

Dogs and People: Exploring the Human-Dog Connection

Authors: Cunningham-Smith, Petra, and Emery, Kitty Source: Journal of Ethnobiology, 40(4) : 409-413 Published By: Society of Ethnobiology URL: https://doi.org/10.2993/0278-0771-40.4.409

BioOne Complete (complete.BioOne.org) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at <u>www.bioone.org/terms-of-use</u>.

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non - commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

Dogs and People: Exploring the Human-Dog Connection

Petra Cunningham-Smith^{1*} and Kitty Emery¹

The domestic dog, Canis lupus familiaris, is regarded as humankind's earliest companion and domesticate. While the exact origin of the earliest dogs remains unclear (Larson et al. 2012), dogs and humans have lived together for many thousands of years. Recent genetic studies suggest the domestic dog comes from at least one lineage of the gray wolf, whose behavior and morphology were modified through persistent exposure to humans (Vonholdt and Driscoll 2017). Because the bond between human and dog has been strong and enduring throughout centuries, the roles of dogs in human societies are an excellent source of study for archaeologists, biologists, and ethnobiologists. The influence of humans on the evolution of dog morphology and behavior is easily observed; more subtle, but no less important, is the integration of the dog into human society as guardian, companion, and working partner.

Along the way, dogs participated in human society in an astonishing variety of roles: as hunting guides, beasts of burden, guardians, sentinels, and companions. Dogs have been used as commodities, providing meat for food (Losey et al. 2018; Wing 1978), skins and hair for garments (Schulting 1994), and bones and teeth for crafting and jewelry (Emery 2008). Dogs have served as ritual actors and sacrificial offerings (Emery et al. 2013; Morey 2006). In ancient Mesoamerica, they were described in origin myths and depicted in iconography as traveling with their human masters through life as companions, accompanying them to the grave (Cunningham-Smith et al. 2020; Tozzer 1941), and guiding their spirits after death into the next life (Wing 2013). Humans have embraced the many roles that dogs have played, intentionally and unintentionally shaping the dogs' form and behavior to fit these many roles, and thereby creating hundreds of breeds with thousands of physical characteristics. As a result, while all dogs are the same sub-species, the variations in phenotype and morphology among and even within breeds are incredibly diverse, and dogs exhibit phenotypic variability greater than that of any other mammal (Spady and Ostrander 2008). Ancient civilizations used dogs for different tasks, such as hunting, herding, guarding property, carrying burdens, and companionship (Coppinger and Coppinger 2002), and selective breeding has produced a wide variety of traits that complemented these tasks (Kim et al. 2018; Larson et al. 2012). Dog breeds vary considerably in size, morphology, and behavior; even a single trait can have many variations depending on selective breeding. Consider that the hair of the dog can be long or short, straight or curly, a single color or many colors, soft or coarse, or the dog may be naked except for small patches here and there, all as a result of selective breeding (Łapiński et al. 2014; Parker et al. 2017).

Dogs may have been shaped by humans to fit the roles required of them, but they have not been passive participants in the human-canine relationship. Dogs themselves are non-human social actors with lives of their own. They are individuals with complex behavior patterns and

¹ Florida Museum of Natural History, Environmental Archaeology and Department of Anthropology, University of Florida.

^{*} Corresponding author (pcunninghamsmith@ufl.edu)

biological and emotional needs (Cooper et al. 2003). As diverse individuals, they interact with humans, with each other, and with the various domesticated and wild animals that populate their environments in complicated ways. Dogs are incredibly responsive to social cues provided by humans (Hare et al. 2002; Merola et al. 2012; Nagasawa et al. 2015; Reidel et al. 2008) and research indicates that many dogs prefer human interaction to a food reward (Cook et al. 2016).

In this special issue, the authors look at the relationships between humans and their dogs in a variety of contexts and settings, including examples from the Carpathian Mountains of Romania to the tropical jungles of Mexico, and from the ancient Pacific Northwest to modern Madagascar. These papers explore the ways in which dogs and humans interact with one another, how dogs contribute to varied societies, and what we can learn about those communities. Dogs are represented here as vital partners at work and at leisure, and as integral members of the community. These studies show the intricate and holistic integration of dogs into human culture and highlight the unique aspects of the human-dog relationship.

Recent investigations on the evolution of the connection between dogs and humans have centered on coevolutionary strategies that emphasize cooperative hunting and foraging (Pierotti and Fogg 2017; Shipman 2015; Sykes 2019). To tease out the intricate variables associated with coevolutionary theory, Chambers and her colleagues (2020) use data from multiple cultures and ethnographers to evaluate various hypotheses for dog-human coevolution. Their work illustrates how the development of the dog-human bond was influenced not only by cooperative hunting strategies, but also by a host of other variables, including ecological constraints and gendered division of labor.

When archaeological context and the zooarchaeological examination of canid

remains are combined with ethnographic analysis, it is possible to explore the role of dogs in a single community across time. Anza-Burgess, Lepofsky, and Yang (2020) combine genetic and morphometric data from archaeological contexts with local knowledge and ethnographic interviews to describe the role of dogs among the Northern Coast Salish Tla'amin people. Dogs have a long and continuous history in the Tla'amin territory and, although their roles have changed across time, their cultural importance to the Tla'amin people continues. Anza-Burgess and her colleagues ethnographic combine genetics with inquiry about modern hunting, training, and husbandry practices to document the historical importance of dogs to the people of this community.

Hunting is perhaps one of the oldest and most continuous uses for dogs and one of the most cited in the literature (Lupo 2017; Perri 2019; Shipman 2015). Although archaeological evidence is scarce, dogs are often viewed as the earliest example of animal biotechnology and are often formidable companions when used in hunting (Nobayashi 2006; Perri 2019). As such, they can enhance the social standing and prestige of their owners. Plata and Montiel (2020) examine the role of hunting dogs in the rural areas of the Yucatan Peninsula among Maya subsistence hunters and *milpa* farmers. Through data analysis and participant observation, Plata and Montiel document the versatility and utility of different types of Maya hunting dogs. Because hunting in the Yucatan is a social affair where men hunt together as a group, successful hunting dogs can enhance the standing of their owner and contribute to social and economic well-being of the community.

With the advent of pastoralism during the Neolithic period, dogs developed a new role as livestock shepherds and guardians (Coppinger and Coppinger 2002). Perhaps nowhere is the human-dog bond expressed in such strong visual and acoustic communication as between shepherd, dog, and sheep (McConnell and Baylis 1985). Dogs have maintained this role into modern times in areas where pastoralism is still practiced and, today, there is increased interest in the development of livestock guardian dogs (LGDs) in association with livestock and wildlife management throughout the world. In this issue, Ivaşcu and Biro (2020) use ethnography and participant observation to explore the use of LGDs in the Carpathian Mountains of Romania. Through close study and communication with the dogs, Carpathian herders can keep their livestock free from predation by wolves and bears. Ivascu and Biro demonstrate that the close association between dogs and herders has benefited the livestock, the dogs, and the shepherds, and has prevented the extinction of some of the area's last large predators.

Most of these papers explore the ways in which dogs contribute to the social and economic well-being of their communities. Yet dogs may also be a detriment in some environments. Kshirsagar and colleagues (2020) document human-dog relationships in the ecologically fragile national parks on the island of Madagascar. While dogs are valued locally for companionship and protection, free-roaming dogs have had a negative impact on local wildlife. Kshirsagar and colleagues found that dogs have a complicated and sometimes conflicting role in Malagasy culture and daily life. Through the exploration of the role of dogs in the local community, the responsibilities of the owner toward the dog, and the burgeoning conservation issues, Kshirsagar and his colleagues hope to develop management initiatives that will benefit both the dogs and the local wildlife, as well as provide services to the dog owners in the community.

All the examples gathered for this issue reveal the variation and complexity of the bond between dogs and their people. It is through the study of these affiliations that we can truly appreciate the depth and longevity of the human-dog association. Where there are dogs, there will be humans and where humans are, dogs will be close by. It is this continuous connection, ancient yet also contemporary, that entwines the lives of dogs with humans, and makes these relationships infinitely valuable when studying human culture.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the *Journal* of *Ethnobiology* for proposing this special issue, and editors Dana Lepofsky and Rob Quinlan for their help in bringing it to culmination. We are grateful to all the contributors and reviewers who collaborated for this issue and thank them for their hard work during the review process. Special thanks to Jessica King for helpful comments and assistance.

References Cited

- Anza-Burgess, K., D. Lepofsky, and D. Yang. 2020. "A Part of the People": Human-Dog Relationships Among the Northern Coast Salish of SW British Columbia. *Journal of Ethnobiology* 40:434–450.
- Chambers, J., M. B. Quinlan, A. Evans, and R. J. Quinlan. 2020. Dog-Human Coevolution: Cross-Cultural Analysis of Multiple Hypotheses. *Journal of Ethnobiology* 40:414-433.
- Cook, P. F., A. Prichard, M. Spivak, and G. S. Berns. 2016. Awake Canine fMRI Predicts Dogs' Preference for Praise vs Food. *Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience* 11:1853– 1862.
- Cooper, J. J., C. Ashton, S. Bishop, R. West, D. S. Mills, and R. J. Young. 2003. Clever Hounds: Social Cognition in the Domestic Dog (*Canis familiaris*). Applied Animal Behaviour Science 81:229–244.
- Coppinger R., and L. Coppinger. 2002. *Dogs: A New Understanding of Canine Origin, Behavior and Evolution.* The University of Chicago Press, Chicago, IL.
- Cunningham-Smith, P., A. E. Sharpe, A. Boileau, E. K. Thornton, and K. F. Emery. 2020. Food, Friend, or Offering: Exploring the Role of Maya Dogs in the Zooarchaeological Record. In *Her Cup for Sweet Cacao:*

The Social Uses of Food in Ancient Maya Society, edited by T. Arden, pp. 161–187. University of Texas Press, Austin, TX.

- Emery, K. F. 2008. Techniques of Ancient Maya Bone Working: Evidence from a Classic Maya Deposit. *Latin American Antiquity* 19:204–221.
- Emery, K. F., E. K. Thornton, N. R. Cannarozzi, S. Houston, and H. Escobedo. 2013. Archaeological Animals of the Southern Maya Highlands: Zooarchaeology of Kaminaljuyu. In *The Archaeology of Mesoamerican Animals*, edited by C. Gotz and K. Emery, pp. 381–416. Lockwood Press, Atlanta, GA.
- Hare, B., M. Brown, C. Williamson, and M. Tomasello. 2002. The Domestication of Social Cognition in Dogs. *Science* 298:1634– 1636.
- Ivaşcu, C. M., and A. Biro. 2020. Coexistence through the Ages: The Role of Native Livestock Guardian Dogs and Traditional Ecological Knowledge as Key Resources in Conflict Mitigation between Pastoralists and Large Carnivores in the Romanian Carpathians. *Journal of Ethnobiology* 40:465-482.
- Kim, J., F. J. Williams, D. L. Dreger, J. Plassais, B. W. Davis, H. G. Parker, and E. A. Ostrander. 2018. Genetic Selection of Athletic Success in Sport-Hunting Dogs. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 115:7212– 7221.
- Kshirsagar, A. R., J. W. Applebaum, Z. Randriana, T. Rajaonarivelo, R. R. Rafaliarison, Z. J. Farris, and K. Valenta. 2020. Human-Dog Relationships across Communities Surrounding Ranomafana and Andasibe-Mantadia National Parks, Madagascar. *Journal of Ethnobiology* 40:483-498.
- Łapiński, S., I. Guja, and A. Bendik. 2014. Morphometric Characteristics of the Hair Coat of Ancient Dog Breeds. Scientific Annals of Polish Society of Animal Production 10:17–24.
- Larson, G., E. K. Karlsson, A. Perri, M. T. Webster, S. Y. W. Ho, J. Peters, P. W. Stahl, P. J. Piper, F. Lingaas, M. Fredhold, and K. E. Comstock. 2012. Rethinking Dog Domestication by Integrating Genetics, Archeology, and Biogeography. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 109:8878– 8883.

- Losey, R. J., T. Nomokonova, L. S. Fleming, A. V. Kharinskii, E. V. Kovychev, M. V. Konstantinov, G. D. Natal'ia, M. V. Sablin, and L. G. Iaroslavtseva. 2018. Buried, Eaten, Sacrificed: Archaeological Dog Remains from Trans-Baikal, Siberia. Archaeological Research in Asia 16:58–65.
- Lupo, K. D. 2017. When and Where Do Dogs Improve Hunting Productivity? *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology* 47:139–151.
- McConnell, P. B., and J. R. Baylis. 1985. Interspecific Communication in Cooperative Herding: Acoustic and Visual Signals from Human Shepherds and Herding Dogs. *Zeitschrift für Tierpsychologie* 67:302–328.
- Merola, I., E. Prato-Previde, and S. Marshall-Pescini. 2012. Social Referencing in Dog-Owner Dyads. *Animal Cognition* 15: 175–185.
- Morey, D. F. 2006. Burying Key Evidence: The Social Bond Between Dogs and People. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 33:158– 175.
- Nagasawa, M., S. Mitsui, S. En, N. Ohtani, M. Ohta, Y. Sakuma, T. Onaka, K. Mogi, and T. Kikusui. 2015. Oxytocin-Gaze Positive Loop and the Coevolution of Human-Dog Bonds. *Science* 348:333–336.
- Nobayashi, A. 2006. An Ethnoarcheological Study of Chase Hunting with Gundogs by the Aboriginal Peoples of Taiwan. In *Dogs* and People in Social, Working, Economic or Symbolic Interaction (Proceedings of the 9th ICAZ Conference), edited by L. M. Snyder and E. A. Moore, pp. 77–84. Oxbow Books, Oxford, UK.
- Parker, H. G., A. Harris, D. L. Dreger, B. W. Davis, and E. A. Ostrander. 2017. The Bald and the Beautiful: Hairlessness in Domestic Dog Breeds. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 372:20150488.
- Perri, A. R., 2019. Prehistoric Dogs as Hunting Weapons: The Advent of Animal Biotechnology. In *Dogs: Archaeology Beyond Domestication*, edited by B. Bethke and A. Burtt, pp. 7–44. University Press of Florida, Gainesville, FL.
- Pierotti, R., and B. R. Fogg. 2017. *The First Domestication*. Yale University Press, New Haven, CT.

- Plata, E., and S. Montiel. 2020. Human-Dog Bond in the Contemporary Mayab: Social Perceptions and Benefits Associated with the Hunter-*Milpa* Dog in Maya Peasant-Hunter Life Strategies in Yucatan, Mexico. 2020. *Journal of Ethnobiology* 40:451-464.
- Riedel, J., K. Schumann, J. Kaminski, J. Call, and M. Tomasello. 2008. The Early Ontogeny of Human–Dog Communication. *Animal Behaviour* 75:1003–1014.
- Schulting, R. 1994. The Hair of the Dog: The Identification of a Coast Salish Dog-Hair Blanket from Yale, British Columbia. *Canadian Journal of Archaeology/Journal Canadien Archéologie* 18:57–76.
- Shipman, P. 2015. *The Invaders*. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA.
- Spady, T. C., and E. A. Ostrander. 2008. Canine Behavioral Genetics: Pointing Out the Phenotypes and Herding Up the Genes. *The American Journal of Human Genetics* 82:10–18.

- Sykes, B. 2019. Once a Wolf. W.W. Norton Co, New York, NY.
- Tozzer, A. M., ed. 1941. *Landa's Relacion de las Cosas de Yucatan: A Translation*. Peabody Museum of American Archaeology and Ethnology, Harvard University Press, Boston, MA.
- Vonholdt, B., and C. A. Driscoll. 2017. Origins of the Dog: Genetic Insights into Dog Domestication. *The Domestic Dog: Its Evolution, Behavior and Interactions with People,* edited by J. Serpell, pp. 22–41. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.
- Wing, E. S. 1978. Use of Dogs for Food: An Adaptation to the Coastal Environment. In Prehistoric Coastal Adaptations: The Economy and Ecology of Maritime Middle America, edited by B. L. Stark and B. Voorhees, pp. 29–41. Academic Press Inc, New York, NY.
- Wing, E. S. 2013. Dog Remains from Marismas Nacionales. In *The Archaeology of Mesoamerican Animals*, edited by C. Gotz and K. Emery, pp. 23–48. Lockwood Press, Atlanta, GA.