

Phylogenetic Relationships among Worldwide Populations of the Brown Bear Ursus arctos

Authors: Matsuhashi, Tamako, Masuda, Ryuichi, Mano, Tsutomu, Murata, Koichi, and Aiurzaniin, Awirmed

Source: Zoological Science, 18(8): 1137-1143

Published By: Zoological Society of Japan

URL: https://doi.org/10.2108/zsj.18.1137

BioOne Complete (complete.BioOne.org) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at <u>www.bioone.org/terms-of-use</u>.

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non - commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

Phylogenetic Relationships among Worldwide Populations of the Brown Bear Ursus arctos

Tamako Matsuhashi¹, Ryuichi Masuda^{2*}, Tsutomu Mano³, Koichi Murata⁴ and Awirmed Aiurzaniin⁵

 ¹Division of Bioscience, Graduate School of Environmental Earth Science, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060-0810, Japan
 ²Laboratory of Genetic Diversity, Center for Advanced Science and Technology, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060-0810, Japan
 ³Hokkaido Institute of Environmental Sciences, Sapporo 060-0819, Japan
 ⁴College of Bioresource Sciences, Nihon University, Fujisawa 252-8510, Japan
 ⁵Great Gobi National Park, Bayan-Tootoi, Gobi-Altai, Mongolia

ABSTRACT—Previous phylogenetic studies using mitochondrial DNA sequences of the brown bear *Ursus arctos* have separately defined two major lineages in Europe, three in Alaska, and three in Hokkaido Island of Japan. To reconstruct phylogenetic relationships among worldwide populations of the species, nucleotide sequences of the mitochondrial DNA control region and cytochrome *b* were determined for some additional subpopulations of Asia (Gobi and Tibetan), and then all the data including previously reported sequences were compared. The resultant phylogenetic trees showed that the worldwide populations could be grouped into at least five lineages. One of the five lineages had a wide distributional range covering Eurasia, Alaska, and central Hokkaido. Moreover, it is likely that populations from eastern Hokkaido and eastern Alaska are the direct derivatives of a single lineage. These results suggest that brown bears may have widely colonized Eurasia and North America from their original areas somewhere in Eurasia more than once.

Key words: brown bear, Ursus arctos, phylogeny, mitochondrial DNA, migration history

INTRODUCTION

The brown bear Ursus arctos is widespread in Eurasia and North America, and its breeding range is the largest of the seven bear species. In phylogeographic studies of brown bears using mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA), Taberlet and Bouvet (1994) and Kohn et al. (1995) reported a large genetic differentiation among populations of Europe, and found a clear difference between eastern and western European populations. The western European population split into two lineages: Iberian and Balkan groups (Taberlet and Bouvet, 1994), although Kohn et al. (1995) did not recognize any clear difference between those two lineages. In western Europe, brown bear habitats are now highly fragmented through human activity such as hunting and deforestation (Servheen, 1990). In North America, Talbot and Shields (1996b) and Waits et al. (1998) showed that there were at least three major mtDNA lineages in Alaska, which are mutually allopatric. In addition, Talbot

* Corresponding author: Tel. +81-11-706-3541; FAX. +81-11-736-6304. E-mail: masudary@ees.hokudai.ac.jp and Shields (1996b) suggested that the three lineages of Alaska could have diverged in Eurasia prior to their immigration into North America. Meanwhile, the brown bear population of Hokkaido Island of Japan was divided into three lineages, based on phylogenetic analysis of mtDNA control region and cytochrome *b* (Cyt. *b*) (Matsuhashi *et al.*, 1999). The distributional boundaries between the three lineages of Hokkaido were quite obvious in spite of the small area of the island. The estimated divergence time suggested that the three lineages of Hokkaido have diverged prior to immigration from the Eurasian continent.

To reveal phylogenetic relationships among those lineages locally recognized, in the present study, we present reconstructed phylogenetic trees of worldwide brown bear populations based on sequence differences of the mtDNA control region and Cyt. b, combining new data and previously reported data together. Migration history of brown bears in Eurasia and North America is then discussed.

Table 1.	Positions of the nucleotide substitution in the control region	partial sequence (284 bp).

Haplotype -	Positio	n
Taplotype	9 9 3 3 5 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	
Gobi-D	T C C C T T C A T T C C C A C C A C T T A G G C C G — — — — — — — — C T — — – C T — — – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – –	
Pyr	TT . C . G C T . T C . A A	
Gre	T T G T . T	
Ro1	T T T T	
Rus1	T T . C T T	
KD1	T T . C T T T	
HK1-D	TT . C TT G A	
HK2-D	T T . C T T G A	
HK3-D	T T . C T	
HK4-D	T T . C T	
HK5-D	T T T T	
HK6-D	T T C T T G A	
Tibet-D	T T T T C A A	
S. thibetanus	СТТТТСТ.Т GT Т.ТСА.ТТ.ТСССТСТССТТТТ	

A total of 266 nucleotides exclusive of 18 indel sites were used to reconstruct the phylogenetic relationships. Dots indicate identical nucleotides with those of Gobi-D.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The hypervariable 5' end (approximately 270 base pairs, bp) of the mtDNA control region and a partial portion (700 bp) of Cyt. *b* were used for sequence analysis. Hairs of two Tibetan brown bears (Tibet-1 and 2: from Kobe Municipal Oji Zoo, Japan), blood of one Kodiak brown bear (KD1: from Sapporo Maruyama Zoo, Japan), and dried skin tissues of one Gobi brown bear (Gobi-1: from Great Gobi National Park, Mongolia) were used to extract DNA.

The control region sequence of the Kodiak (included in western Alaska) brown bear (KD1) was determined in the present study, while the other brown bear data were quoted from previous reports: four brown bears of Europe (Accession Nos. are as follows: Pyr, X75878; Gre, X75870; Ro1, X75872; Rus1, X75875) (Taberlet and Bouvet, 1994), six brown bears of Hokkaido (Accession Nos.: HK1-D (central Hokkaido), AB013043; HK2-D (central Hokkaido), AB013055; HK3-D (eastern Hokkaido), AB013057; HK4-D (eastern Hokkaido), AB013058; HK5-D (southern Hokkaido), AB013067; HK6-D (southern Hokkaido), AB013058; HK5-D (southern Hokkaido), AB013067; HK6-D (southern Hokkaido), AB013062) (Matsuhashi *et al.*, 1999), the Tibetan brown bear (Tibet-D: Accession No. AB010727)(Masuda *et al.*, 1998) and the Asiatic black bear *Selenarctos thibetanus* as outgroup (Accession No. AB013071)(Matsuhashi *et al.*, 1999).

In the present study, 700 bp of the Cyt. *b* sequences were determined from brown bears of Tibet (Tibet-1 and 2) and Gobi (Gobi-1). Sequence data of six brown bears of Hokkaido (Accession Nos.: HK1 and 2-C (central Hokkaido), AB020905; HK3 and 4-C (eastern Hokkaido), AB020907; HK5 and 6-C (southern Hokkaido), AB020909) and *S. thibetanus* (Accession No. AB020910) were quoted from Matsuhashi *et al.* (1999). The sequences of Alaska (Accession Nos.: GB01 (ABC Islands), UAU18870; GB04 (ABC Islands), UAU18873; GB09 (eastern Alaska), UAU18878; GB10 (eastern Alaska), UAU18879; GB19 (Kodiak), UAU18888; GB27 (eastern Siberia), UAU18896) (Talbot and Shields, 1996b) as well as the polar bear *Ursus maritimus* (Accession No. TMU18898)(Talbot and Shields, 1996b) were quoted from the GenBank database.

Sample tissues were subjected to DNA extraction, polymerase chain reaction (PCR), and sequencing due to methods previously reported by Masuda *et al.* (1998) and Matsuhashi *et al.* (1999). DNA analysis of the Tibetan and Gobi brown bears was performed with a permission of CITES (Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora). Sequences were aligned by using the computer program GeneWorks Ver. 2.5.1 (IntelliGenetics).

Deletions or insertions (indels) found in the mtDNA control region were determined by eye, and excluded from estimation of genetic distance.

Genetic distance was estimated by Kimura's (1980) two-parameter method and the proportion (p)-distance method in MEGA computer program Version 1.01 (Kumar *et al.*, 1993). Phylogenetic trees were reconstructed with the neighbor-joining method (Saitou and Nei, 1987) using MEGA.

RESULTS

The control region phylogeny

There were 42 variable sites out of 266 bp within brown bears (Table 1). All substitutions were transitions except one transversion. Percentage sequence differences are shown in Table 2. Thirteen haplotypes of the mtDNA control region (Table 1) were compared to reconstruct a phylogenetic tree among them (Fig. 1a). The four major clades emerging in the phylogeny were named Groups I-IV in the present study, although Groups I and II were supported with only 67% and 54% bootstrap values, respectively.

European brown bears fell into two different clades: Group I (the western European lineage comprising Pyr and Gre) and Group II (the eastern European lineage comprising Ro1 and Rus1) as reported by Taberlet and Bouvet (1994). We confirmed that the two lineages of Europe arose even when all the haplotypes reported by Taberlet and Bouvet (1994) and by Kohn et al. (1995) were applied to the phylogenetic tree reconstruction (data not shown). Therefore, in the present study, the four brown bears (Pyr, Gre, Ro1, and Rus1) were selected as representatives of the two lineages of the European brown bears. Meanwhile, the brown bears of Hokkaido were divided into three lineages: HK1-D and HK2-D with a 72% bootstrap value in Group II, HK3-D and HK4-D with a 94% bootstrap value in Group III, and HK5-D and HK6-D with a 90% bootstrap value in Group IV, which correspond to Clusters A, B, and C reported by Matsuhashi et al. (1999), respectively, and these three lineages were distributed in central,

97 98 98 1115 1115 1115 1115 1115 1115 111	278 278
- T C G C T A C T G T G C A G A C G A - T A T C G T A G T A	АТ
Τ. ΤΑ. Ο. Τ. Α Α	G.
Τ. ΤΑ. Ο. Τ Τ Ο G Ο	G.
Т. ТА. С. Т. АС А. Т С С С С С С	. C
Т. ТА.С.Т.АСА.ТССGG	. C
Т. ТА. С. Т. АС А. Т С С С	. C
Т. ТА. С. Т. АС А. Т С С С	. C
. — TA.C.T.ACAGCCGG	. C
T A T . A C G A . T C C G	. C
T A T . A C G A C C G A	. C
Т. ТА. С G Т. А С А. Т С. С С G А С.	
Т. ТА. С G Т. А С А. Т С. С С G. С.	
Т. ТАТССТ. АС А. Т СС. ТА. С. С.	
T. TA.C.TCT.AT AGGCG	. C

Table 2. Percentage of pairwise differences of mtDNA control region (266 bp)

	OTU	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
1.	Gobi-D		6.77	5.64	7.52	7.52	7.89	7.89	7.89	6.39	6.39	7.89	7.89	8.65	11.28
2.	PYR			3.38	7.52	6.77	7.14	7.14	7.14	7.14	7.14	7.89	7.89	7.89	10.15
3.	GRE				5.64	5.64	6.02	6.02	6.77	5.26	6.02	6.02	6.02	6.77	10.15
4.	Ro1					0.75	1.13	1.13	1.88	2.63	3.01	3.01	3.38	4.89	10.15
5.	RUS1						0.38	0.38	1.13	1.88	2.63	3.38	3.38	4.89	10.15
6.	KD1							0.75	1.50	2.26	3.01	3.76	3.76	5.26	9.77
7.	HK1-D								0.75	2.26	3.01	3.76	3.76	5.26	10.53
8.	HK2-D									3.01	3.01	4.51	4.51	6.02	10.53
9.	HK3-D										0.75	4.51	4.51	6.02	11.28
10.	HK4-D											4.51	5.26	6.76	11.28
11.	HK5-D												0.75	3.76	12.03
12.	HK6-D													3.76	12.03
13.	Tibet-D														12.78
14.	S.thibetanus														

eastern, and southern Hokkaido, respectively. Two individuals were selected as representatives from each of the three lineages of the Hokkaido brown bears. In the Group II, the brown bears of Europe were not intermixed with the Hokkaido brown bear group (Fig. 1a). The Kodiak brown bear (KD1) was included in the Group II, standing near the eastern European brown bear (Rus1, Fig. 1a). Thus, the Group II consisted of the brown bears of eastern Europe, Kodiak, and central Hokkaido, though the bootstrap value is low (54%). The Group III comprised only eastern Hokkaido brown bears. The Tibetan brown bear was clustered with the southern Hokkaido group (Group IV) with a 91% bootstrap value. The Gobi brown bear (Gobi-D) and the western European group (Group I) formed a cluster with a 50% bootstrap value, which was distantly related to the other brown bears (Fig. 1a).

The Cyt. b phylogeny

Twelve haplotypes of Cyt. *b* (700 bp) obtained from 15 brown bears (six bears from Alaska, six from Hokkaido, one from Gobi, two from Tibet) and one polar bear *Ursus maritimus* (Table 3) were compared by constructing the neighbor-joining phylogenetic tree (Fig. 1b). A total of 54 variable sites (including two transversions) at all codon positions of Cyt. *b* (700 bp) and 37 variable sites at the third codon positions (235 positions) among 12 haplotypes were identified (Table 3). Percentage sequence differences at the third codon positions are shown in Table 4.

Hokkaido brown bears were divided into three lineages: (1) HK1-C and HK2-C (both from central Hokkaido; their sequences were identical in Group Y); (2) HK3-C and HK4-C (both from eastern Hokkaido; sequences were identical in Group Z); (3) HK5-C and HK6-C (both from southern Hokkaido; sequences were identical in Group W), indicating the same phylogenetic relationships among groups as for the control region. Brown bears from Alaska and eastern Siberia were divided into three groups: (1) GB01 and GB04, both from ABC Islands of Alaska (Group X); (2) GB19 from Kodiak and GB27 from eastern Siberia (Group Y); (3) GB09 and GB10, both from eastern Alaska (Group Z). Haplotypes (GB01 and GB04) in Group X were closer to U. maritimus with a 95% bootstrap value. Both Groups Y and Z embraced subpopulations from Alaska and Hokkaido: bears of central Hokkaido (HK1 and 2-C) formed Group Y with Kodiak bears of western Alaska (GB19) and eastern Siberia (GB27) with a 95% bootstrap



Fig. 1. Molecular phylogenetic trees (a, 266 bp of the control region; b, 700 bp of Cyt. *b*) of Alaskan, European, and Hokkaido brown bears using the neighbor-joining method. Gray, open, and closed circles show the haplotypes from Europe, Alaska or eastern Siberia, and Hokkaido, respectively. Numbers on the branches are bootstrap values (%) derived from 1,000 replications, while values under 50% were not shown.

value; bears of eastern Hokkaido (HK3 and 4-C) were included in Group Z together with those of eastern Alaska (GB09 and GB10) with a 89% bootstrap value. Group W (HK5 and 6-C: bears of southern Hokkaido) split from Groups Y and Z. Two bears of Tibet (Tibet-1 and 2) had identical sequences (Tibet-C). Brown bears of Gobi (Gobi-C) and Tibet (Tibet-C) were remote from both Hokkaido and Alaskan populations.

The sequence data of the control region and Cyt. *b* determined in the present study will appear in the DDBJ, EMBL, and GenBank nucleotide sequence databases with the

following accession numbers: the control region sequence of KD1 (AB041258); Cyt. *b* sequences of Tibet-C (AB041259) and Gobi-C (AB041260).

DISCUSSION

Relationships between phylogeny and geographic origins

Each population from Europe, Alaska, and Hokkaido was clearly split into two or three mtDNA lineages (Taberlet and Bouvet, 1994; Kohn *et al.*, 1995; Talbot and Shields, 1996b;

Haplatupa	Position [#]
паріотуре	 438 4474 4453 4454 4454 4454 4454 4454 4454 4455 5537 5537
Gobi-C	С Т А G Т С С G G С А Т С Т С С G С Т А С С С ТАА С Т G Т Т С Т Т Т Т Т Т Т А G G С
Tibet-C	T T C T G T C C . C C G
U. maritinus	T G . T . T C G T C C C . C .
GB01	T G . T . T C T C
GB04	T G . T . T C T C
GB19	. C
GB27	
HK1&2-C	
GB09	
GB10	
HK3&4-C	
HK5&6-C	
S. thibetanus	Т С С А С Т Т А А Т . С Т Т . Т . G . Т Т С G . Т С А С С Т С С С С С . А А Т

Table 3. Substitution sites of the third codon positions in the partial portion of Cyt. b (700 bp).

Positions were counted from the first nucleotide of the Cyt. b (1140 bp). There were 235 codons in 3' side of the Cyt. b (705 bp). Five unidentified positions were excluded from analysis. Dots indicate identical nucleotides with those of Gobi-C.

Waits et al., 1998; Matsuhashi et al., 1999). As shown by Taberlet and Bouvet (1994) and by Kohn et al. (1995), brown bears of western Europe were genetically distant from those of eastern Europe. Brown bears of Alaska were genetically separated in three regions: ABC Islands, western Alaska including Kodiak Island, and eastern Alaska, while the western Alaskan population is very close to the eastern Siberian population (Talbot and Shields, 1996b). In Japan, there were three lineages distributed in eastern, southern, and central Hokkaido (Matsuhashi et al., 1999). The present study suggested that some groups located in distant areas were phylogenetically closer to each other than to geographically neighboring groups. For instance, bears of eastern Europe, Kodiak Island, and central Hokkaido were classified into Group II (Fig. 1a) in the control region phylogenetic tree, though the bootstrap value (54%) is low. On the other hand, bears of western Alaska including Kodiak Island, eastern Siberia, and central Hokkaido were classified into Group Y (Fig. 1b) in the Cyt. b tree. The Cyt. b data of eastern European brown bears were not included because they were unavailable in the present study. These results suggest that Group II (control region tree, Fig. 1a) and Group Y (Cyt. b tree, Fig. 1b) form a common lineage. Brown bears included in this lineage could have been widespread in eastern Europe, Siberia, central Hokkaido, and western Alaska before the final formation of the Bering Strait and the Soya Strait (located between Hokkaido and Sakhalin).

Brown bears of both eastern Alaska and eastern Hokkaido were classified into Group Z (Cyt. *b* tree, Fig. 1b), suggesting that they are also descendent from a common ancestral lineage although they currently inhabit separate and distant areas (Fig. 2).

The control region tree (Fig. 1a) showed that the Tibetan brown bear (Tibet-D) is genetically closer to southern Hokkaido brown bears (Group IV), whereas the Cyt *b* tree (Fig. 1b) did not support it (Tibet-C - Group W). The incongruity between the two phylogenetic trees (Fig. 1a and 1b) might be attrib-

uted to the shortage of the length of the control region sequences. Another possibility is that the substitution rates of the control region and Cyt. *b* may be different from one another. For example, at the control region, the sequence difference (excluding indels) between HK1-D and HK6-D was 3.76% and that between HK6-D and *Selenarctos thibetanus* (outgroup) was 12.03% (Table 2). Meanwhile, at the Cyt. *b* gene (transitions at the third codon positions), the pairwise difference between HK1 and 2-C and HK5 and 6-C was 2.56%, but that between HK5 and 6-C and *S. thibetanus* was 24.79%.

In the Cyt. *b* tree (Fig. 1b) in the present study, the position of *Ursus maritimus* was within the brown bear cluster, forming a sister clade to the population of ABC Islands (Group X), as reported by Talbot and Shields (1996a, b) and Shields and Kocher (1991). Fig. 2 shows the worldwide distribution of groups identified from mtDNA lineages.

Divergence time of the brown bear populations

To reconstruct migration history of brown bear populations, the divergence time was calculated from control region sequence differences (Table 3) by using the sequence divergence rate of the homologous human control region sequence (approximately 8.4% difference per million years, Myr) (Vigilant *et al.*, 1989). Divergence times were as follows: 0.8 Myr between Gobi + Group I and the others; 0.7 Myr between Gobi and Group I (western Europe); 0.5 Myr between Group IV + Tibetan bear and Groups II + III; 0.3 Myr between Groups II and III; and 1.3 Myr between *Ursus arctos* and *Selenarctos thibetanus*.

The divergence time was also calculated from the Cyt. *b* data. Irwin *et al.* (1991) reported a rate of approximately 10%/ Myr for nucleotide substitutions at the third codon positions of Cyt. *b*. Talbot and Shields (1996a) calculated nucleotide substitution rate with fossil records and indicated that transitions at the third codon positions of the ursid Cyt *b* gene occur at an approximately 6% divergence per Myr. Using these two divergence rates and the Cyt *b* data obtained in the

843 844 855 855 855 855 855 891 912 947 947 953 947 953 963 963 963 1014 1014 1035 1068 1083 1083 1083 1083	1113 1116 1119 1122 1134 1137
A C C T T A T T A T T G G A A C T T A T T A T T A C A A T C	ТТАСАА
C G C G	G .
. T C G C C	G G
C G C C	G G
C G C C	G .
C C	. C G G
C C	. C G G
C C	. C G G
T C C C	G G
T C C	G G
T C C	TGG
G C C	G G
C C G . C G C C A A G . T C C . C . C G C C . T G G C .	С. G Т

Downloaded From: https://bioone.org/journals/Zoological-Science on 10 Nov 2024 Terms of Use: https://bioone.org/terms-of-use

Table 4. Percentage of pairwise nucleotide differences at the third codon positions in Cyt. *b*(235 positions/700 bp). Above the diagonal: Both transitions and transversions were counted for calculation of divergence time using the method of Irwin *et al.* (1991); below diagnal: only transitions were counted for estimation of divergence time using the method of Talbot & Shields (1996).

	OTU	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
1.	Gobi-C		6.84	8.12	7.69	7.27	7.69	7.69	7.27	8.55	8.12	8.12	6.41	24.79
2.	Tibet-C	6.84		4.70	5.13	4.70	5.98	5.98	5.56	6.84	6.41	6.41	4.70	24.79
3.	U. maritimus	7.69	4.27		2.14	2.56	5.56	5.56	5.13	6.41	5.98	6.84	4.27	23.93
4.	GB01	7.69	5.13	1.71		0.43	5.98	5.98	5.56	6.84	6.41	7.27	4.70	24.79
5.	GB04	7.27	4.70	2.14	0.43		6.41	6.41	5.98	7.27	6.84	7.69	5.13	24.36
6.	GB19	7.69	5.98	5.13	5.98	6.41		0.85	0.43	2.56	2.14	2.99	2.99	23.93
7.	GB27	7.69	5.98	5.13	5.98	6.41	0.85		0.43	2.56	2.14	2.99	2.99	24.79
8.	HK1&2	7.27	5.56	4.70	5.56	5.98	0.43	0.43		2.14	1.71	2.56	2.56	24.36
9.	GB09	8.12	6.41	5.56	6.41	6.84	2.14	2.14	1.71		0.43	1.28	3.85	23.93
10.	GB10	7.69	5.98	5.13	5.98	6.41	1.71	1.71	1.28	0.43		0.85	3.42	24.36
11.	HK3&4	7.69	5.98	5.98	6.84	7.27	2.56	2.56	2.14	1.28	0.85		4.27	23.50
12.	HK5&6	6.41	4.70	3.85	4.70	5.13	2.99	2.99	2.56	3.42	2.99	3.85		25.21
13.	S. thibetanus	24.36	24.36	23.08	24.36	23.93	23.50	24.36	23.93	23.08	23.50	22.65	24.79	



Fig. 2. Worldwide distribution of eight groups (I-IV, X-W) in brown bear populations.

present study (Table 4), divergence times were estimated as follows (when using the rates of 10%/6% per Myr): 0.8/1.2 Myr between Gobi and others; 0.6/0.9 Myr between Group X + Tibet and Hokkaido + the other Alaskan brown bears; 0.3/ 0.5 Myr between Group W and Groups Y + Z; 0.2/0.3 Myr between Groups Y and Z; 0.2/0.3 Myr between Group X and *U. maritimus*; and 2.4/4.0 Myr between *U. arctos* and *S. thibetanus*.

Mazza and Rustioni (1994) suspected that some brown bears in Asia immigrated into Europe at the end of the Early Pleistocene. Taberlet and Bouvet (1994) suggested that the western and eastern Europe lineages diverged 0.85 Myr ago. Waits *et al.* (1998) estimated 0.11–0.14% divergence (Kimura's two-parameter distance) of the control region per 0.01 Myr, from the data of Talbot and Shields (1996b). Using this divergence rate, Waits *et al.* (1998) estimated the divergence time as follows: 0.146–0.185 Myr between *U. maritimus* and the ABC Island brown bear (the same population as Group X in the present study); 0.245–0.31 Myr between western (Group Y) and eastern Alaskan bears (Group Z); 0.28–0.356

Myr between western Alaskan (Group Y) and Rocky Mountain brown bears; and 0.404–0.515 Myr between eastern Alaskan (Group Z) and Rocky Mountain brown bears. These values were relatively close to our estimates, although we did not examine any sequences of Rocky Mountain brown bears.

From the estimated divergence time, each of the four lineages (Gobi, Group I, Group X, and other groups) classified in the present study are likely to have diverged from the others approximately 0.6-0.9 Myr ago. These ages may relate to the early stage of the evolution of the brown bear. The ancestral lineage of Group II/Group Y could have been distributed in the extensive area ranging from eastern Europe to eastern Siberia, and some populations from this lineage could have crossed the land bridge (Beringia) between Siberia and Alaska and immigrated into North America. Other populations from this lineage could have migrated through Sakhalin to Hokkaido. This presumption does not conflict with Kurten's (1968) report on the basis of the fossil record that the brown bear could have existed in North America at least 0.5 Myr ago. Thus, our results indicate that some minor (probably relict) lineages of mtDNA likely occur in western Europe, ABC Islands of Alaska, Gobi of Mongolia, Tibet, and Hokkaido (Fig. 2), which are considered to be refugia of brown bears during the last glacial age of Pleistocene. Further studies involving more data of the same DNA sequence regions on worldwide brown bear populations will more fully illustrate their origin and migration history.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Y. Nishine and K. Kitagawa (Sapporo Maruyama Zoo) for supplying specimens and H. Wakamatsu (Nippon Hoso Kyokai) and Y. Kakimoto (Meridian) for helpful support. We are also grateful to Dr. S. Ohdachi (Hokkaido University) for critical comments on the manuscript. We also thank anonymous reviewers for helpful comments. This study was supported in part by Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research from the Ministry of Education, Science, Sports, and Culture, Japan, and a grant from the Heiwa Nakajima Foundation to R.M.

REFERENCES

- Irwin DM, Kocher TD, Wilson AC (1991) Evolution of cytochrome b gene of mammals. J Mol Evol 32: 128–144
- Kimura M (1980) A simple method for estimating evolutionary rates of base substitutions through comparative studies of nucleotide sequences. J Mol Evol 16: 111–120
- Kohn M, Knauer F, Soffella A, Schröder W, Pääbo S (1995) Conservation genetics of the European brown bear: A study using excremental PCR of nuclear and mitochondrial sequences. Mol Ecol 4: 95–103
- Kumar S, Tamura K, Nei M (1993) MEGA: Molecular Evolutionary Genetic Analysis. Ver.1.01. Pennsylvania State University, University Park
- Kurten B (1968) Pleistocene Mammals of Europe. Weidenfeld and Nicolson, London
- Masuda R, Murata K, Aiurzaniin A, Yoshida MC (1998) Phylogenetic status of brown bears *Ursus arctos* of Asia: A preliminary result inferred from mitochondrial DNA control region sequences. Hereditas 128: 277–280
- Matsuhashi T, Masuda R, Mano T, Yoshida MC (1999) Microevolution of the mitochondrial DNA control region in the Japanese brown bear (*Ursus arctos*) population. Mol Biol Evol 16: 676– 684
- Mazza P, Rustioni M (1994) On the phylogeny of Eurasian bears. Palaeontographica Abt A 230: 1–38
- Saitou N, Nei M (1987) The neighbor-joining method: A new method for reconstructing phylogenetic trees. Mol Biol Evol 4: 406–425
- Servheen C (1990) The status and conservation of the bears of the world. Eighth International Conference on Bear Research and Management Monograph Series No. 2, 32 pp
- Shields GF, Kocher TD (1991) Phylogenetic relationships of North American ursids based on analysis of mitochondrial DNA. Evolution 45: 218–221
- Taberlet P, Bouvet J (1994) Mitochondrial DNA polymorphism, phylogeography, and conservation genetics of the brown bear *Ursus arctos* in Europe. Proc R Soc London B 255: 195–200
- Talbot SL, Shields GF (1996a) A phylogeny of bears (Ursidae) inferred from complete sequences of three mitochondrial genes. Mol Phylogenet Evol 5: 567–575
- Talbot SL, Shields GF (1996b) Phylogeography of brown bears (*Ursus arctos*) of Alaska and paraphyly within the Ursidae. Mol Phylogenet Evol 5: 477–494
- Vigilant L, Pennington R, Harpending H, Kocher TD (1989) Mitochondrial DNA sequence in single hairs from a southern African population. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 86: 9350–9354
- Waits L, Talbot SL, Ward RH, Shields GF (1998) Mitochondrial DNA phylogeography of the North American brown bear and implications for conservation. Conserv Biol 12: 408–417

(Received April 13, 2000 / Accepted July 31, 2001)