

Special Issue: a few steps back, several steps forward

Author: Kok, Philippe J.R.

Source: Journal of Vertebrate Biology, 72(E2303)

Published By: Institute of Vertebrate Biology, Czech Academy of Sciences

URL: <https://doi.org/10.25225/jvb.E2303>

BioOne Complete (complete.BioOne.org) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at www.bioone.org/terms-of-use.

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non-commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

Special Issue: a few steps back, several steps forward

Philippe J.R. KOK 

Department of Ecology and Vertebrate Zoology, Faculty of Biology and Environmental Protection, University of Łódź, Łódź, Poland; e-mail: philippe.kok@biol.uni.lodz.pl

The aim of this Special Issue of the *Journal of Vertebrate Biology* was to bring together a collection of papers focusing on the diversity, taxonomy, phylogeny, evolution or ecology of the Neotropical herpetofauna. All papers submitted dealt with species diversity, and four out of six describe new species, highlighting the hidden species richness in the region (e.g. Vacher et al. 2020, Torres-Carvajal & Terán 2021, Jowers et al. 2023). Most authors used an integrative approach, often based on a molecular perspective.

The Neotropical realm – including the Mexican and Andean transition zone – is one of the eight terrestrial biogeographical regions (Morrone 2014) and has by far the world's highest vertebrate richness and endemism (e.g. Millennium Ecosystem Assessment 2005, Raven et al. 2020, Antonelli 2022). The Neotropics cover most of South America, Central America, southern and central Mexico, and the Antilles (Morrone 2014) and include seven of the 25 world's biodiversity 'hotspots' proposed by Myers et al. (2000). The region harbours an impressive array of habitats and ecosystems, from lush tropical jungles and arid savannahs to rugged mountains and highly isolated barren plateaus, inspiring fiction writers and filmmakers for decades. As everywhere else on this planet, this Eden is threatened by human-induced habitat and climate modifications, which are identified as significant threats to amphibians (e.g. Luedtke et al. 2023) and reptiles (e.g. Caetano et al. 2022, Cox et al. 2022). Large and small-scale deforestation and mining (and associated pollution issues) proceed at alarming rates (e.g. Laurance et al. 2004, Kalamandeen et al. 2018, Stachowicz et al. 2023, Pisconte et al. 2024). Beyond the physical threats to the region and its fauna, the taxonomic stability of the Neotropical herpetofauna is occasionally

jeopardised (see recent examples that extend outside the specific area, e.g. Ceriaco et al. 2023 and Jablonski & Dufresnes 2024). This situation arises when some scientists ignore the International Code of Zoological Nomenclature (which rules the scientific naming of animals; ICZN 1999), either for their benefit or to fulfil some dubious political agenda.

A recent example worth noting, since it pertains to an iconic Neotropical reptile, is the paper by Rivas et al. (2024) 'describing' a new species of anaconda, one of the largest extant snakes. Unfortunately, this paper is a digest of bad taxonomic practice (see Moore et al. 2014 and Wood et al. 2020 about 'taxonomic vandalism')*. In brief, among other methodological problems (i.e. the exclusion of molecular data that do not fit the authors' ideas), Rivas et al. (2024) decided not to provide any formal description of the new species, which they report to be solely identified by unspecified molecular characters. They also voluntarily ignore potential published synonyms (i.e. available names for the species), arguing that the Code is based on 'Western science' and that a much older name 'akayima' has been in use by locals for 'perhaps even thousands of years'; therefore, according to the authors, that ancient name (unpublished, so unavailable according to the Code) is a senior synonym and should be adopted. Their actions, at best, violate Article 13 of the Code (ICZN 1999). In a contradictory twist, however, Rivas et al. (2024) still consider themselves the discoverers/authors of the species (as mentioned in their paper, but also widely advertised in online media) rather than crediting authorship to local tribes as their (misguided) decision would logically imply; it seems their capacity for recognising ancestral knowledge has limits. The main problem with this kind of paper is that it

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Licence (CC BY 4.0), which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium provided the original work is properly cited.

can damage the public's perception of Science and threaten nomenclatural stability, risking taxonomic chaos (Moore et al. 2014, Wood et al. 2020). Perhaps more disturbing is the fact that often substantial publication fees – in this particular case, paid to a publisher that has been termed 'predatory' (Oviedo-García 2021; but see also Richtig et al. 2018, Chandra & Dasgupta 2024, Wilson 2024 for details) – allows any author to publish papers of questionable value, exceptionally rapidly, and possibly without proper peer-review. Thankfully, despite these developments, there are still scientists who meticulously identify, compare and describe the many species that are still scientifically unknown, naming them according to a universal convention before they disappear and alerting the general public and conservationists to their existence. For them, a tiny brown frog is no less valuable than a giant green snake. Because of their highly remote habitats, some of the new species described in this Special Issue, like *Pristimantis kalamandeenae* and *Stefania lathropae* (see full issue for details), have likely been seen alive by only a handful of people. These efforts require painstaking work, which is often only poorly rewarded (see Engel et al. 2021, who provided an excellent Editorial on that matter).

This Special Issue comprises six papers from 21 authors based in nine countries (Belgium, Brazil, Canada, Ecuador, Mexico, Peru, Poland, the UK and the USA). Papers cover diverse Neotropical regions, from the rainforests of Brazil and Guatemala to the highlands of the Andes (up to 2,045 m elevation) and Pantepui (up to 2,419 m elevation). Four papers describe a total of seven new species, five frogs (four in the megadiverse *Pristimantis* genus) and two lizards, while two papers synonymise five species,

four of them based on molecular evidence, one based solely on morphology (see the full issue). Although synonymising four species of *Craugastor*, Streicher and colleagues highlight that their molecular data support multiple undescribed species in that genus. The authors discuss how morphology-based species delimitation might be misleading, both underestimating and overestimating species richness in that group. This finding represents an interesting point. In the Western world, we sometimes tend to forget that some of the tools and techniques we use to characterise taxa with an integrative approach (the so-called 'integrative taxonomy', see Cao et al. 2016, Padial et al. 2009, 2010, Pante et al. 2015) are not always readily available to everyone. Sequencing and μ CT-scanning, although increasingly affordable, remain too expensive and often inaccessible to financially less secure colleagues. With the advent of molecular biology and integrative taxonomy, it has become harder to publish species descriptions based solely on morphology. I personally recall describing two new lizard genera based on a single specimen outside any molecular phylogenetic context. It is probably a heresy today, but if we want to tackle the taxonomic impediment (e.g. Vinarski 2020), we might take that risk (which we did in this Special Issue with a paper by Melo-Sampaio) when the author is a recognised expert on the topic and backed-up by proper expert peer-review.

Acknowledgements

I take the opportunity to thank the reviewers of the papers included in this Special Issue for their time and insightful comments, which helped to improve all the contributions. I also thank colleagues who submitted some of their high-quality work for this Special Issue.

* Note added in press: While this Editorial was in production, two papers appeared formally tackling the nomenclatural issues briefly discussed here (Dubois et al. 2024, Vásquez-Restrepo et al. 2024).

Literature

- Antonelli A. 2022: The rise and fall of Neotropical biodiversity. *Bot. J. Linn. Soc.* 199: 8–24.
- Caetano G.H.O., Chapple D.G., Grenyer R. et al. 2022: Automated assessment reveals that the extinction risk of reptiles is widely underestimated across space and phylogeny. *PLOS Biol.* 20: e3001544.
- Cao X., Liu J., Chen J. et al. 2016: Rapid dissemination of taxonomic discoveries based on DNA barcoding and morphology. *Sci. Rep.* 6: 37066.
- Ceríaco L.M., Aescht E., Ahyong S.T. et al. 2023: Renaming taxa on ethical grounds threatens nomenclatural stability and scientific communication: communication from the International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature. *Zool. J. Linn. Soc.* 197: 283–286.
- Chandra A. & Dasgupta S. 2024: Predatory journals: what the researchers and authors should know. *Am. J. Med.*: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amjmed.2024.02.015>.
- Cox N., Young B.E., Bowles P. et al. 2022: A global reptile assessment highlights shared conservation needs of tetrapods. *Nature* 605: 285–290.
- Dubois A., Denzer W., Entiauspe-Neto O.M. et al. 2024: Nomenclatural problems raised by the recent description of a new anaconda species (Squamata, Serpentes, Boidae), with a nomenclatural review of the genus *Eunectes*. *Bionomina* 37: 8–58.
- Engel M.S., Ceríaco L.M., Daniel G.M. et al. 2021: The taxonomic impediment: a shortage of taxonomists, not the lack of technical approaches. *Zool. J. Linn. Soc.* 193: 381–387.
- ICZN 1999: International Code of Zoological Nomenclature, 4th ed. *International Trust for Zoological Nomenclature London, UK*. <https://www.iczn.org/the-code/the-international-code-of-zoological-nomenclature/>
- Jablonski D. & Dufresnes C. 2024: Nomenclatural censorship puts biodiversity conservation and taxonomic science at risk. *Alytes* 41: 1–4.
- Jowers M.J., Smart U., Sánchez-Ramírez S. et al. 2023: Unveiling underestimated species diversity within the Central American Coralsnake, a medically important complex of venomous taxa. *Sci. Rep.* 13: 11674.
- Kalamandeen M., Gloor E., Mitchard E. et al. 2018: Pervasive rise of small-scale deforestation in Amazonia. *Sci. Rep.* 8: 1600.
- Laurance W.F., Albernaz A.K.L.M., Fearnside P.M. et al. 2004: Deforestation in Amazonia. *Science* 304: 1109–1111.
- Luedtke J.A., Chanson J., Neam K. et al. 2023: Ongoing declines for the world's amphibians in the face of emerging threats. *Nature* 622: 308–314.
- Millennium Ecosystem Assessment 2005: Ecosystems and human well-being: synthesis. *Island Press, Washington DC, USA*.
- Moore M., Jameson M.E. & Paucar-Cabrera A. 2014: Taxonomic vandalism is an emerging problem for biodiversity science: a case study in the *Rutelini* (Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae: Rutelinae). *Conference: Entomological Society of America Annual Meeting, Portland, Oregon, USA*.
- Morrone J.J. 2014: Biogeographical regionalisation of the Neotropical region. *Zootaxa* 3782: 1–110.
- Myers N., Mittermeier R.A., Mittermeier C.G. et al. 2000: Biodiversity hotspots for conservation priorities. *Nature* 403: 853–858.
- Oviedo-García M.Á. 2021: Journal citation reports and the definition of a predatory journal: the case of the Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute (MDPI). *Res. Eval.* 30: 405–419.
- Padial J.M., Castroviejo-Fisher S., Köhler J. et al. 2009: Deciphering the products of evolution at the species level: the need for an integrative taxonomy. *Zool. Scr.* 38: 431–447.
- Padial J.M., Miralles A., De la Riva I. & Vences M. 2010: The integrative future of taxonomy. *Front. Zool.* 7: 16.
- Pante E., Schoelinck C. & Puillandre N. 2015: From integrative taxonomy to species description: one step beyond. *Syst. Biol.* 64: 152–160.
- Pisconte J.N., Vega C.M., Sayers C.J. et al. 2024: Elevated mercury exposure in bird communities inhabiting Artisanal and Small-Scale Gold Mining landscapes of the southeastern Peruvian Amazon. *Ecotoxicology*: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10646-024-02740-4>.
- Raven P.H., Gereau R.E., Phillipson P.B. et al. 2020: The distribution of biodiversity richness in the tropics. *Sci. Adv.* 6: eabc6228.
- Richtig G., Berger M., Lange-Asschenfeldt B. et al. 2018: Problems and challenges of predatory journals. *J. Eur. Acad. Dermatol. Venereol.* 32: 1441–1449.
- Rivas J.A., De La Quintana P., Mancuso M. et al. 2024: Disentangling the anacondas: revealing a new green species and rethinking yellows. *Diversity* 16: 127.
- Stachowicz I., Morón Zambrano V., Giordano A.J. et al. 2023: Venezuela's harmful mining activities grow. *Science* 380: 699–699.
- Torres-Carvajal O. & Terán C. 2021: Molecular phylogeny of parrot snakes (Serpentes: Colubrinae: *Leptophis*) supports underestimated

- species richness. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* 164: 107267.
- Vacher J., Chave J., Ficetola F.G. et al. 2020: Large-scale DNA-based survey of frogs in Amazonia suggests a vast underestimation of species richness and endemism. *J. Biogeogr.* 47: 1781–1791.
- Vásquez-Restrepo J.D., Alfonso-Rojas A. & Palacios-Aguilar R. 2024: On the validity of the recently described northern green anaconda *Eunectes akayima* (Squamata, Serpentes). *Bionomina* 37: 1–7.
- Vinarski M.V. 2020: Roots of the taxonomic impediment: is the ‘integrativeness’ a remedy? *Integr. Zool.* 15: 2–15.
- Wilson N. 2024: Predatory journals. *BioScience* 2024 74: 6–11.
- Wood P.L., Jr., Guo X., Travers S.L. et al. 2020: Parachute geckos free fall into synonymy: *Gekko* phylogeny, and a new subgeneric classification, inferred from thousands of ultraconserved elements. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* 146: 106731.