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Introduction

During winter, the northern hemisphere experiences the development and subsequent melt of seasonal snow that can cover up to 50% of its landmasses (Robinson et al., 1993; Robinson and Frei, 2000). The snow cover plays a key role in many ecosystems insulating the ground and influencing nutrient supply to the soil and vegetation beneath (e.g., Jones, 1999; Björkman et al., 2010). It also resembles an ecosystem in its own right as it harbors a range of different microorganisms (Jones, 1991, 1999; Edwards et al., 2007). The process of snow formation within the atmosphere requires a nucleation surface to initiate freezing (Colbeck, 1981). This nucleation surface is usually composed of ice splinters, clay particles, or other water-insoluble substances (Kuhn, 2001). Indeed, cloud condensation and ice nucleation within the atmosphere can also be catalyzed by biological agents, such as bacteria or fungal spores (see Jayaweera and Flanagan, 1982; Morris et al., 2007; Christner et al., 2008; Delort et al., 2010), even though the significance of these processes might be of minor importance when other nuclei are abundant (Junge and Swanson, 2008; Diehl and Wurzler, 2010; Roose et al., 2010). After deposition from the atmosphere (via dry and wet deposition), snowpack microbial communities may start thriving when the conditions become favorable (Christner, 2002; Segawa et al., 2005; Bakermans and Skidmore, 2011). It has been shown that bacteria can grow even prior to deposition in the super-cooled droplets within clouds (Sattler et al., 2001) and that snow and ice contain viable cells that are capable of active metabolism, probably as long as liquid water is present (Christner, 2002; Price and Sowers, 2004; Amato et al., 2009; Vaaitilingom et al., 2010; Bakermans and Skidmore, 2011). Despite the metabolic constraints under such conditions, bacterial growth has relevance for the inoculation process of supraglacial communities, but also for the breakdown or bioaccumulation of biologically active compounds within the snow (e.g., Larose et al., 2011; Stibal et al., 2012). The cells released from the snowpack also influence microbial assemblages in underlying lakes and streams, as has been shown by Mindl et al. (2007). Studies of microbial dynamics and cell abundance within polar and alpine glacial snow are therefore required before the full ecological significance of seasonal snow can be understood for these regions.

When a snowflake grows in the atmosphere, or precipitates, it can absorb gases, aerosols, and supercooled droplets (Colbeck, 1981; Abbatt, 1997; Kuhn, 2001; Hoog et al., 2007). The large surface area of snowflakes leads to an efficient scavenging and removal of atmospheric pollutants (Chang, 1984; Barrie, 1991; Lei and Wania, 2004), which then end up in the snow cover. The snowpack of the High Arctic archipelago of Svalbard is characterized by the dominance of sea salt in its solute (Hodgkins and Tranter, 1998) and with a bacterial content that is slightly higher than in Alpine regions (Sattler et al., 2001; Bauer et al., 2002; Amato et al., 2007). Due to the archipelago’s remote location, small human population, and scarce local pollution sources, the region acts as a sink for atmospheric contaminants from long-range transport (Rahn et al., 1980; Stohl, 2006; Hirdman et al., 2010). As an example, a recent study of climatology and precipitation chemistry showed that the total burden of nitrate (NO$_3^-$), ammonium (NH$_4^+$), and sulfate (SO$_4^{2-}$) to Svalbard is governed by single deposition events that can account for more than 50% of the total annual deposition of these compounds (Kühnel et al., 2011). These deposition events are linked to a rapid transport of polluted European air masses, which are forced northward toward Svalbard between incoming Atlantic cyclones and blocking high pressure systems situated over Scandinavia and mid-Europe (Kühnel, 2013).
When snow melt starts, elution of its solutes occurs, and the first meltwater will be enriched in ions compared to the snowpack (e.g., Lilbaek and Pomeroy, 2008); typically, the first 20–30% of the meltwater will contain 50–80% of the snowpack solutes (Johannessen et al., 1975; Bales et al., 1989). However, very little is known about the mobility of bacterial cells within the snowpack during this period. For inorganic ions, the elution sequence typically follows \( \text{SO}_4^{2-} \), \( \text{NO}_3^- \), \( \text{Cl}^- \), metals and most cations, other anions, \( \text{NH}_4^+ \), and \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) (Kuhn, 2001, and references therein), but depends on the characteristics of the snowpack, for instance on the amount of melt/freeze cycles before and during melt (Colbeck, 1981; Brimblecombe et al., 1986; Bales et al., 1989; Cragin et al., 1996). The elution of chemical solutes, including nutrients, then affects both the microbial habitats within the snow (Hodson, 2006; Larose et al., 2010a), and underlying soils and ice layers (Jones, 1991, 1999; Schmidt et al., 1999; Edwards et al., 2007), but also ecosystems downstream (e.g., Gagne et al., 2009).

The influence of snowpack elution is also important for the understanding of ice core records where the initial signal might be altered due to relocation or loss of ions (e.g., Hou and Qin, 2002; Grinsted et al., 2006). Under such melt conditions, the use of microbial cells as more reliable annual stratigraphy markers in the ice core has been undertaken (Yoshimura et al., 2000). As the snowpack is inhabited also by active cells (Sattler et al., 2001, 2002), it is crucial to reveal possible effects on the release or retention of cells in relation to dissolved chemical solutes, which are either a potential substrate for metabolism or necessary as nitrogen source (e.g., \( \text{NH}_4^+ \), \( \text{NO}_3^- \)). A previous study of Arctic snow and meltwater indicates that there is a change in the snow microbial diversity between early and late spring, with a higher diversity in the meltwater than in the snow (Larose et al., 2010a). This insight is strengthened by evidence for bacterial post-depositional processes within high alpine snow (Xiang et al., 2009).

This work aims for a better understanding of cell and ion distribution within High Arctic snowpacks and their relative relocation by meltwater during the summer period. The abundance of cells and the concentrations of ions in the snowpack were assumed to reflect their deposition history, where earlier investigations have shown a homogeneous distribution of cells within Svalbard snow: \( 2 \times 10^4 \) to \( 2 \times 10^5 \) mL\(^{-1} \) (Amato et al., 2007). On the other hand, deposition of ions, as \( \text{NO}_3^- \) and \( \text{SO}_4^{2-} \), are typically event based in this region of the Arctic (Kühnel et al., 2011). The elution of ions was assumed to follow the well-established sequences (e.g., Kuhn, 2001), while the behavior of microbial cells was assumed to depend on their relative location within the snow crystals (i.e., as ice nuclei or wind deposited) and/or ability to aggregate.

**Method**

**FIELD SAMPLING**

Snowpack meltwater was sampled from a snow pit at the High Arctic glacier, Midtre Lovénbreen (N78°52′48.5′; E12°02′27.6′ and 268 m a.s.l.), close to the research facilities in Ny-Ålesund, Svalbard (Fig. 1). The experimental set-up of the snow pit (approx.
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The snowpack was sampled according to its stratigraphy at the start of the survey down to the glacial surface to capture concentrations and cell abundance of individual layers. An area of 20 × 20 cm and the full thickness of each layer were collected. A bulk sample of the entire snow column was also sampled along with the stratigraphy samples for comparison. Bulk samples were excavated from the remaining snow at the end of the experiment (30 June 2010), when the individual layers of the snowpack were metamorphosed into a homogeneous snowpack.

Elution was sampled into Nasco Whirl-Pak® bags fixed to the outflow end of the lysimeters. The bags were changed once a day at noon, covering a melt period between 19 and 30 June 2010, and all samples were brought back to the lab for analysis. All sampling equipment (half-pipes, shovels, scrapers, etc.) were precleaned with methanol and rinsed three times with ultrapure water before use. All sampling and following lab work were conducted using sterile, powder-free plastic gloves.

ANALYSIS

For the analysis of bacterial cell abundance, water was brought to Ny-Ålesund, where it was properly mixed in the Whirl-Pak bags and 2 × 50 mL were transferred to sterile centrifuge tubes followed by fixation via formaldehyde addition, with a final concentration of 2%. The 37% formaldehyde used was prefiltered using 0.2 μm pore size Millipore polycarbonate filter. The samples were then kept at 4°C until later analysis at the University of Innsbruck. Samples were incubated with fluorochrome DAPI (4’, 6-diamidino-2 phenylindole) at a final concentration of 0.2% v/v according to Porter and Feig (1980), filtered on GE Polycarbonate filters (GE Water & Process Technologies, 0.22 μm pore size, 25 mm diameter, black), rinsed with ultrapure water and counted at Zeiss Axiophot2 epifluorescence microscope with a magnification of 1000x. The filtering apparatus (Millipore 1225 Sampling Manifold) was washed out with ultrapure water prior to each filtering, and negative samples with ultrapure water were included into each series. The head of the ultrapure water supply (Millipore) was equipped with Millipak® Express (0.22 μm pore size) filter.

In all cases, at least 300 cells per preparation were counted; if the abundance was lower than 1000 cells mL⁻¹, a minimum of 80 grids (15,376 μm² per grid) were examined. The extremely low cell abundances, sometimes below the normal detection limit expected for this method (Dieser, 2002), meant that the whole volume of one sample aliquot (50 mL) was filtered. The abundance of dust mineral particles (MPs) was also recorded on a categorical basis compared to the amount of cells (Table 1). The cell counts for the negative controls were below 30 cells mL⁻¹, which approximately represents the magnitude of contamination from the laboratory environment (Dieser, 2002).

For chemical examination, melted snow and water samples were filtered in Ny-Ålesund through 0.45 μm membranes (according to Hodson, 2006), bottled in 50 mL centrifuge tubes (previously rinsed three times with ultrapure water) and kept frozen until analysis. The filter units and membranes were rinsed three times with ultrapure water between each sample. The samples were shipped to the University of Sheffield and analyzed using two separate Dionex DX 90 chromatographs, with 4400 integrators and AS40 autosamplers. Midrange standards (0 to 2000 μg L⁻¹) showed an anion analytical precision error of 1.6%, 5%, 7%, 2.8%, and 1.4% (for NO₃⁻, SO₄²⁻, F⁻, and Cl⁻, respectively) and 2.5%, 0.06%, 0.2%, 0.08%, and 1.5% for the cations (NH₄⁺, Na⁺, K⁺, Ca²⁺, and Mg²⁺, respectively). Repeated analysis from one single filtered snow aliquot gave a precision error of less than 5% for all constituents. A 1 μg L⁻¹ detection limit was imposed for all chromatograms (Chromeleon software), and all analytic blanks were below this level.

FIGURE 2. Schematic sketch of the snow pit at Midtre Lovénbreen where six lysimeters were installed on 19 June 2010. The lysimeters were installed approximately 10 cm above the basal ice layer to prevent any water, flowing on top of the glacier ice, from entering the lysimeters.
Conductivity ($\sigma_{\text{cond.}}$), melt index, cell abundance, and the abundance of mineral dust particles (MPs) of the snow layers sampled at the start of the elution measurements. The melt index was calculated as log $[\text{Na}^{+}\text{Mg}^{2+}]$ for concentrations in µg L$^{-1}$. The abundance of MPs is presented on a categorical scale: 1 = number of MPs apparently lower than number of cells, 2 = abundance of MPs close to the abundance of microbial cells, 3 = abundance of MPs apparently exceeding the number of microbial cells, and 4 = abundance of MPs exceeding the number of microbial cells many times.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Layer</th>
<th>$\sigma_{\text{cond.}}$ (µS cm$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Melt Index</th>
<th>Cells (Cells mL$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>MPs (category)</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>6.22</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>1950</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Major ion sample lost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td>6.39</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>2784</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>5.70</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>1761</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>3.30</td>
<td>5.64</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.70</td>
<td>4.96</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Sampled with layer 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>5.90</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>3478</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Sampled with layer 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>5.06</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>7231</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Sampled with layer 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.30</td>
<td>5.02</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>9956</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>5.66</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>2764</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.40</td>
<td>5.35</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>771</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>4.98</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>358</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Basal ice layer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Results**

**SNOWPACK STRATIGRAPHY**

At the start of the survey the snowpack showed distinct stratigraphic characteristics, including several ice layers. These visible layers also showed variability in the concentrations of major ions, bacterial cell abundance, and the abundance of dust mineral particles, MPs (Fig. 3 and Table 1). The major ion sample for layer 14 was lost, while layers 5, 7, and 9 were sampled in conjunction with the layer immediately beneath (Table 1) due to their thin size and fragile nature. The snowpack stratigraphy (Fig. 3) then showed a distinct NO$_3^-$ peak of 0.85 mg mL$^{-1}$ in the combined sample of layers 8 and 9, while cell abundance peaked farther down in the snowpack with a maximum of 1 × 10$^5$ cells mL$^{-1}$ in layers 4 and 5. The lowest cell abundance, down to 30 cells mL$^{-1}$, was found in layers 10 and 11, hence just at the detection limit estimated for the method (see the section on analysis and Dieser, 2002).

The water equivalent-weighted mean conductivity ($\sigma_{\text{cond.}}$) was 3.88 ± 1.45 µS cm$^{-1}$ (in this study, standard deviation is used for range estimates), with a maximum at the bottom layer (recognized as superimposed ice) and the minimum found in the upper snow layers (Table 1). The pH of the snowpack varied from layer to layer, with an average of 5.46 ± 0.51 (weighted by snow water equivalent and calculated from H$^+$ concentrations). Also the melt index (log $[\text{Na}^{+}/\text{Mg}^{2+}]$; see Table 1), calculated according to Virkkunen et al. (2007), showed distinct variability among the layers. The concentrations of Na$^+$ and Cl$^-$ were closely linked (Table 2) and Cl$^-$ accounted for roughly double the mass concentration of Na$^+$ (Fig. 3) as expected with marine aerosol. The K$^+$/Na$^+$ and Mg$^{2+}$/Na$^+$ ratios for the snowpack were up to three times greater than average ocean waters.
water (estimated from Millero et al., 2008), and Ca\(^{2+}\)/Na\(^{+}\) ratios exceeded the ocean water ratio by several magnitudes (data not shown). Occasionally, concentrations of NO\(_3^-\) and F\(^-\) were below detection limits. The non–sea salt sulfate (nss-SO\(_4^{2-}\)) was calculated using an ocean SO\(_4^{2-}\)/Na\(^{+}\) ratio of 0.252 (Millero et al., 2008), giving some results close to zero or slightly negative (negative values are not presented in Fig. 3).

Overall, there was a generally good correlation between K\(^+\), Mg\(^{2+}\), and sea salt (Na\(^{+}\) and Cl\(^-\)), whereas the correlation between NO\(_3^-\), SO\(_4^{2-}\), nss-SO\(_4^{2-}\), and sea salt was low (Table 2). A strong negative correlation between SO\(_4^{2-}\), nss-SO\(_4^{2-}\), Mg\(^{2+}\), and the melt index was found and the same is true for pH (Table 2). Conversely, SO\(_4^{2-}\), nss-SO\(_4^{2-}\), Mg\(^{2+}\), and also Cl\(^-\) were well correlated with \(\sigma_{\text{cond}}\), the melt index, and pH were negatively correlated with \(\sigma_{\text{cond}}\) (Table 2). The abundance of cells showed no correlation with any chemical parameters, but occurred consistently with elevated abundance of MPs (Fig. 3 and Table 1).

**SNOWPACK MELTWATER**

During the elution survey the snowpack height (\(z_{\text{snow}}\)) above the six lysimeters decreased from 78.8 ± 3.8 to 42.1 ± 1.3 cm (Fig. 4, part a) which is equal to a snow water equivalence (\(\varepsilon_{\text{SWE}}\)) loss of 56% over the period (Table 3). The modeled change in \(z_{\text{SWE}}\) and corresponding meltwater discharge (\(z_{\text{discharge}}\)) are shown in Figure 4, parts a and e, respectively. The estimated air temperature at the site was continuously above zero, except for the night between 24 and 25 June (Fig. 4, part e).

The cell abundance in the meltwater increased consistently throughout the observation period (257 cells mL\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\), multiple \(R^2 = 0.59\), shown as the regression line in Fig. 4, part b). The average meltwater concentration ranged from 232 to 3092 cells mL\(^{-1}\) (Fig. 4), and a significant number of cells were found to be attached to mineral particles (data not shown). The meltwater concentrations of Cl\(^-\), Na\(^{+}\), SO\(_4^{2-}\), Mg\(^{2+}\), K\(^+\), and to some extent NO\(_3^-\) decreased rapidly during the first three sampling days, followed by more stable and low values (Fig. 4). Conversely, Ca\(^{2+}\), NH\(_4^+\), and F\(^-\) showed a more steady decrease with some fluctuations for Ca\(^{2+}\) and F\(^-\) (Fig. 4). The conductivity also showed a rapid decrease at the beginning followed by an increase from June 24 to 26 that was synchronous with increases in MPs (up to category 3 and 4, Table 1). This coincided with a synchronous increase in pH and bacterial cell abundance, although the day of the outburst-like events responsible for

**FIGURE 3.** (a) Snowpack thickness (\(z_{\text{snow}}\)) and cell abundance, (b) ammonium, (c) potassium, (d) magnesium, and (e) calcium at the start of the elution measurements. (f) Snowpack stratigraphy analyzed by the hand test method (ICSI-IAHS, 1981) and numbered individual snow layers; concentrations of (g) chloride and sodium (gray), (h) sulfate and non–sea salt sulfate (gray), (i) nitrate, and (j) fluoride.
these changes differed between the six lysimeters (data not shown). Finally, the MP concentration was generally lower in the meltwater samples than in the snow profile.

**ELUTION FROM THE SNOW**

Figure 5 shows the relationship between the cumulative fluxes of the solutes and cells, with the cumulative water flux estimated using the melt model. If no additional sources of the various solutes are assumed, then differences between the curves reveal different elution rates. Figure 5 therefore suggests that Cl\(^{-}\), SO\(_4\)^{2-}, NO\(_3\), Na\(^{+}\), NH\(_4\)^{+}, K\(^{+}\), Mg\(^{2+}\), and Ca\(^{2+}\) are preferentially eluted in the initial stage of the survey. By contrast, Ca\(^{2+}\), F\(^{-}\), and NH\(_4\)^{+} appear to have an intermediate mobility, while the cells were retained until later on, in accordance with the increasing cell number with time that was described above. Therefore, the snow had lost 50% of the fast-eluting ions during a 20–25% depletion of the z\(_{\text{swe}}\) index. The ions with intermediate mobility required 30–45% of z\(_{\text{swe}}\) depletion, while the cells required 70% of z\(_{\text{swe}}\) depletion to reach the 50% elution mark. In summary, ions were removed at a faster rate than liquid water from the snowpack, while bacterial cells were removed at a slower rate, indicating retention of the cells within the snow.

**Discussion**

**SNOWPACK MELT WATER**

During the observation period of eleven days, 56% of the z\(_{\text{swe}}\) was lost from the snowpack (Table 3) as a result of melting. The discharge model indicated a strong diurnal pattern for the melt release, whereas the temperature fluctuations were typically smoother (Fig. 4), indicating that net radiative forcing of the melt was more important than sensible heat.

**Cell Elution**

The cell abundance in the meltwater indicated a steady increase (257 cells mL\(^{-1}\) d\(^{-1}\), Fig. 4) throughout the survey, while the initial meltwater showed a low abundance (around 19%, 310 ± 57 cells mL\(^{-1}\)) compared to the average snow profile concentrations at the start (Table 3, Fig. 4). This increasing trend clearly deviated from the response normally displayed by dissolved constituents (e.g. Brimblecombe et al., 1986). Therefore, the most obvious feature in the elution curve (Fig. 5) is the major difference between cell abundance and solute concentration, such that as much as 70% of z\(_{\text{swe}}\) loss was needed to elute 50% of the accumulated cells. Similar patterns to these cell transfer dynamics have been described for hydrophobic substances and particles during snow melt, which aggregate and become trapped within the snow or at the snow surface and are thus released only at the very end of the melting period (Kuhn, 2001; Meyer et al., 2006; Meyer and Wania, 2008; Meyer et al., 2009a, 2009b). Soluble species, on the other hand, will tend to have an elution sequence that is governed by the age of the snow and snow melt characteristics depending on the melt-freeze patterns to these cell transfer dynamics have been described for hydrophobic substances and particles during snow melt, which aggregate and become trapped within the snow or at the snow surface and are thus released only at the very end of the melting period (Kuhn, 2001; Meyer et al., 2006; Meyer and Wania, 2008; Meyer et al., 2009a, 2009b). Soluble species, on the other hand, will tend to have an elution sequence that is governed by the age of the snow and snow melt characteristics depending on the melt-freeze frequencies (Meyer et al., 2009a). The microscope observation during cell counting revealed that a significant number of cells were attached to mineral particles (MP), while the aggregation between cells was rare. As will be discussed in the section on cell stratigraphy, such co-occurrence of cells and MPs was present already in the snow profile sampled at the start of the survey. Furthermore, a local increase in cell abundance was observed in the meltwater during 24–26 June and in connection with a high abundance of MPs in the runoff (shown as shaded areas in Fig. 4). This local increase could be the result of the breakthrough and/or washout of the high cell abundance layers seen in the snow profile. The link between cells and particles in the meltwater observed here, together with late elution of the cells, therefore points toward a strong retention of cells within the snowpack and indicates a hydrophobic-like elution response for them.

**TABLE 2**

Correlation matrix for the major ion concentrations, pH, conductivity (σ\(_{\text{cond.}}\)), melt index, and cell abundance of the 14 sampled snow layers in Table 1 and Figure 3. Bold numbers indicate strong correlations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>F(^{-})</th>
<th>Cl(^{-})</th>
<th>NO(_3)^{-}</th>
<th>SO(_4)^{2-}</th>
<th>Na(^{+})</th>
<th>NH(_4)^{+}</th>
<th>K(^{+})</th>
<th>Mg(^{2+})</th>
<th>Ca(^{2+})</th>
<th>nss-SO(_4)^{2-}</th>
<th>Melt Index</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>σ(_{\text{cond.}})</th>
<th>cells</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F(^{-})</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>−0.06</td>
<td>−0.14</td>
<td>−0.27</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>−0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl(^{-})</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>−0.22</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>−0.04</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>−0.14</td>
<td>−0.33</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO(_3)^{-}</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>−0.17</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>−0.15</td>
<td>−0.11</td>
<td>−0.44</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>−0.14</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO(_4)^{2-}</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>−0.26</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>−0.51</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>−0.83</td>
<td>−0.73</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na(^{+})</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>−0.06</td>
<td>−0.33</td>
<td>−0.41</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH(_4)^{+}</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>−0.61</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>−0.18</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K(^{+})</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>−0.20</td>
<td>−0.17</td>
<td>−0.19</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>−0.14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg(^{2+})</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>−0.15</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>−0.78</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca(^{2+})</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>−0.72</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>−0.61</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nss-SO(_4)^{2-}</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>−0.88</td>
<td>−0.70</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melt Index</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>−0.55</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>−0.22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>σ(_{\text{cond.}})</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cells</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Irvine-Fynn et al. (2012) investigated supra-glacial cell fluxes from Midtre Lovénbreen later on in the summer season of 2010, when the glacial snow cover had melted. They found that the supra-glacial ecosystem has an ability to retain microbial cells in surface ice. Our elution data suggest that retention already starts within the snowpack at the onset of snow melt. Snowpack cell retention then introduces an annual supply of viable cells to the surface-glacial microbial community, while some of the easily accessible nutrients (as NO$_3^-$, see section on ion elution) are more readily transferred downstream.

While the $z_{SWE}$ decreased by 56% over the sampling period, the overall cell concentration increased by 165% (Table 3). This means that the amount of microbial cells increased from $6.2 \times 10^8$ cells m$^{-2}$ to $7.3 \times 10^8$ cells m$^{-2}$ (Table 3) during the observed $z_{SWE}$ depletion. However, cells were also lost with the meltwater during the study, accumulating up to $3.4 \times 10^8 \pm 0.9 \times 10^8$ cells m$^{-2}$ during the study period, indicating negligible wind transport for the very sheltered area of Midtre Lovénbreen. Hence, a close link between cells and MPs was found both in meltwater (see section on cell elution) and in the snow profile (see section on cell stratigraphy), indicating a local terrestrial origin and suggesting that wind dispersal strongly influences the aeolian deposition at this site. Second, the surface snow layer sampled at the beginning of the study holds a cell abundance ($2.0 \times 10^3$ cells mL$^{-1}$) very close to that found in fresh precipitation (Sattler et al., 2001). If aeolian deposition was important, this number would probably have been enhanced, especially since the last major precipitation event was 19 days prior to the sampling occasion (www.eklima.no), giving a long time window for aeolian processes to influence.

Even though the data provided here are insufficient to truly separate cell growth and aeolian deposition, a maximum proliferation scenario (assuming no aeolian deposition), indicates a microbial generation time ($G$) of 14.4 days using

$$G = 0.693 \frac{t}{\ln(b / B)}$$

FIGURE 4. The elution progress and snowpack development of; (a) snow thickness ($z_{snow}$) and modeled snow water equivalence ($z_{SWE}$, dotted line); (b) cell counts (with linear regression line) and Ca$^{2+}$; (c) Cl$^-$ and Na$^+$; (d) NO$_3^-$ and SO$_4^{2-}$; (e) estimated air temperature assuming a dry adiabatic lapse rate and 200 m elevation difference from Ny-Ålesund (data provided by AWI; S. Debatin), and modeled meltwater discharge (dotted line); (f) pH and conductivity ($\sigma_{cond}$); (g) Mg$^{2+}$ and K$^+$; and (h) NH$_4^+$ with F$^-$. Cell abundance, Cl$^-$, NO$_3^-$, pH, Mg$^{2+}$, and NH$_4^+$ are given as closed circles, while Ca$^{2+}$, Na$^+$, SO$_4^{2-}$, $\sigma_{cond}$, K$^+$, and F$^-$ are given as open circles. Error bars indicate standard deviations. The shaded area between 24 and 26 June indicates samples where the abundance of mineral dust particles (MPs) exceeded the cell counts according to categories 3 and 4 in Table 1. Symbols without error estimates indicate single measurements. Dates are given as yyyy-mm-dd.

Downstream Fate of Snow-Derived Cells

Irvine-Fynn et al. (2012) investigated supra-glacial cell fluxes from Midtre Lovénbreen later on in the summer season of 2010, when the glacial snow cover had melted. They found that the supra-glacial ecosystem has an ability to retain microbial cells in surface ice. Our elution data suggest that retention already starts within the snowpack at the onset of snow melt. Snowpack cell retention then introduces an annual supply of viable cells to the surface-glacial microbial community, while some of the easily accessible nutrients (as NO$_3^-$, see section on ion elution) are more readily transferred downstream.

While the $z_{SWE}$ decreased by 56% over the sampling period, the overall cell concentration increased by 165% (Table 3). This means that the amount of microbial cells increased from $6.2 \times 10^8$ cells m$^{-2}$ to $7.3 \times 10^8$ cells m$^{-2}$ (Table 3) during the observed $z_{SWE}$ depletion. However, cells were also lost with the meltwater during the study, accumulating up to $3.4 \times 10^8 \pm 0.9 \times 10^8$ cells m$^{-2}$ during the study period, indicating negligible wind transport for the very sheltered area of Midtre Lovénbreen. Hence, a close link between cells and MPs was found both in meltwater (see section on cell elution) and in the snow profile (see section on cell stratigraphy), indicating a local terrestrial origin and suggesting that wind dispersal strongly influences the aeolian deposition at this site. Second, the surface snow layer sampled at the beginning of the study holds a cell abundance ($2.0 \times 10^3$ cells mL$^{-1}$) very close to that found in fresh precipitation (Sattler et al., 2001). If aeolian deposition was important, this number would probably have been enhanced, especially since the last major precipitation event was 19 days prior to the sampling occasion (www.eklima.no), giving a long time window for aeolian processes to influence.

Even though the data provided here are insufficient to truly separate cell growth and aeolian deposition, a maximum proliferation scenario (assuming no aeolian deposition), indicates a microbial generation time ($G$) of 14.4 days using

$$G = 0.693 \frac{t}{\ln(b / B)}$$
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Concentrations and total loads of the snowpack at the start of the elution study (based on the \( z_{\text{SWE}} \) weighted layering of the snow profile, Fig. 3, \( n = 1 \), and at the end (\( n = 6 \)) of the survey in 2010.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ion</th>
<th>Start of Elution Survey (19 June 2010)</th>
<th>End of Elution Survey (30 June 2010)</th>
<th>Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( z_{\text{SWE}} )</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>(m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cells</td>
<td>1679</td>
<td>( 4447 \pm 2336^* )</td>
<td>(cells mL(^{-1} ))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( 6.22 \times 10^8 )</td>
<td>( 7.26 \times 10^8 \pm 4.31 \times 10^6^* )</td>
<td>(cells m(^{-2} ))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F(^+)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.19 ( \pm 0.11 )</td>
<td>(mg L(^{-1} ))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12.63</td>
<td>32.94 ( \pm 18.59 )</td>
<td>(mg L(^{-1} ))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl(^-)</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.87 ( \pm 0.20 )</td>
<td>(mg L(^{-1} ))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>169.95</td>
<td>151.69 ( \pm 34.73 )</td>
<td>(mg m(^{-2} ))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO(_3^+)</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.11 ( \pm 0.02 )</td>
<td>(mg L(^{-1} ))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24.11</td>
<td>19.49 ( \pm 3.58 )</td>
<td>(mg m(^{-2} ))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO(_4^{2-})</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.06 ( \pm 0.02 )</td>
<td>(mg L(^{-1} ))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>33.81</td>
<td>10.17 ( \pm 2.79 )</td>
<td>(mg m(^{-2} ))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na(^+)</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.12 ( \pm 0.01 )</td>
<td>(mg L(^{-1} ))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>91.77</td>
<td>20.30 ( \pm 2.16 )</td>
<td>(mg m(^{-2} ))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH(_4^+)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01 ( \pm 0.00 )</td>
<td>(mg L(^{-1} ))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.47</td>
<td>1.09 ( \pm 0.26 )</td>
<td>(mg m(^{-2} ))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K(^+)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02 ( \pm 0.02 )</td>
<td>(mg L(^{-1} ))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6.41</td>
<td>4.18 ( \pm 2.75 )</td>
<td>(mg m(^{-2} ))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg(^{2+})</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.08 ( \pm 0.02 )</td>
<td>(mg L(^{-1} ))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>28.68</td>
<td>13.49 ( \pm 3.44 )</td>
<td>(mg m(^{-2} ))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca(^{2+})</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.07 ( \pm 0.01 )</td>
<td>(mg L(^{-1} ))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>61.93</td>
<td>11.86 ( \pm 1.58 )</td>
<td>(mg m(^{-2} ))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nss-SO(_4^{2-})</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.03 ( \pm 0.02 )</td>
<td>(mg L(^{-1} ))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12.00</td>
<td>5.09 ( \pm 3.10 )</td>
<td>(mg m(^{-2} ))</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Even though six samples were collected, only three were used for the cell abundance estimate.

where \( B \) is the initial number of cells, \( b \) is the sum of cells eluted and remaining at the end, and \( t \) is time. This generation time is in line with that estimated for supercooled cloud droplets and melted snow (10.9 and 56.1 days, respectively) reported by Sattler et al. (2001). Therefore cell proliferation can clearly contribute to the apparent retention of microbial cells in this High Arctic site. It should be noted that although elution will minimize the concentration of productivity-limiting nutrients during the latter stages of snowpack ablation, the early fractions of meltwater moving through the porous snow will be quite enriched and thus capable of stimulating growth. Further, a superimposed ice layer beneath the lysimeters was observed. Freeze-rejection of nutrients will therefore also occur here and further increase the concentration of nutrients in the persistent water phase during the formation of this layer. More studies should therefore establish the timing of nutrient mobilization in these snowpacks and relate them to microbial cell proliferation.

**Ion Elution**

The NO\(_3^-\), SO\(_4^{2-}\), Na\(^+\), Cl\(^-\), Mg\(^{2+}\), and K\(^+\) concentration in meltwater during the first days of the experiment were an order of magnitude higher than the initial bulk snowpack sample. On the other hand, F\(^-\) only slightly exceeded the concentration in the bulk sample, and Ca\(^{2+}\) and NH\(_4^+\) showed similar concentrations in both the snowpack and meltwater. The preferential elution sequence adapted from the modeled meltwater discharge and its measured concentrations (Fig. 5) was generally in line with previous estimates (Kuhn, 2001) and followed the sequence Cl\(^-\), SO\(_4^{2-}\) > NO\(_3^-\), Na\(^+\), K\(^+\) > Mg\(^{2+}\) > Ca\(^{2+}\) > F\(^-\), NH\(_4^+\) >> Cells for the first 50% of the total fluxes from the snow. This elution sequence differed from what has been reported previously for Svalbard snow, where NO\(_3^-\) and SO\(_4^{2-}\) were found to be preferentially eluted compared to Cl\(^-\), while K\(^+\) and Na\(^+\) were typically found to be eluted later (Goto-Azuma et al., 1994). However, snow melt had already occurred during early May and removed ions prior to this study (see section on ion stratigraphy). With such washout, the elution sequence would change due to the altered concentration ratios in the remaining snow (Brimblecombe et al., 1986). In this case, our data would simply reflect an elution sequence from a later stage in the melt process. However, we still observed a nonlinear removal of snowpack solute, in favor of the earlier observed fraction during snowmelt (Johannessen et al., 1975; Bales et al., 1989). Certain solutes might also be influenced by nonconservative behavior throughout the experiment, including the dissolution of solutes from dust (or adsorption of solutes onto the dust surface). For example, the F\(^-\) concentrations in the meltwater were constantly above those in the initial bulk sample and remained higher also in bulk samples at the end of the sampling period (Table 3), while NH\(_4^+\) remained low most likely as a consequence of assimilation (Hodson, 2006). The acquisition of F\(^-\) from supra-glacial debris weathering is known to occur at this site (Irvine-Fynn, 2008). The same pattern of higher final concentrations can also be seen for NO\(_3^-\). It is known that atmospheric NO\(_3^-\) within the Arctic can occur in association with aerosols (Hara et al., 1999; Teinilä et al., 2003) and with bacteria (Hill et al., 2007). If particles and bacteria remain in the snowpack rather than following the meltwater, as our results suggest, an elevated concentration of associated chemicals (such as NO\(_3^-\)) might be expected in the remaining snow. This is because both nitrification, which is typical among bacteria associated with debris (Hodson et al., 2005), and cell-lysis, which may be caused by osmotic stress in the very diluted meltwater (Harding et al., 2011), might release solutes to the surrounding snow and meltwater. Furthermore, chemical dry deposition and sublimation of snow-water could also influence the snowpack concentrations and thereby the elution response. However, an earlier study of snow-water sublimation in Ny-Ålesund, which can be important during dry snow conditions, found that the snowpack rather gained water through condensation then lost water through sublimation during snowmelt (Takeuchi et al., 1995). Dry deposition of NO\(_3^-\) can also occur, but for this study site, such addition was discounted on the basis of a measurement and modeling study that found negligible fluxes in comparison to the total deposition (Björkman et al., 2013).
The snowpack at the start of the survey showed distinct visible layers and the concentration profiles indicated clear heterogeneous patterns for both cells and major ions (Fig. 3). For the major ions, such heterogeneity is well known in Svalbard snow and precipitation (Virkkunen et al., 2007; Krawczyk et al., 2008; Künnel et al., 2011), where high loads of NO$_3^-$, NH$_4^+$, and nss-SO$_4^{2-}$ have been interpreted as a result of rapid transport from lower latitudes (Simoes and Zagorodnov, 2001; Hodson et al., 2005; Künnel et al., 2011). With this being the case, we believe that microbial cells, like other contaminants, are likely to demonstrate a similar distinct heterogeneity within this region.

In comparison to bacterial abundance earlier reported in snow from the Ny-Ålesund region (Amato et al., 2007), or the Austrian Alps (Sattler et al., 2001), the cell abundance in our snow layers was one to two orders of magnitude lower. The snowpack sampled in 2010 also showed a clear difference to the two sites Amato et al. (2007) sampled in 2004, when the cell abundance was rather homogeneous throughout the snowpack compared to the distinct layering of our 2010 data (Fig. 3). One possible explanation for this can be the relative isolation of the snowpack compared to the distinct layering of our 2010 data (Fig. 3). One possible explanation for this can be the relative isolation of the sampling location upon Midtre Lovénbreen, which is more sheltered (Rasmussen and Kohler, 2007) than the two sites studied by Amato et al. (2007). The snowpack at the interior of the Midtre Lovénbreen glacial valley in the present study is far less exposed to the winds that flow over the Kongsvegen glacier and along the coastal plain of Kongsfjord (Beine et al., 2001; Rasmussen and Kohler, 2007), the sites used by Amato et al. (2007). The cell abundance showed no correlation with any of the investigated major ions or snow properties (Table 3). If the locally exposed rock and soil act as the major source of bacterial cells, a close link between Ca$^{2+}$ and cell abundance could be expected (Miteva et al., 2009). Even though the abundance of cells and Ca$^{2+}$ is uncorrelated, the maximum number of cells was found in layers with high MP levels, pointing toward an episodic crustal source of cells to the snowpack. Hence, the higher cell abundance found among snow layers 4–6 (Fig. 3, part a) would then likely be from local outcrops during wind distribution events. On the other hand, the relative isolation of our study site most likely explains why the cell numbers in other layers of the snowpack resemble the low numbers found in cloud water (Sattler et al., 2001). Taking the distinct oceanic influence upon snowpack chemistry into account (see next section on ion stratigraphy), our observations suggest that the typical origin of snowpack cells was from the marine-influenced air responsible for precipitation in this region (e.g., Hisdal, 1998). However, the layers with the maximum numbers of cells, strongly influencing the total cell burden, originate from local terrestrial sources, as supported by the cells’ association with debris.
For the major ions, the high correlation between Na\(^+\) and Cl\(^-\) (Fig. 3 and Table 2) confirms that the site is influenced by proximity to open ocean, whereas K\(^+\), Mg\(^{2+}\), and Ca\(^{2+}\) showed higher ratios to Na\(^+\) than would be expected from purely oceanic sources (data not shown) revealing a dissolution of local dust (Kang et al., 2001). Further, no significant correlations were found between NO\(_3^–\), nss-SO\(_4^{2–}\), and sea salt, or between SO\(_4^{2–}\) and sea salt, which clearly indicated that these substances originate from other sources. For the Arctic, large atmospheric transportation regimes have been pointed out as the major contributors of NO\(_3^–\) and SO\(_4^{2–}\) (Rahn et al., 1980; Fisher et al., 2011; Kühnel et al., 2011; Kühnel, 2013). However, atmospheric SO\(_4^{2–}\) concentrations can also be influenced by biogenic production of DMS (dimethyl sulfide) in the sea ice-free ocean regions of the Arctic (Sharma et al., 2012) and have been shown to influence the snow cover in the Ny-Ålesund region (Larose et al., 2010b).

Even though the site location was chosen by minimal visible snow metamorphosis, the calculated melt index (Virkkunen et al., 2007) and low NO\(_3^–\) and SO\(_4^{2–}\) concentrations in the upper snow layers (Fig. 3, Table 1) demonstrated that an influence of snow melt, prior to the start of the survey, cannot be ruled out. During such melt, the top layers of the snowpack are likely the first ones to be eluted (Bales et al., 1989). However, the high NO\(_3^–\) concentrations found around layers 9 and 8 corresponded well to other sites in the area sampled earlier during the winter of 2010 (Björkman et al., unpublished data), which suggests that the early snow melt rather percolated horizontally along the surface snow layers than vertically into the snowpack, and did not alter the concentration profile preserved in the deeper layers substantially.

Conclusion

The removal of microbial cells from a High Arctic snowpack resembles an elution sequence similar to that of hydrophobic compounds (e.g., Meyer et al., 2009a), a process that helps glaciers retain a microbial biomass upon their surface, even after the demise of the snow cover (Irvine-Fynn et al., 2012). The snowpack and the glacier surface therefore act as an accumulator of cell biomass during the melt season. This suggests that wet snowpacks, even on the surface of High Arctic glaciers, are likely to be dynamic ecosystems in their own right. The relocation of both nutrients and biomass during melting therefore requires consideration in the future. In our study, a clear ion elution sequence was observed that resembled earlier reports (Brimblecombe et al., 1986) and caused high concentrations of ions in snowpack runoff at the start of the snow melt, which rapidly decreased as snow melt proceeded. Cl\(^–\), SO\(_4^{2–}\), NO\(_3^–\), Na\(^+\), and K\(^+\) experienced a 50% elution before 20–25% of the snowpack water content was lost. By contrast, cell removal only reached the 50% level after ~70% snowpack depletion.

In contrast to our expectations, the calculated cell budget between the initial and final snowpack (including the cell loss by elution) revealed a significant increase of the total cell numbers, that is, more than twice the original number. Assuming aeolian deposition processes to be low, this suggests cell proliferation as a contribution to the observed “retention effect.”

Precipitation was the major cell contributor to the snowpack upon Midtre Lovénbreen. An overall low cell concentration was therefore found within the snowpack stratigraphy, where snow layers frequently showed cell abundances similar to those of cloud water (Sattler et al., 2001). This was in contrast to the nearby and more wind exposed sites examined by Amato et al. (2007). However, layers of higher dust deposition were concomitant with one order of magnitude higher cell abundances, indicating that wind dispersal from locally exposed rocks supplements the atmospheric cell input.
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