on lotic chironomid communities of southeast Brazil: emphasis on the impact of sugar cane cultivation. *Boletim do Museu Municipal do Funchal* 13: 93–100.

Cortezzi SS, Bispo PC, Paciencia GP, Leite RC. 2009. Influência da ação antrópica sobre a fauna de macroinvertebrados aquáticos em riachos de uma região de cerrado do sudoeste do Estado de São Paulo. *Iheringia, Série Zoologia* 99(1): 36–43.

Crisci-Bispo VL, Bispo PC, Froehlich CG. 2007. Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera and Trichoptera assemblages in two Atlantic Rainforest streams, Southeastern Brazil. *Revista Brasileira de Zoologia* 24(2): 312–318.

Cuffney TF, Meador MR, Porter SD, Gurtz ME. 2000. Responses of physical, chemical, and biological indicators of water quality to a gradient of agricultural land use in the Yakima River Basin, Washington. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment* 64: 259–270.

Cummins KW. 1974. Structure and function of stream ecosystems. *BioScience* 24: 631–641.

Cummins KW, Klug MJ. 1979. Feeding ecology of stream invertebrates. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 10: 147–172.

Cummins KW, Wilzbach MA, Gates DM, Perry JB, Taliaferro WB. 1989. Shredders and riparian vegetation. *BioScience* 39: 24–30.

Delong MD, Brusven MA. 1998. Macroinvertebrate community structure along the

Downloaded From: https://bioone.org/journals/Journal-of-Insect-Science on 28 Mar 2024
Terms of Use: https://bioone.org/terms-of-use

longitudinal gradient of an agriculturally impacted stream. *Environmental Management* 22(3): 445–457.

Dinakaran S, Anbalagan S. 2007. Anthropogenic impacts on aquatic insects in six streams of south Western Ghats. *Journal of Insect Science* 7:37. Available online: http://insectscience.org/7.37

Domínguez E, Fernández HR. 2009. *Macroinvertebrados bentónicos sudamericanos: sistemática y biología*. Fundación Miguel Lillo.

Domínguez E, Molineri C, Pescador M, Hubbard M, Nieto C. 2006. *Aquatic Biodiversity in Latin America: Ephemeroptera of South America*. Pensoft.

Egler M, Buss DF, Moreira JC, Baptista DF. 2012. Influence of agricultural land-use and pesticides on benthic macroinvertebrate assemblages in an agricultural river basin in southeast Brazil. *Brazilian Journal of Biology* 72: 437–443.

Epler JH. 2001. *Identification Manual for the Larval Chironomidae (Diptera) of North and South Carolina. A guide to the taxonomy of the midges of the southeastern United States, including Florida.* Special Publication SJ2001-SP13. North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources, Raleigh, NC, and St. Johns River Water Management District.

Esteves FA. 1998. *Fundamentos de Limnologia*. Interciência/ FINEP.

Feld CK, Hering D. 2007. Community structure or function: effects of environmental stress on benthic macroinvertebrates at different spatial scales. *Freshwater Biology* 52: 1380-1399.

Floss ECS, Kotzian CB, Spies MR, Secretti E. 2013. Diversity of non-biting midge larvae assemblages in the Jacuí River basin, Brazil. *Journal of Insect Science* 12:121. Available online: www.insectscience.org/12.121

Ferreira AB, Silvestre KB, Leite EF, Rosa R, Filho WP. 2009. Análise do meio físico da sub-bacia do Rio Vacacaí-Mirim- RS/Brasil. *Revista Geográfica Acadêmica* 3(2): 28–34.

Finn DC, Poff NL. 2005. Variability and convergence in benthic communities along the longitudinal gradients of four physically similar Rocky Mountain streams. *Freshwater Biology* 50: 243–261.

Friberg N, Dybkjaer JB, Olafsson JS, Gislason GM, Larsen SE, Lauridsen TL. 2009. Relationship between structure and function in streams contrasting in temperature. *Freshwater Biology* 54: 2051–2068.

Frissell CA, Liss WJ, Warren CE, Hurley MD. 1986. A hierarchical framework for stream habitat classification: viewing streams in a watershed context. *Environmental Management* 10: 199–214.

Froehlich CG. 1999. Ordem Plecoptera. In: Ismael D, Valenti WC, Matsumura-Tundisi T, Rocha O, Editors. *Biodiversidade do Estado de São Paulo, Brasil: síntese do conhecimento ao final do século XX, 4: Invertebrados de Água Doce*. pp. 158–160. FAPESP.

Gagneur J. 1994. Flash floods and drying up as major disturbance upon benthic communities in North-African wadis. *Verhandlungen der Internationale*

Downloaded From: https://bioone.org/journals/Journal-of-Insect-Science on 28 Mar 2024
Terms of Use: https://bioone.org/terms-of-use

Vereinigung fur theoretische und angewandte Limnologie 25: 1807–1811.

Gaona JC, Andrade CFS. 1999. Aspectos da entomologia médica e veterinária dos borrachudos (Diptera, Simuliidae): biologia, importância e controle. *Lecta* 17(1): 51–65.

Gotelli NJ, Entsminger GL. 2001. *EcoSim: Null models software for ecology. Version 7.0.* Acquired Intelligence Inc. & Kesey-Bear. Available online: http://homepages. together.net/~gentsmin/ecosim.htm

Hawkins CJ, Kerschner JL, Bisson PA, Bryant MD, Decker LM, Gregory SV, McCoullough DA, Overton CK, Reeves GH, Steedman RJ, Young MK. 1993. A hierarchical approach to classifying stream habitat features. *Fisheries* 18: 3–11.

Heino J. 2000. Lentic macroinvertebrate assemblage structure along gradients in spatial heterogeneity, habitat size and water chemistry. *Hydrobiologia* 418: 229–242.

Henriques-Oliveira AL, Nessimian JL. 2010. Aquatic macroinvertebrate diversity and composition in streams along an altitudinal gradient in Southeastern Brazil. *Biota Neotropica* 10:3. Available online: http://www.biotaneotropica.org.br/v10n3/en/abstract?article+bn02010032010

Hepp LU, Milesi SV, Biasi C, Restello RM. 2010. Effects of agricultural and urban impacts on macroinvertebrates assemblages in streams (Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil). *Revista Brasileira de Zoologia* 27(1): 106–113.

Hepp LU, Restello RM, Milesi SV, Biasi C, Mollozi J. 2013. Distribution of aquatic insects in urban headwater streams. *Acta Limnologica Brasiliensia* 25:1

Hilsenhoff WL. 1988. Rapid field assessment of organic pollution with a family-level biotic index. *Journal of North American Benthological Society* 7(1): 65–68.

Hynes HBN. 1970. *The ecology of running waters*. University of Toronto.

Isaía T. 1992. *Planejamento de uso da terra para o município de Santa Maria-RS, através do diagnóstico físico conservacionista das microbacias hidrográficas*. UFSM.

Jacobsen D, Marín R. 2008. Bolivian Altiplano streams with low richness of macroinvertebrates and large diel fluctuations in temperature and dissolved oxygen. *Aquatic Ecology* 42(4): 643–656.

Jones OR, Clark RN. 1987. Effects of furrow dikes on water conservation and dryland crop yields. *Soil Science Society of America Journal* 51: 1307–1314.

Kay WR, Halse SA, Scanlon MD, Smith MJ. 2001. Distribution and environmental tolerances of aquatic macroinvertebrate families in the agricultural zone of southwestern Australia. *Journal of the North American Benthological Society* 20: 182–199.

Kruskal MA, Wish M. 1978. *Multidimensional scaling*. Sage Publication.

Larsen S, Vaughani P, Ormerod SJ. 2009. Scale-dependent effects of fine sediments on temperate headwater invertebrates. *Freshwater Biology* 54: 203–219.

Lecci LS, Froehlich CG. 2007. Plecoptera. In: Froehlich CG, Editor.*Guia on-line: Identificação de larvas de Insetos Aquáticos do Estado de São Paulo*. BIOTA/FAPESP. Available

Downloaded From: https://bioone.org/journals/Journal-of-Insect-Science on 28 Mar 2024
Terms of Use: https://bioone.org/terms-of-use

online: http://sites.ffclrp.usp.br/aguadoce/Guia_online/

Legendre P, Gallagher E. 2001. Ecologically meaningful transformations for ordination of species data. *Oecologia* 129: 271–280

Legendre P, Legendre L. 1998. *Numerical Ecology*, 2nd edition. Elsevier.

Lenat DR, Crawford JK. 1994. Effects of land use on water quality and aquatic biota of three North Carolina Piedmont streams. *Hydrobiologia* 294: 185–199.

Li J, Herlihy A, Gerth W, Kaufmann P, Gregory S, Urquhart S, Larsen DP. 2001. Variability in stream macroinvertebrates at multiple spatial scales. *Freshwater Biology* 46: 87–97.

Lima JF, Alves LR, Pereira SM, Souza EC, Joner PR, Camargo A, Rodrigues EJ, Andrade PEP. 2006. O uso das terras no sul do Brasil: uma análise a partir de indicadores de localização. *Revista de Economia e Sociologia Rural* 44(4): 677–694.

Maier MH. 1978. Considerações sobre características limnológicas de ambientes lóticos. *Boletim do Instituto Pesca* 5(2): 75–90.

Maluf JRT. 2000. Nova classificação climática do Estado do Rio Grande do Sul. *Revista Brasileira de Agrometeorologia* 8(1): 141–150.

Manly BFG. 2000. *Multivariate statistical methods-a primer*, 2nd edition. Chapman and Hall.

Manzo V, Archangelsky M. 2008. A key to the known larvae of South American Elmidae (Coleoptera: Byrrhoidea), with a description of the mature larva of *Macrelmissaltensis* Manzo. *Annales de Limnologie- International Journal of Limnology* 44(1): 63–74.

Marchesan E, Zanella R, Avila LA, Camargo ER, Machado SLO, Macedo VRM. 2007. Rice herbicide monitoring in two Brazilian rivers during the rice growing season. *Scientia Agricola* 64(2): 131–137.

Marchese MR. 2009. Annelida Oligochaeta. In: Domínguez E, Fernández HR, Editors. *Macroinvertebrados bentónicos sudamericanos: sistemática y biologia*. Fundación Miguel Lillo.

Marchese MR. 1987. The ecology of some benthic Oligochaeta from the Paraná River, Argentina. *Hydrobiologia* 155(1): 209–214.

Márques MJ, Martínez-Conde E, Rovira JV. 2001. Los macroinvertebrados como índices de evaluación rápida de ecossistemas acuáticos contaminados por metales pesados. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Restoration* 4(1): 25–31.

Martel N, Rodriguez MA, Bérubé P. 2007. Multi-scale analysis of responses of stream macrobenthos to forestry activities and environmental context. *Freshwater Biology* 52: 85–97.

Melo AS. 2009. Explaining dissimilarities in macroinvertebrate assemblages among stream sites using environmental variables. *Zoologia* 26(1): 79–84.

Milesi SV, Biasi C, Restello RM, Hepp LU. 2009. Distribution of benthic macroinvertebrates in Subtropical streams (Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil). *Acta Limnologica Brasiliensia* 21(4): 419–429.

Downloaded From: https://bioone.org/journals/Journal-of-Insect-Science on 28 Mar 2024
Terms of Use: https://bioone.org/terms-of-use

Minshall GW, Cummins KW, Peterson RC, Cushing CE, Bruns DA, Sedell JR, Vannote RL. 1985. Developments in stream ecosystem theory. *Canadian Journal Fisheries Aquatic Science* 42: 1045–1055.

Miserendino ML. 1995. Composición y distribuición del macrozoobentos de um sistema lótico andino-patagónico. *Ecología Austral* 5(2): 133–142.

Miserendino ML, Casaux R, Archangelsky M, Di Prinzio CY, Brand C, Kutschker AM. 2011. Assessing land-use effects on water quality, in-stream habitat, riparian ecosystems and biodiversity in Patagonian northwest streams. *Science of the Total Environment* 409: 612–624.

Montanholi-Martins MC, Takeda AM. 1998. Communities of benthic oligochaetes in relation to sediment structure in the upper Paraná River, Brazil. *Studies on Neotropical Fauna and Environment* 34: 52–58.

Moore AA, Palmer MA. 2005. Invertebrate biodiversity in agricultural and urban headwater streams: implications for conservation and management. *Ecological Applications* 15(4): 1169–1177.

Moyo NAG, Phiri C. 2002. The degradation of urban streams in Harare, Zimbabwe. *African Journal of Ecology* 40(4): 401–406.

Neri DB, Kotzian CB, Siegloch AE. 2005. Composição de Heteroptera aquáticos e semiaquáticos na área de abrangência da U.H.E. Dona Francisca, RS, Brasil: fase de pré-enchimento. *Iheringia, Série Zoologia* 95: 421–429.

Nunes RGS. 2010. *Represa de Guarapiranga*. Monografia de conclusão de curso, Curso de Gestão Ambiental, Universidade Paulista-UNIP.

Oliveira A, Callisto M. 2010. Benthic macroinvertebrates as bioindicators of water quality in an Atlantic forest fragment. *Iheringia, Série Zoologia* 100(4): 291–300.

Ortiz JD, Puig MA. 2007. Point source effects on density, biomass and diversity of benthic macroinvertebrates in a mediterranean stream. *River Research and Applications* 23(2): 155–170.

Paiva JBD, Paiva EMCD, Gastaldini MCC, Irion CAO, Dias JR, Costa LCM. 2000. Quantificação da demanda de água na Bacia do Rio Ibicuí-RS. *Revista Brasileira de Recursos Hídricos* 5(3): 93–111.

Passos MIS, Nessimian JL, Junior NF. 2007. Chaves para identificação dos gêneros de Elmidae (Coleoptera) ocorrentes no Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Brasil. *Revista Brasileira de Entomologia* 51(1): 42–53.

Pereira PRB, Netto LRG, Borin CJA, Sartori MGB. 1989. Contribuição à geografia física do município de Santa Maria: unidades de paisagem. *Geografia- Ensino & Pesquisa* 3: 37–68.

Piedras SRN, Bager A, Moraes PRR, Isoldi LA, Ferreira OGL, Heemann C. 2006. Macroinvertebrados bentônicos como indicadores de qualidade de água na Barragem Santa Bárbara, Pelotas, RS, Brasil. *Ciência Rural* 36(2): 494–500.

Pinder LCV, Reiss F. 1983. The larvae of Chironomidae (Diptera) of the Holartic region. In: Wiederholm T, Editor. *Chironomidae of the Holarctic region: Keys and diagnoses*. Part 1.

Downloaded From: https://bioone.org/journals/Journal-of-Insect-Science on 28 Mar 2024
Terms of Use: https://bioone.org/terms-of-use

Larvae. pp. 1–457. Entomologica Scandinavica.

Pires MM, Kotzian CB, Spies MR, Neri DB. 2011. *Larval diversity of Odonate (Insecta) genera in a montane region of southern Brazil, and the influence of artificial farm ponds on Odonate community structure*. Monografia de conclusão de curso, Curso de Ciências Biológicas, Universidade Federal de Santa Maria.

Poff NL. 1997. Landscape filters and species traits: towards mechanistic understanding and prediction in stream ecology. *Journal of the North American Benthological Society* 16: 391–409.

Prado DE. 2000. Seasonally dry forests of tropical South America: from forgotten ecosystems to a new phytogeographic unit. *Edinburgh Journal of Botany* 57(3): 437–461.

Quadros FL, Pillar VP. 2002. Transições floresta-campo no Rio Grande do Sul. *Ciência & Ambiente* 24: 109–118.

Rae JG. 1989. Chironomid Midges as Indicators of Organic Pollution in the Scioto River Basin, Ohio. *The Ohio Journal of Science* 89(1): 5–9.

Rios SL, Bailey RC. 2006. Relationship between riparian vegetation and stream benthic communities at three spatial scales. *Hydrobiologia* 553: 153–160.

Robaina LES, Trentin R, Bazzan T, Reckziegel EW, Verdum R, Nardin D. 2010. Compartimentação geomorfológica da bacia hidrográfica do Ibicuí, Rio Grande do Sul, Brasil: proposta de classificação. *Revista Brasileira de Geomorfologia* 11(2): 11–25.

Rodrigues GS. 2001. Impacto das atividades agrícolas sobre a Biodiversidade: causas e conseqüências. In: Garay I, Dias B, Editors. *Conservação da Biodiversidade em Ecossistemas Tropicais*. Editora Vozes.

Rodrigues FR, Werlang MK. 2011. Avaliação do estágio de evolução do relevo em pequenas bacias hidrográficas no sudoeste do município de São Pedro do Sul, RS. *Ciência e Natura* 33(1): 133–144.

Rohlf FJ. 2000. *NTSYS 2.1: Numerical Taxonomic and Multivariate Analysis System*. Exeter Software.

Roque FO, Trivinho-Strixino S, Strixino G, Agostinho RC, Fogo JC. 2003. Benthic macroinvertebrates in streams of the Jaragua State Park (Southeast of Brazil) considering multiple spatial scales. *Journal of Insect Conservation* 7: 63–72.

Rosenberg DM, Resh VH. 1993. *Freshwater biomonitoring and benthic macroinvertebrates*. Chapman and Hall.

Rosgen DL. 1994. A classification of natural rivers. *Catena* 22: 169–199.

Roxburgh SH, Shea K, Wilson B. 2004. The intermediate disturbance hypothesis: patch dynamics and mechanisms of species coexistence. *Ecology* 85(2): 359–371.

Sampaio MV, Santos MS, Rocha JSM, Paula MD, Mendes AV. 2010. Deterioração físico-conservacionista da sub-bacia hidrográfica do Rio Ibicuí-Mirim, RS. *Ciência e Agrotecnologia* 34(2) 300–306.

Samuel-Rosa A, Miguel P, Dalmolin RSD, Pedron FA. 2011. Uso da terra no Rebordo do

Downloaded From: https://bioone.org/journals/Journal-of-Insect-Science on 28 Mar 2024
Terms of Use: https://bioone.org/terms-of-use

Planalto do Rio Grande do Sul. *Ciência e Natura* 33(1): 161–173.

Sanseverino AM, Nessimian JL. 2001. Hábitats de larvas de Chironomidae (Insecta, Diptera) em riachos de Mata Atlântica no estado do Rio de Janeiro. *Acta Limnologica Brasiliensis* 13: 29–38.

Siegloch AE, Froehlich CF, Kotzian CB. 2008. Composition and diversity of Ephemeroptera Haeckel, 1896 (Insecta) nymph communities in the middle section of the Jacuí River and some tributaries, State of Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil. *Iheringia* 98(4): 425–432.

Simberloff D. 1972. Properties of the rarefaction diversity measurement. *American Naturalist* 106: 414–418.

Siqueira T, Trivinho-Strixino S. 2005. Diversidade de Chironomidae (Diptera) em dois córregos de baixa ordem na região central do Estado de São Paulo, através da coleta de exúvias de pupa. *Revista Brasileira de Entomologia* 49: 531–534.

Sokal RR, Rohlf FJ. 1995. *Biometry*. W.H. Freeman & Company.

Souza-Franco GM, Andrian IF, Franco RM. 2009. Comunidade de insetos aquáticos associados à Eichhornia azurea (Schwartz) Kunth, em uma lagoa de várzea na planície de inundação do Alto Rio Paraná, Mato Grosso do Sul, MS, Brasil. *Biológico* 71(1): 83–91.

Spies MR, Froehlich CG, Kotzian CB. 2006. Composition and diversity of Trichoptera Kirby 1813 (Insecta) larvae communities in Jacuí River middle section and some tributaries, Rio Grande do Sul state, Brazil. *Iheringia, Série Zoologia* 96: 389–398.

Statzner B, Higler B. 1986. Stream hydraulics as a major determinant of benthic invertebrate zonation patterns. *Freshwater Biology* 16: 127–139.

Stenert C, Santos EM, Oliva TD, Maltchik L. 2002. Diversidade de macroinvertebrados em áreas úmidas na bacia do Rio dos Sinos, RS, Brasil. *Acta Biologica Leopoldensia* 24: 157–172.

Strieder MN, Ronchi LH, Stenert C, Scherer RT, Neiss UG. 2006a. Medidas biológicas e índices de qualidade da água de uma microbacia com poluição urbana e de curtumes no sul do Brasil. *Acta Biologica Leopondensia* 28(1): 17–24.

Strieder MN, Santos JE, Vieira EM. 2006b. Distribuição, abundância e diversidade de Simuliidae (Diptera) em uma bacia hidrográfica impactada no sul do Brasil. *Revista Brasileira de Entomologia* 50(1): 119–124.

Sullivan SMP, Watzin MC, Hession WC. 2004. Understanding stream geomorphic state in relation to ecological integrity: evidence using habitat assessments and macroinvertebrates. *Environmental Management* 34(5): 669–683.

Tate CM, Heiny JS. 1995. The ordination of the benthic invertebrates communities in the South Platte River Basin in relation to environmental factors. *Freshwater Biology* 33: 439–454.

Ter Braak CJF, Šmilauer P. 2002. *CANOCO Reference manual and CanoDraw for Windows User's guide: Software for Canonical Community Ordination (version 4.5)*. Microcomputer Power.

Downloaded From: https://bioone.org/journals/Journal-of-Insect-Science on 28 Mar 2024
Terms of Use: https://bioone.org/terms-of-use

Titeux N, Dufrêne M, Jacob JP, Paquay M, Defourny P. 2004. Multivariate analysis of a fine-scale breeding bird atlas using a geographical information system and partial canonical correspondence analysis: environmental and spatial effects. *Journal of Biogeography* 31: 1841–1856.

Tomanova S, Tedesco PA, Campero M, Damme PAV, Moya N, Oberdorff T. 2007. Longitudinal and altitudinal changes of macroinvertebrate functional feeding groups in neotropical streams: a test of the River Continuum Concept. *Archiv für Hydrobiologie* 170(3): 233–241.

Tonn WM. 1990. Climate change and fish communities: a conceptual framework. *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society* 119: 337–352.

Townsend CR, Scarsbrook MR, Doledec S. 1997. The intermediate disturbance hypothesis, refugia, and biodiversity in streams. *Limnology and Oceanography* 42(5): 938–949.

Van den Wollenberg AL. 1977. Redundancy analysis, an alternative for canonical correlation analysis. *Psychometrika* 42: 207–219.

Vannote RL, Minshall GW, Cummins KWL, Sedell JR, Cushing CE. 1980. The River Continuum Concept. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 37: 130–137.

Vischetti C, Cardinali A, Monaci E, Nicelli M, Ferrari F, Trevisan M, Capri E. 2008. Measures to reduce pesticide spray drift in a small aquatic ecosystem in vineyard estate. *Science of Total Environment* 389: 497–502.

Voelz NJ, McArthur JV. 2000. An exploration of factors influencing lotic insect species richness. *Biodiversity and Conservation* 9: 1543–1570.

Vuori KM, Joensuu IL. 1996. Impact of forest drainage on the macro invertebrates of a small boreal headwater stream: do buffer zones protect lotic biodiversity? *Biological Conservation* 77: 86–95.

Wu D, Legg D. 2007. Structures of benthic insect communities in two southeastern Wyoming (USA) streams: similarities and differences among spatial units at different scales. *Hydrobiologia* 579: 279–289.

Yasuno M, Hatakeyama S, Sugaya Y. 1985. Characteristic distribution of Chironomids in the rivers polluted with heavy metals. *Verhandlung Internationale Vereinigung Limnologie* 22(4): 2371–2377.

Downloaded From: https://bioone.org/journals/Journal-of-Insect-Science on 28 Mar 2024
Terms of Use: https://bioone.org/terms-of-use

Table 1. Natural and anthropic environmental characterization of the sampling sites in the Vacacaí-Mirim (VMR, sites 1, 2, 3, and 4) (August 2008), Ibicuí-Mirim (IMR, sites 1, 2, 3, and 4), and Tororaipí (TRR, sites 1, 2, 3, and 4) (August 2009) microbasins, Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil.

Factor	VM1	VM2	VM3	VM4	IM1	IM2	IM3	IM4	TR1	TR2	TR3	TR4
Stream order	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
Altitude (m)	146	102	86	72	161	147	123	134	127	130	100	49
pH	8.1	8.4	8.3	7.9	7.2	7.2	7	7	7.1	7.6	7.3	6.9
EC (µS)	131.3	148.3	383	319.7	45.2	52.5	48	40.9	47.2	37.6	42.2	40.2
DO (mg/L)	10.4	9.7	10.9	4.8	7.3	7.5	6.9	7.6	7.4	9.5	6.7	7.1
Temp (°C)	18.4	18.9	28	23.5	17	18	21	22	18	18	18	18
AqVeg (%)	0	0	1	0	0	1	0.5	0	0.5	0	0	0
CS (%)	70	50	20	30	45	35	25	20	50	40	30	0
RD (%)	30	70	100	90	95	95	95	85	50	70	50	30
Land use (%)	10	40	100	100	90	90	90	85	40	80	70	70
Bank erosion (%)	20	30	100	80	50	80	80	70	20	30	70	80
FSI (%)	10	30	80	60	60	70	70	60	30	30	50	80
Urban (%)	0	10	100	80	0	5	10	15	5	10	15	20

EC: electrical conductivity; DO: dissolved oxygen; Temp: temperature; AqVeg: aquatic vegetation; CS: coarse sediments; RD: riparian deforestation; FSI: fine sediments increase; Urban: urbanization

Table 2. Eigenvalues, taxa-environment correlation coefficients, and cumulative percentage explained by the first four redundancy analysis axes for benthic macroinvertebrate communities of the Vacacaí-Mirim (VMR) (August 2008), Ibicuí-Mirim (IMR), and Tororaipí (TRR) (August 2009) river microbasins and environmental variables, Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil.

Values		Axis 1	Axis 2	Axis 3	Axis 4	Total Variance
Eigenvalues		0.212	0.193	0.124	0.076	1
Taxa-environment correlations		0.985	0.961	0.923	0.885	
Cumulative percentage variance of:	taxa data	21.2	40.4	52.9	60.5	
	taxa-environment relation	32.9	62.8	82.2	94	
Sum of all eigenvalues						1
Sum of all canonical eigenvalues						0.644

Table 3. Redundancy analysis inter-set correlations among the first axes and the environmental variables of the Vacacaí-Mirim (August 2008), Ibicuí-Mirim, and Tororaipí (August 2009) rivers, Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil.

Environmental variables	Axis 1	Axis 2	Axis 3	Axis 4
Altitude	-0.7	-0.36	-0.45	0.29
Electrical conductivity	0.43	-0.73	0.34	-0.19
Land use	0.69	0.063	-0.63	-0.15
Riparian deforestation	0.24	-0.38	-0.69	-0.21
Urbanization	0.77	-0.32	0.1	-0.46

Downloaded From: https://bioone.org/journals/Journal-of-Insect-Science on 28 Mar 2024
Terms of Use: https://bioone.org/terms-of-use