

# **Spatiotemporal Signals and Palaeoenvironments of Endemic Molluscan Assemblages in the Marine System of the Sarmatian Paratethys**

Authors: Lukeneder, Susanne, Zuschin, Martin, Harzhauser, Mathias, and Mandic, Oleg

Source: Acta Palaeontologica Polonica, 56(4) : 767-784

Published By: Institute of Paleobiology, Polish Academy of Sciences

URL: https://doi.org/10.4202/app.2010.0046

BioOne Complete (complete.BioOne.org) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at www.bioone.org/terms-of-use.

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non - commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

# Spatiotemporal signals and palaeoenvironments of endemic molluscan assemblages in the marine system of the Sarmatian Paratethys

#### SUSANNE LUKENEDER, MARTIN ZUSCHIN, MATHIAS HARZHAUSER, and OLEG MANDIC



Lukeneder, S., Zuschin, M., Harzhauser, M., and Mandic, O. 2011. Spatiotemporal signals and palaeoenvironments of endemic molluscan assemblages in the marine system of the Sarmatian Paratethys. *Acta Palaeontologica Polonica* 56 (4): 767–784.

The present study is the first quantitative comparison of Sarmatian mollusc assemblages from the Central and Eastern Paratethys seas. The assemblages (47,840 shells, 32 samples, 84 species) derive from eight Middle and Upper Miocene localities covering an interval from 12.7–11.0 Ma, when a highly endemic mollusc fauna flourished in the entire Paratethys. Cluster analysis of samples yields two major clusters: one composed of late Sarmatian (Bessarabian) collec− tions and the other composed of early Sarmatian (Volhynian) collections. The Volhynian cluster includes two sub− clusters: the first reflects a strong stratigraphic signal because it combines samples from the *Mohrensternia* Zone of the Vienna Basin and the western Ukraine. The second combines samples from the Upper *Ervilia* Zone of the Vienna Basin with samples from the *Mohrensternia* Zone of the Vienna Basin and Romania. Cluster analysis of species indicates that the sample clusters represent different palaeoenvironments with distinct molluscan assemblages: The Volhynian well− agitated shore is characterized by the *Granulolabium*–*Venerupis*–*Ervilia* biofacies, the Volhynian muddy foreshore by the *Granulolabium*–*Mohrensternia*–*Ervilia* biofacies, and the Bessarabian shallow to medium deep sublittoral by the *Hydrobia*–*Venerupis*–*Pseudamnicola* biofacies. Although not all biozones and regions of the Sarmatian Sea are covered, we suggest that these biofacies cover a wide range of possible assemblage compositions of Sarmatian nearshore and shal− low−water assemblages.

Key words: Bivalvia, Gastropoda, endemism, Volhynian, Bessarabian, Miocene, Paratethys Sea.

*Susanne Lukeneder [Susanne.Lukeneder@nhm−wien.ac.at], and Martin Zuschin [martin.zuschin@univie.ac.at], De− partment of Palaeontology, University of Vienna, Althanstrasse 14, A−1090 Vienna, Austria; Mathias Harzhauser [mathias.harzhauser@nhm−wien.ac.at] and Oleg Mandic [oleg.mandic@nhm−wien.ac.at], Geo− logical−Palaeontological Department, Natural History Museum Vienna, Burgring 7, A−1010 Vienna, Austria.*

Received 11 May 2010, accepted 11 February 2011, available online 3 March 2011.

#### Introduction

During the Middle Miocene, at 12.7 Ma, the Paratethys Sea became almost completely separated from the Mediterranean basins (Rögl and Steininger 1984; Rögl 1998; Popov et al. 2004). The semi−enclosed sea extended from eastern Austria to the Caucasus (Fig. 1) and was populated by an increas− ingly endemic fauna with comparatively low number of spe− cies but high morphological variety (Rögl and Steininger 1984; Rögl 1998, 1999; Harzhauser and Kowalke 2002; Harzhauser and Piller 2004a, b). The so−called Paratethyan mollusc faunas are characterised by their morphological exu− berance, high diversity and endemism (Wesselingh et al. 2008). Cox and Moore (1993) as well as Hills et al. (1996) defined endemism as the restriction of a taxon or a commu− nity to a particular geographical area. Evolutionary pro− cesses, like speciation, extinction and community turnover can be inferred by patterns of endemism (Simison 2006). In− creased endemism as well as reduced diversity are the results of ecological isolation (Diamond 1972; Wilcox 1978; Brown and Gibson 1983; Case and Cody 1987; Case et al. 1992; Myers and Giller 1988; Simison 2006). During the Volhy− nian, the Central and Eastern Paratethys were united and of− fer a strikingly similar faunistic inventory (Kolesnikov 1935; Papp 1974b; Harzhauser and Piller 2004b).

The mollusc fauna of the Vienna Basin and the Panno− nian Basin System has been intensively studied, but quantita− tive data allowing further correlation with the Eastern Para− tethys are sparse. Moreover molluscs have mostly been used for stratigraphic zonation (Fig. 2; Papp 1954; Harzhauser and Piller 2004b), but palaeocommunity comparisons are lack− ing. The present study was designed to provide the first quan− titative comparison of Sarmatian, respectively Volhynian and Bessarabian, mollusc assemblages from the Eastern and Central Paratethys, to test the role of spatial and temporal factors and to decipher their palaeoenvironments. For this



Table 1. Number of samples per time interval and region.

Table 2. Stratigraphic, environmental, and sedimentological assignment for each sample.

Sample	Environment	Stratigraphy	Sedimentologic assignment (facies)			
Zavjetnoje 1						
Zavjetnoje 3		Bessarabian				
Zavjetnoje 4						
Zavjetnoje 6						
Zavjetnoje 7						
Zavjetnoje 8	shallow to moderately		silt and sand with diatomitic marl,			
Zavjetnoje 9	deep sublittoral		crossbedded oolithic sand, molluscan shell beds			
Zavjetnoje 10						
Jurkino 1						
Jurkino 2a						
Jurkino 2b						
Jurkino 2c						
Soceni Poltioană 3	well agitated shore with high	Mohrensternia Zone				
Soceni Poltioană 7	freshwater influx		tempestitic layers			
Zhabiak 6/9	muddy foreshore	Mohrensternia Zone	sandy tempestitic layers, well agitated sand bottom			
Zhabiak 6/11	phytogen associated					
Zhabiak 6/12						
Siebenhirten 1	muddy foreshore	Mohrensternia Zone	sandy channel structure, intertidal mud flat channel			
Siebenhirten 2						
Siebenhirten 3	ooid shoal		coastal mud flat			
Siebenhirten 4	muddy foreshore/phytogen associated		silty deposits containing mostly rissoid gastropods (Mohrensternia), and shells of Abra reflexa			
Nexing 1						
Nexing 2	ooid shoal	Upper Ervilia Zone	cross bedded flood tidal deposits containing transported shells			
Nexing 3						
Hauskirchen 1						
Hauskirchen 2	ooid shoal	Upper Ervilia Zone	wavy oolitic sandlayers			
Hauskirchen 3						
Hauskirchen 4						
Kettlasbrunn 1			cross bedded sandlayers			
Kettlasbrunn 2	well agitated ooid shoal	Upper Ervilia Zone				
Kettlasbrunn 3						
Kettlasbrunn 4						

purpose, 47,840 shells from 32 samples with 84 species from 8 localities were quantitatively compared (Tables 1, 2). The abundant species are listed (Table 3) and figured (Figs. 3, 4).

*Other abbreviation*.—NAFB, North Alpine Foreland Basin.

## Geologic and stratigraphic setting

The term Sarmatian is based on endemic mollusc faunas and is therefore restricted to the Paratethys (Papp 1974a). The Sarmatian of the Central Paratethys spans an interval from 12.7 to 11.6 Ma and represents a marine sea with endemic fauna. Due to geodynamic processes, this development ter− minates with the onset of the Late Miocene, when Lake Pannon formed within the Pannonian Basin System. In the Eastern Paratethys, no such interruption took place, and ma− rine conditions lasted far into the Late Miocene (Harzhauser and Piller 2004b). Due to these palaeogeographical differ− ences in the durations of marine conditions the term "Sar− matian sensu strico" is used for the Central Paratethys. The rapid endemic evolution and the switch from siliciclastic to carbonate sedimentation allowed a further subdivision into eco−biozones: The *Mohrensternia* Zone, the Lower and Up− per *Ervilia* zones, and the *Sarmatimactra vitaliana* Zone. These eco−biozones are an ecostratigraphic zonation based



Fig. 1. Volhynian and Bessarabian paleogeography of the Paratethys. **A**. Middle Miocene: Early Sarmatian (Volhynian), after Rögl (1998). Entire Paratethys (A1), close−up of the Central Paratethys (A2). **B**. Late Miocene (late Bessarabian), after Rögl and and Steininger (1984).

on molluscs and benthic foraminifera, used solely for Sar− matian deposits sensu stricto of the Central Paratethys (Papp 1954, 1956; Rögl 1998; Harzhauser and Piller 2004b).

Based on the mollusc fauna, Papp (1956) differentiated the Sarmatian sensu stricto into 5 horizons (Papp and Seneš 1974):

(1) *Mohrensternia* Zone: This eco−biozone is the basal part of the Sarmatian succession. It is defined by a fauna of relatively small−bodied taxa, dominated by certain species of the genera *Mohrensternia* as well as small cardiid bivalves, *Abra*, small *Ervilia*, and some *Mactra* (< 2 cm).

(2) Lower *Ervilia* Zone: This eco−biozone is defined by de− posits containing different genera of *Ervilia* and *Potamides* as well as large cardiids.

(3) Upper *Ervilia* Zone: This eco−biozone shows the most diverse mollusc fauna of the Sarmatian. Different species of large *Ervilia* and cardiids such as *Obsoletiforma vindobo− nensis* are typical.

	Siebenhirten	Kettlasbrunn	Hauskirchen	Nexing	Soceni	Zhabiak	Jurkino	Zavjetnoje
Cerithium rubiginosum			$1.5 - 46.3$					
Potamides disjunctus			$1.9 - 3.4$		$\equiv$			
Granulolabium bicinctum	$0.0 - 81.2$	$\qquad \qquad -$	$0.2 - 7.2$	$16.8 - 28.1$	$20.0 - 21.4$		$\qquad \qquad$	
Melanopsis impressa		$\qquad \qquad -$			$4.0 - 10.5$	$\overline{\phantom{m}}$	$\overline{\phantom{m}}$	
Mohrensternia inflata	$0.0 - 49.1$		$\qquad \qquad -$	$\qquad \qquad -$	$\qquad \qquad -$	$21.8 - 35.8$	$\overline{\phantom{m}}$	$\qquad \qquad -$
Mohrensternia styriaca	$0.0 - 19.4$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$			$\qquad \qquad -$			
Mohrensternia pseudoangulata		$\overline{\phantom{0}}$		$\qquad \qquad$	$\qquad \qquad -$	$0.4 - 18.4$		$\equiv$
Rissoa soceni	$\qquad \qquad -$	$\qquad \qquad -$	$\qquad \qquad -$	$\qquad \qquad -$	$\qquad \qquad -$	$0.4 - 9.7$	$\overline{\phantom{m}}$	$\qquad \qquad -$
Hydrobia spp.	$\overline{\phantom{m}}$	$0.9 - 10.7$	$3.3 - 10.5$	$10 - 23.0$	$11.4 - 11.7$	$\overline{\phantom{m}}$	$0 - 35.9$	$3.3 - 54.7$
Pseudamnicola sp.	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$				$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$0 - 30.8$	$0 - 43.4$
Pseudamnicola cyclostomoides							$0 - 24.6$	
Akburunella akburunensis	$\overline{\phantom{m}}$		$\qquad \qquad -$	$\qquad \qquad -$	$\qquad \qquad -$	$\overline{\phantom{m}}$	$0 - 7.6$	$=$
Acteocina lajonkaireana	$\overline{\phantom{m}}$	$0.7 - 6.4$	$\qquad \qquad -$	$\overline{\phantom{m}}$	$\overline{\phantom{m}}$	$0 - 4.5$	$\overline{\phantom{m}}$	
Musculus sarmaticus	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$						$\overline{\phantom{m}}$	$0 - 28.9$
Mytilaster volhynicus		$\qquad \qquad -$			$5.3 - 13.0$			
Obsoletiforma vindobonensis	$\overline{\phantom{m}}$	$\qquad \qquad -$	$\qquad \qquad -$	$9.6 - 18.6$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	-	$\overline{\phantom{m}}$	
Mactra andrussowi	$\equiv$		$\qquad \qquad -$		$\qquad \qquad -$	$\overline{\phantom{m}}$	$=$	$0 - 28.9$
Abra reflexa	$1.5 - 41.3$		$\qquad \qquad -$	$\overline{\phantom{m}}$	$\qquad \qquad -$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\overline{\phantom{m}}$	
Donax dentiger		$2.8 - 7.5$						
Ervilia dissita	$0.0 - 22.1$	$7.5 - 34.5$	$3.1 - 86.1$	$11 - 23.2$		$34 - 46.2$	$\qquad \qquad -$	$\qquad \qquad -$
Mytilopsis ramphophora				$\overline{\phantom{m}}$	$5.7 - 8.1$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$=$	$\equiv$
Venerupis tricuspis		$45 - 76.3$		$12.7 - 24.0$			$0 - 16.1$	$1.2 - 36.9$

Table 3. Five most common species found per locality (in percent).



Fig. 2. Middle–Late Miocene stratigraphic correlation between the Mediter− ranean and Paratethys areas (modified after Harzhauser and Piller 2004b). Khers, Khersonian.

(4) *Mactra*−beds: Marked by the decline of some species (e.g., different species of *Ervilia* and *Cerithium*) in the *Sar− matimactra vitaliana* Zone. Large shells of *Sarmatimactra vitaliana* and *Venerupis tricuspis* are typical.

(5) Pauperization Zone: This eco−biozone is character− ised by small cardiid bivalves, *Cerithium hartbergensis* as well as some rudimentary species of *Venerupis*, *Donax* and solenids, along with rare species of *Cryptomactra*.

Subsequently, the term Sarmatian was also used for depos− its formed in the Eastern Paratethys of Eastern Europe and Asia. In this area, the term Sarmatian has to be abandoned and must be replaced by the regional stages Volhynian, Bess− arabian, and Khersonian (Harzhauser and Piller 2004a, b). Of these, only the Volhynian and the lower Bessarabian have ma− rine equivalents in the Central Paratethys (Papp and Seneš 1974; Piller and Harzhauser 2005) (Fig. 2).

### Localities

Four localities were sampled in the northern Vienna Basin (Siebenhirten, Kettlasbrunn, Hauskirchen, and Nexing; Fig. 5A). Descriptions and stratigraphic correlations are presented in Harzhauser and Piller (2004a, b, 2010). The 11−m−thick Siebenhirten section (12.7–12.4 Ma) is located approximately 5 km northwest from Mistelbach and belongs to the regional *Mohrensternia* Zone (Harzhauser and Piller 2004b). The basal part is represented by fluvial gravel which was shed through a drainage system of the North Alpine Foreland Basin (NAFB) into the northwestern Vienna Basin during a sea level low



Fig. 3. Most abundant taxa of bivalves from outcrops of the ancient Central Paratethys (Siebenhirten, Kettlasbrunn, Nexing, Hauskirchen, Soceni Politioană, and Zhabiak) and from outcrops of the ancient Eastern Paratethys (Jurkino and Zavjetnoje). **A**. NHMW−2011/0272/0001, *Musculus sarmaticus* (Gatuev, 1916), Zavjetnoje, Bessarabian. **B**. NHMW−2011/0268/0001, *Mytilaster volhynicus* (Eichwald, 1829), Kettlasbrunn, Upper *Ervilia* Zone. **C**. NHMW−2011/0268/0002, *Obsoletiforma vindobonensis Laskarev*, 1903, Kettlasbrunn, Upper *Ervilia* Zone. **D**. NHMW−2011/0272/0002, *Mactra andrussowi* Kolesnikov, 1925, Zavjetnoje, Bessarabian. **E**. NHMW−2011/0271/0001, *Abra reflexa* (Eichwald, 1830), Jurkino, Bessarabian. **F**. NHMW− 2011/0268/0003, *Donax dentiger* Eichwald, 1830, Kettlasbrunn, Upper *Ervilia* Zone. **G**. NHMW−2011/0269/0001, *Ervilia dissita* (Eichwald, 1830), Hauskirchen, Upper *Ervilia* Zone. **H**. NHMW−2011/0266/0001, *Mytilopsis ramphophora* (Brusina, 1892), Soceni Politioană, *Mohrensternia* Zone. **I**. NHMW−2011/0269/0002, *Venerupis tricuspis* Eichwald, 1830, Hauskirchen, Upper *Ervilia* Zone.

stand at the Badenian/Sarmatian boundary (Mandic et al. 2008). The subsequent flooding of the NAFB during the *Mohrensternia* Zone and the abrupt transgression led to the deposition of marine clay, from which our samples were taken (Fig. 5B).

The sections Kettlasbrunn (11.9 Ma), Hauskirchen, and Nexing (12.1–11.9 Ma) belong to the regional Upper *Ervilia* Zone. The chronostratigraphic calibrations follow the pro− posed relation to isotopic events (Harzhauser and Piller 2004b). During that time, the northwestern margin of the Vi− enna Basin was covered by extensive ooid shoals, with sandy beaches, tidal channels and dunes of ooids and shell−hash. At Kettlasbrunn, 5 km east of Mistelbach (Fig. 5A), such un− lithified sand of loose shell−hash is exposed. The lower part of the 3−m−thick section consists of 2 m of fine to medium sand containing cross−bedded sand layers with bivalves, overlain by about 1.30 m of oolitic sandstone. The samples from Kettlasbrunn were all taken from the lower part of the section (shown in Fig. 5C).

Hauskirchen is situated approximately 15 km northeast of Mistelbach (Fig. 5A). Papp (1954, 1956) placed the mollusc assemblages of this locality into the Upper *Ervilia* Zone and proved this by the occurrence of the indicative foraminifera *Porosononion granosum*. The 3−m−thick section starts with

1.6 m of fine unlithified sand containing dispersed bivalves and gastropods. Upsection, the sand is increasingly replaced by unlithified oolitic sand and finally by a more than 1−m−thick bed of massive oolitic limestone. While sample Hauskirchen 4 was taken from the lower part of the section, samples Hauskirchen 1, 2, and 3 derive from the overlying 0.5 m of oolitic sand (Fig. 5D).

Nexing, the holostratotype of the Sarmatian stage, is lo− cated approximately 10 km southeast from Mistelbach (Fig. 5A). The deposits are dated as Upper *Ervilia* Zone and lower− most *Sarmatimactra vitaliana* Zone (Harzhauser and Piller 2010). The section is outstanding for its sedimentological features, with huge shell dunes consisting of up to 81% of shell hash of marine bivalves and gastropods (Fig. 5E). According to Harzhauser and Piller (2010), the 13−m−high fore−sets are part of a flood tidal−delta.

In the Pannonian Basin System, samples were taken in the Politioană valley of Soceni, located in northwest Roma− nia (350 km northwest of Bucharest) (Fig. 6). The mollusc fauna derives from a 5.5−m−thick succession of siliciclastic deposits of Early Sarmatian age (12.5 Ma; *Mohrensternia* Zone) which transgress on the crystalline basement. Intense synsedimentary reworking is indicated by bryozoan−lime− stone clasts (Fig. 7). The mollusc fauna, too, represents a



Fig. 4. Abundant taxa of gastropods from outcrops of the ancient Central Paratethys (Siebenhirten, Kettlasbrunn, Nexing, Hauskirchen, Soceni Politioană, and Zhabiak) and from the ancient Eastern Paratethys (Jurkino and Zavjetnoje). **A**. NHMW−2011/0269/0003, *Gibbula angulata* (Eichwald, 1853), Hauskirchen, Upper *Ervilia* Zone. **B**. NHMW−2011/0266/0002, *Gibbula banatica* (Jekelius, 1944), Soceni Politioană, *Mohrensternia* Zone. **C**. NHMW− 2011/0271/0002, *Gibbula urupensis* (Uspenski, 1927), Jurkino, Bessarabian. **D**. NHMW−2011/0272/0003, *Gibbula* sp. 1, Zavjetnoje, Bessarabian. **E**. NHMW−2011/0266/0003, *Theodoxus politus* Jekelius, 1944, in apical (E1) and apertural (E2) views, Soceni Politioană, *Mohrensternia* Zone. **F**. NHMW−2011/0266/0004, *Theodoxus soceni* Jekelius 1944, in apical (F1) and apertural (F2) views, Soceni Politioană, *Mohrensternia* Zone. **G**. NHMW− 2011/0266/0005, *Cerithium rubiginosum* (Eichwald, 1853), adult, Soceni Politioană, *Mohrensternia* Zone. **H**. NHMW−2011/0269/0004, *Cerithium rubiginosum* (Eichwald, 1853), juvenile, Hauskirchen, Upper *Ervilia* Zone. **I**. NHMW−2011/0266/0006, *Granulolabium bicinctum* (Brocchi, 1814), adult, Soceni Politioană, *Mohrensternia* Zone. **J**. NHMW−2011/0266/0007, *Granulolabium bicinctum* (Brocchi, 1814), juvenile, Soceni Politioană, *Mohren− sternia* Zone. **K**. NHMW−2011/0269/0005, *Potamides disjunctus* (Sowerby, 1831), Hauskirchen, Upper *Ervilia* Zone. **L**. NHMW−2011/0266/0008, *Melanopsis impressa* (Krauss, 1852), adult, Soceni Politioană, *Mohrensternia* Zone. **M**. NHMW−2011/0266/0009, *Melanopsis impressa* (Krauss, 1852), juvenile, Soceni Politioană, *Mohrensternia* Zone. **N**. NHMW−2011/0267/0001, *Mohrensternia pseudoangulata* Hilber, 1897, Siebenhirten, *Mohrensternia* Zone. **O**. NHMW−2011/0267/0002, *Mohrensternia inflata* (Andrzejowsky, 1835), Siebenhirten, *Mohrensternia* Zone. **P**. NHMW−2011/0266/0010, *Pseud− amnicola sarmatica* Jekelius, 1944, Soceni Politioană, *Mohrensternia* Zone. **<sup>Q</sup>**. NHMW−2011/0272/0004, *Pseudamnicola cyclostomoides* (Sinzov, 1880), -

mixture of shallow marine taxa with freshwater molluscs and even rare terrestrial gastropods (Jekelius 1944).

On the Volhyno−Podolian Plate (Galets'kyi 2007), sedi− ments of the Zhabiak locality, located in the Ukraine, 150 km east of the Polish border, were studied (Fig. 6). The section comprises Badenian and Lower Sarmatian deposits. The up− permost 7 m of the 24−m−thick section are of Sarmatian age (12.7 Ma; *Mohrensternia* Zone) and comprise unlithified fine to coarse sand containing a microbialite−serpulid−bioherm. Upsection follow 3 m of dark grey to brown clay of Pleistocene age (Fig. 8). Samples were taken from tempestitic shell beds.

In the Indol−Kuban Basin, sediments of the Jurkino and Zavjetnoje localities were studied. They belong to the western part of the Eastern Paratethys. Both are located in the eastern part of the Crimea Peninsula (Kertch, Ukraine; Fig. 6) and are part of the Indol−Kuban Basin (Galets'kyi 2007). The deposits of both sections are of Bessarabian age (11.5–11.2 Ma) (Fig. 2). Marl and oolitic limestone, along with diatomite and diato− mitic marl predominate (Andrussow 1911), and both sections are interpreted here as shallow to moderately deep sublittoral. The Jurkino section has a thickness of about 50 m of diatomitic marls, silts and cross−bedded oolite sands (Fig. 9). The mollusc samples (Jurkino 1 and 2a–c) are taken from the lowermost 2 m of the log. Sample Jurkino 7 was taken from scattered shell beds of the topmost clayey part (48 m). The ap− proximately 32.7−m−thick section of Zavjetnoje (Fig. 10) alter− nates between clay, silt, and fine sand. Towards the top, the sedimentation becomes increasingly diatomitic. The whole section contains numerous shell beds.

### Material and methods

**Sample preparation**.—The samples from Zhabiak (Ukraine) and Soceni (Romania) were taken in 2001. Samples from the Crimea Peninsula (Ukraine, Jurkino and Zavjetnoje) and from the Vienna Basin (Hauskirchen, Nexing, Siebenhirten, and Kettlasbrunn) were taken in 2008. For each outcrop a log was provided and mollusc samples collected.

The sediment was sieved with 4, 2, and 1 mm size meshes, air dried, and split to a workable size. Thirty−two samples, with a median sample size of 1061.5 specimens, were studied. Molluscs were picked under a binocular microscope. This yielded 47,840 shells representing 13 species from 13 bivalve genera, and 71 species from 26 gastropod genera. The taxon− omy and systematics are in accordance with the determina− tions of Friedberg (1911–1928), Kolesnikov (1935), Simo− nescu and Barbu (1940), Jekelius (1944), Papp (1954, 1956, 1974b), Kojumdgieva (1969, 1987), Švagrovský (1971), Harzhauser and Kowalke (2004), Kowalke and Harzhauser (2004), Nevesskaja et al. (1993), and Bouchet and Rocroi  $(2005)$ .

**Statistical methods**.—The statistical analyses were per− formed with the program PAST version 1.82 (Hammer et al. 2001). All analyses are based on arcsine−root transformed percentages of the species within each sample (Linder and Berchtold 1976).

To detect hierarchical groupings within the data set, we applied paired group cluster analysis using the Bray−Curtis similarity index. All species represented by less than 20 in− dividuals were removed from the data set. Also, sample Jurkino 7 was not included because of its low number of species  $(n = 1)$ .

To test the significance of the differences between locali− ties, analysis of similarity (ANOSIM) was applied, based on the Bray−Curtis similarity coefficient (Bray and Curtis 1957; Clarke and Warwick 1994). Several palaeoecological analy− ses have used ANOSIM to measure temporal turnover in composition (e.g., Casanovas−Vilar and Agusti 2007; Zuschin et al. 2007; Sallan and Coates 2010). Global R val− ues were always highly significant, but for individual com− parisons the significance values can often be low, because of few replicates in each group. We therefore also used the pairwise R values, which give an absolute measure of how separated the groups are. R values can range from 0 (indistin− guishable) to 1 (all similarities within groups are less than any similarity between groups) (Clarke and Gorley 2001). R values  $> 0.75$ , groups well separated; R values  $> 0.5$ , groups overlapping but clearly different; R values  $> 0.25$ , groups strongly overlapping; R values < 0.25, groups barely separa− ble (Tables 4–6). As the number of samples per group is dif− ferent, however, variable dispersion can also be a reason for significant R values of ANOSIM (Anderson 2001).

#### Results

All studied localities are strongly dominated by just a few species (Table 3, Figs. 11, 12), but samples cluster according to region and stratigraphy (Fig. 13). At a similarity level of 0.3 the Bessarabian samples of the Crimean region form one cluster and the Volhynian samples two clusters (V1, V2). In cluster V2, all samples are from the *Mohrensternia* Zone, but they are from different regions (Vienna Basin and western Ukraine). In cluster V1, nearly all samples are from the Vi− enna Basin and two are from Soceni (Romania). Most sam− ples here are from the Upper *Ervilia* Zone, but three are from the *Mohrensternia* Zone (Siebenhirten 3, both samples from Soceni).

Most species are restricted to stages and/or regions, but some have wider distributions. Species occurring in all re− gions and all stages are the gastropods *Acteocina lajon−*

Zavjetnoje, Bessarabian. **R**. NHMW−2011/0271/0003, *Pseudamnicola* sp., Jurkino, Bessarabian. **S**. NHMW−2011/0271/0004, *Pseudamnicola* sp. 2., Jurkino, Bessarabian. **T**. NHMW−2011/0266/0011, *Hydrobia* sp., Soceni Politioană, *Mohrensternia* Zone. **U**. NHMW−2011/0272/0005, *Akburunella akburunensis* (Andrussow, 1902), Zavjetnoje, Bessarabian. **V**. NHMW− 2011/0272/0006, *Akburunella akburunensis*(Andrussow, 1902), Zavjetnoje, Bessarabian. **W**. NHMW−2011/0268/0004, *Acteocina lajonkaireana* Bas− terot, 1825, Kettlasbrunn, Upper *Ervilia* Zone. **X**. NHMW−2011/0271/0005, *Retusa truncatula* (Bruguière, 1792), Jurkino, Bessarabian.



Fig. 5. **A**. Northern Vienna Basin (grey area) within Alpine−Carpathian units and positions of the localities Siebenhirten, Kettlasbrunn, Hauskirchen and Nexing. **B–E**. Logs of the localities Siebenhirten (**B**), Kettlasbrunn (**C**), Hauskirchen (**D**), Nexing (**E**) (modified after Harzhauser and Piller 2004b).

*kaireana* and *Hydrobia* spp., and the bivalves *Mytilaster volhynicus*, *Venerupis tricuspis*, *Musculus sarmticus*, and *Blinia pseudolaevigata*. Some species are restricted to the Volhynian but occur in several regions. These include the gastropods *Granulolabium bicinctum*, *Mohrensternia* spp., and *Cerithium rubiginosum* and the bivalves *Ervilia dissita* and *Abra reflexa*. The gastropod *Retusa truncatula* is an out− lier because it occurs with low numbers in few samples from different regions (Vienna Basin, western Ukraine). In accor− dance with these distinct distribution patterns, the R−mode cluster A is characterised by species that are restricted to samples from the Bessarabian of the Crimean region. Excep− tions are *Musculus sarmaticus* and *Blinia pseudolaevigata*. Species of cluster B are most widespread in Volhynian sam− ples, mostly from the Vienna Basin, although some occur in Bessarabian samples as well (*Acteocina lajonkaireana*, *Hydrobia* spp., and *Venerupis tricuspis*). Cluster C is charac− terised by species that are rare and only occur in samples from Soceni (Romania); an exception is *Gibbula banaticum*, which was also found in samples from Zhabiak (western Ukraine). Species of Cluster D are largely restricted to Volhynian samples from different regions (Vienna Basin, western Ukraine, and Romania).

The three Q−mode clusters represent different shallow− water environments of the Volhynian and Bessarabian and are characterised by distinct biofacies. The Volhynian cluster V1 includes mostly samples from well−agitated shores of the Vienna Basin and from Soceni (Romania) and is character− ised by the *Granulolabium*–*Venerupis*–*Ervilia* assemblage. The taxa of this assemblage are typical inhabitants of tidal flats and shallow subtidal sediments. Within this cluster the samples from Soceni (Romania) stand out because they include a quite high abundance of taxa which tolerate freshwa− ter like *Melanopsis impressa*, *Theodoxus* spp., and *Myti− lopsis ramphophora* (Fig. 13: 1b). The Volhynian cluster V2 comprises samples from a muddy foreshore of Zhabiak (western Ukraine) and Siebenhirten (Vienna Basin). These samples are characterised by the *Granulolabium*–*Ervilia*– *Mohrensternia* assemblage (Fig. 13: 2). These taxa are in− habitants of a muddy bottom, and *Mohrensternia* indicates a phytal cover. Within this cluster the two samples from Siebenhirten have higher abundances of *Granulolabium bi− cinctum*, while the Zhabiak samples show higher abundances of *Ervilia dissita* and *Mohrensternia* spp.

The Bessarabian cluster includes samples from a shallow to moderately deep sublittoral of Zavjetnoje and Jurkino (Cri− mean region). This cluster is characterised by the *Hydro− bia*–*Venerupis*–*Pseudamnicola* assemblage (Fig. 13: 3). *Mus− culus sarmaticus* and *Mactra andrussowi* are also quite abun− dant within these samples. These species are typical inhabitants of sandy shallow to moderately deep sublittoral sediments.

Both Bessarabian localities (Jurkino and Zavjetnoje) are significantly different from almost all Volhynian localities (Table 4). Among the Volhynian localities, overlapping as− semblages are present in the Vienna Basin between Sieben− hirten and Nexing, between Siebenhirten in the Vienna Basin



Fig. 6. Geographic and geological position of the outcrops Soceni (Roma− nia), Zhabiak, Jurkino, and Zavjetnoje (Ukraine).

and Zhabiak in the western Ukraine, as well as between Siebenhirten (Vienna Basin) and Soceni (Romania). In accor− dance with these results, the regional comparison shows over− lapping assemblages of the Vienna Basin with western Ukraine and Romania. All other regions differ strongly from each other (Table 5). Among stratigraphic units, the samples from the *Mohrensternia* Zone (Vienna Basin, Romania, west− ern Ukraine) and the Upper *Ervilia* Zone (Vienna Basin) have overlapping but still significantly different assemblages. The Bessarabian, however, differs strongly from both Volhynian biozones (Table 6).

### Discussion

**Background**.—Because of the absence of stenohaline biota, such as radiolarians, planktic foraminifera, corals and echino− derms, the Sarmatian stage was interpreted in the Central Paratethys as transitional between the marine Badenian and the lacustrine Pannonian stages (Papp 1954, 1956; Turnovsky 1963; Bretenská 1974; Seneš 1974; Steininger and Wessely 2000). Brackish character of the Sarmatian Sea was also sug− gested based on fibre cement and the common occurrence of ooids (Pisera 1996). In contrast, Jámbor (1978) assumed normal to hypersaline conditions based on the presence of evaporites within Sarmatian deposits. More recently, Piller and Harzhauser (2005) documented a complex succession, starting with a mixohaline and eutrophic early Sarmatian Sea that became replaced by a marine to hypersaline, carbonate− oversaturated sea during the late Sarmatian. This process coin− cides with a switch in sedimentation from siliciclastic sedi− ments to carbonate deposits (Piller and Harzhauser 2005) and explains the strongly changing composition of the endemic mollusc fauna. Interrupted seaways into the Mediterranean/ Indo−Pacific (Rögl 1998; Piller and Harzhauser 2005), caused by the sea level drop at the Badenian/Sarmatian boundary, prohibited the re−immigration of the stenohaline biota. Due to an opening of a seaway into the Mediterranean Sea, the late



Fig. 7. Log of Soceni−Politioană, Romania (Pannonian Basin).

Sarmatian Sea ranged from fully marine to hypersaline condi− tions with a highly productive carbonate factory (oolite shoals, mass occurrence of thick−shelled molluscs and larger fora− minifera) (see Piller and Harzhauser 2005). Moreover, within almost isolated systems, molluscs (especially gastropods) typ− ically undergo conspicuous radiations reflecting habitat− or bi− otic complexity (Michel 1994). Several endemic radiations within the Paratethys occurred during the Neogene (Geary 1990), and Papp (1954) was among the first to use molluscs to arrange a biostratigraphical zonation of Sarmatian deposits from the Central Paratethys. So far, however, we lack any quantitative comparison of molluscan assemblages from the Central and Eastern Paratethys or a palaeoenvironmental in− terpretation.

**Spatial and temporal signals**.—In our dataset, the samples from Jurkino and Zavjetnoje are of Bessarabian age and from the Crimean region; they are therefore temporally and spa− tially strongly separated from all other samples. Within the Bessarabian / Crimean cluster, the samples group according to localities (Fig. 13).

Among the Volhynian localities, samples cluster roughly

#### 776 ACTA PALAEONTOLOGICA POLONICA 56 (4), 2011

according to biozones (*Mohrensternia* Zone versus Upper *Ervilia* Zone), but the samples from Soceni and one sample from Siebenhirten are an exception. They belong to the *Mohrensternia* Zone, but group among the localities of the Upper *Ervilia* Zone (which otherwise are all from the Vienna Basin). The gastropod *Mohrensternia* serves as the best ex− ample of a strong temporal signal because it combines sam− ples from the Vienna Basin (Siebenhirten) and the western Ukraine (Zhabiak).

**Palaeoenvironments**.—Throughout the Volhynian and Bess− arabian, coastal zones and shoals of the Paratethys were domi− nated by sandy shores and ooid formations whilst deeper ma− rine settings are indicated by pelitic deposits. These lithologies are well documented in the studied sections. Despite these per− sistent lithofacies, the biofacies display considerably differ− ences as shown in our analysis.

The three biofacies, as determined by the combination of the R−mode and Q−mode cluster analysis (Fig. 13), can be used to interpret three distinct palaeoenvironments. Well−agitated shores are characterised by the *Granulolabium*–*Venerupis*– *Ervilia* biofacies, a muddy foreshore by the *Granulolabium*– *Mohrensternia*–*Ervilia* biofacies and a shallow to moderately



Fig. 8. Log of Zhabiak, western Ukraine (Volhyno−Podolian Plate).



Fig. 9. Log of Jurkino, Peninsula Crimea, Ukraine (Indol−Kuban Basin).



Fig. 10. Log of Zavjetnoje, Crimean Peninsula, Ukraine (Indol−Kuban Basin).

#### LUKENEDER ET AL.—ENDEMIC MOLLUSCAN ASSEMBLAGES FROM PARATETHYS 779



Fig. 11. Average percentage abundance of species with 95% confidence intervals on a logarithmic scale at the localities Siebenhirten (**A**), Kettlasbrunn (**B**), Nexing (**C**), and Hauskirchen (**D**).

deep sublittoral by the *Hydrobia*–*Venerupis*–*Pseudamnicola* biofacies. The well−agitated shore includes ooid shoal envi− ronments in the Vienna Basin (Nexing, Hauskirchen, Kettlas− brunn, Siebenhirten 3) and has high freshwater influx at So− ceni, Romania. The muddy foreshore environment is phytal− associated, as indicated by the abundance of *Mohrensternia* within the samples of Zhabiak and Siebenhirten 4, and repre− sents an intertidal mudflat channel at Siebenhirten 1 and 2. Not all biozones and regions of the Sarmatian Sea are covered within this study. Nevertheless, we suggest that these bio− facies cover a wide and representative range of possible as− semblage compositions of Sarmatian nearshore and shallow− water assemblages.

Species of the *Granulolabium*–*Venerupis*–*Ervilia* bio− facies are mostly restricted to the Volhynian samples from the Vienna Basin (Kettlasbrunn, Nexing, Siebenhirten) and from Soceni (Romania) (Cluster V1, Fig. 13). Although the faunal compositions of these localities differ strongly from each other (Tables 3, 4), they share some environmental con− ditions. All samples from the wavy oolitic sand layers of Hauskirchen are characterised by a high abundance of *Ervi− lia dissita*, and they are also rich in *Cerithium rubiginosum* and *Hydrobia* spp., pointing to shallow−water conditions. Kettlasbrunn, Nexing, and sample Siebenhirten 3 are domi− nated by the bivalves *Ervilia dissita* and *Venerupis tricuspis*. The cross−bedded flood tidal deposits of Nexing consist of

![](_page_14_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Figure_1.jpeg)

Fig. 12. Average percentage abundance of species with 95% confidence intervals on a logarithmic scale at the localities Soceni (**A**), Zhabiak (**B**), Zavjetnoje (**C**), and Jurkino (**D**).

transported shells; the numerous hydrobiid and batillariid gastropods seem to originate from intertidal environments, whilst the venerid, mesodesmatid, donacid, and cardiid bi− valves are most probably taxa from the foreshore and shore− face (Harzhauser and Piller 2010). Sample Siebenhirten 3 bears high numbers of the infaunal bivalves *Abra reflexa* and *Ervilia dissita*, indicating a tidal flat environment between the underlying fluvial gravel and the overlying transgressive marine clay. Harzhauser and Piller (2004b, 2007) described the deposits of the Upper *Ervilia* Zone as carbonate, repre− sented by oolites and coquina−dominated sands, which started to spread in nearshore settings and on shallow shoals. The oolite facies was also detected at Hauskirchen and Kett− lasbrunn. Kowalke and Harzhauser (2004) suggest that the *Mohrensternia* communities became replaced by *Ceri− thium*−dominated assemblages at that time. This fits well to the samples from Hauskirchen, which are characterised by the high abundance of the gastropod *Cerithium rubiginosum*. Thus, sedimentology and the mollusc assemblages indicate a shallow coastal habitat in carbonate−oversaturated marine water of a well−agitated ooid shoal. The samples from the tempestitic shell beds at Soceni are characterised by inter− tidal species (*Granulolabium bicinctum*) and taxa which tol− erate freshwater (*Hydrobia*, *Melanopsis*, *Mytilaster*). Sev− eral species/genera, which cluster at branch C of the R−mode cluster analysis (Fig. 13), tolerate freshwater influx. The tempestites indicate a well−agitated shore, and the high abun− dance of gastropods which tolerate freshwater, such as

![](_page_15_Figure_1.jpeg)

Fig. 13. Q− and R−mode cluster analysis using the Bray−Curtis similarity index. Size of dots indicates relative abundance in samples. Resulting biofacies in combination with Q−mode and R−mode clusters are used to interpret three palaeoenvironments.

*Melanopsis impressa*, *Theodoxus*, and *Hydrobia*, suggest considerable freshwater influx. This fits well with Jekelius description (1944). He defined the deposits of Soceni Poli− tioanã as a typical intertidal shore, except for the topmost layer, which bears mainly freshwater elements.

Species of the *Granulolabium*–*Mohrensternia*–*Ervilia* biofacies are most abundant within all samples from Zhabiak (western Ukraine) and the samples Siebenhirten 1, 2, and 4 (Vienna Basin), which build a cluster within the Q−mode anal− ysis (V2). Thus, we interpret the environment of Zhabiak and Siebenhirten 1, 2, and 4 (Q−mode cluster V2) as a phytal−asso− ciated muddy foreshore. The tempestitic shell beds of Zhabiak show highest abundances of the gastropod *Mohrensternia inflata* and the bivalve *Ervilia dissita*. Both species make up 80 % of the total mollusc assemblage. In sample Siebenhirten 4, the rissooids *Mohrensternia inflata* and *M. styriaca* take over, accompanied by *Abra reflexa*. *Mohrensternia* is gener− ally most common in calm pelitic facies (Kowalke and Harz− hauser 2004). Typically, the accompanying fauna of *Mohren− sternia* changes from gastropods such as *Granulolabium bi− cinctum* towards a bivalve−dominated fauna with high num− bers of *Abra reflexa* and rare *Ervilia dissita* (Harzhauser and **A**

R-values	Zavjetnoje	Jurkino	Nexing	Hauskirchen	Kettlasbrunn	Siebenhirten	Zhabiak
Zavjetnoje							
Jurkino	0.1838						
Nexing	0.9382						
Hauskirchen							
Kettlasbrunn	0.9559						
Siebenhirten	0.9945	0.9792	0.2593	0.7188	0.7396		
Zhabiak						0.2936	
Soceni						0.1429	
B							
p-values	Zavjetnoje	Jurkino	Nexing	Hauskirchen	Kettlasbrunn	Siebenhirten	Zhabiak
Zavjetnoje							
Jurkino	0.1674						
Nexing	0.0053	0.0283					
Hauskirchen	0.0024	0.0284	0.0274				
Kettlasbrunn	0.0019	0.029	0.0266	0.0281			
Siebenhirten	0.0017	0.0267	0.1409	0.0261	0.0283		
Zhabiak	0.0084	0.0267	0.1028	0.0316	0.025	0.1182	
Soceni	0.0226	0.0666	0.1012	0.0682	0.0657	0.2631	0.3284

Table 4. Anosim of localities. R−values (A), p−values (B).

Kowalke 2004). The gastropod genus *Mohrensternia*, re− stricted to the lowermost Sarmatian, seems to have preferred aberrant salinity conditions: this genus flourished in hyper− saline coastal environments and was rare during all normal marine stages in both the Central and Eastern Paratethys (Kowalke and Harzhauser 2004). Samples Siebenhirten 1 and 2 are taken from a sandy channel structure. They are domi− nated by the gastropod *Granulolabium bicinctum*, which is an indicator for mudflat environments (Harzhauser and Kowalke 2002). Harzhauser and Piller (2004a) correlated this fauna to nearshore conditions based on observations of modern rela− tives, which are frequently found in littoral settings such as mudflats (Harzhauser and Piller 2004a). As the rissoid gastro− pods (e.g., *Mohrensternia*) are micro−algal grazers, a phytal cover can be postulated as well (Bandel and Kowalke 1999; Kowalke and Harzhauser 2004).

Species of the *Hydrobia*–*Venerupis*–*Pseudamnicola* bio− facies are abundant within Bessarabian samples from Jurkino and Zavjetnoje (Q−mode cluster A) from the sandy, shallow to moderately−deep sublittoral. While some of the species in this cluster are restricted to Bessarabian age (*Blinia pseudolaevi− gata*, *Pseudamnicola cyclostomoides*, *Akburunella nefanda*, *A. verneuilii*, *Acteocina usturtensis*, *A. inflexa*, and *Retusa gerassimovi*) some also occur in Volhynian deposits (*Gibbula urupensis*, *Trochus angulatosarmates*, *Tr. sarmates*, *Akbu− runella akburunensis*, *Mactra andrussowi*, and *Cryptomactra pesanseris*) (Kolesnikov 1935; Harzhauser and Kowalke 2004). A warm and carbonate−dominated system persisted into the subsequent Bessarabian stage in the Eastern Para− tethys. Microbialitic bryozoan−polychaete bioherms flouri− shed in the coastal waters. This semi−closed sea was inter− preted as warm, shallow, well−aerated and eutrophic (Gon− charova and Rostovtseva 2009). Typical molluscs associated

with the carbonate bodies are *Venerupis tricuspis* and various species of *Akburunella*, *Gibbula*, *Acteocina*, and *Pseudamni− cola*. The nassariid genus *Akburunella* occurs in the Lower Sarmatian of the entire Paratethys but attained an exceptional diversity during the Bessarabian of the Eastern Paratethys (Harzhauser and Kowalke 2004). At Jurkino and Zavjetnoje, with 5 to 8 different species of *Akburunella*, *A. akburunensis*is the most dominant species. Coastal assemblages, as docu− mented from Zavjetnoje, are dominated by hydrobiids (*Hy− drobia* and *Pseudamnicola*). Sample Zavjetnoje 10, which is somewhat isolated in the Q−mode cluster analysis, is strongly dominated by bivalves (*Venerupis tricuspis*, *Musculus sar− maticus*, *Mactra andrussowi*). The stratigraphically valuable *Cryptomactra*, however, is of subordinate importance in the studied samples.

## Conclusions

Molluscan abundances of eight Sarmatian localities from the Central and Eastern Paratethys were compared, showing the potential of quantitative comparisons of mollusc faunas in al− most isolated systems yielding endemic faunas. Stratigraphic and regional signals are present in these assemblages and are sometimes difficult to disentangle. Samples from Jurkino and Zavjetnoje are of Bessarabian age and come from the Crimean region and are therefore temporally and spatially strongly sep− arated from all other samples. Among the Volhynian locali− ties, samples cluster roughly according to biozones (*Mohren− sternia* Zone versus Upper *Ervilia* Zone), but also reflect the different depositional environments of the studied localities. Biofacies show strong palaeoenvironmental affiliations and

Table 5. Anosim of regions. R−values (A), p−values (B).

**A**

![](_page_17_Picture_531.jpeg)

**B**

![](_page_17_Picture_532.jpeg)

Table 6. Anosim of stratigraphic intervals. R−values (A), p−values (B).

![](_page_17_Picture_533.jpeg)

**B**

**A**

![](_page_17_Picture_534.jpeg)

cover a wide range of possible compositions of Sarmatian nearshore and shallow−water assemblages. The *Granulola− bium*–*Venerupis*–*Ervilia* biofacies characterises ooid shoals in the Vienna Basin and a well−agitated shore with high freshwa− ter influx in Romania. The *Granulolabium*–*Mohrensternia*– *Ervilia* biofacies represents intertidal mudflats in the Vienna Basin and a muddy foreshore with phytal cover in the western Ukraine. The *Hydrobia*–*Venerupis*–*Pseudamnicola* biofacies indicates shallow to moderately deep sublittoral settings in Crimea (Ukraine).

#### Acknowledgements

We thank Barbara Studencka (Muzeum Ziemi PAN, Warsaw, Poland), Marek Jasionowski (Państwowy Instytut Geologiczny, Warsaw, Po− land), Olga and Vitaliy Anistratenko (Schmalhausen Institute of Zool− ogy of National Academy of Siences of Ukraine, Kiev, Ukraine), Werner Piller (University Graz, Austria), Andreas Kroh and Franz Topka (Natural History Museum Vienna, Vienna, Austria) for help with fieldwork. Andreas Kroh provided important field notes and pho− tographs from the outcrops of Soceni and Zhabiak. Fred Rögl (Natural History Museum Vienna, Vienna, Austria) determined some foramini− fera and Johann Hohenegger (University of Vienna, Austria) com− mented on the statistical analyses. Michael Stachowitsch (University of Vienna, Austria) improved a late version of the manuscript, which also benefited from critical readings of Alexander Lukeneder (Natural History Museum Vienna, Vienna, Austria). Comments and sugges− tions by Adam Tomašových (University of Chicago, Illinois, USA), Tom Olszewski (Texas A & M University, College Station, Texas, USA) and an anonymous reviewer significantly improved the manu− script. This study was financially supported by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF): P 19013−B17 and by project H−2240/2007 of the Hochs− chuljubiläumsstiftung der Stadt Wien.

#### References

![](_page_17_Picture_535.jpeg)

- Andrussow, N.J. 1911. *Die fossilen Bryozoenriffe der Halbinsel Kertsch und Taman 1*. 144 pp. Self−published, Kiev.
- Bandel, K. and Kowalke, T. 1999. Gastropod fauna of the Cameroonian coast. *Helgoland Marine Research* 53: 129–140.
- Bouchet, P. and Rocroi, J.P. 2005. Classification and Nomenclatur of gas− tropod families. *Malacologia* 47: 1–397.
- Bray, J.R. and Curtis, J.T. 1957. An ordination of the upland forest commu− nities of southern Wisconsin. *Ecological Monographs* 27: 325–349.
- Bretenská, E. 1974. Die Foraminiferen des Sarmatien s. str. *In*: A. Papp, F. Marinescu, and J. Seneš (eds.), *Chronostratigraphie und Neostratotypen 4: M5 Sarmatien*, 243–293. VEDA−Verlag der Slowakischen Akademie der Wissenschaften, Bratislava.
- Brown, J.H. and Gibson, A.G. 1983. *Biogeography*. 654 pp. The C. V. Mosby Company, St. Louis.
- Casanovas−Vilar, I. and Agusti, J. 2007. Ecogeographical stability and cli− mate forcing in the Late Miocene (Vallesian) rodent record of Spain. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 248: 169–189.
- Case, T.J., Bolger, D.T., and Richman, A.D. 1992. Reptilian extinctions: The last ten thousand years. *In*: P.L. Fielder and S.K. Jain (eds.), *Con− servation Biology*, 91–125. Chapman and Hall, New York.
- Case, T.J. and Cody, M.L. 1987. Testing theories of island biogeography. *American Scientist* 75: 402–411.
- Clarke, K.R. and Gorley, R.N. 2001. *Primer v5. User Manual/Tutorial*. 91 pp. Primer−E, Plymouth.
- Clarke, K.R. and Warwick, R.M. 1994. *Changes in Marine Communities. An Approach to Statistical Analysis and Interpretation*. 144 pp. Plym− outh Marine Laboratory, Plymouth.
- Cox, C.B. and Moore, P.D. 1993. *Biogeography: an Ecological and Evolu− tionary Approach, Ed. 5.* x + 326 pp. Blackwell Sciences Publications, Oxford.
- Diamond, J.M. 1972. Biogeographic kinetics: estimation of relaxation times for avifaunas of southwest Pacific Islands. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Science of the USA* 69: 3199–3203.
- Friedberg, W. 1911–1928. *Mollusca miocenica Poloniae, pars I (Gastropoda et Scaphopoda)*. 1911: 1–112; 1912: 113–240; 1914: 241–360; 1923: 361–440; 1928: 441–561. Musaeum Dzieduszyckianum, Lwów–Poznań.
- Galets'kyi, L.S. 2007. *An Atlas of the Geology and Mineral Deposits of Ukraine*. 168 pp. Nacional'na Akademija Nauk Ukrainy, Kiev.
- Geary, D.H. 1990. Patterns of evolutionary tempo and mode in the radiation of Melanopsis (Gastropoda; Melanopsidae). *Paleobiology* 16: 492–511.
- Goncharova, I.A. and Rostovtseva, Y.V. 2009. Evolution of the Organo− genic Carbonate Buildups in the Middle through Late Miocene of the Eucine−Caspian Basin (Eastern Paratethys). *Paleontological Journal* 43: 866–876.
- Hammer, O., Harper, D.A.T., and Ryan, PD. 2001. PAST. Paleontological sta− tistics software package for education and data analysis. *Palaeontologia Electronica* 4 (1/4).(http://palaeo−electronica.org/2001\_1/past/issue1\_01.htm)
- Harzhauser, M. and Kowalke, T. 2002. Sarmatian (Late Middle Miocene) gastropod assemblages of the Central Paratethys. *Facies* 46: 57–82.
- Harzhauser, M. and Kowalke, T. 2004. Survey of the nassariid gastropods in the Neogene Paratethys. *Archiv für Molluskenkunde* 133: 1–61.
- Harzhauser, M. and Piller, W.E. 2004a. The Early Sarmatian—hidden see− saw changes. *Courier Forschungsinstitut Senckenberg* 246: 89–112.
- Harzhauser, M. and Piller, W.E. 2004b. Intergrated stratigraphy of the Sarmatian (Upper Middle Miocene) in the western Central Paratethys. *Stratigraphy* 1: 65–86.
- Harzhauser, M. and Piller, W.E. 2007. Benchmark data of a changing sea—palaeogeography, palaeobiogeography and events in the Central Paratethys during the Miocene. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 253: 8–31.
- Harzhauser, M. and Piller, W.E. 2010. Molluscs as major part of subtropical shallow water carbonate production—an example from a Middle Mio− cene oolite shoal (Upper Serravallian, Austria). *International Associa− tion of Sedimentologists, Special Publications* 42 (for 2009): 185–200.
- Hills, D.M., Moritz, C., and Mable, B.K. 1996. *Molecular systematics. Second edition*. 655 pp. Sinaur Associates, Sunderland.
- Jámbor, A. 1978. New ideas about the changing salinity of the Neogene seas pre−existing in the present−day Hungarian Territory [in Hungarian with English abstract]. *A Magyar Állami Földtani Intézet Évi Jelentése Bu− dapest* 1976: 260–265.
- Jekelius, E. 1944. Sarmat und Pont von Soceni (Banat). *Mémoriile Institutului Geologic al României* 5: 1–167.
- Kojumdgieva, E. 1969. Sarmatien. *Les fossiles de Bulgarie* 8: 1–223.
- Kojumdgieva, E. 1987. Systematique et phylogénie des Cardiidés sarmatiens de la Paratethys. *Geologica Balcanica* 17: 3–14.
- Kolesnikov, V. 1935. Sarmatische Mollusken [in Russian with German de− scription]. *Paläontologie der USSR* 10 (2): 1–416.
- Kowalke, T. and Harzhauser, M. 2004. Early ontogeny and palaeoecology of the Mid−Miocene rissoid gastropods of the Central Paratethys. *Acta Palaeontologica Polonica* 49: 111–134.
- Linder, A. and Berchtold, W. 1976. *Statistische Auswertung von Prozen− tzahlen*. 232 pp. Birkhäuser, Basel.
- Mandic, O., Harzhauser, M., Roetzel, R., and Tibuleac, P. 2008. Benthic mass−mortality events on a Middle Miocene incised−valley tidal−flat (North Alpine Foredeep Basin). *Facies* 55: 343–359.
- Myers, A.A. and Giller, P.S. 1988. *Analytical Biogeography: An Integrated Approach to the Study of Animal and Plant Distributions*. 578 pp. Chap− man and Hall, London.
- Michel, E. 1994. Why snails radiate: A review of gastropod evolution in long−lived lakes, both recent and fossil. *Archiv für Hydrobiologie– Beiheft Ergebnisse der Lomonlogie* 44: 285–317.
- Nevesskaja, L.A. [Nevesskaâ, L.A.], Goncharova, I.A. [Gončarova, I.A.], Paramonova, N.P., Popov, S.B., Babak, E.B., Bagdasarjan, K.G. [Bagda− sarân, K.G.], and Voronina, A.A. 1993. *Opredelitelej miocenovyh dvu− stvorčatih mollûskov Ûgo−Zapadnoj Evrazii*. 412 pp. Nauka, Moskva.
- Papp, A. 1954. Die Molluskenfauna im Sarmat des Wiener Beckens. *Mitteilungen der Geologischen Gesellschaft in Wien* 45 (for 1952): 1–119.
- Papp, A. 1956. Fazies und Gliederung des Sarmats im Wiener Becken. *Mitteilungen der Geologischen Gesellschaft in Wien* 47 (for 1954): 35–98.
- Papp, A. 1974a. Äquivalente des Sarmatien s.str. ausserhalb der Paratethys.*In*: A. Papp, F. Marinescu, and J. Seneš (eds.), *M5 Sarmatien. Chrono− stratigraphie und Neostratotypen 4*, 63–68. VEDA−Verlag der Slowa− kischen Akademie der Wissenschaften, Bratislava.
- Papp, A. 1974b. Die Molluskenfauna der Sarmatischen Schichtengruppe. *In*: A. Papp, F. Marinescu, and J. Seneš (eds.), *M5 Sarmatien. Chrono− stratigraphie und Neostratotypen 4*, 318–427. VEDA−Verlag der Slowa− kischen Akademie der Wissenschaften, Bratislava.
- Papp, A. and Seneš, J. 1974. Grundzüge der Entwicklung der Fauna und die Biozonen im Sarmatium s. str. der Zentralen Paratethys. *In*: A. Papp, F.

Marinescu, and J. Seneš (eds.), *M5 Sarmatien. Chronostratigraphie und Neostratotypen 4*, 41–44. VEDA−Verlag der Slowakischen Akademie der Wissenschaften, Bratislava.

- Piller, W.E. and Harzhauser, M. 2005. The myth of the brackish Sarmatian Sea. *Terra Nova* 17: 450–455.
- Pisera, A. 1996. Miocene Reefs of the Paratethys: A review. *SEPM Con− cepts in Sedimentology and Paleontology* 5: 97–104.
- Popov, S.V., Rögl, F., Rozanov, A.Y., Steininger, F.F., Scherba, I.G., and Kovac, M. 2004. Lithological−paleogeographic maps of the Paratethys (10 maps Late Eocene to Pliocene). *Courier Forschungsinstitut Senckenberg*  $250:1-46.$
- Rögl, F. 1998. Palaeogeographic Considerations for Mediterranean and Paratethys Seaways (Oligocene to Miocene). *Annalen des Naturhistori− schen Museums in Wien* 99A: 279–310.
- Rögl, F. 1999. Mediterranean and Paratethys. Facts and hypotheses of an Oligocene to Miocene paleogeography (short overview). *Geologica Carpathica* 50: 339–349.
- Rögl, F. and Steininger, F.F. 1984. Neogene Paratethys, Mediterranean and Indo−Pacific seaways. Implications for the paleobiogeography of ma− rine and terrestrial biotas. *In*: P. Brenchley (ed.), *Fossils and Climate*, 171–200. John Wiley & Sons Ltd., Chichester.
- Sallan, L.C. and Coates, M.I. 2010. End−Devonian extinction and a bottle− neck in the early evolution of modern jawed vertebrates. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 107 (22): 10131–10135.
- Seneš, J. 1974. Paläogeographie der Zentralen Paratethys im Sarmatien s. str. *In*: A. Papp, F. Marinescu, and J. Seneš (eds.), *M5 Sarmatien. Chronostratigraphie und Neostratotypen 4*, 140–144. VEDA−Verlag der Slowakischen Akademie der Wissenschaften, Bratislava.
- Simionescu, I. and Barbu, I.Z. 1940. La faunae Sarmatienne de Roumanie. *Memoriile Institutului Geologic al României* 3: 1–194.
- Simison, W.B. 2006. Patterns of endemism for nearshore gastropod molluscs: A new view of the Gulf of California. *Journal of Molluscan Studies* 72: 53–63.
- Steininger, F.F. and Wessely, G. 2000. From the Tethyan Ocean to the Paratethys Sea: Oligocene to Neogene stratigraphy, paleogeography and paleobiogeography of the circum−Mediterranean region and the Oligocene to Neogene Basin evolution in Austria. *Mitteilungen der Österreichischen Geologischen Gesellschaft* 92: 95–116.
- Švagrovský, J. 1971. Das Sarmat der Tschechoslowakei und seine Mollusken− fauna. *Acta Geologica et Geographica Universitatis Comenianae, Geo− logica* 20: 1–473.
- Turnovsky, K. 1963. Zonengliederung mit Foraminiferenfaunen und Öko− logie im Neogen des Wiener Beckens. *Mitteilungen der Geologischen Gesellschaft in Wien* 56: 211–224.
- Wesselingh, F.P., Alcicek, H., and Magyar, I. 2008. A Late Miocene Paratethyan mollusc fauna from the Denizli Basin (southwestern Ana− tolia, Turkey) and its regional palaeobiogeographic implications. *Geo− bios* 41: 861–879.
- Wilcox, B.A. 1978. Supersaturated island faunas: A species−area relation− ship for lizards on post−Pleistocene land−bridge islands. *Science* 199: 996–998.
- Zuschin, M., Harzhauser, M., and Mandic, O. 2007. The stratigraphic and sedimentologic framework of fine−scale faunal replacements in the Middle Miocene of the Vienna Basin (Austria). *Palaios* 22: 285–295.